

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

THE ELIGION OF ISLĀM

A COMPREHENSIVE DISCUSSION
OF
THE SOURCES, PRINCIPLES
AND
PRACTICES OF ISLAM

BY

MAULANĀ MUḤAMMAD 'AḲĪL, "M. A., LL. B.

Author of—

*an English Translation of the Holy Qur'ān with Commentaries (with text),
an English Translation with Notes, Muḥammad the Prophet,
Early Caliphate, The Babi Religion, an Urdu
Commentary of the Holy Qur'ān, Etc.*

THE AHMADIYYA ANJUMAN ISHA'AT ISLAM

LAHORE, INDIA

1936

Printed at the
RIPON PRINTING PRESS
Bull Road, Lahore

PREFACE

ERE could be no better comment on the prevalent Muslim lethargy towards Islām than the fact that non-Muslim contributions to Islamic religious literature in English, are by far in excess of the Muslim. It is true that much of this literature draws a distorted picture of Islām, but even here the Muslim is more to blame than the non-Muslim, for it is his duty to place the right kind of material before a world whose thirst for knowledge is insatiable. But whatever may be said as to the superficiality of one part of this literature and the prejudicial tenor of another, it cannot be denied that Europe has made a most valuable contribution to research work in connection with the religion of Islām and the history of the Muslims. The Muslims are also turning their attention to the producing of religious literature in English, but the attempt is, as yet, a very weak one, directed more to appealing to the market than to serious efforts requiring hard work and critical judgment.

“The Religion of Islām” is the name of a book written by the Rev. F. A. Klein and published in 1906. It was through the courtesy of a friend that this book fell into my hands in the year 1928. He had read it with pain, he said, on account of the distorted picture of Islām that it contained, and he suggested that I should write a comprehensive work containing a true picture of Islām and dealing in detail with its teachings. More than twenty years before this, and just about the time when this book had been published in London, on the 5th of February 1907 to be exact, the Founder of the Ahmadiyya movement, Hadzrat Mirzā Ghulām

PREFACE

Aḥmad of Qādiān, had charged me with the writing of an English book which should contain all that was necessary for a Muslim, or a non-Muslim, to know about the religion of Islām, and to give a true picture of the religion which was largely misrepresented. The multifarious duties which I had to perform as President of the Aḥmadiyya Anjuman Ishā'at Islām, were a great hindrance, but the call of duty overcame these difficulties, and I set to work immediately, after going through Klein's book, and the work is now being published under the same name.

Had I been able to devote myself entirely to this task, it should not have taken more than three years. But seven years have passed, and still I am not satisfied that the book is as complete a picture as I had wished it to be. It has been my good fortune, from one point of view, to contribute to the literary activities of Islām and to be the head of a society which aims at the propagation of Islām, as the two works are so closely associated; but from another point of view it is a misfortune, since each of these works requires entire devotion to itself, to the exclusion of the other. I turned to the author's work again and again, amidst the many duties which I was required to perform as the head of a newly established society, but always to be recalled to some other task which the urgency of the moment forced on my attention. An author's singleness of purpose was not vouchsafed to me, and I have to confess that the work may, perhaps, suffer somewhat from this handicap.

There is yet another circumstance which may detract from the value of the book. I fell ill, rather seriously, in March 1935, and my medical advisers ordered complete rest for some time. Even after convalescence, I was advised to give up hard work, a direction which, to be

PREFACE

candid, I have not been able to carry out, since the publication could not be delayed any longer. So I had to hurry on the work; and, more than that, I had to relinquish two chapters which I originally intended to include.* Besides, the concluding chapters have not been dealt with as exhaustively as I had wished. I only hope that these and other deficiencies will be removed if I am spared to bring out a second edition.

Islām, as I have pointed out in the Introduction to this book, is a religion which deals not only with the ways of devotion and the means which make man attain communion with God, but also with a vast variety of problems relating to the world around us and questions that pertain to the social and political life of man. In a treatise which aims at giving a true picture of Islām, it was necessary not only to discuss all the laws and regulations of the system, but also to throw full light on the principles on which it is based, and even upon the sources from which its teachings, principles and laws, are derived. I have, therefore, divided this book into three parts. The first part deals with the sources from which the teachings of Islām are drawn, and which can serve the purpose of guiding the Muslim world in its present and future needs; the second with the creed of Islām or the fundamental doctrines of the religion; while the third treats of the laws and regulations of Islām which govern not only a Muslim's domestic, social and international relations but also his relations with God, which are the mainspring of the development of his faculties. An introduction has been added dealing with some general questions relating to religion and the religion of Islām in particular.

A work of this nature would have carried little weight if it did not give full references to original

**The Ethics of Islām and The Muslim State.*

PREFACE

authorities, and this has made the work laborious, for it contains over 2,500 references and quotations. The Holy Qur'ān being the original source on which all principles and laws of Islām are based, occupies the first place in this list, and next to it comes Bukhārī, the most reliable book of Ḥadīth. It is on these two authorities that the present work is chiefly based, but others, besides these, have been freely quoted and referred to where necessary. I am conscious of the many defects which the book must contain, but I crave the indulgence of the reader and shall feel grateful for the pointing out of any deficiencies or mistakes, and do my best to remedy the same in the second edition. *Rabba-nā taqabbal minnā inna-ka ant al-Samī' al-'Alīm.*

In conclusion I wish to express my indebtedness to the Hon'ble Chaudhri Sir Shahāb al-Dīn, President of the Punjab Legislative Council, who is the friend, referred to above, and who, besides drawing my attention to the need of such a book, has helped me with valuable suggestions. I am also thankful to Dr. K.D. Saggu, M.A., D.C.L., M.R.A.S., Barrister-at-Law, who has prepared the general index and the index of Arabic words and phrases.

MUḤAMMAD 'ALĪ

PRESIDENT,

Aḥmadiyya Anjuman Ishā'at Islām,
Lahore.

LAHORE :

Aḥmadiyya Buildings,
21st November 1935.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	PAGE,		PAGE
Preface	v	List of Authorities and key to	
Transliteration	xviii	references	xxi

INTRODUCTION

Islām, not Muhammadanism...	1	civilization	7
Significance of the name Islām	2	Islām as the greatest unifying	
Place of Islām among the		force in the world ...	8
religions of the world ...	3	Islām as the greatest spiritual	
New meaning introduced into		force of the world ...	10
religion	5	Islām offers a solution of the	
Religion is a force in the		great world-problems ...	11
moral development of man	6	Misconceptions underlying	
Islām as the basis of a lasting		anti-religious movement ...	13

FIRST PART

THE SOURCES OF ISLĀM

CHAPTER I. The Holy Qur'ān ...	17	Interpretation of the Qur'ān ...	44
How and when the Holy		Value of Ḥadīth and commen-	
Qur'ān was revealed ... <i>ib.</i>		taries in interpreting the	
It is the highest form of reve-		Qur'ān	46
lation	21	Divisions of the Holy Qur'ān	47
Other forms of Divine revela-		Makka and Madina sūras ...	48
tion to men	22	The place of Qur'ān in world	
The Prophet's experience of		literature	50
revelation	23	European writers on the	
Nature of the Prophet's reve-		Qur'ān	53
lation	25	Translation of the Holy	
Arrangement of the Qur'ān ...	26	Qur'ān	55
Arrangement in oral recitation	28	CHAPTER II. Sunna or Ḥadīth ...	58.
Complete written copies of the		Sunna and Ḥadīth	<i>ib.</i>
Qur'ān	29	Transmission of Ḥadīth in	
Standardization of the Holy		Prophet's lifetime	59
Qur'ān	29	Writing of Ḥadīth in Prophet's	
Differences of readings ...	31	lifetime	60
Collective testimony of the		Why Ḥadīth was not generally	
purity of the Quranic text ...	33	written	62
The theory of abrogation ...	35	Memory could be trusted for	
Ḥadīth on abrogation ...	37	preservation of knowledge... ..	63
Use of the word <i>naskh</i> ...	38	Collection of Ḥadīth :—	
Basis of abrogation ...	40	first stage	64
Sayyid on abrogation ...	41	second stage	67
Shāh Wali Allāh's verdict on		third stage	70
five verses	<i>ib.</i>	fourth stage	72

CONTENTS

	PAGE.		PAGE.
fifth stage ...	73	matters ...	98
Bukhārī ...	75	Exercise of judgment by the	
Method of counting different		Companions ...	98
reports ...	76	Imām Abū Ḥanifa ...	100
Reports in biographies and		Imām Mālik ...	101
commentaries ...	77	Imām Shāfi'ī ...	ib.
Story-tellers ...	79	Imām Aḥmad ...	102
European criticism of Ḥadīth	81	Different methods of formu-	
Canons of criticism of Ḥadīth		lating new laws ...	103
as accepted by Muslims ...	85	Qiyās ...	104
The Qur'ān as the greatest		Istiḥsān and Istiṣlāḥ ...	105
test for judging Ḥadīth ...	87	Istidlāl ...	ib.
How far did the Muḥaddithin		Ijmā' ...	106
apply these tests ...	90	Ijmā' is only Ijtihād on a	
Different classes of Ḥadīth ...	93	wider basis ...	109
CHAPTER III. Ijtihād or Exer-		To differ with majority is no	
cise of Judgment	96	sin ...	ib.
Ijtihād ...	ib.	Three degrees of Ijtihād ...	110
Value of reason recognized ...	ib.	The door of Ijtihād is still	
The Prophet allowed exercise		open ...	112
of judgment in religious		Independence of thought ...	114

SECOND PART

THE PRINCIPLES OF ISLĀM

CHAPTER I. Imān or Faith ...	119	Guidance afforded by human	
Faith and action ...	ib.	nature ...	138
Imān in the Qur'ān ...	120	Guidance afforded by Divine	
Imān in Ḥadīth ...	121	revelation ...	140
Kufr or unbelief ...	122	Sec. 2.—The Unity of God.	
A Muslim cannot be called a		The Unity of God ...	144
kāfir ...	124	The gravity of shirk ...	145
Imān and Islām ...	128	Various forms of shirk ...	146
No dogmas in Islām ...	130	Idolatry ...	148
Principles of faith ...	131	Nature-worship ...	149
Significance of faith ...	132	Deism and the Trinity ...	ib.
CHAPTER II The Divine Being	134	Doctrine of sonship ...	150
Sec. 1.—The Existence of God.		Significance underlying the	
Material, inner and spiritual		doctrine of Unity ...	152
experience of humanity ...	ib.	Unity of human race under-	
The law of evolution as an		lies Unity of God ...	ib.
evidence of purpose and		Sec. 3.—The Attributes of God.	
wisdom ...	135	Nature of the Divine attributes	153
One law prevails in the whole		'Arsh ...	155
universe ...	137	Proper name of the Divine	
The whole of creation is held		Being ...	156
under control ...	ib.	Four chief attributes ...	157

CONTENTS

	PAGE		PAGE
Ninety-nine names ...	161	Belief in all sacred scriptures	
Predominance of love and		is an article of Muslim faith	208
mercy in Divine nature ...	165	Revelation brought to perfec-	
Divine attributes as the great		tion ...	209
ideal to be attained ...	167	The Holy Qur'ān as guardian	
CHAPTER III. Angels ...	169	and judge of previous revela-	
Angels are immaterial beings <i>ib.</i>		tion ...	210
Can angels be seen? ...	170	Defects of earlier scriptures	
Abraham's guests ...	171	removed ...	211
Hārūt and Mārūt ...	172	Alteration of the text of pre-	
Nature of angels ...	173	vious scriptures ...	212
The angel's coming to the		Door to revelation is not clos-	
Holy Prophet ...	174	ed ...	214
Angelic function ...	176	<i>Kalām</i> (speaking) is an attri-	
Angels as intermediaries :—		bute of the Divine Being ...	216
in bringing revelation ...	178	CHAPTER V. Prophets ...	219
in strengthening believers ...	180	<i>Nabī and rasūl</i> ...	<i>ib.</i>
in carrying out Divine pun-		Faith in Divine messengers ...	220
ishment ...	181	Universality of the institu-	
Angels' intercession and		tion of prophethood ...	221
prayers for men ...	182	A Muslim must believe in all	
Angels help in the spiritual		the prophets ...	222
progress of man ...	183	National prophets ...	223
Angels' promptings to noble		The world-prophet ...	224
deeds ...	184	All prophets are one commu-	
Angels' recording deeds of		nity ...	228
men ...	185	Why prophets are raised up ...	230
Faith in angels ...	186	Sinlessness of prophets ...	232
Iblīs is not an angel but one		<i>Istighfār</i> ...	234
of the jinn ...	187	<i>Dhānab</i> ...	236
The jinn ...	188	<i>Khafā'</i> ...	<i>ib.</i>
The Devil ...	189	Individual cases :—	
The word jinn as applied to		Noah and Abraham ...	237
men ...	191	The Holy Prophet Muḥam-	
The jinn have no access to		mad ...	238
Divine secrets ...	194	Moses ...	239
CHAPTER IV. Revealed Books... 201 ✓		Adam ...	240
Revealed books mentioned		Conception of miracles in	
under three names ...	<i>ib.</i>	Islām ...	<i>ib.</i>
Revelation to objects and		The miracles of Islām ...	244
beings other than man ...	202	Prophecy ...	246
Revelation to <i>auliya'</i> ... 203 ✓		Prophecy of the triumph of	
Revelation to man granted in		Islām ...	248
three ways ...	204	Intercession : God is the real	
Object of God's revelation to		Intercessor ...	250
man ...	206	Who can intercede? ...	251
Revelation is a universal fact 208		God's intercession ...	253
		Intercession of the angels ...	<i>ib.</i>

CONTENTS

	PAGE.	
Intercession of prophets and believers ...	254	Will the Resurrection be spiritual?
<u>Shafā'a</u> on the Judgment Day ...	255	A body prepared for good and evil deeds
Finality of prophethood ...	257	Spiritualities matter
A Prophet for all people and all ages ...	258	The book of deeds
Unification of human race based on finality of prophethood ...	259	Balance
Significance underlying finality ...	ib.	Janna or Paradise
Appearance of the Messiah ...	260	Blessings of Paradise
Appearance of reformers ...	262	Women in Paradise
<u>CHAPTER VI. Life after Death</u> ...	264	<u>Hūr</u>
<u>Al-ākhirā</u> ...	ib.	<u>Hūr</u> as a blessing
Importance of faith in Future Life ...	265	Children in Paradise
Connection between the two lives ...	266	Abode of peace
<u>Barzak̤h</u> ...	267	Liqā' Allāh or the God
Second stage of the higher life ...	ib.	Advancement in life
Spiritual experience in the <u>barzak̤h</u> stage ...	269	Different names of Hell, a manifestation of spiritualities
Duration of <u>barzak̤h</u> ...	270	Remedial nature
Various names of Resurrection ...	271	<u>CHAPTER VII. Qadar</u>
A general destruction and a general awakening ...	272	dir
Three resurrections ...	274	Significance of taqdir
Spiritual resurrection and the greater Resurrection ...	275	Creation of good
Life has an aim ...	277	The will of God of man
Good and evil must have their reward ...	278	Foreknowledge of God's writing of a Lauḥ mahfūz
Resurrection as a workable principle of life ...	279	God does not lead <u>Idālāl</u> as ascribed
Resurrection is quite consistent with present scientific knowledge ...	280	God's sealing of <u>Ḥadith</u> and predestination
		Faith in qadar in the Qur'ān and later growth
		Significance of faith
		<u>Ash'ari's</u> view

THIRD PART

LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF ISLAM

<u>CHAPTER I. Prayer</u> ...	355	Self-development
<u>Sec. 1.—Value of Prayer.</u>		er
Importance of prayers in Islām ...	ib.	Prayer as the means of attaining the Divine

CONTENTS

	PAGE.		PAGE.
The experience of humanity	358	Five obligatory prayers	... 408
Prayer, a means of attaining ✓ to moral greatness	... 359	Combining prayers	... 409
Prayer as the means of purification of heart	... <i>ib.</i>	Voluntary prayers	... 410
Unification of the human race		<i>Sec. 6.—The Service.</i>	
through Divine service	... 361	The form of the prayer	... 410
Regulation of prayer	... 362	The maintenance of the spirit	
Times of prayer	... 364	of prayer	... 412
Mode of worship	... 365	Parts of Divine service	... <i>ib.</i>
Language of prayer	... 367	Posture of qiyām	... 413
Other advantages of maintaining Arabic in Divine service	371	Posture of rukū'	... 414
The <i>Fātiḥa</i>	... 373	Posture of sajdā	... 415
Prayer as index of Muslim mentality	... 374	Posture of qa'da	... <i>ib.</i>
<i>Sec. 2.—The Mosque</i>		Is a departure from these postures allowable?	... 416
No consecration is necessary	380	<i>Dhikr</i>	... 418
The mosque as a religious centre	... 381	<i>Dhikr</i> in qiyām	... 419
A training ground of equality	382	<i>Dhikr</i> in rukū' and sajdā	... 422
The mosque as a cultural centre	... 383	<i>Dhikr</i> in the sitting posture	... 423
The mosque as a general centre	... 384	The qunūt	... 425
Respect for mosques	... 385	<i>Dhikr</i> after finishing prayers	... 427
Mosques should face the Ka'ba	... 386	The congregation	... 428
Building of the mosque	... 388	Iqāma	... 429
Tribal and sectarian mosques	389	Congregational prayer	... <i>ib.</i>
Admission of women to mosque	... 390	<i>Sajda saḥw</i>	... 430
Office-bearers of the mosque	... 394	Late-comers	... 431
<i>Sec. 3.—Purification.</i>		Prayer in the case of one who is on a journey	... <i>ib.</i>
Outward purification as a prelude to prayer	... 395	Prayer service in battles	... <i>ib.</i>
Wudū	... 397	<i>Sec. 7.—The Friday Service.</i>	
The tooth-brush	... 399	Friday service specially ordained	... 432
Taking a bath	... 400	Preparations for the Friday service	... 433
Tayammum	... 401	The <i>khuṭba</i>	... 434
<i>Sec. 4.—The Adhān.</i>		The Friday service	... 435
The origin of adhān	... 402	<i>Sec. 8.—The 'Īd Prayers</i>	
The delivery of adhān	... 403	Festivals of Islām	... ✓ 436
Significance of adhān	... 404	Gathering for the 'Īd	... 437
<i>Sec. 5.—Times of Prayer.</i>		The 'Īd service	... 438
Regularization of prayer	... 406	The 'Īd <i>khuṭba</i>	... 439
Times of prayer	... <i>ib.</i>	The 'Īd charity	... <i>ib.</i>
		The sacrifice	... 440
		Can sacrifice be replaced by charity	... 441
		The idea underlying sacrifice	... 442
		<i>Sec. 9.—Service on the Dead.</i>	
		Preparatory to service	... 444

CONTENTS

	PAGE.		PAGE.
The service	... 445	A moral discipline	... 482
Patience enjoined under afflictions	... 449	Social value of fasting	... 483
<i>Sec. 10 — Tahajjud and Tarāwīḥ.</i>		Physical value of fasting	... 484
Tahajjud prayer is voluntary	451	The month of Ramadẓān	... 485
The Holy Prophet's Tahajjud	<i>ib.</i>	Choice of Ramadẓān	... <i>ib.</i>
The Tahajjud prayer	... 452	Persons who may not fast	... 487
Tarāwīḥ	... 454	Who is bound to fast	... 491
<i>Sec. 11 — Miscellaneous Services.</i>		Voluntary fasts	... 492
Service for rain	... <i>ib.</i>	Restrictions on voluntary fasting	... 493
Service during eclipse	... 455	Expiatory fasts	... 494
CHAPTER II. Zakāt or Charity	... 457	Compensatory fasts	... 495
Charity as one of the two principal duties	... <i>ib.</i>	Fasting in fulfilment of a vow	496
Prayer is useless if it does not lead to charity	... 458	Limits of the fast	... <i>ib.</i>
Conception of charity in Islām	459	The niyya	... 499
Voluntary charity	... 460	What breaks the fast	... 500
Significance of zakāt	... 462	Ethical side of fasting	... 501
Importance of zakāt in Islām	463	I'tikāf	... 504
Zakāt as the basic principle of every religion	... 464	Lailat al-qadr	... 505
Problem of the distribution of wealth	... 465	CHAPTER IV. Ḥajj or Pilgrimage	507
Islām's solution of wealth problem	... 466	Ḥajj	... <i>ib.</i>
Zakāt is a state institution	... 467	European views on adoption of ḥajj by Islām	... <i>ib.</i>
Property on which zakāt is payable	... 468	Sacredness of Makka and the Ka'ba recognized in earliest revelations	... 509
Niṣāb	... 469	Why Ka'ba was not made qibla earlier	... 510
Rate at which zakāt must be paid	... 470	When was ḥajj first instituted	512
Zakāt under modern conditions	... 471	Description of the Ka'ba	... 514
How zakāt should be spent	... 472	History of the Ka'ba	... 516
Zakāt may be spent in defence and propagation of Islām	... 475	Al-Masjid al-Ḥarām	... 518
Other national charitable institutions	... 476	Historical evidence of antiquity of Ka'ba	... <i>ib.</i>
CHAPTER III. Ṣaum or Fasting	477	Abrahamic origin of chief features of ḥajj	... 519
Ṣaum	... <i>ib.</i>	Asceticism combined with secularism	... 521
Institution of fasting in Islām	<i>ib.</i>	Levelling influence of ḥajj	... 522
A universal institution	... 478	A higher spiritual experience	523
New meaning introduced by Islām	... 479	On whom is ḥajj obligatory	... 525
A spiritual discipline	... 481	ʿUmra	... 526
		Iḥrām	... 529
		Miqāt or Muhill	... 530
		Ṭawāf	... 531
		The Black Stone	... 533
		Significance underlying ṭawāf of the Ka'ba	... 535

CONTENTS

	PAGE		PAGE
Significance underlying kis-	536	Importance of the marriage	602
sing of the Black Stone ...	536	institution ...	602
The sa'y ...	538	Marriage as the union of two	603
The ḥajj proper—march to	538	natures which are one in	603
Minā ...	539	their essence ...	603
'Arafāt and the wuqūf ...	540	Multiplication of the human	604
Muzdalifa ...	541	race through marriage ...	604
Yaum al-naḥr in Minā ...	541	Feelings of love and service	605
Ayyām al-taḥrīq ...	542	developed through marriage <i>ib.</i>	605
Ramy al-jimār ...	542	Marriage and "free love" ...	605
Other activities allowed in	544	<i>Sec. 2.—Legal Disabilities.</i>	606
pilgrimage ...	545	Mut'a or temporary marriage	611
CHAPTER V. Jihād ...	545	disallowed ...	611
Significance of jihād ...	546	Prohibitions to marry ...	611
Use of the word jihād in Makka	548	Marriage relations between	613
revelations ...	548	Muslims and non-Muslims...	613
Jihād in Madina revelations ...	549	<i>Sec. 3.—Form and Validity</i>	616
Jihād in Ḥadīth ...	551	<i>of Marriage.</i>	616
Use of the word jihād by	551	Preliminaries of marriage ...	618
jurists ...	551	Proposal of marriage ...	618
The spread of Islām by force ..	553	Age of marriage ...	620
Circumstances under which	556	Essentials in the contract ...	621
war was permitted ...	558	Mahr or the nuptial gift ...	625
So-called "verse of the sword" ...	559	<i>Shighār</i> ...	626
When shall war cease ...	562	Publicity of the marriage ...	629
Peace recommended ...	570	The <i>khutba</i> ...	630
Ḥadīth on the object of war ...	574	Evidence of marriage ...	633
Jurists' wrong notion of jihād ...	575	Walima or marriage feast ...	635
Dār-al-ḥarb and Dār al-Islām ...	577	Guardianship in marriage ...	638
Jizya ...	579	Marriage in akfā' ...	638
Jizya was not a religious tax... 579	581	Conditions imposed at the	637
Incidence of the jizya ...	584	time of marriage ...	637
Islām, jizya or the sword ...	585	Polygamy ...	638
Directions relating to war ...	587	Polygamy is an exception ...	638
Prisoners of war ...	588	<i>Sec. 4.—Rights and obligations</i>	643
Slavery abolished ...	591	<i>of husband and wife.</i>	643
War as a struggle to be carried	594	Woman's position in general... 643	644
on honestly ...	594	Woman's position as wife ...	645
Apostasy ...	598	Mutual relation of husband	646
Apostasy in Qur'ān ...	598	and wife ...	647
Ḥadīth on apostasy ...	598	A division of work ...	647
Apostasy and Fiqh ...	600	Woman not excluded from	648
CHAPTER VI. Marriage ...	601	any activity in sphere of	649
<i>Sec. 1.—Significance of Marriage.</i>	601	life ...	649
'Ibādāt and mu'āmalāt ...	601	Rights of husband and wife ...	649
Ḥudūd or restrictions ...	601	Stress laid on kind treatment	649
Everything is lawful unless	601	towards wife ...	649
prohibited ...	601		

CONTENTS

	PAGE.		PAGE.
Sterner measures allowed in	650	Honest dealing in transactions	695
case of immoral conduct ...	650	General directions relating to	666
Birth control ...	653	sale transactions ...	697
Seclusion of women ...	655	Mortgage ...	ib
The veil ...	656	Bequest ...	698
Decent dress ...	658	Gift ...	699
Privacy ...	660	Waqf ✓ ...	700
Intermingling of the two sexes	661	The Musalman Waqf Validat-	700
<i>Sec. 5.—Marriage of Slaves.</i>		ing Act ...	701
Prostitution abolished and	ib.	CHAPTER VIII.—Inheritance or	
marriage introduced ..	ib.	<i>Warāṭha</i> ...	702
There is no concubinage in	663	Reform introduced by Islām...	711
Islām ...	663	Inheritance law as contained	713
<i>Sec. 6.—Divorce</i>		in the Qur'ān ...	ib.
Marriage and divorce ✓ ...	670	Ḥanafī view of inheritance	717
Divorce is permitted under	671	law ...	718
exceptional circumstances...	672	Debts ...	719
Causes of divorce ...	675	Bequest ...	716
Wife's right of divorce ...	677	CHAPTER IX.—Debts ...	ib.
Husband's right of pronounce-	678	Writing of debts ...	ib.
ment of divorce ...	679	Leniency towards debtors	ib.
Divorce during menstruation	680	recommended ...	717
The 'idda or waiting period ...	681	Insistence laid on payment of	718
Divorce is revocable ...	682	debts ...	719
Irrevocable divorce ...	683	Warning against indebtedness	720
Pronouncement of divorce in	684	Usury prohibited ...	721
three forms ...	ib.	Reasons for prohibition ...	ib.
Subterfuges to make the re-	685	✓ <i>Ḥadīth</i> on usury ...	721
vocable divorce irrevocable	686	Interest ...	722
Effect of irrevocable divorce...	687	Deposits in banks or Govern-	723
Tahlīl or ḥalāla ...	688	ment treasuries ...	725
Procedure of divorce ...	689	Bank deposits ...	726
<i>Ṭilā'</i> ...	690	Co-operative banks ...	ib.
<i>Ḍikār</i> ...	691	Interest on business capital ...	726
<i>Li'ān</i> ...	ib.	State borrowings ...	727
Charitable view of divorce ...	692	CHAPTER X.—General Regula-	
CHAPTER VII.—Acquisition and		tions ...	727
disposal of property ...	693	<i>Sec. 1.—Foods.</i>	
Acquisition of individual pro-	694	Islām promotes cleanliness ...	ib.
perty ...	ib.	General rules regarding foods	ib.
Unlawful means of acquir-	ib.	Moderation recommended ...	728
ing wealth ...	ib.	Prohibited foods ...	ib.
The Holy Qur'ān on the exer-	695	Slaughtering of an animal ...	730
cise of property rights ...	696	Invoking the name of God on	731
Ḥajr or restrictions on the	697	slaughtered animal ...	733
disposal of property ...	698	Game ...	733
Guardian of minor ...	699	✓ Prohibition in <i>Ḥadīth</i> and	ib.
		<i>Fiqh</i> ...	734
		Good manners in eating ...	736
		Entertainments ...	736

CONTENTS

	PAGE		PAGE
<i>Sec. 2 —Drinks</i>		Punishment for theft	... 750
Intoxicating liquors	... 737	Punishment for adultery	... 752
<i>Sec. 3.—Toilet.</i>		Flogging	... 753
Toilet and cleanliness recom-		Stoning to death in Jewish	
mended	... 740	law	... 754
Clothing	... <i>ib.</i>	Jewish practice followed by	
CHAPTER XI.—Penal Laws	... 743	the Prophet at first	... 755
<i>Hudūd</i>	... <i>ib.</i>	Accusation of adultery	... 758
General law of punishment	... 744	Drunkenness	... 759
Punishment for murder	... 745	General directions for execu-	
Murder of a non-Muslim	... 747	tion of punishments	... <i>ib.</i>
Alleviation of punishment in		Index of Arabic words and	
murder cases	... 748	phrases	... 761
Punishment for dacoity	... 749	General Index	... 765

TRANSLITERATION

IN this book I have adopted the most recent rules of transliteration recognized by European Orientalists, with very slight variations, as explained below, but no transliteration can exactly express the vocalic differences of two languages, and the Roman characters in which Arabic words and phrases have been spelt give the sound of the original only approximately. Besides the inability of the characters of one language to represent the exact pronunciation of the words of another, there is this additional difficulty in romanizing Arabic words that in certain combinations the pronunciation does not follow the written characters ; for example al-Raḥmān is pronounced ar-Raḥmān, the sound of *l* merging in that of the next following letter, *r*. To this category belong all the letters which are known by the name of *al-ḥurūf al-shamsiyya* (lit. *solar letters*), and they are as follows: *tā*, *thā*, *dāl*, *dhāl*, *rā*, *zā*, *sīn*, *shīn*, *ṣād*, *dzād*, *ṭā*, *zā*, *lām*, *nūn* (dentals, sibilants, and liquids). Whenever a word beginning with one of these letters has the prefix *al* (representing the article *the*), the *lām* is passed over in pronunciation and assimilated to the following consonant ; in the case of all other letters, *al* is pronounced fully. This merging of one letter in another occurs also in certain other cases, for which a grammar of the Arabic language should be referred to. I have followed the written form, but in transliterating the *adhān* and prayer recitals, I have followed the pronunciation, for the facility of the lay reader, writing ar-Raḥmān instead of al-Raḥmān, and so on.

TRANSLITERATION

The system adopted in this work, which differs a little from that adopted in my Translation of the Holy Qur'an, is as follows :—

CONSONANTS

Arabic letters	Sounds	Represented by
ء	hamza (sounds like <i>h</i> in <i>hour</i> = a sort of catch in the voice)	,
ب	bā (same as <i>b</i>)	<i>b</i>
ت	tā (the Italian dental, softer than <i>t</i>)	<i>t</i>
ث	thā (between <i>th</i> in <i>thing</i> and <i>s</i>)	<u>th</u>
ج	jīm (like <i>g</i> in <i>gem</i>)	<i>j</i> *
ح	hā (very sharp but smooth guttural aspirate)	<i>h</i>
خ	khā (like <i>ch</i> in the Scotch word <i>loch</i>)	<u>kh</u>
د	dāl (Italian dental, softer than <i>d</i>)	<i>d</i>
ذ	dhāl (sounds between <i>z</i> and <i>th</i> in <i>that</i>)	<u>dh</u>
ر	rā (same as <i>r</i>)	<i>r</i>
ز	zā (same as <i>z</i>)	<i>z</i>
س	sīn (same as <i>s</i>)	<i>s</i>
ش	shīn (same as <i>sh</i> in <i>she</i>)	<u>sh</u>
ص	ṣād (strongly articulated <i>s</i> , like <i>ss</i> in <i>hiss</i>)	<i>ṣ</i>
ض	dzād (aspirated <i>d</i> , between <i>d</i> and <i>z</i>)	<u>dz</u>
ط	ṭā (strongly articulated palatal <i>t</i>)	<i>ṭ</i>
ظ	ẓā (strongly articulated palatal <i>z</i>)	<i>ẓ</i>
ع	'ain (somewhat like a strong guttural <i>hamza</i> , not a mere vowel)	'
غ	ghain (guttural <i>g</i> , but soft)	<u>gh</u>

* European Orientalists represent it by *dj*, but I see no reason for adding *d*, as the sound is exactly like *j*.

TRANSLITERATION

Arabic letters	Sounds	Represented by
ف	fā (same as <i>f</i>)	f
ق	qāf (strongly articulated guttural <i>k</i>)	q*
ك	kāf (same as <i>k</i>)	k
ل	lām (same as <i>l</i>)	l
م	mīm (same as <i>m</i>)	m
ن	nūn (same as <i>n</i>)	n
ه	hā (same as <i>h</i>)	h
و	wāo (same as <i>w</i>)	w
ي	yā (same as <i>y</i>)	y

VOWELS.

The vowels are represented as follows :—

Short vowels	ا	<i>fathā</i> , as <i>u</i> in <i>tub</i>	a
	إ	<i>kasra</i> , as <i>i</i> in <i>pin</i>	i
	و	<i>dzamma</i> , as <i>u</i> in <i>pull</i>	u
Long vowels	آ	long <i>fathā</i> , as <i>a</i> in <i>father</i>	ā
	ي	long <i>kasra</i> , as <i>ee</i> in <i>deep</i>	ī
	و	long <i>dzamma</i> , as <i>oo</i> in <i>moot</i>	ū
		<i>fathā</i> before <i>wāo</i>	au
		<i>fathā</i> before <i>yā</i>	ai

Tanwīn — — — is represented by *an*, *in*, *un*, respectively. The short and long vowels at the end of a word are shown as parts of the word, as *qāla* where the final *a* stands for the *fathā* on *lām*, but the *tanwīn* is shown as a separate syllable, as *Muhammad-in*.

* European Orientalists represent it by *k*, perhaps owing to the fact that in the English language *q* requires a *u* after it.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

AND

KEY TO REFERENCES

The Holy Qur'an. -- All references given without an indication of the name are to the Holy Book, the first figure representing the number of the chapter, and the second figure the number of the verse.

AA. Amīr 'Alī's *Muhammādan Law*.

'Abd al-'Azīz, }
Shāh, of Delhi } *Ujāla Nāfi'a*.

AD.* ... *Sunan*, of Abū Dāwūd.

AH. ... *Tafsīr al-Baḥr al-Muḥīṭ*, by Abū 'Abd-
Allāh Muḥammad ibn Yūsuf, generally
known as Abū Ḥayyān, according to the
edition published by the Sa'ada Press,
Cairo, in 4 volumes.

Ah. ... *Musnad*, of al-Imām Aḥmad ibn Muḥam-
mad ibn Ḥanbal, according to the edition
printed at al-Maimana Press, Cairo, 6
volumes, 1306 A. H.

Ai. ... *'Umdat al-Qārī*, by Badr al-Dīn Maḥmūd
ibn Aḥmad, al-'Aini, Ḥanafī, according
to the edition printed at al-'Āmira Press,
Cairo.

AM-AD. ... *'Aun al-Ma'būd 'alā Sunani Abī Dāwūd*,
by Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Sharf al-Ḥaq,
commonly known as Muḥammad Ashraf,
according to the edition printed at
Anṣārī Press, Delhi, 4 volumes, 1318
A. H.

In the references to *Ḥadīth* collections, the first figure represents the number of the *kitāb* and the second the number of the *bāb*. In the case of *Ḥadīth* collections which are not divided into *kitābs* and *bābs*, as also in the case of commentaries and other books, the reference is given to pages, the Roman figure representing the volume when a book has more volumes than one.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- Amīr 'Alī ... *The Spirit of Islām*, published by S. K. Lahiri & Co., Calcutta, 1902 A. D.
- AR. ... *The Principles of the Muhammadan Jurisprudence*, by (Sir) 'Abd al-Raḥīm, printed at the S. P. C. K. Press, Madras, 1911.
- ASh. ... *The Muhammadan Law of Marriage and Divorce*, by Aḥmad Shukrī.
- Bai. ... *Tafsīr al-Baidzāwī*, by Qādzī Baidzāwī, according to the edition of Muḥtabā'ī Press, Delhi, 2 volumes, 1326 A. H.
- Bible, ... the Holy; references to different books are indicated in the usual way.
- Bosworth *Mohammed and Mohammedanism*, 3rd
Smith, R. edition, printed and published by John Murray, Albemarle Street, London, 1889.
- Bq.* ... *Kitāb al-Sunan*, of Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥusain, commonly known as Baihaqī.
- Bu. ... *al-Sahīḥ al-Bukhārī* by al-Ḥāfiẓ Abū 'Abd-Allāh Muḥammad ibn Ismā'īl al-Bukhārī.
- D. ... *Al-Musnad*, of Abū Muḥammad 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān, commonly known as al-Dārimī.
- Denison, J. H. *Emotion as the Basis of Civilization*, New York, London, 1928 A. D.
- DI. ... Hughes' *Dictionary of Islām*.
- Dm. ... *The One volume Bible Commentary*, edited by J. R. Dummelow, printed by Macmillan & Co. Ltd., 1913 A. D.

* Books marked with an asterisk have been drawn upon through other authorities.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- En. Br. . . . *Encyclopædia Britannica*, 11th edition.
- En. Is. ... *The Encyclopædia of Islām*, printed and published by E. T. Brill Leyden, Luzac & Co., London.
- En. J. .. *The Jewish Encyclopædia*, published by Funk & Wagnalls Co. (New York & London), 1904 A. D.
- FA. ... *Fiqh Akbar*, by al-Imām al-A‘zam Abū Ḥanīfa al-Nu‘mān ibn Thābit al-Kūfī, published by the Dār al-Kutub al-‘Arabiyyat al-Kubrā, Cairo.
- FB. ... *Fatḥ al-Bārī*, by al-Hāfiẓ Abu-l-Fadzl Sḥahāb al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī, according to the edition printed at al-Mīriya Press, Cairo, 13 vols.
- FBn. ... *Fatḥ al-Bayān fī Maqāṣid al-Qur’ān*, by Ṣiddīq ibn Ḥasan ibn ‘Alī al-Bukhārī, according to the edition printed at al-Mīriya Press, Cairo, 10 vols., 1301 A. H.
- Ft. A. ... *Fatawā ‘Ālamgīrī*, printed at the Nawal Kishore Press, in 4 volumes.
- Gibb, ... Prof. H. A. R.—*Whither Islām?* London, 1932.
- H. ... *al-Hidāya*, by Abū al-Ḥasan ‘Alī ibn Abī Bakr, al-Marghīnānī, according to the edition printed, vol. I at the Curzon Press, Delhi, and vol. II at the Mujtabā’ī Press, Delhi, 2 vols. 1914 A. D.
- Hirschfeld, H. *New Researches into the Composition and Exegesis of the Qoran*, published by the Royal Asiatic Society, London, 1902.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- Hj. ... *Hujjat-Allāh al-Bāligha*, by Shāh Walī-Allah, Muḥaddath, Dehlvi, Ṣiddīqī Press, Brailey, 1286 A. H.
- Ibn Ḥajar .. *Nazhat al-Nazar Sharḥ Nukhbat al-Fikr*.
- Ibn Jauzī ... *Fath al-Mughith*.
- IH. ... *al-Sirat al-Nabawiyya*, by Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Malik ibn Muḥammad ibn Hishām.
- IJ-C. ... *Jāmi' al-Bayān fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān*, by al-Imām Abū Ja'far Muḥammad ibn Jarīr Ṭabarī, according to the edition printed in al-Maimana Press, Cairo, 30 volumes.
- IJ-H. ... *Tārikh al-Umami wa-l-Mulūk*, by Abū Ja'far Muḥammad ibn Jarīr Ṭabarī, according to the edition printed in al-Ḥusainiyya Press, Cairo, 12 vols.
- IK. ... *Tafsīr Ibn Kathīr*, by al-Ḥāfiẓ 'Imād al-Dīn Abu-l-Fida Ismā'il ibn 'Umar ibn Kathīr Qarshī, according to the edition printed in Mīriyya Press, Cairo, 10 vols. 1300 A. H.
- IM. ... *Sunan*, of Abū 'Abd-Allāh Muḥammad ibn Yazīd ibn Māja Qazwīnī.
- Is. ... *Iṣāba fī Tamyiz al-Ṣaḥāba*, by Shahāb al-Dīn Abu-l-Fadl Aḥmad ibn 'Alī, according to the edition printed in al-Sa'ada Press, Cairo, 4 vols., 1323 A. H.
- IS. T. ... *Kitāb al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā*, by Muḥammad ibn Sa'd, according to the edition printed in London, 8 vols., 1322 A. H. The small Roman figure indicates the part.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- It. ... *Itqān fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān*, by al-Imām Jalāl al-Dīn Sayūfī, according to the edition published by Azhariyya Press, Cairo, 2 vols., 1318 A. H.
- JJ.* .. *Jam' al Jawāmi'*, by Imām al-Ḥāfiẓ Jalāl al-Dīn Sayūfī.
- JS. .. *Jāmi' al-Ṣaghīr*, by Imām al-Ḥāfiẓ Jalāl al-Dīn Sayūfī, according to the edition printed in the Khairiyya Press, Cairo, 2 vols.
- KA. ... *Kashf al-Asrār*, by 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Bukhārī.
- KU. .. *Kanz al-'Ummāl fī Sunani-l-Aqwāl wa-l-Af'āl*, by Shaiḫ 'Alā' al-Dīn al-Muttaqī ibn Ḥisām al-Dīn; the second figure represents the number of the ḥadīth, according to the edition printed at Hyderabad Deccan, 1312 A. H.
- ... *The Ins and Outs of Mesopotamia*.
- LA. ... *Lisān al-'Arab*, by Imām 'Allāma Abu-l-Fadl Jamāl al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Mukarram.
- Lane, E. W. *Selections from the Holy Qurān*.
- LL. Lane's *Arabic-English Lexicon*.
- M. *al-Ṣaḥīḥ al-Muslim*, by Imām Abū Ḥusain Muslim ibn al-Ḥajjāj.
- Ma. ... *Mu'utṭā*, by Imām Mālik Abū 'Abd-Allāh Mālik ibn Anas ibn 'Āmir, printed at the Mujtabā'i Press, Delhi, 1320 A. H.
- Mau. ... *Maudzū'āt*, by Mullā 'Alī Qārī, printed at the Mujtabā'i Press, Delhi, 1315 A. H.
- MD. ... *Miftāḥ al-Sa'āda*, by Maulā Aḥmad ibn Muṣṭafā, printed at Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-Nizāmiyya, Hyderabad Deccan.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- Mf.. ... *al-Mawāqif*, by al-Qādī 'Adzud al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Aḥmad, printed at al-Sa'āda Press, Cairo, 8 vols.
- MI. ... *Maqālāt al-Islāmiyyīn*, by Abu-l-Ḥasan Ismā'il ibn 'Alī al-Ash'arī.
- MK.* .. *Mustadrak*, of Ḥākim.
- MM. .. *Al-Mishkāṭ al-Maṣābil*, by Shaikh Walī al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn 'Abd-Allāh. The 3rd number (in small Roman figures) represents the *faṣl* (section).
- Mq. .. *Muqaddama* by 'Allāma ibn Khaldūn 'Abd al-Raḥmān, printed at al-Taqaḍdum Press, Cairo, 1329 A. H.
- Muir, Sir W. *Life of Mahomet*, published by Smith Elder & Co., 1894.
- Do. *The Caliphate*.
- N. ... *al-Nihāyā fī Ḥaribī-l-Ḥadīthi wa-l-Āthār*, by al-Mubārak ibn Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad Jazrī, commonly called Ibn Athīr.
- NA. ... *Nūr al-Anwār* by Ḥāfiẓ Shaikh Aḥmad, printed at the Mujtabā'ī Press, Delhi, 1331 A. H.
- Ns. .. *Sunan*, of Abū 'Abd al-Raḥmān Aḥmad ibn 'Alī, al-Nasā'ī.
- Palmer, E. H. *The Qur'ān*.
- Q. ... *Qāmūs*, by 'Allāma Shaikh Naṣr al-Ḥurainī, printed at the Maimana Press, Cairo.
- Qs. ... *Irshād al-Sarī*, of Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad al-Khaṭīb al-Qaṣṭalānī, printed at the Nawal Kishore Press, Cawnpore, 1284 A. H., 10 volumes.

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

- R. ... *Al-Mufridāt fī Ḡharībī-l-Qur'ān*, of Imām Abu-l-Qāsim al-Ḥusain ibn Abu-l-Fadl al-Rāghib.
- Rd. ... *Radd al-Muhtār*, by Shaiḥ Muḥammad Amīn, generally known as Ibn 'Ābidīn.
- RI. ... *The Religion of Islām*, by F. A. Klein, printed at the S. P. C. K. Press, Madras, 1906.
- Rz. ... *Al-Tafsīr al-Kabīr*, by Muḥammad Fakhr al-Dīn Rāzī, printed at al-'Āmira Press, 1307 A. H., 8 volumes.
- Sale, G. ... *Al-Koran*.
- Sell, The Rev. *The Faith of Islām*.
- TA. ... *Taj al-'Arūs*, by Abu-l-Faidz Sayyid Muḥammad Murtadẓā al-Ḥusainī.
- SH. ... *Sharḥ Diwān Ḥamāsa*, by Shaiḥ Abū Zakariyya Yaḥyā ibn 'Alī al-Tabrezi, 4 volumes.
- Tkh. ... *Tārīkh al-Khulafā'*, by Shaiḥ Jalāl al-Dīn al-Sayūṭī, printed at the Government Press, Lahore, 1870 A. D.
- Torrey, C. C. *The Jewish Foundations of Islām*, New York 1933.
- Tr. ... *al-Jāmi' al-Tirmidhī*, by al-Imām al-Ḥāfiẓ Abū 'Isā Muḥammad ibn 'Isā.
- Tr. Is. ... *Traditions of Islām*, by Alfred Guillaume, printed at the Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1924 A. D.
- Z. ... *Al-Sharḥ 'ala-l-Mawāhib al-laduniyya* by 'Allāma Muḥammad ibn 'Abd al-Bāqī al-Zurqānī, 8 vols.
- ZM. ... *Zād al-Ma'ad* by 'Allāma Shams al-Dīn Abū 'Abd al-Mālik, generally known as Ibn Qayyim, printed at the Maimaniyya Press, Cairo, 1300 A. H.

INTRODUCTION

The very first point to be noted in a discussion on Islām, not Muham- the religion¹ of Islām is that the madanism. name of the system is not Muham- madanism, as is generally supposed in the West, but Islām. Muḥammad was the name of the Holy Prophet through whom that religion was revealed, and Western writers call it Muhammadanism after him, on the analogy of such names as Christianity, Buddhism, Confucianism and the like, but the name Muhammadanism was absolutely unknown to the followers of that religion to which it has been given by the Western writers, and is not to be found either in the Holy Qur'ān or in the sayings of the Holy Prophet. The name of the system as clearly stated in the Holy Qur'ān is Islām,² and the name given to those who follow that system is Muslim.³ So far from the system being named after its founder, the founder is himself called a Muslim.⁴ In fact, every

1. The Arabic word for *religion* is *dīn* or *milla*, the root-meaning of the former being *obedience* and *requital*, and that of the latter to *dictate*. *Milla* has special reference to the prophet through whom the religion is revealed, and *dīn* to the individual who follows it (R.). Another word for religion is *madhhab* which is not used in the Holy Qur'ān. It is derived from the root *dhahaba* meaning *he went*, and *madhhab* signifies *a way that one pursues in respect of doctrines and practices in religion*, or *an opinion respecting religion* (LL). According to some authorities, the distinction between the three words is thus expressed: *dīn* in relation to God Who reveals it, *milla* in relation to the prophet through whom it is revealed, and *madhhab* in relation to the *mujtahid* who expounds it. The word *madhhab* as used in Urdū or Persian carries, however, the wider significance of religion.

2. "This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favour on you, and chosen for you Islām as a religion" (5 : 3). "Surely the true religion with Allāh is Islām" (3 : 18).

3. "He named you Muslims before and in this" (22 : 78), where *before* refers to the prophecies, and *this* to the Holy Qur'ān.

4. "And I am the first of the Muslims" (6 : 164).

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

prophet of God is spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as being a Muslim,¹ thus showing that Islām is the true religion for the whole of humanity, the various prophets being the preachers of that religion among different nations in different times and the Holy Prophet Muḥammad its last and most perfect exponent.

Among the great religions of the world Islām enjoys the distinction of bearing a name Islām. Significance of the name Islām. significant name, a name that points to its very essence. The root-meaning of the word *Islām* is *to enter into peace*,² and a *Muslim* is *one who makes his peace with God and man*. Peace with God implies complete submission to His will, and peace with man is not only to refrain from evil or injury to another but also to do good to him ; and both these ideas find expression in the Holy Qur'ān itself as the true essence of the religion of Islām : " Yea, whoever submits (*aslama*) himself entirely to Allāh and he is the doer of good to others, he has his reward from his Lord, and there is no fear for such, nor shall they grieve " (2 : 112). Islām is thus, in its very inception, the religion of peace, and its two basic doctrines, the unity of God and the unity or brotherhood of the human race, afford positive proof of its being true to its name. Not only is Islām stated to be the true religion of all the prophets of God, as pointed out above, but even the involuntary but complete submission to Divine laws which is witnessed in nature, is indicated by the same word *aslama*. This wider

1. " And the same did Abraham enjoin on his sons and so did Jacob : O my sons ! Allāh has chosen the religion for you, therefore, die not unless you are Muslims " (2 : 132) ; " We revealed the Torah, in which was guidance and light ; with it the prophets who submitted themselves (*aslamū*) judged matters for those who were Jews " (5 : 44).

2. *Islām* means *entering into salm*, and *salm* and *silm* both signify *peace* (R.). Both these words are used in the sense of *peace* in the Holy Qur'ān itself, see 2 : 208 and 8 : 61.

INTRODUCTION

significance is also retained in the strictly legal usage of the word, for, in law, Islām has a two-fold significance ; a simple profession of faith—a declaration that there is nothing that deserves to be worshipped but God and that Muḥammad is the Messenger of God, and a complete submission to the Divine will which is only attainable through spiritual perfection.¹ Thus, the man who simply accepts the religion of Islām, the mere novice, is a Muslim, as well as he who completely submits himself to the Divine will and carries out in practice all the Divine commandments, subduing his desires to the will of God.

(Islām is the last of the great religions—those mighty movements which have revolutionized the world and changed the destinies of nations. But it is not only the last religion, it is an all-inclusive religion which contains within itself all religions which went before it, and one of its most striking characteristics is that it requires its followers to believe that all the great religions of the world that preceded it have been revealed by God. It is a fundamental principle of Islām that a Muslim must also believe in all the prophets who were raised up before the Holy Prophet Muḥammad :

“And who believe in that which has been revealed to thee and that which was revealed before thee ” (2 : 4).

“Say : We believe in Allāh and in that which has been revealed to us and in that which was revealed to Abraham and Ishmael and Isaac and Jacob and the tribes, and in that which was given to Moses and Jesus and in that which was given to the prophets from their Lord ;

1. “ Islām in law is of two kinds ; one is a simple confession with the tongue.....whether accompanied with belief (*īmān* or *real change*) in the heart or not.....The other is above belief (*īmān*), and it means that along with confession, there is belief (*īmān* or *real change*) in the heart and a fulfilment in practice, and resignation to God in whatever He brings to pass or decrees ” (R.).

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

we do not make any distinction between any of them" (2 : 136).

"The Apostle believes in what has been revealed to him from his Lord, and so do the believers ; they all believe in Allāh and His angels and His books and His apostles ; we make no difference between any of His apostles" (2 : 285).

Thus a Muslim believes not only in the Prophet Muḥammad but in all other prophets as well. And prophets were, according to the express teachings of the Holy Qur'ān, raised up among all the nations : "And there is not a nation but a warner has gone among them" (35 : 24). A Muslim, therefore, is one who believes in the prophets and scriptures of all the nations. A Jew believes only in the prophets of Israel ; a Christian believes in Jesus Christ and, in a lesser degree, in the prophets of Israel ; a Buddhist in Buddha ; a Zoroastrian in Zoroaster ; a Hindu in the prophets raised up in India ; a Confucian in Confucius ; but a Muslim believes in all these and in Muḥammad also, the last of the prophets. Islām is, therefore, an all-comprehensive religion within which are included all the religions of the world ; and, similarly, its sacred Book, the Holy Qur'ān, is spoken of as a combination of all the sacred scriptures of the world : "Pure pages wherein are all the right scriptures" (98 : 2, 3).

There is yet one more characteristic of Islām which gives it a special place among religions. In addition to being the last religion of the world and an all-inclusive religion, it is the perfect expression of the Divine will. Thus the Holy Qur'ān : "This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favour on you, and chosen for you Islām as a religion" (5 : 3). Like every other form of consciousness, the religious consciousness of man has developed slowly and gradually down the ages,

INTRODUCTION

and the revelation of the great Truth from on high was thus brought to perfection in Islām. It is to this great truth that the words of Jesus Christ allude: "I have yet many things to say unto you but ye cannot bear them now. Howbeit when he, the spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth" (Jn. 16 : 12, 13). Thus it is the great mission of Islām to bring about peace in the world by establishing a brotherhood of all the religions of the world, to gather together all the religious truths contained in previous religions, to correct their errors and to sift the true from the false, to preach the eternal verities which had not before been preached on account of the special circumstances of any race or society in the early stages of its development, and last of all to meet all the moral and spiritual requirements of an ever-advancing humanity.

With the advent of Islām, religion has received new significance. Firstly it is to be treated not as a dogma, which a man must accept if he will escape everlasting damnation, but as a science based on the universal experience of humanity. It is not this or that nation that becomes the favourite of God and the recipient of Divine revelation ; on the contrary revelation is recognized as a necessary factor in the evolution of man ; hence while in its crudest form it is the universal experience of humanity, in its highest, that of prophetic revelation, it has been a Divine gift bestowed upon all nations of the world. And the idea of the scientific in religion has been further strengthened by presenting its doctrines as principles of actions. There is not a single doctrine of religion which is not made the basis of action for the development of man to higher and yet higher stages of life. Secondly, the sphere of religion is not confined to the next world ; its primary concern is rather with this

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

life, and that man, through a righteous life here on earth, may attain to the consciousness of a higher existence. And so it is that the Holy Qur'ān deals with a vast variety of subjects which affect man's life below. It deals not only with the ways of devotion, with the forms of worship of the Divine Being, with the means which make man attain communion with God, but also, and in richer detail, with the problems of the world around us, questions of relations between man and man, his social and political life, institutions of marriage, divorce and inheritance, the division of wealth and the relations of labour and capital, the administration of justice, military organization, peace and war, national finance, debts and contracts, rules for the service of humanity and even of dumb creation, laws for the help of the poor, the orphan and the widow, and hundreds of other questions the proper understanding of which enables man to lead a happy life. It lays down rules not only for individual progress but also for the advancement of society as a whole, of the nation and even of humanity. It casts a flood of light on problems relating to relations not only between individuals but also between the different tribes and nations into which humanity is divided. And all these rules and laws are made effective by a faith in God. It prepares man for another life, it is true, but only through making him capable of holding his own in this.

The question which perturbs every mind to-day is whether religion is, when all is said and done, necessary to humanity.

Religion is a force in the moral development of man.

Now a cursory glance at the history of human civilization will show that religion has been the supreme force in the development of mankind to its present condition. That all that is good and noble in man has been inspired by faith in God is a truth at which perhaps even an atheist would not cavil. One Abraham,

INTRODUCTION

one Moses, one Christ, one Krishna, one Buddha, one Muḥammad has, each in his turn and his degree, changed the whole history of the human race and raised it from the depths of degradation to moral heights undreamed of. It is through the teachings of this or that great prophet that man has been able to conquer his lower nature and to set before himself the noblest ideals of selflessness and the service of humanity. Study the noble sentiments that inspire man to-day and you will find their origin in the teachings and example of some great sage who had a deep faith in God and through whom was sown the seed of faith in other human hearts. The moral and ethical development of man to his present state, if due to any one cause, is due to religion. Humanity has yet to find out whether the lofty emotions which inspire man to-day will survive after a generation or two of godlessness, and what sentiments materialism will bring in its train. To all appearance, the reign of materialism must needs entail the rule of selfishness ; for a cut and dried scheme for the equal division of wealth will not inspire the noble sentiments which are to-day the pride of man and which centuries of religion have instilled into his very being. If the sanction of religion be removed to-day, the ignorant masses—and the masses must always remain ignorant though they may be able to read and write a little—will sink back, gradually of course, into a state of savagery, while even those who reckon themselves above the common level will no longer feel the inspiration to noble and high ideals which only faith in God can give.

As a matter of fact, human civilization, as we have
Islām as the basis of it to-day, is, whether it likes the idea
a lasting civilization. or not, based on religion. Religion
has made possible a state of civilization which has
again and again saved human society from disruption.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Trace back its history in all nations, and it will be seen that whenever it has begun to totter, a new religious impulse has always been at hand to save it from utter destruction. It is not only that civilization, with any pretence to endurance, can rest only on a moral basis, and that true and lofty morals are inspired only by faith in God, but even the unity and cohesion of jarring human elements, without which it is impossible for any civilization to stand for a day, is best brought about by the unifying force of religion. It is often said that religion is responsible for much of the hatred and bloodshed in the world, but a cursory glance at the history of religion will show this to be a monstrous misconception. Love, concord, sympathy, kindness to one's fellow-men, have been the message of every religion, and every nation has learnt these essential lessons in their true purity only through the spirit of selflessness and service which a faith in God has inspired. If there have been selfishness and hatred and bloodshed, they have been there in spite of religion, not as a consequence of the message of love which religion has brought. They have been there because human nature is too prone to these things; and their presence only shows that a still greater religious awakening is required, that a truer faith in God is yet a crying need of humanity. That men shall sometimes turn to low and unworthy things does not show that the nobler sentiments are worthless but only that their development has become a more urgent necessity.

If unification be the true basis of human civilization, by which phrase I mean the civilization not of one nation or of one country but of humanity as a whole, then Islām is undoubtedly the greatest civilizing force the world has ever known or is likely to know. Thirteen

Islām as the greatest
unifying force in the
world.

INTRODUCTION

hundred years ago it was Islām that saved it from crushing into an abyss of savagery, that came to the help of a civilization whose very foundations had collapsed, and that set about laying new foundation and rearing an entirely new edifice of culture and ethics. A new idea of the unity of the human race as a whole, not of the unity of this or that nation, was introduced into the world, an idea so mighty that it welded together nations which had warred with and hated each other since the world began. It was not only in Arabia, among the ever-bickering tribes of a single peninsula, that this great "miracle", as an English writer terms it, was wrought,¹ a miracle before the magnitude of which every thing dwindles into insignificance. It not only cemented together the warring tribes of one country but it established a brotherhood of all nations of the world, even joining together those which had nothing in common except their common humanity. It obliterated differences of colour, race, language, geophraphical boundaries and even differences of culture. It united man with man as such, and the hearts of those in the far east began to beat in unison with the hearts of those in the farthest west. Indeed, it proved to be not only the greatest but the only force unifying man, because, whereas other religions had succeeded merely in unifying the different elements of a single race, Islām had actually achieved the unification of many races, had harmonized the jarring and discordant elements of humanity. How great a force it was in bringing back his lost civilization to man, is attested by a recent writer : ²

1. "A more disunited people it would be hard to find till suddenly the miracle took place. A man arose who, by his personality and by his claim to direct Divine guidance, actually brought about the impossible—namely the union of all those warring factions" (*The Ins and Outs of Mesopotamia*, p 99).

2. *Emotion as the Basis of Civilization*, by J. H. Denison.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

"In the fifth and sixth centuries, the civilized world stood on the verge of chaos. The old emotional cultures that had made civilization possible, since they had given to men a sense of unity and of reverence for their rulers, had broken down, and nothing had been found adequate to take their place

"It seemed then that the great civilization which it had taken four thousand years to construct was on the verge of disintegration, and that mankind was likely to return to that condition of barbarism where every tribe and sect was against the next and law and order were unknown The old tribal sanctions had lost their power.....The new sanctions created by Christianity were working division and destruction instead of unity and orderCivilization like a gigantic tree whose foliage had over-reached the worldstood totteringrotted to the coreWas there any emotional culture that could be brought in to gather mankind once more into unity and to save civilization?" (pp. 265—268).

And then speaking of Arabia, the learned author says :

"It was among these people that the man was born who was to unite the whole known world of the east and south" (p. 269).

Thus Islām laid the basis of a unification of humanity of which no other reformer or religion has ever dreamed ; of a brotherhood of man which knows no bounds of colour, race, country, language or even of rank ; of a unity of the human race beyond which human conception cannot go. It not only recognizes the equality of the civil and political rights of men, but also that of their spiritual rights. "All men are a single nation" (2:213) is its fundamental doctrine, and for that reason every nation is recognized as having received the spiritual

Islām as the greatest
spiritual force of the
world.

INTRODUCTION

gift of revelation. But the establishment of a vast brotherhood of all men is not its only achievement. Equally great is the unparalleled transformation which Islām has brought about in the world ; for Islām has proved itself to be a spiritual force the equal of which the human race has never known. Its miraculous transformation of world conditions was brought about in an incredibly short space of time. It swept away the vilest superstitions, the crassest ignorance, the rank immorality, the old evil habits of centuries over centuries, in less than a quarter of a century. That its spiritual conquests are without parallel in history is an undeniable fact, and it is because of the unparalleled spiritual transformation effected by him that the Holy Prophet Muḥammad is admitted to be the “most successful of all prophets and religious personalities ” (En. Br., art. Koran).

Islām has a claim upon the attention of every thinker, not only because it is the most civilizing and the greatest spiritual force of the world but also because it offers a solution of the most baffling problems which confront mankind to-day. Materialism, which has become humanity's ideal in modern times, can never bring about peace and mutual trust among the nations of the world. Christianity has already failed to do away with race and colour prejudices. Islām is the only force which has already succeeded in blotting out those distinctions and it is through Islām only that this great problem of the modern world can be solved. Islām is, first and foremost, an international religion, and it is only before the grand international ideal of Islām, the ideal of the equality of all races and of the unity of the human race, that the curse of nationalism which has been and is responsible for the troubles of the ancient and the modern worlds, can be swept away. But even within the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

boundaries of a nation or a country there can be no peace so long as a just solution of the two great problems of wealth and sex be not found. Europe has gone to two extremes on the wealth question, capitalism and Bolshevism. There is either the tendency to concentrate wealth among the great capitalists or by community of wealth to bring the indolent and the industrious to one level. Islām offers the true solution by ensuring to the worker the reward of his work, great or small, in accordance with the merit of the work, and also by allotting to the poor a share in the wealth of the rich. Thus while the rights of property are maintained in their fullest sense, an arrangement is made for equalizing conditions by taking a part of the wealth of the rich and distributing it among the poor according to the principle of zakāt, and also by a more or less equal division of property among heirs on the death of an owner. Thus H. A. R. Gibb writing towards the close of *Whither Islam* says :

“ Within the western world Islām still maintains the balance between exaggerated opposites. Opposed equally to the anarchy of European nationalism and the regimentation of Russian communism, it has not yet succumbed to that obsession with the economic side of life which is characteristic of present-day Europe and present-day Russia alike. Its social ethic has been admirably summed up by Professor Massignon: ‘Islām has the merit of standing for a very equalitarian conception of the contribution of each citizen by the tithe to the resources of the community; it is hostile to unrestricted exchange, to banking capital, to state loans, to indirect taxes on objects of prime necessity, but it holds to the rights of the father and the husband, to private property, and to commercial capital. Here again it occupies intermediate position between the doctrines of bourgeois capitalism and Bolshevik communism ’” (pp. 378-379).

INTRODUCTION

Similarly Islām's solution of the sex question is the only one that can ensure ultimate peace to the family. There is neither the free-love which would loosen all ties of social relations, nor the indissoluble binding of man and woman which turns many a home into an actual hell. And by solving these and a hundred other problems which puzzle the minds of men to-day, Islām, as its very name indicates, can bring true happiness to the human race.

The anti-religious movement which has taken root in Russia is based on a misconception as to the nature of the religion of Islām. The three chief objections to religion are :

Misconceptions underlying anti-religious movement.

(1) That religion helps in the maintenance of the present social system which has borne the fruit of capitalism with a consequent crushing of the aspirations of the poor.

(2) That it keeps the people subject to superstition and thus hinders the advance of sciences.

(3) That it teaches them to pray for their needs instead of working for them and thus it makes them indolent.¹

So far as Islām is concerned, the facts are entirely contrary to these allegations. Islām came as the friend of the poor and the destitute, and as a matter of fact it has accomplished an upliftment of the poor to which history affords no parallel. It raised men at the lowest rung of the social ladder to the highest positions of life, it made of slaves not only leaders in thought and intellect but actually kings. Its social system is one of an equality which is quite unthinkable in any other nation or any other society. It lays down, as one of the fundamental

1. As summed up in *Emotion as the Basis of Civilization*, p. 506

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

principles of religion, that the poor have a *right* in the wealth of the rich, a right which is exercised through the state which collects annually a fortieth of the wealth amassed by the rich, to distribute it among the poor.

As regards the second allegation that religion discourages the advancement of science and learning, this is equally devoid of truth, so far as Islām is concerned. Islām gave an impetus to learning in a country which had never possessed a seat of learning and was sunk in the depths of superstition. Even as far back as the caliphate of 'Umar, the Islamic state undertook the education of the masses, while the Muslims carried the torch of learning to every country where they gained political ascendancy—schools, colleges and universities springing up everywhere as a result of the Muslim conquest—and it is no exaggeration but simple truth to say that it was through Islām that the Renaissance came about in Europe.

The third allegation that religion makes people idle by teaching them to pray is also belied by the history of Islām. Not only does the Holy Qur'ān teach men to work their best and hardest for success in life, and lay down, in plain words, that "man shall have nothing but what he strives for" (53 : 39), but it actually made the most neglected nation in the world, the Arabs, a nation of supreme conquerors in all phases of life. And this great revolution was brought about only by awakening in them a desire for work and a zest for hard striving. Islām does teach man to pray, no one will dispute that ; but prayer instead of making him idle is to fit him for a still harder struggle, and to carry on that struggle in the face of failure and disappointment, by turning to God Who is the Source of all strength. Thus prayer in Islām is only an incentive to work and not a hindrance.

FIRST PART
THE SOURCES OF ISLĀM

CHAPTER I THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

The original source¹ from which all principles and ordinances of Islām are drawn is the Holy Book called *al-Qur'ān*.² The name *Qur'ān* is frequently mentioned

How and when the Holy Qur'ān was revealed

1. Generally the sources are said to be four, the Qur'ān and the Sunna (or Ḥadīth) being called *al-adillat-al-qat'iyya* or *absolutely sure arguments*, while *ijmā'* or *unanimous agreement* of the Muslim community and *qiyās* or *reasoning* are called *al-adillat-al-ijtihādīyya* or *arguments obtained by exertion*. But as *ijmā'* and *qiyās* are admittedly based on the Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth, the latter itself being only an explanation of the Holy Qur'ān, as I shall show later on, the Holy Qur'ān is actually the real foundation on which the whole superstructure of Islām rests, and being the only, absolute and final authority in every discussion relating to the principles and laws of Islām, it is perfectly right to say that the Holy Qur'ān is the sole source from which all the teachings and practices of Islām are drawn.

2 The word *Qur'ān* is an infinitive noun from the root *qara'a* which signifies primarily *he collected together things* (L.A.). It also signifies *he read* or *recited*, because in reading or reciting, letters and words are joined to each other in a certain order (R.). "According to some authorities, the name of this book *al-Qur'ān* from among the world Divine books is due to its gathering together in itself the fruits of all His books, rather its being a collection of the fruits of all the sciences, a reference to which is contained in the words, 'an explanation of all things' " (R.). It also means *a book that is or should be read*, containing a prophetic reference to its being "the most widely read book" (En. Br.) in the whole world. The Holy Qur'ān speaks of itself under various other names. It is called *al-Kitāb* (2 : 2) meaning *the Writing which is complete in itself*; *al-Furqān* (25 : 1) or *the Distinguisher between right and wrong and between truth and falsehood*; *al-Dhikrā*, *al-Tadhkira* (15 : 9) or *the Reminder* or *a source of eminence and glory to man*; *al-Tanzīl* (26 : 192) or *the Revelation from on High*; *Aḥsan-al-Ḥadīth* (39 : 23) or *the Best Saying*; *al-Maw'īza* (10 : 57) or *the Admonition*; *al-Ḥukm* (13 : 37) or *the Judgment*; *al-Ḥikma* (17 : 39) or *the Wisdom*; *al-Shifā* (10 : 57) or *the Healing*; *al-Hudā* (72 : 13) or *the Guidance*; *al-Raḥma* (17 : 82) or *the Mercy*; *al-Khair* (3 : 103) or *the Goodness*; *al-Rūḥ* (42 : 52) or *the Spirit or the Life*; *al-Bayān* (3 : 137) or *the Explanation*; *al-Ni'ma* (93 : 11) or *the Blessing*; *al-Burhān* (4 : 175) or *the Argument*; *al-Qayyim* (18 : 2) or *the Maintainer*; *al-Muhaimin* (5 : 48) or *the Guardian*; *al-Nūr* (7 : 157) or *the Light*; *al-Ḥaqq* (17 : 81) or *the Truth*. Besides these it is mentioned by several other names; and there is also a large number of qualifying words applied to it. For instance, it is called

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

in the Book itself (2:185; 10:37, 61; 17:106, etc.) which also states, to whom, when, in what language, how, and why it was revealed. It was revealed to Muḥammad: "And (who) believe in what has been revealed to Muḥammad, and it is the very truth from their Lord" (47:2). It was revealed in the month of Ramadẓān on a certain night which thenceforward received the name of *Lailat-al-Qadr* or the Grand Night¹: "The month of Ramadẓān is that in which the Qur'ān was revealed" (2:185); "We revealed it on a blessed night" (44:3); "Surely We revealed it on the Grand Night" (97:1). It was revealed in the Arabic language: "So We have made it easy in thy tongue that they may be mindful" (44:58); "Surely We have made it an Arabic Qur'ān that you may understand" (43:3). It was revealed in portions, every portion being written and committed to memory as soon as it was revealed, and the revelation of it was spread over twenty-three years of the Holy Prophet's life, during which time he was occupied solely with the reformation of a benighted world: "And it is a Qur'ān which We have made distinct so that thou mayest read it to the people by slow degrees, and We have revealed it revealing in portions" (17:106). It was not the Prophet who spoke under the influence of the Holy Spirit; it was a Divine Message brought by the Holy Spirit or Gabriel,² and delivered in words to the Holy

Karīm (56:77) or *Honourable*; *Majīd* (85:21) or *Glorious*; *Ḥakīm* (36:2) or *Wise*; *Mubārak* (21:50) or *Blessed* (lit. *a thing the goodness of which shall never be intercepted*); *Mubīn* (12:1) or *the one making things manifest*; 'Alīyy (43:4) or *Elevated*; *Faṣl* (86:13) or *Decisive*; 'Aẓīm (39:67) or *of great importance*; *Mukarram* or *Honoured*, *Marfū'* or *Exalted*, *Mutahhara* or *Purified* (80:13, 14); *Mutashābih* (39:23) or *conformable in its various parts*.

1. The *Lailat-al-Qadr* or the Grand Night is one of the three nights in the month of Ramadẓān, 25th, 27th, or 29th, i. e., the night preceding any of these dates (Bu. 32:4). The Holy Prophet was, at the time when revelation first came to him, forty years of age.

2. It should be noted that the Holy Qur'ān uses the words Holy Spirit and Gabriel interchangeably. In one of the reports speaking of the first

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

Prophet who delivered it to mankind : " And surely this is a revelation from the Lord of the worlds, the Faithful Spirit has come down with it upon thy heart, that thou mayest be of the warners, in plain Arabic language" (26 : 192—195) ; " Whoever is the enemy of Gabriel, surely he revealed it to thy heart by Allāh's command" (2 : 97) ; " The Holy Spirit has brought it down from thy Lord with the truth" (16 : 102).

revelation to the Holy Prophet the angel who brought the revelation is called *al-Nāmūs al-Akbar*, or, *the great Nāmūs*, and *Nāmūs* means *the angel who is entrusted with Divine secrets* (N.), the Divine secrets, of course, being the Divine messages to humanity sent through the prophets of God. The same report adds that it was the very same angel that brought revelation to Moses. Thus both the Holy Qur'ān and the reports make it clear that Divine revelation was brought to the Holy Prophet, as well as to the prophets before him, by the angel Gabriel who is also called the Holy Spirit or the Faithful Spirit or the great *Nāmūs*. This clears up all doubts as to what is meant by the Holy Spirit in Islām ; and in the mouths of the Old Testament prophets, as well as Jesus Christ, it carried exactly the same significance. It is true that there is not the same clarity here as in Islām, but it is equally true that the orthodox Christian conception of the Holy Spirit was quite unknown to the Jewish mind, and in this respect Jesus Christ was a staunch Jew, his terminology being taken in its entirety from the Jews. In the Old Testament terminology, the form used is the Spirit or the Spirit of God. In Ps. 51 : 11 and Is. 63 : 10, 11, the form used is Holy Spirit which is also the form adopted in the Talmūd and Midrāsh. The Holy Ghost is peculiar to the New Testament writers. The Jews looked upon it as one of the created things ; it was among the ten things that were created on the first day (En. J.). The function of the Holy Spirit is thus described :

" The visible results of the activity of the Holy Spirit, according to the Jewish conception, are the books of the Bible, all of which have been composed under its inspiration. All the prophets spoke " in the Holy Spirit " ; and the most characteristic sign of the presence of the Holy Spirit is the gift of prophecy, in the sense that the person upon whom it rests beholds the past and the future. With the death of the last three prophets, Haggai, Zechariah and Malachi, the Holy Spirit ceased to manifest itself in Israel" (En. J.).

It is clear from this that the Jewish idea was that the Holy Spirit brought inspiration to the prophets, the only difference between this and the Islāmic conception being that the latter looks upon the very words of revelation as proceeding from a Divine source, while the former apparently regards the words as being those of the prophet speaking under the influence of the Holy Spirit.

Jesus Christ and his disciples used the word in exactly the same sense.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Though the Holy Qur'ān was revealed piecemeal,

It is the highest form as pointed out above, yet the entire of revelation.

revelation is one whole, delivered in one and the same manner. It is the word of God revealed through the Holy Spirit, that is, the angel Gabriel. Revelation, we are told in the Holy Qur'ān, is granted to man in three forms: "And it is not for any mortal that 'Allāh should speak to him except by inspiring or from behind a veil, or by sending a messenger and revealing by His permission what He pleases" (42: 51). The first of these modes is called *wahy* which is used here in its literal sense of *al-ishlārat-al-sarī'a* (R.), i. e., a hasty suggestion thrown into the mind of man, or *ilqā' fi-l-rau'*. This is really what is meant by a prophet or a righteous man speaking under the influence of the Holy Spirit. In this case an idea is conveyed to the mind, and the subject to which it relates is illumined as if by a flash of lightning. It is not a message in words but simply an idea which clears up a doubt or a difficulty, and it is not the result of meditation.¹ The second mode is described as

Jesus' first experience of the Holy Spirit in the form of a dove was the result of his baptism by John (Mt. 3:16) which seems to indicate its association with a certain stage in the spiritual development of man. The Holy Spirit did not descend upon him until he was baptised. The idea of a dove-like form is also met with in the Jewish literature. Moreover, Jesus speaks of the Holy Spirit as inspiring the righteous servants of God: "How then doth David in spirit call him Lord?" (Mt. 22:43); "For David himself said by the Holy Ghost" (Mk. 12:36); the Holy Spirit is given to them that ask Him (Lk. 11:13). Even the disciples' first experience of the Holy Spirit is a repetition of the old Jewish tradition. As there we find the Spirit coming with "a voice of a great rushing" (Ezk. 3:12), so in the case of the disciples of Jesus "there came a sound from heaven as of a rushing mighty wind" (Acts 2:2). Thus the Holy Spirit as conceived by Jesus and his disciples was the same as in the Old Testament prophets, which again is almost identical with its conception in Islām, and the orthodox Christian view of the Spirit as one of the three persons of the Godhead, co-eternal with God, is of later growth.

1. Rāghib suggests a slightly different interpretation. He makes *wahy*

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

speaking from behind a veil. This refers to sights seen when asleep or in a state of trance; what we may call dreams (*ru'yā*) or visions (*kashf*). The third mode is that in which the messenger (*i.e.* the angel bearing the message) is sent to the recipient of the Divine revelation, and the Divine message is delivered in words, and this is the highest form of revelation. As already stated, the angel entrusted with the Divine message in words is Gabriel or the Holy Spirit, and this third mode of revelation is limited to the prophets of God only, that is to say, to men entrusted with important Divine

include not only an inspiration or a suggestion thrown into the mind, but also *tashhīr*, *i.e.* making a certain thing follow a certain course in obedience to the laws of nature, an example of which is the revelation to the bee (16: 68), and *manām*, *i.e.* dreams. And the second form, *from behind a veil*, he looks upon as applying to the case of Moses to whom, it is thought, God spoke in a manner different from that in which He spoke to the other prophets, that is to say, He spoke to him being invisible to him. Now, as regards the revelation to the bee, it is a clear mistake, as the verse states only how God speaks to men. And the statement regarding the mode of revelation to Moses is also a mistake, for the Holy Qur'ān lays it down in plain words that revelation was granted to the Holy Prophet Muḥammad in the same form as it had been granted to the prophets before him including Moses: "Surely We have revealed to thee as We revealed to Noah and the prophets after him" (4: 163); and Moses is specially mentioned in this connection in v. 164. Hence the second mode, from behind a veil, refers to *ru'yā* or dreams and *kashf* or visions, because a certain sight is shown in this case which has a deeper meaning than that which appears on the surface. The dream or the vision carries with it a certain meaning, but that meaning is, as it were, under a veil and must be sought for behind that veil. The dreams mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān (ch. 12) are an illustration of this. Joseph saw the sun and the moon and the eleven stars making obeisance to him, and this signified his greatness and his insight into things. A king saw seven lean kine eat up seven fat ones, and the meaning was that seven years of famine and hardship would follow seven years of plenty and eat away the hoarded corn of the country. Hence God's speaking from behind a veil means His revealing certain truths in dreams or visions. In a saying of the Holy Prophet these are called *mubashsharāt*: "Nothing has remained of *nubuwwa*, *i.e.*, receiving news from God, except *mubashsharāt*." Being asked "what was meant by *mubashsharāt*," the Holy Prophet replied, "good visions" (Bu. 91: 4). In this category are also included words which some righteous servants of God are made to utter or which they hear under the influence of the Holy Spirit.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

messages to humanity, while the first two forms of revelation, which, compared with the peculiar revelation of the prophet, are lower forms, are common to prophets as well as those who are not prophets. For the delivery of the higher message which relates to the welfare of mankind, a higher form of revelation is chosen, a form in which the message is not simply an idea but it is clothed in actual words. The prophet's faculty of being spoken to by God is so highly developed that he receives the Divine messages, not only as ideas instilled into the mind or in the form of words uttered or heard under the influence of the Holy Spirit, but actually as Divine messages in words delivered through the Holy Spirit. In the terminology of Islām this is called *wahy matluww*, or *revelation that is recited*, and the Holy Qur'ān was from beginning to end delivered in this form to the Holy Prophet, as the quotations I have given from the Holy Book itself make abundantly clear. It does not contain any other form of revelation. It is in its entirety *wahy matluww* or revelation recited to the Holy Prophet distinctly in words, and is thus wholly the highest form of Divine revelation.

As I have said above, the prophet also receives
Other forms of Divine revelation to men. the lower forms of Divine revelation.
For example, we are told in reports that before the higher message came to the Holy Prophet, that is to say, before he received the first Quranic revelation, he used to have visions as true and clear as day: "The first that came to the Messenger of Allāh of revelations were good visions so that he did not see a vision but it came out true as the dawn of the day" (Bu. 1:1). The Prophet's hearing of certain voices as mentioned in Hadīth belongs to the same category, while the details of law as expounded by him, and as met with in the Sunna, belong to the first form of revelation,

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

an idea instilled into the mind. This is called *wahy khafiyy* or *inner revelation*. In the lower forms, revelation is still granted to the righteous from among the followers of the Holy Prophet and even to others, for, as I will show later on, in the lowest form revelation is the universal experience of humanity. There is also a difference as to the method in which the different kinds of revelation are received. While the two lower forms of revelation involve but little change in the normal condition of a man, whether awake or asleep, and he is only occasionally transported to a state of trance, the highest form, which is that peculiar to the prophets, brings with it a violent change ; it does, in fact, require a real passing from one world to the other while the recipient is in a state of perfect wakefulness, and the burden of revelation is not only felt by the recipient but it is also visible to those who see him.

The Holy Prophet's first experience of the higher revelation was while he was alone in the cave of Hīrā. Before this he had, from time to time, seen visions, but when the angel came with the higher message, he found himself quite exhausted: "He (*i.e.* the Holy Spirit) seized me and squeezed me to such an extent that I was quite exhausted", and this was repeated thrice (Bu. 1:1). And even after he reached home, the effect of exhaustion was still upon him and he had to lie down on his bed covered over before he could relate what had befallen. It was an equally hard experience when the second message came to him after an interval of some months. And even afterwards the effect of the Spirit being upon him was so great that on the coldest of days perspiration would run down his forehead: "I saw", says 'Ā'ishā, his wife, "revelation coming down upon him in the severest cold, and when that condition

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

was over, perspiration ran down his forehead”¹ (Bu. 1:1). Another Companion relates that he was sitting with his leg happening to be under the leg of the Holy Prophet when revelation came down upon him, and the Companion felt as if his leg would be crushed under the weight (Bu. 8:12).

1. Some misdirected critics have represented this extraordinary experience of the coming of the revelation as an epileptic fit. The question is whether an epileptic could, when the fit came on, utter those grand religious truths which are met with in the Holy Qur’ān, or indeed make any coherent statement at all; whether he could have the strong will which made the whole of Arabia at last bow down to the Prophet, or possess the unparalleled energy which we witness in every phase of the Holy Prophet’s life, or the high morals which were his, or be the master of that magnetic virtue under whose influence a whole country could be purified of the grossest idolatry and superstition; whether hundreds of thousands of men possessing the Arabs’ independence of character would have taken him for a leader whose orders were obeyed in the minutest details of life; or whether he could produce men of the will and character of Abū Bakr and ‘Umar and thousands of others before whom mighty empires crumbled? The story of froth appearing from his mouth at the time of revelation is pure invention. Klein, writing in *The Religion of Islam* (p. 8), makes the following statement on the authority of Bukhārī: “Another tradition says that froth appeared before his mouth and he roared like a young camel”. Now Bukhārī makes no such statement, either in the place referred to (Bu. 1:2) or anywhere else. Nor is anything of this kind contained in the *Mishkāt*. The only statements that are met with in Ḥadīth are similar to those quoted from Bukhārī. For instance, we have in *Muslim*: “When revelation came to the Holy Prophet, he appeared to be as it were in distress and turned pale in the face.” And according to one report “when revelation came to the Holy Prophet, he would hang his head, and his Companions would do the same; and when that condition was over, he would raise up his head.” All these statements and similar statements contained in other Ḥadīth collections, only show that the coming of the revelation brought a real change in the Holy Prophet which others also witnessed.

Another misconception may also be removed here. When the Holy Prophet related his first experience to his wife Khadija, he added the words: “Surely I have fear regarding myself, *laqad khashitu ‘alā nafsī*” (Bu. 1:1). Some critics have misunderstood these words as meaning that the Prophet feared he was possessed by an evil spirit; and a rather foolish story from Ibn Hishām as to Khadija’s taking off the veil and the angel disappearing (which is without the least foundation and against all historical facts of the Prophet’s life) is narrated in support of it. The story seems to me a foolish one, inasmuch as the

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

The next question is as to the nature of the revelation itself. Hārith, son of Hishām, once enquired of the Holy Prophet, how revelation came to him, and the reply was: "It comes to me sometimes as the ringing of a bell and this is hardest on me, then he leaves me and I remember from him what he says; and sometimes the angel comes in the shape of a man and he talks to me and I remember what he says" (Bu. 1 : 1). These are the only two forms in which the Quranic revelation came to the Holy Prophet. In both cases the angel came to the Holy Prophet and was seen by him; in both cases he delivered a certain message in words which the Holy Prophet at once committed to memory. That is the essence of the whole question. The only difference between the two cases was that in one case the angel appeared in the shape of a human being and uttered the words in a soft tone as one man talks to another; in the other case, it is not stated in what form the angel came, but we are told that the words were uttered like the ringing of a bell, that is to say, in a harsh, hard tone, which made it a heavier task for the Prophet to receive them. But still it was the angel who brought the message, as is shown by the use of the personal pronoun *he* in the

angel appeared to the Holy Prophet in the solitude of Hirā, and not in the presence of Khadija. A cursory glance at the words quoted above would show that they could not possibly bear any such interpretation. The Holy Prophet knew for certain that he had a message from on High for the reformation of a fallen humanity; all that he feared was lest he should not succeed in bringing about the desired reformation. That was how Khadija understood it, as she immediately comforted him: "Nay, by Allāh, Allāh will never bring thee to grief; surely thou dost good to thy relatives, and bearest the burden of the weak, and earnest for others that which they have not got, and art hospitable to guests and givest help when there is real distress" (Bu. 1 : 1). The faithful wife who had known him intimately for fifteen years enumerated these great virtues in him, as a testimony that a man of such high character could not fail in accomplishing the task which was entrusted to him, the task of uplifting a fallen humanity.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

first part of the report. In both cases the Prophet was transported, as it were, to another world, and this transportation caused him to go through a severe experience which made him perspire even on a cold day, but this experience was harder still when the deliverer of the message did not appear in human shape and there remained no affinity between the deliverer and the recipient. But whether the angel appeared in human shape or not, whether the message was delivered in a hard or soft tone, the one thing certain is that it was a message delivered in words; and therefore the Quranic revelation is entirely one message delivered in one form. And we must not forget that the Holy Prophet often received the message while sitting with his Companions, but they never saw the angel nor ever heard the words of revelation,¹ though the message sometimes came to the Prophet in sounds like the ringing of a bell. It was, therefore, with other than the ordinary human senses that the Holy Prophet saw the angel and heard his words, and it was really the granting of these other senses that is called transportation to another world.

Though the Holy Qur'ān was revealed in portions, Arrangement of the yet it is a mistake to suppose that it Qur'ān. remained long in that fragmentary condition. As its very name implies, it was a book from the first, and though it could not be complete until the last verse was revealed, it was never without some form of arrangement. There is the clearest testimony,

1. There is only one report which seems to convey the idea that the Companions who were sitting with the Holy Prophet once saw Gabriel in human shape, but that incident is not related in connection with a Quranic revelation. A certain man, according to that report, whom no one recognized, came to the Holy Prophet and asked him several questions about *imān*, *Islām* and *ih̄sān*, and lastly, when the Hour would come. He then disappeared mysteriously and the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "That was Gabriel who came to teach you your religion" (Bu. 2: 37). These words might mean that the answers given by him were of Gabriel's teachings, not that the man who put the questions was Gabriel.

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

internal as well as external, that every single verse or part of a verse and every chapter that was revealed had its own definite place in the Holy Book.¹ The Holy Qur'ān is itself clear on this point: "And those who disbelieve say: Why has not the Qur'ān been revealed to him all at once? Thus, that We may establish thy heart by it, and We have arranged it well in arranging" (25 : 32). The arrangement of the Qur'ān was thus a part of the Divine scheme. Another verse showing that the collection of the Holy Book was a part of the Divine scheme runs thus: "Surely on Us devolves the collecting of it and the reciting of it" (75 : 17) ; from which it appears that just as the Holy Qur'ān was recited by the Holy Spirit to the Holy Prophet, in like manner, the collecting together of the various parts was effected by the Holy Prophet under the guidance of the Holy Spirit. History also bears testimony to the truth of this statement, for not only are there numerous anecdotes showing that this or that portion of the Qur'ān was put to writing under the orders of the Holy Prophet, but we are clearly told by 'Uthmān, the third Caliph, that every portion of the Holy Book was written and given its specified place, at the bidding of the Holy Prophet: "It was customary with the Messenger of Allāh (may peace and the blessings of Allāh be upon him) that when portions of different chapters were revealed to him, and when any verse was revealed, he called one of those persons who used to write the Holy Qur'ān² and said to him: Write

1. This subject has been fully dealt with in the preface to my English Translation of the Holy Qur'ān with Text and Commentary, and also in a separate booklet, in the Holy Qur'ān series, *Collection and Arrangement of the Holy Qur'ān*.

2. Among those whom the Holy Prophet used to summon to write down portions of the Holy Qur'ān immediately after their revelation are mentioned the names of Zaid ibn Thābit, Abū Bakr, 'Umar, 'Uthmān, 'Alī, Zubair, Ubayy, Ḥanzala, 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Sa'd, 'Abd-Allāh ibn Arqam, 'Abd-Allāh ibn Rawāḥa, Sharḥubail, Kḥalid and Abān, sons of Sa'id, and

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

this verse in the chapter where such and such verses occur" (AD. 2:121; Aḥ. 1:57, 69). Thus the whole Qur'ān was arranged by the Holy Prophet himself, under the guidance of the Holy Spirit.

In fact, if we bear in mind the use that was made of the Holy Qur'ān, we cannot for an instant entertain the idea that the Holy Qur'ān existed without any arrangement of its verses and chapters in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet. It was not only recited in prayers but committed to memory and regularly recited to keep it fresh in the mind. Now if an arrangement of verses and chapters had not existed, it would have been clearly impossible either to recite it in public prayers or to commit it to memory. The slightest change in the place of a verse by an Imām leading the prayers would at once call forth a correction from the audience, as it does at the present day. Since no one could take the liberty of changing a word or the place of a word in a verse, no one could change a verse or the place of a verse in a chapter; and so the committing of the Holy Qur'ān to memory by so many of the Companions of the Holy Prophet, and their constant recitation of it, would have been impossible unless a known order was followed. The Holy Prophet could not teach the Holy Qur'ān to the Companions nor the Companions to each other, nor could the Prophet or anyone else lead the public prayers, in which long

Mu'aiqab (FB. IX, p. 19). At Madina, Zaid ibn Thābit was chiefly called upon to do this work, and in his absence any of the other amanuenses would take his place, and this was the reason why Zaid was chosen to collect the Quranic writings in the time of Abū Bakr, and again to do the work of transcription in the time of 'Uthmān. At Makka in the earliest days, there were Abū Bakr, 'Alī, Khadija, wife of the Holy Prophet, and others who wrote down the portions revealed. The Holy Prophet took the greatest care to have a writer and writing material with him under all conditions, and even when he had to fly for his life to Madina, he had still writing material with him (Bu. 63:45).

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

portions of the Holy Book were recited, without following a known and accepted order.

The Holy Qur'ān thus existed in a complete and Complete written ordered form in the memories of copies of the Qur'ān. men in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet, but no complete written copy of it existed at the time, nor could such a copy be made while the Holy Prophet was still alive and still receiving revelations. But the whole of the Qur'ān in one arrangement was safely preserved in the memories of men who were called *qurrā* or reciters. It happened, however, that many of the reciters fell in the famous battle of Yamāma, in the caliphate of Abū Bakr, and it was then that 'Umar urged upon Abū Bakr the necessity of compiling a standard written copy, so that no portion of the Holy Qur'ān should be lost even if all the reciters should die. And this copy was compiled, not from the hundreds of copies that had been made by individual Companions for their own use, but from the manuscripts written under the direction of the Holy Prophet himself, and the arrangement followed was that of the oral recitation as followed in the time of the Holy Prophet. Thus a standard written copy was prepared which was entrusted to the care of Ḥafṣa, wife of the Holy Prophet and daughter of 'Umar (Bu. 66 : 3). But still no arrangement had been made for securing the accuracy of the numerous copies that were in circulation. This was done by 'Uthmān who ordered several copies to be made of the copy prepared in the time of Abū Bakr, and these copies were then sent to the different Islamic centres so that all copies of the Qur'ān made by individuals should be compared with the standard copy at each centre.

Thus Abū Bakr ordered a standard copy to be Standardization of the Holy Qur'ān prepared from the manuscripts written in the presence of the Holy

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Prophet, following the order of chapters which was followed by the reciters under the directions of the Holy Prophet, and 'Uthmān ordered copies to be made from this standard copy. If there was any variation from that standard copy, it went no further than this that where the Quraish wrote a word in one way and Zaid wrote it in another way, 'Uthmān's order was to write it as the Quraish wrote it. This was because Zaid was a Madinite while his colleagues were Quraish. Here is an account of what took place :

"Anas son of Mālik relates that Hudhaifa came to 'Uthmān, and he had been fighting along with the people of Syria in the conquest of Armenia and along with the people of 'Irāq in Azerbaijan, and was alarmed at their variations in the mode of reading (the Qur'ān), and said to him, O Commander of the Faithful, stop the people before they differ in the Holy Book as the Jews and the Christians differ in their scriptures. So 'Uthmān sent word to Ḥafṣa, asking her to send him the Qur'ān in her possession, so that they might make other copies of it and then send the original copy back to her. Thereupon Ḥafṣa sent the copy to 'Uthmān, and he ordered Zaid ibn Thābit and 'Abd-Allāh ibn Zubair and Sa'īd ibn al-Āṣ and 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Ḥārith ibn Hishām, and they made copies from the original copy. 'Uthmān also said to the three men who belonged to the Quraish (Zaid only being a Madinite), Where you differ with Zaid in anything concerning the Qur'ān write it in the language of the Quraish, for it is in their language that it was revealed. They obeyed these instructions, and when they had made the required number of copies from the original copy, 'Uthmān returned the original to Ḥafṣa, and sent to every quarter one of the copies thus made, and ordered all other copies or leaves on which the Qur'ān was written to be burned" (Bu. 66 : 3).

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

As to what these differences were, some light is thrown on the point by Tirmidhī making the following addition to this report: "And they differed on that occasion as to *tābūt* and *tābūh*. The Quraish members said that it was *tābūt* and Zaid said that it was *tābūh*. The difference was reported to 'Uthmān and he directed them to write it *tābūt*, adding that the Qur'ān was revealed in the dialect of the Quraish." It would be seen from this that these differences of reading or writing were very insignificant, but as the Companions of the Holy Prophet believed every word and every letter of the Holy Qur'ān to be the revealed word of God, they gave importance even to the slight difference in writing and referred it to the Caliph. It may be added here that Zaid was chiefly called upon by the Holy Prophet at Madīna to write down the Quranic revelations, and the word *tābūt* occurs in a Madīna chapter (2 : 248). Zaid had written it *tābūh* as the Madinites did, but as the Quraish wrote it *tābūt*, 'Uthmān restored the Quraishite form. This incident further shows that Ḥafṣa's copy contained the manuscripts written in the presence of the Holy Prophet. These two reports furnish conclusive proof that if there was any difference between 'Uthmān's standard copy and the collection made by Abū Bakr, it was a difference only as to the mode of writing certain words ; there was no change of words, no change of verses and no change in the order of chapters.

A few words may be added as to the so-called Differences of differences of readings in the readings. Holy Qur'ān. There were slight differences in the spoken language of different tribes, the language of the Quraish being the model for the literary language. Now the Holy Qur'ān was revealed in the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

dialect of the Quraish, the literary language of Arabia. But when towards the close of the Holy Prophet's life people from different Arabian tribes accepted Islām in large numbers, it was found that they could not pronounce certain words in the idiom of the Quraish, being habituated from childhood to their own idiom, and it was then that the Holy Prophet allowed them to pronounce a word according to their own peculiar idiom. This was done only to facilitate the recitation of the Holy Qur'ān. The written Qur'ān was one; it was all in the chaste idiom of the Quraish, but people belonging to other tribes were allowed to pronounce it in their own way, and the permission was intended only for those people.¹

There may have been certain revelations in which an optional reading was permitted. Readings belonging to this class can only be accepted on the most unimpeachable evidence, and the trustworthiness of the ḥadīth containing such reading must be established beyond all doubt. But even these readings do not find their way into the written text which remains permanently one and the same. Their value is only explanatory; that is to say they only show what significance is to

1. Some examples of these variations may be given here. *Ḥattā* (meaning *until*) was pronounced *'attā* by the Hudhail; *ta'lamūn* (meaning *you know*) was pronounced *ti'lamūn* by the Asad; the Tamim read *hamza*, one of the letters, where the Quraish did not. In one report the meaning is made clear where the following words are added from the lips of the Holy Prophet: "Therefore recite it in the manner in which you find it easy to do so" (Bu 66 : 5). In other words, the Holy Prophet allowed a reader to pronounce a word in the way he found it easiest. In the proper sense of the word, these dialectic variations would not be readings at all. In exceptional cases, a person who could not pronounce a certain word, may have been allowed to substitute its equivalent. But even that would not be a case of a variant reading, since it was merely a permission granted to a particular individual, and such variations never found their way into the written text of the Holy Qur'ān.

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

be attached to the word used in the text ; they are never at variance with the text. They are known to very few even of the learned, to say nothing of the general readers of the Holy Book, and are considered to have the value of an authentic ḥadīth in explaining the meaning of a certain word occurring in the text. Thus the so-called different readings were either dialectic variations, which were never meant to be permanent and, intended only to facilitate the reading of the Qur'ān in individual cases, or explanatory variations which were meant to throw light on the text. The former ceased to exist with the spread of education in Arabia, and the latter have still the same explanatory value as they originally had.

Random reports that a certain verse or chapter, not to be met with in the Holy Qur'ān, Collective testimony of the purity of the Quiranic text. was part of the holy text, have no value at all as against the conclusive and collective testimony which establishes the purity of the text of the Holy Qur'ān. These reports were in some cases fabricated by enemies who sought to undermine the authority of the religion of Islām.¹ In other cases, they may have been the mistaken conception of this or that narrator. However that may be, it is necessary to weigh the evidence as to whether or not a certain verse formed part of the Quranic text.

1. For instance, Muslim mentions a report ascribing to Abū Mūsā the statement that there was a certain chapter of the Holy Qur'ān, likened in length and force to the 9th chapter, of which only a single passage was all that he remembered. Now the *Mīzān al-I'tidāl*, a critical inquiry about the narrators of the reports, shows that Suwaid, the immediate informer of Muslim, was a *zindeeq*, (i.e., one who conceals unbelief and makes an outward show of belief), and, therefore, the report, as its very subject-matter shows, is a clear invention. The four other reports speaking of similar passages, not met with in the text of the Holy Qur'ān, may be relegated to the same class.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

It is a fact that every verse of the Holy Qur'ān was, when revealed, promulgated and made public; it became a part of the public prayer and was repeated day and night to be listened to by an audience of hundreds. When the written manuscripts of the Holy Qur'ān were first collected into one volume in the time of Abū Bakr, and later on when copies were made from that original in the time of 'Uthmān, there was the unanimous testimony of all the Companions that every verse that found a place in that collection was part of the Divine revelation. Such testimony of overwhelming numbers cannot be set aside by the evidence of one or two, but as a fact, all reports quoted as affecting the purity of the text ascribe a certain statement to only one man, and in not a single case is there a second man to support that assertion. Thus when Ibn Mas'ūd makes an assertion to this effect, Ubayy's evidence, along with that of the whole body of Companions, goes against him; and when Ubayy makes a like assertion, Ibn Mas'ūd's evidence along with that of the rest of the Companions goes against him. Thus there is not a single assertion impugning the purity of the Quranic text for which even one witness can be produced.¹

1. In many cases even internal evidence would show that the report was not credible. For example, one report ascribes the following statement to 'Ā'isha: "The chapter of the Confederates (ch. 33) consisted, at the time of the Prophet, of two hundred verses: when 'Uthmān wrote the *Muṣḥaf*, he was only able to collect of it what it contains." 'Ā'isha could never have spoken these words, as she knew too well that 'Uthmān never collected the *Muṣḥaf*; he had only directed the making of copies from Ḥafṣa's *Muṣḥaf*. The false notion that 'Uthmān collected the Holy Qur'ān is of later growth, and this affords the surest testimony that this report is a mere invention. Similarly, the words ascribed to 'Umar regarding the stoning of the adulterer are a fabrication. He is reported to have said: "If I were not afraid that people would say, 'Umar has added something to the Book of God, I should write it down in the Qur'ān" (AD. 37: 23). This assertion is self-contradictory.

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

That certain verses of the Holy Qur'ān are abrogated

The theory of abro- by others is now an exploded theory.
gation.

The two passages on which it was supposed to rest refer, really, to the abrogation, not of the passages of the Holy Qur'ān but, of the previous revelations whose place the Holy Qur'ān has taken. The first verse is contained in the chapter *al-Nahl*—a Makka revelation—and runs thus: "And when We change one message for another message,¹ and Allāh knows best what He reveals, they say, Thou art only a forger" (16 : 101). Now it is a fact admitted on all hands that details of the Islamic law were revealed at Madīna, and it is in relation to these details that the theory of abrogation has been broached. Therefore a Makka revelation would not speak of abrogation. But the reference in the above verse is to the abrogation, not of the Quranic verses, but of the previous Divine messages or revelations, involved by the revelation of the Holy Qur'ān. The context shows this clearly to be the case, for the opponents are here made to say that the Prophet was a forger. Now the opponents called the Prophet a forger, not because he announced the abrogation of certain verses of the Holy Qur'ān, but because he claimed that the Holy Qur'ān was a Divine revelation which had taken the place of previous revelations. Their contention was that the Qur'ān was not a revelation at all: "Only a mortal teaches him" (16 : 103). Thus they called the whole of the Qur'ān a forgery and not merely a particular verse of it. The

If it was really part of the Qur'ān, why should people say that 'Umar had added to the Book of God.

1. The word *āya* occurring here means originally *a sign*, and hence it comes to signify *an indication* or *evidence* or *proof*, and is used in the sense of a *miracle*. It also signifies *risāla* or *a Divine message* (TA.). The word is frequently used in the Holy Qur'ān in its general sense of a Divine message or a Divine communication, and is, therefore, applicable to a portion of the Holy Qur'ān or to any previous revelation. It carries the latter significance here as the context clearly shows.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

theory of abrogation, therefore, cannot be based on this verse which speaks only of one revelation or one law taking the place of another.

The other verse which is supposed to lend support to the theory is 2:106: "Whatever communication We abrogate or cause to be forgotten, We bring one better than it or one like it."¹ A reference to the context will show that the Jews or the followers of previous revelations are here addressed. Of these it is said again and again: "We believe in that which was revealed to us; and they deny what is besides that" (2:91). So they were told that if one revelation was abrogated, it was only to give place to a better. And there is mention not only of abrogation but also of something that was forgotten. Now the words "or cause to be forgotten" cannot refer to the Holy Qur'ān at all, because no portion of the Holy Book could be said to have been forgotten so as to require a new revelation in its place. There is no point in supposing that God should first make the Holy Prophet forget a verse and then reveal a new one in its place. Why not, if he really had forgotten a verse, remind him of the one forgotten? But even if we suppose that his memory ever failed in retaining a certain verse (which really never happened), that verse was quite safely preserved in writing, and the mere failure of the memory could not necessitate a new revelation. That the Prophet never forgot what was recited to him by the Holy Spirit is plainly stated in the Holy Qur'ān: "We

1. Sale's translation of the words is misleading and has actually deceived many writers on Islām who had no access to the original. He translates the words *nunsi-hā* as meaning *We cause thee to forget*. Now the text does not contain any word meaning *thee*. The slight error makes the verse mean that Almighty God had caused the Holy Prophet to forget certain Quranic verses; whereas the original does not say that the Prophet was made to forget anything but clearly implies that the world was made to forget.

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

shall make thee recite, so thou shalt not forget" (87: 6). History also bears out the fact that he never forgot any portion of the Quranic revelation. Sometimes the whole of a very long chapter would be revealed to him in one portion, as in the case of the sixth chapter which extends over twenty sections, but he would cause it to be written down without delay, and make his Companions learn it by heart, and recite it in public prayers, and that without the change of even a letter; notwithstanding the fact that he himself could not read from a written copy; nor did the written copies, as a rule, remain in his possession. It was a miracle indeed that he never forgot any portion of the Qur'ān, though other things he might forget, and it is to his forgetfulness in other things that the words *except what Allāh pleases* (87: 7) refer. On the other hand, it is a fact that parts of the older revelation had been utterly lost and forgotten, and thus the Holy Qur'ān was needed to take the place of that which was abrogated, and that which had been forgotten by the world.

"The ḥadīth speaking of abrogation are all weak" says Ṭabṛasī. But it is stranger Ḥadīth on abrogation. still that the theory of abrogation has been accepted by writer after writer without ever thinking that not a single ḥadīth, however weak, touching on the abrogation of a verse, was traceable to the Holy Prophet. It never occurred to the upholders of this theory that the Quranic verses were promulgated by the Holy Prophet, and that it was he whose authority was necessary for the abrogation of any Quranic verse; no Companion, not even Abū Bakr or 'Alī, could say that a Quranic verse was abrogated. The Holy Prophet alone was entitled to say so, and there is not a single ḥadīth to the effect that he ever said so; it is always some Companion or a later authority to whom such views are to be traced. In most cases where a report

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

is traceable to one Companion who held a certain verse to have been abrogated, there is another report traceable to another Companion to the effect that that verse was not abrogated.¹ It shows clearly that the opinion of one Companion as to the abrogation of a verse would be questioned by another Companion. Even among later writers we find that there is not a single verse on which the verdict of abrogation has been passed by one without being questioned by another; and while there are writers who would lightly pass the verdict of abrogation on hundreds of verses, there are others who consider not more than five to be abrogated, and even in the case of these five the verdict of abrogation has been seriously impugned by earlier writers.

The theory of abrogation has in fact arisen from a misconception of the use of the word *naskh*. *naskh* by the Companions of the Holy Prophet. When the significance of one verse was limited by another, it was sometimes spoken of as having been abrogated (*nusikhāt*) by that other. Similarly when the words of a verse gave rise to a misconception, and a later revelation cleared up that misconception, the word *naskh* was metaphorically used in connection with it, the idea underlying its use being, not that the first verse was abrogated, but that a certain conception to which it had given rise was abrogated.²

1. Some examples may be noted here. 2 : 180 is held by some to have been abrogated while others have denied it (IJ-C.); 2 : 184 is considered by Ibn 'Umar as having been abrogated while Ibn 'Abbās says it was not (Bu.); 2 : 240 was abrogated according to Ibn Zubair while Mujāhid says it was not (Bu.). I have taken these examples only from the second chapter of the Holy Qur'ān.

2. Many instances of this may be quoted. In 2 : 284, it is said "whether you manifest what is in your minds or hide it, Allāh will call you to account for it;" while according to 2 : 286, "Allāh does not impose on any soul a duty but to the extent of its ability." A report in *Bukhārī* says that one of the Companions of the Holy Prophet, probably 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Umar, held the opinion that the first verse was abrogated (*nusikhāt*) by the second.

THE HOLY QUR'AN

Earlier authorities admit this use of the word : "Those who accept *naskh* (abrogation) here (2 : 109) take it as meaning *explanation* metaphorically" (RM.I, p. 292); and again : "By *naskh* is meant metaphorically, explaining and making clear the significance" (*ibid.*, p. 508). It is an abrogation but not an abrogation of the words of the Holy Qur'an; rather is it the abrogation of a misconception of their meaning. This is further made clear by the application of *naskh* to verses containing statement of facts (*akhbār*), whereas, properly speaking, abrogation could only take place in the case of verses containing a commandment or a prohibition (*amr* or *nahy*). In

What was meant by *naskh* (abrogation) in this case is made clear by another detailed report given in the *Musnad* of Aḥmad. According to this report when 2 : 284 was revealed, the Companions entertained an idea which they had never entertained before (or according to another report, they were greatly grieved) and thought that they had not the power to bear it. The matter being brought to the notice of the Holy Prophet, he said : "Rather say, We have heard and we obey and submit," and so God inspired faith in their hearts. As this report shows, what happened was this, that some Companion or Companions thought that 2 : 284 imposed a new burden on them, making every evil idea which entered the mind without taking root or ever being translated into action, punishable in the same manner as if it had been translated into action. 2 : 286 made it plain that this was not the meaning conveyed by 2 : 284, since according to that verse, God did not impose on man a burden which he could not bear. This removal of a misconception was called abrogation (*naskh*) by Ibn 'Umar.

It may be added that there is nothing to show that 2 : 286 was revealed later than 2 : 284. On the other hand, the use of the words *we have heard and we obey* by the Holy Prophet to remove the wrong notion which some Companions entertained—these very words occur in 2 : 285—shows that the three verses, 284, 285, and 286 were all revealed together, and hence the abrogation, in the ordinary sense of the word, of one of them by another is meaningless. There are other instances in which a verse revealed later is thought to have been abrogated by a previous verse. But how could a later verse be abrogated by a previous one? Or what point can there be in giving an order which was cancelled before it was given? If, on the other hand, the word *naskh* is taken to mean the placing of a limitation upon the meaning of a verse, or the removal of a wrong conception attached to it, no difficulty would arise, for even a previous verse may be spoken of as placing a limitation upon the meaning of a later verse or as removing a wrong conception arising therefrom.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the ordinary sense of the word there could be no *naskh* (abrogation) of a statement made in the Word of God, as that would suggest that God had made a wrong statement first and then recalled it. This use of the word *naskh* by the earlier authorities regarding statements¹ shows that they were using the word to signify the removal of a wrong conception regarding, or the placing of a limitation upon, the meaning of a certain verse. At the same time, it is true that the use of the word *naskh* soon became indiscriminate, and when any one found himself unable to reconcile two verses, he would declare one of them to be abrogated by the other.

The principle on which the theory of abrogation is based is unacceptable, being contrary to the plain teachings of the Holy Qur'ān. A verse is considered to be abrogated by another when the two cannot be reconciled with each other; in other words, when they appear to contradict each other. But the Holy Qur'ān destroys this foundation when it declares in plain words that no part of the Holy Book is at variance with another: "Do they not then meditate on the Qur'ān, and if it were from any

1. One example of one statement being spoken of as abrogated by another is that of 2 : 284, 286 (for which see the previous foot-note). Another is furnished by 8 : 65, 66, where the first verse states that in war the Muslims shall overcome ten times their numbers, and the second, after referring to their weakness at the time—which meant the paucity of trained men among them and their lack of the implements and necessities of war—states that they shall overcome double their numbers. Now the two verses relate to two different conditions and they may be said to place a limitation upon the meaning of each other, but one of them cannot be spoken of as abrogating the other. In the time of the Holy Prophet when the Muslims were weak, when every man, old or young, had to be called upon to take the field, and the Muslim army was but ill-equipped, the Muslims overcame double, even thrice their numbers; but in the wars with the Persian and Roman empires, they vanquished ten times their number. Both statements were true; they only related to different circumstances and the one placed a limitation upon the meaning of the other, but neither of them actually abrogated the other.

THE HOLY QUR'AN

other than Allāh, they would have found in it many a discrepancy" (4 : 82). It was due to lack of meditation that one verse was thought to be at variance with another; and hence it is that in almost all cases where abrogation has been upheld by one person, there has been another who being able to reconcile the two, has repudiated the alleged abrogation.

It is only among the later commentators that we meet with the tendency to augment the number of verses thought to have been abrogated, and by some of these the figure has been placed as high as five hundred. Speaking of such Sayūṭī says in the *Itqān* : "Those who multiply (the number of abrogated verses) have included many kinds—one kind being that in which there is neither abrogation, nor any particularization (of a general statement), nor has it any connection with any one of them, for various reasons. And this is as in the word of God : 'And spend out of what We have given them' (2 : 3) ; 'And spend out of what We have given you' (63 : 10); and the like. It is said that these are abrogated by the verse dealing with zakāt, while it is not so, they being still in force" (It. II, p. 22). Sayūṭī himself brings the number of verses which he thinks to be abrogated down to twenty-one (*ibid.* p. 23), in some of which he considers there is abrogation, while in others he finds that it is only the particularization of a general injunction that is effected by a later verse; but he admits that there is a difference of opinion even about these.

A later writer, however, the famous Shāh Walī Shāh Walī Allāh's Allāh of India, commenting on this verdict on five verses. in his *Fauz al-Kabīr* says that abrogation cannot be proved in the case of sixteen out of Sayūṭī's twenty-one verses, but in the case

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

of the remaining five he is of opinion that the verdict of abrogation is final. These five verses are dealt with below :

(1) 2 : 180 : "Bequest is prescribed for you when death approaches one of you, if he leaves behind wealth, for parents and near relations, according to usage." As a matter of fact, both Baidzāwī and Ibn Jarīr quote authorities who state that this verse was not abrogated; and it is surprising that it is considered as being abrogated by 4 : 11, 12, which speak of the shares to be given "after the payment of a bequest he may have bequeathed or a debt," showing clearly that the bequest spoken of in 2 : 180 was still in force. This verse in fact speaks of bequest for charitable objects which is even now recognized by Muslims to the extent of one-third of property.

(2) 2 : 240 : "And those of you who die and leave wives behind, (making) a bequest in favour of their wives of maintenance for a year without turning them out." But we have the word of no less an authority than Mujāhid that this verse is not abrogated : "Allāh gave her (*i.e.* the widow) the whole of a year, seven months and twenty days being optional, under the bequest; if she desired she could stay according to the bequest (*i.e.* having maintenance and residence for a year), and if she desired she could leave the house (and remarry), as the Qur'ān says : 'Then if they leave of their own accord, there is no blame on you'" (Bu. 65 : 39). This verse, therefore, does not contradict v. 234. Moreover, there is proof that it was revealed after v. 234, and hence it cannot be said to have been abrogated by that verse.

(3) 8 : 65 : "If there are twenty patient ones of you, they shall overcome two hundred, etc." This is said to have been abrogated by the verse that follows it :

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

“For the present Allāh has made light your burden and He knows that there is weakness in you, so if there are a hundred patient ones of you, they shall overcome two hundred.” That the question of abrogation does not arise here at all is apparent from the words of the second verse which clearly refers to the early times when the Muslims were weak, having neither munitions of war nor experience of warfare, and when old and young had to go out and fight ; while the first verse refers to a later period when the Muslim armies were fully organized and equipped.

(4) 33 : 52 : “It is not allowed to thee to take women after this.” This is said to have been abrogated by a verse which was apparently revealed before it : “O Prophet ! We have made lawful to thee thy wives ” (33 : 50). The whole issue has been turned topsy-turvy. As I have said before, a verse cannot be abrogated by one revealed before it. Apparently what happened was this. When 4 : 3 was revealed, limiting the number of wives to four, should exceptional circumstances require, the Prophet was told not to divorce the excess number, and this was effected by 33 : 50 as quoted above ; but at the same time he was told not to take any woman in marriage after that, and this was done by 33 : 52.

(5) 58 : 12 : “O you who believe ! when you consult the Apostle, then offer something in charity before your consultation ; that is better for you and purer ; but if you do not find, then surely Allāh is Forgiving, Merciful.” This is said to have been abrogated by the verse that follows : “Do you fear that you will not be able to give in charity before your consultation ? So when you do not do it, and Allāh has turned to you mercifully, then keep up prayer and pay the poor-rate.” It is not easy to see how one of these injunctions

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

is abrogated by the other, since there is not the slightest difference in what they say. The second verse merely gives further explanation to show that the injunction is only in the nature of a recommendation, that is to say, a man may give in charity whatever he can easily spare, zakāt (or the legal alms) being the only obligatory charity.

Thus the theory of abrogation falls to the ground on all considerations.

The rule as to the interpretation of the Qur'ān is thus given in the Holy Book itself: "He it is Who has revealed the Book to thee; some of its verses are decisive, they are the basis of the Book, and others are allegorical. As for those in whose hearts there is perversity, they follow the part of it which is allegorical, seeking to mislead and seeking to give it their own interpretation; but none knows its interpretation except Allāh, and those well-grounded in knowledge say, We believe in it, it is all from our Lord; and none do mind except those having understanding" (3:6). In the first place, it is stated here that there are two kinds of verses in the Holy Qur'ān, namely the decisive and the allegorical—the latter being those which are capable of different interpretations. Next we are told that the decisive verses are the basis of the Book, that is, that they contain the fundamental principles of religion. Hence whatever may be the differences of interpretation, the fundamentals of religion are not affected by them, all such differences relating only to secondary matters. The third point is that some people seek to give their own interpretation to allegorical statements and are thus misled. In other words, serious errors arise only when a wrong interpretation is placed on words which are susceptible of two meanings. Lastly, in the concluding words,

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

a clue is given as to the right mode of interpretation in the case of allegorical statements: "It is all from our Lord." That is to say, there is no disagreement between the various portions of the Holy Book. This statement has in fact been made elsewhere also, as already quoted (see 4:82). The important principle to be borne in mind in the interpretation of the Holy Qur'ān, therefore, is that the meaning should be sought from within the Qur'ān, and never should a passage be interpreted in such a manner that it may be at variance with any other passage, but more especially with the basic principles laid down in the decisive verses. This principle, in the words of the Holy Qur'ān, is followed by "those well-grounded in knowledge."¹ The following rules may therefore be laid down:

1. The subject of the interpretation of the Quranic verses is very appropriately dealt with in the opening verses of the third chapter which begins with a discussion with the followers of Christianity, for, it must be borne in mind, that it is on a wrong interpretation of certain allegorical statements that the fundamental principles of Christianity are actually based. The basic doctrine of the religion of all the prophets in the Old Testament is the Unity of God, but there are a number of prophecies couched in allegorical language having reference to the advent of Christ. The Christians, instead of interpreting these in accordance with the clear words of the principle of Divine Unity, laid the foundations of Christianity on the metaphorical language of the prophecies, and thus by neglect of the true rule of interpretation were misled to such an extent as to ignore the very essentials of the religion of the prophets. Christ was believed to be God on the strength of metaphorical expressions, and the doctrine of the Trinity thus became the basis of a new religion. The epithet "son of God" was freely used in Israelite literature, and was always taken allegorically. The term occurs as early as Gen. 6:2 where the "sons of God" are spoken of as taking the daughters of men for wives. It occurs again in Job 1:6 and 38:7, and good men are no doubt meant in both places. In Ex. 4:22 and many other places, the Israelites are spoken of as the children of God: "Israel is my son, even my first born." The expression is used in the same metaphorical sense in the Gospels. Even in the fourth Gospel, where the Divinity of Christ is looked upon as finding a bolder expression than in the synoptics, Jesus Christ is reported as saying in answer to those who accused him of blasphemy for speaking of himself as the son of God: "Is it not written in your law, I said, Ye are gods? If He called them

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

1. The principles of Islām are enunciated in decisive words in the Holy Qur'ān; and, therefore, no attempt should be made to establish a principle on the strength of allegorical passages, or of words susceptible of different meanings.

2. The explanation of the Qur'ān should in the first place be sought in the Qur'ān itself; for, whatever the Qur'ān has stated briefly, or merely hinted at, in one place, will be found expanded and fully explained elsewhere in the Holy Book itself.

3. It is very important to remember that the Holy Qur'ān contains allegory and metaphor along with what is plain and decisive, and the only safeguard against being misled by what is allegorical or metaphorical is that the interpretation of such passages must be strictly in consonance with what is laid down in clear and decisive words, and not at variance therewith.

4. When a law or principle is laid down in clear words, any statement carrying a doubtful significance, or a statement apparently opposed to the law so laid down, must be interpreted subject to the principle enunciated. Similarly, that which is particular must be read in connection with and subject to more general statements.

In this connection I have only to add that Ḥadīth also affords an explanation of the Holy Qur'ān but a ḥadīth can only be accepted when it is reliable and

Value of Ḥadīth and commentaries in interpreting the Qur'ān.

gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken; say ye of him, whom the Father hath sanctified, and sent into the world, Thou blasphemest, because I said, I am the Son of God? (Jn. 10:34-36). It is thus clear that even in the mouth of Jesus the term "son of God" was a metaphorical expression, and by taking it literally the Church has destroyed the very foundations of religion. It is to this fundamental mistake of Christianity that the Holy Qur'ān refers by giving the rule for the interpretation of allegorical verses in a discussion of the Christian religion.

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

not opposed to what is plainly stated in the Qur'ān. As regards commentaries, a word of warning is necessary against the tendency to regard what is stated in them as being the final word on interpretation, since by so doing the great treasures of knowledge which an exposition of the Holy Qur'ān in the new light of modern progress reveals are shut out, and the Qur'ān becomes a sealed book to the present generation. The learned men of yore all freely sought the meaning of the Qur'ān according to their lights, and to the present generation belongs the same right to read it according to its own lights. It must also be added that though the commentaries are valuable stores of learning for a knowledge of the Holy Qur'ān, the numerous anecdotes and legends with which many of them are filled can only be accepted with the greatest caution and after the most careful sifting. Such stories are mostly taken from the Jews and the Christians, and on this point I would refer the reader to my remarks under the heading "Reports in Biographies and Commentaries" in the next chapter, where I have shown that the best authorities have condemned most of this material as Jewish and Christian nonsense.

The Holy Qur'ān is divided into 114 chapters, each of which is called a *sūra* meaning Divisions of the Holy Qur'ān. literally *eminence* or *high degree* (R.), and also *any degree of a structure* (LL.). The chapters are of varying length, the longest comprising one-twelfth of the entire Book. All the chapters, with the exception of the last thirty-five, are divided into sections (*rukū'*), each section dealing generally with one subject, and the different sections being inter-related to each other. Each section contains a number of verses (*āya*, meaning originally a *sign* or a *communication from God*). The

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

total number of verses is 6,240,¹ or including the 113 *Bismillāh* verses with which the chapters open, 6,353.² For the purpose of recitation, the Holy Qur'ān is divided into thirty equal parts, each of which is called a *juz'* (meaning *part*), every part being again sub-divided into four equal parts. Another division is into seven *manzils* or *portions*, which is designed for the completion of the recital of the Holy Qur'ān in seven days. These divisions have nothing to do with the subject-matter of the Holy Book.

An important division of the Holy Qur'ān relates Makka and Madina to the Makka and Madīna sūras.

After being raised to the dignity of prophethood, the Holy Prophet passed 13 years at Makka, and was forced then to fly with his Companions to Madīna where he spent the last ten years of his life. Out of the total of 114 chapters, into which the Holy Qur'ān is divided, 92 were revealed during the Makka period and 22 during the Madīna period,³ but the Madīna chapters, being generally longer, contain about one-third of the Holy Book. In arrangement, the Makka revelation is intermingled with that of Madīna; the number of Makka and Madīna chapters following each other alternately being 1, 4, 2, 2, 14, 1, 8, 1, 13, 3, 7, 10, 48. On referring to the subject-matter of the Makka and Madīna revelations, we find the following three broad features distinguishing the two groups of chapters. Firstly, the Makka revelation deals chiefly with faith in God and is particularly devoted to grounding the Muslims in that faith, while the Madīna revelation is chiefly intended to

1. There existed a slight difference in the numbering of verses in the different centres of learning, Kūfa readers counting them 6,239, Baṣra 6,204, Syria 6,225, Makka 6,219, Madīna 6,211. But this is a difference of computation only, some readers marking the end of a verse where others do not.

2. Every chapter of the Holy Qur'ān begins with the *Bismillāh* verse except the ninth.

3. Ch. 110 was revealed at Makka during the Farewell pilgrimage and therefore belongs to the Madīna period.

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

translate that faith into action. It is true that exhortations to good and noble deeds are met with in the Makka revelation, and in the Madīna revelation faith is still shown to be the foundation on which the structure of deeds should be built, but, in the main, stress is laid in the former on faith in an Omnipotent and Ominpresent God Who requites every good and every evil deed, and the latter deals chiefly with what is good and what is evil, in other words, with the details of the law. The second feature distinguishing the two revelations is that while that of Makka is generally prophetic, that which came at Madīna deals with the fulfilment of prophecy. Thirdly, while the Makka revelation shows how true happiness of mind may be sought in communion with God, that of Madīna points out how man's dealing with man may also be a source of bliss and comfort to him. Hence a scientific arrangement of the Qur'ān must of necessity rest on the intermingling of the two revelations, the blending of faith with deeds, of prophecy with the fulfilment of prophecy, of Divine communion with man's relation to and treatment of man. It may be added here that the idea that the proper arrangement of the Qur'ān should be in chronological order is a mistaken one. Most of the sūras were revealed piecemeal, and hence a chronological order of revelation would destroy the sūra arrangement altogether. Take, for example, the very first chapter chronologically, the 96th in the present order. While its first five verses are undoubtedly the first revelation that came to the Prophet, the rest of the chapter was not revealed before the fourth year of his ministry. Similarly with the second chapter in the present arrangement; while the major portion of it was revealed in the first and the second years of the Hijra, some verses were revealed as late as the closing days of the Prophet's life. Chronological order is, therefore, an impossibility.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

That the Holy Qur'ān occupies a place of eminence

The place of Qur'ān in Arabic literature which has not in world literature. fallen to the lot of any other book goes without saying ; but we may say more and assert with confidence that the place so occupied has not been attained at any time by any book anywhere. For what book is there in the whole history of the human race that has not only through thirteen long centuries remained admittedly the standard of the language in which it is written, but has also originated a world-wide literature? The best books only half as old as the Holy Qur'ān are no longer the standard for the language of to-day of the language in which, respectively, they were written. The feat accomplished by the Holy Qur'ān is simply unapproached in the whole history of the written word. It transformed a dialect spoken in a very limited area of a forgotten corner of the world into a world-wide language which became the mother-tongue of vast countries and mighty empires, and produced a literature which is the basis of the culture of powerful nations from one end of the world to the other. There was no literature, properly speaking, in Arabic before the Holy Qur'ān ; the few pieces of poetry that did exist never soared beyond the praise of wine or woman, or horse or sword, and can hardly be called literature at all. It was with the Qur'ān that Arabic literature originated, and through the Qur'ān that Arabic became a powerful language spoken in many countries and casting its influence on the literary histories of many others. Without the Qur'ān, the Arabic language would have been nowhere in the world. As Dr. Steingass says :

“ But we may well ask ourselves, what would in all probability have become of this language without Muḥammad and his Qur'ān ? This is not at all an idle and desultory speculation. It is true the Arabic language

THE HOLY QUR'AN

had already produced numerous fine specimens of genuine and high-flown poetry, but such poetry was chiefly, if not exclusively, preserved in the memory of the people Moreover poetry is not tantamount to literature Divided among themselves into numerous tribes, who were engaged in a perpetual warfare against each other, the Arabs, and with them their various dialects, would more and more have drifted asunder, poetry would have followed in the wake, and the population of Arabia would have broken up into a multitude of clans, with their particular bards, whose love- and war-songs enterprising travellers of our day might now collect. ...

“ It seems, then, that it is only a work of the nature of the Qur'ān which could develop ancient Arabic into a literary language.....

“ But not only by raising a dialect, through its generalization, to the power of a language, and by rendering the adoption of writing indispensable, has the Qur'ān initiated the development of an Arabic literature; its composition itself has contributed two factors absolutely needful to this development : it has added to the existing poetry the origins of rhetoric and prose

“ But Muḥammad made a still greater and more decisive step towards creating a literature for his people. In those sūras, in which he regulated the private and public life of the Muslim, he originated a prose, which has remained the standard of classical purity ever since ” (Hughes' *Dictionary of Islam*, art. Qur'an, pp. 528, 529).

There are other considerations which entitle the Holy Qur'ān to a place of eminence to which no other book can aspire. It throws a flood of light on all the fundamentals of religion, the existence and unity of God, the reward of good and evil, the life after death, Paradise and Hell, revelation, etc. This subject has been fully dealt with in the second part of this book. But in addition to expounding

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

to us the mysteries of the unseen, it offers a solution of the most difficult problems of this life, such as the distribution of wealth, the sex-problem, and all other questions on which depends in any degree the happiness and advancement of man. And the value of this copiousness of ideas is further enhanced when it is seen that it does not confront man with dogmas but gives reasons for every assertion made, whether relating to the spiritual or the physical life. There are hundreds of topics on which it has enriched the literature of the world, and whether it be discussing questions relating to spiritual existence or to physical life here on earth, it follows an argumentative course and convinces by argument and not by dogma.

More wonderful still is the effect which the Holy Qur'ān has produced. The transformation wrought by its influence is unparalleled in the history of the world. An entire change was wrought in the lives of a whole nation in an incredibly short time, that is to say, a period of no more than twenty-three years. The Qur'ān found the Arabs worshippers of idols, unhewn stones, trees and heaps of sand, yet in less than a quarter of a century the worship of the One God ruled the whole land and idolatry had been wiped out from one end of the country to the other. It swept all superstitions before it and, in their place, gave the most rational religion the world could dream of. The Arab who had been wont to pride himself on his ignorance had, as if by a magician's wand, been transformed into the lover of knowledge, drinking deep at every fountain of learning to which he could gain access. And this was directly the effect of the teaching of the Qur'ān, which not only appealed to reason, ever and again, but declared man's thirst for knowledge to be insatiable. And along with superstition went the deepest vices of the Arab, and in their place the Holy Book put a burning desire for the best and noblest deeds in the

THE HOLY QUR'ÂN

service of humanity. Yet it was not the transformation of the individual alone that the Holy Qur'ân had accomplished; equally was it a transformation of the family, of society, of the very nation itself. From the war-like elements of the Arab race, the Holy Qur'ân welded a nation, united and full of life and vigour, before whose onward march the greatest kingdoms of the world crumbled as if they had been but toys before the reality of the new faith. Thus the Holy Qur'ân effected a transformation of humanity itself, a transformation material as well as moral, an awakening intellectual as well as spiritual. There is no other book which has brought about a change so miraculous in the lives of men.

To this position of the Qur'ân in world literature, European writers on testimony is borne by even the most biased European writers. *I quote* but a few of them :

"The style of the Korân is generally beautiful and fluentand in many places, especially where the majesty and attributes of God are described, sublime and magnificent He succeeded so well, and so strangely captivated the minds of his audience, that several of his opponents thought it the effect of witchcraft and enchantment" (Sale, *Preliminary Discourse*, p. 48).

"That the best of Arab writers has never succeeded in producing anything equal in merit to the Qur'ân itself is not surprising" (Palmer, Intro. p. lv).

"The earliest Mekka revelations are those which contain what is highest in a great religion and what was purest in a great man" (Lane's *Selections*, Intro. p. cvi).

"However often we turn to it, at first disgusting us each time afresh, it soon attracts, astounds, and in the end enforces our reverence Its style, in accordance with its contents and aim, is stern, grand,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

terrible—ever and anon truly sublime..... Thus this book will go on exercising through all ages a most potent influence ” (Goethe—Hughes’ *Dictionary of Islam*, p. 526).

“We may well say the Qur’ān is one of the grandest books ever written Sublime and chaste, where the supreme truth of God’s unity is to be proclaimed; appealing in high-pitched strains to the imagination of a poetically-gifted people where the eternal consequences of man’s submission to God’s holy will, or of rebellion against it, are pictured; touching in its simple, almost crude, earnestness, when it seeks again and again encouragement or consolation for God’s messenger, and a solemn warning for those to whom he has been sent, in the histories of the prophets of old: the language of the Qur’ān adapts itself to the exigencies of everyday life, when this everyday life, in its private and public bearings, is to be brought in harmony with the fundamental principles of the new dispensation.

“Here therefore its merits as a literary production should, perhaps, not be measured by some preconceived maxims of subjective and æsthetic taste, but by the effects which it produced in Muḥammad’s contemporaries and fellow-countrymen. If it spoke so powerfully and convincingly to the hearts of his hearers as to weld hitherto centrifugal and antagonistic elements into one compact and well-organized body, animated by ideas far beyond those which had until now ruled the Arabian mind, then its eloquence was perfect, simply because it created a civilized nation out of savage tribes, and shot a fresh woof into the old warp of history ” (Steingass—Hughes’ *Dictionary of Islam*, pp. 527, 528).

“From time beyond memory, Mecca and the whole peninsula had been steeped in spiritual torpor. The

THE HOLY QUR'AN

slight and transient influences of Judaism, Christianity, or philosophical inquiry, upon the Arab mind had been but as the ruffling here and there of the surface of a quiet lake; all remained still and motionless below. The people were sunk in superstition, cruelty, and vice..... Their religion was a gross idolatry; and their faith the dark superstitious dread of unseen beings..... Thirteen years before the Hegira, Mecca lay lifeless in this debased state. What a change had those thirteen years now produced!..... Jewish truth had long sounded in the ears of the men of Medina; but it was not until they heard the spirit-stirring strains of the Arabian Prophet that they too awoke from their slumber, and sprang suddenly into a new and earnest life " (Muir's *Life of Mahomet*, pp. 155, 156).

"A more disunited people it would be hard to find till suddenly the miracle took place! A man arose who, by his personality and by his claim to direct Divine guidance, actually brought about the impossible—namely, the union of all these warring factions " (*Ins and Outs of Mesopotamia*).

"It was the one miracle claimed by Mohammed—his 'standing miracle' he called it: and a miracle indeed it is " (Bosworth Smith's *Mohammed*, p. 290).

"Never has a people been led more rapidly to civilization, such as it was, than were the Arabs through Islam " (Hirschfeld's *New Researches*, p. 5).

"The Qorân is unapproachable as regards convincing power, eloquence, and even composition.....And to it was also indirectly due the marvellous development of all branches of science in the Moslim world " (*Ibid*, pp. 8, 9).

The Egyptian 'Ulamâ have held that the Holy Qur'ân should not be translated into any language, but this position is

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

clearly untenable. The Holy Book is plainly intended for all the nations ; it is again and again called “a reminder for all the nations ” (68 : 52; 82 : 27, etc.), and the Holy Prophet is spoken of as “a warner for the nations ” (25 : 1). No warning could be conveyed to a nation except in its own language, and the Holy Qur’ān could not be spoken of as a reminder for the nations unless its message was meant to be given to them in their own language. The translation of the Holy Qur’ān into other languages was, therefore, contemplated by the Holy Book itself. And translations have actually been done into many languages by Muslims themselves. A Persian translation of the Holy Qur’ān is attributed to Shāikh Sa’dī, while another rendering into Persian was the work of the famous Indian saint, Shāh Walī Allāh, who died over 150 years ago. Translations were made into Urdū by other members of Shāh Walī Allāh’s family, Shāh Rafī’ al-Dīn and Shāh ‘Abd al-Qādir, while many more have been added recently. Translations also exist in the Pushto, Turkish, Javan, Malayan, Gujrati, Bengalee, Hindi and Gurmukhi languages, and one has also been undertaken into Tamil. “The first translation attempted by Europeans was a Latin version translated by an Englishman, Robert of Retina, and a German, Hermann of Dalmatia. This translation, which was done at the request of Peter, Abbot of the Monastery of Clugny, A.D. 1143, remained hidden nearly 400 years till it was published at Basle, 1543, by Theodore Bibliander, and was afterwards rendered into Italian, German, and Dutch The oldest French translation was done by M. Du Ryer (Paris, 1647). A Russian version appeared at St. Petersburg in 1776..... The first English Qur’ān was Alexander Ross’s translation of Du Ryer’s French version (1649-1688). Sale’s well-known work first appeared in 1734..... A translation

THE HOLY QUR'ĀN

by the Rev. J. M. Rodwell.....was printed in 1861.
.....Professor Palmer, of Cambridge, translated the
Qur'ān in 1880'' (Hughes' *Dictionary of Islam*, p. 523).

Recently the Aḥmadiyya Anjuman Ishā'at Islām, Lahore, has undertaken the work of the translation of the Holy Qur'ān into European languages. The English edition first appeared in 1917, and the Dutch translation appeared in 1935, while the German has also been completed.

CHAPTER II

SUNNA OR HADĪTH

Sunna or Hadīth is the second and undoubtedly secondary source from which the teachings of Islām are drawn. *Sunna* literally means *a way* or *rule* or *manner of acting* or *mode of life*, and *hadīth*, *a saying conveyed to man either through hearing or through revelation*.¹ In its original sense, therefore, Sunna indicates the doings and Hadīth the sayings of the Holy Prophet; but in effect both cover the same ground and are applicable to his actions, practices, and sayings, Hadīth being the narration and record of the Sunna but containing, in addition, various prophetic and historical elements. There are three kinds of Sunna. It may be a *qaul*—a saying of the Holy Prophet which has a bearing on a religious question, a *fi'l*—an action or a practice of his, or a *taqrir*—his silent approval of the action or practice of another. We have now to consider to what extent can teachings of Islām, its principles and its laws, be drawn from this source. Any student of the Qur'ān will see that the Holy Book generally deals with the broad principles or essentials of religion, going into details in very rare cases. The details were generally supplied by the Holy Prophet himself, either by showing in his practice how an

1. Hence the Holy Qur'ān is also spoken of as *hadīth* (18:6; 39:23). The word *sunna* is used in the Holy Qur'ān in a general sense, meaning *a way* or *rule*. Thus *sunnat al-āwwalīn* (8:38; 15:13; 18:55; 35:43) means *the way or example of the former people*, and is frequently used in the Holy Qur'ān as signifying God's way of dealing with people, which is also spoken of as *sunnat-Allāh* or God's *way*. Once, however, the plural *sunan* is used as indicating the ways in which men ought to walk: "Allāh desires to explain to you, and to guide you into the ways (*sunan*) of those before you" (4:26).

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

injunction shall be carried out, or by giving an explanation in words.

The Sunna or Ḥadīth of the Holy Prophet was not, as is generally supposed, a thing whereof the need may have been felt after his death, for it was as much needed in his lifetime. The two most important religious institutions of Islām, for instance, are prayer and zakāt ; yet when the injunctions relating to prayer and zakāt were delivered, and they are repeatedly met with both in Makka and Madīna revelations, no details were supplied. *Aqīmu-l-ṣalāta* (keep up prayer) is the Quranic injunction, and it was the Prophet himself who by his own actions gave the details of the service. *Ātu-l-zakāta* (pay the alms) is again an injunction frequently repeated in the Holy Qur'ān, yet it was the Holy Prophet who gave the rules and regulations for its payment and collection. These are but two examples ; but since Islām covered the whole sphere of human activities, hundreds of points had to be explained by the Holy Prophet by his example in action and word, while on the moral side, his was the pattern which every Muslim was required to follow (33 : 21). The man, therefore, who embraced Islām stood in need of both the Holy Qur'ān and the Sunna.

The transmission of the practices and sayings of the Holy Prophet from one person to another, thus became necessary during the Prophet's lifetime. In fact, the Holy Prophet himself used to give instructions with regard to the transmission of what he taught. Thus when a deputation of the tribe of Rabī'a came to wait upon him in the early days of Madīna, the Prophet concluded his instructions to them with the words : " Remember this and report it to those whom you have left behind " (MM. 1 : 1-i). Similar were his instructions in another case : " Go back to your people and teach them these things "

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

(Bu. 3 : 25). There is another report according to which on the occasion of a pilgrimage, the Holy Prophet, after enjoining on the Muslims the duty of holding sacred each other's life, property and honour, added: "He who is present here should carry this message to him who is absent" (Bu. 3 : 37). Again, there is ample historical evidence that whenever a people embraced Islām, the Holy Prophet used to send to them one or more of his missionaries who not only taught them the Holy Qur'ān but also explained to them how the injunctions of the Holy Book were to be carried out in practice. It is also on record that people came to the Holy Prophet and demanded teachers who could teach them the Qur'ān and the Sunna: "Send us men to teach us the Qur'ān and the Sunna." And the Companions of the Holy Prophet knew full well that his actions and practices were to be followed, should no express direction be met with in the Holy Qur'ān. It is related that when Mu'adh ibn Jabal, on being appointed Governor of Yaman by the Holy Prophet, was asked how he would judge cases, his reply was, "by the Book of Allāh." Asked what he would do if he did not find a direction in the Book of Allāh, he replied, "by the Sunna of the Apostle of Allāh" (AD. 23 : 11). The Sunna was, therefore, recognized in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet as affording guidance in religious matters.

The popular idea in the West that the need for Writing of Ḥadīth in Sunna was felt and the force of Prophet's lifetime. law given to Ḥadīth after the death of the Holy Prophet¹ is falsified by the above

1. Thus Muir writes in his introduction to the *Life of Mahomet*: "The Arabs, a simple and unsophisticated race, found in the Coran ample provisions for the regulation of their affairs, religious, social and political. But this aspect of Islam soon underwent a mighty change. Scarcely was the Prophet buried when his followers issued forth from their barren

SUNNA OR HADITH

facts. Nor was the preservation of what the Prophet did or said an after-thought on the part of the Muslims, for the Companions of the Holy Prophet while translating into practice most of his sayings endeavoured also to preserve them in memory as well as on paper. The need of the Sunna, its force as law, and its preservation are all traceable to the lifetime of the Holy Prophet. A special importance was, from the first, attached to his sayings and deeds which were looked upon as a source of guidance by his followers. They were conscious of the fact that these things must be preserved for future generations; hence they not only kept them in their memory but even resorted to pen and ink for their preservation. Abū Huraira tells us that when one of the Anṣār complained to the Holy Prophet of his inability to remember what he heard from him, the Prophet's reply was that he should seek the help of his right hand (referring to the use of pen) (Tr. 39: 12). This ḥadīth exists in many forms. Another well-known report is from 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Amr: "I used to write everything that I heard from the Holy Prophet, intending to commit it to memory. (On some people taking objection to this) I spoke about it to the Prophet who said, Write down, for I only speak the truth"

Peninsula resolved to impose the faith of Islam upon all the nations of the earth.....Crowded cities, like Cufa, Cairo, and Damascus, required elaborate laws for the guidance of their courts of justice : widening political relations demanded a system of international equity..... All called loudly for the enlargement of the scanty and naked dogmas of the Revelation..... The difficulty was resolved by adopting the Custom ('Sunnat') of Mahomet; that is, his sayings and his practice, as supplementary of the Coran.....Tradition was thus invested with the force of law, and with something of the authority of inspiration" (p. xxix). And even a recent writer, Guillaume, writes in the *Traditions of Islam* : "While the prophet was alive he was the sole guide in all matters whether spiritual or secular. Hadith, or tradition in the technical sense, may be said to have begun at his death" (p. 13).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

(AD. 24:3). This ḥadīth is very well-known and exists in thirty different forms with small difference. Yet again, there is another report from Abū Huraira: "None of the Companions preserved more ḥadīth than myself, but 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Amr is an exception, for he used to write and I did not write" (Bu. 3:39). Anas ibn Malik states that Abū Bakr wrote down for him the laws regarding alms (Bu. 24:39). 'Alī had also a saying of the Prophet with him in writing (Bu. 3:39). In the year of the conquest of Makka, the Holy Prophet delivered a sermon on the occasion of a man being killed by way of retaliation for some old grievance. When the sermon was finished, one from among the people of Yaman came forward and requested the Holy Prophet to have it written down for him, and the Prophet gave orders to that effect (Bu. 3:39). These reports show that while generally Ḥadīth was committed to memory, it was occasionally, when there was need for it, reduced to writing. The last-mentioned incident affords the clearest testimony that, whatever the Companions heard from the lips of the Holy Prophet, they tried to keep in their memory, for how else could an order be given for the writing of a sermon which had been delivered orally.

It is, however, a fact that the sayings of the Holy Prophet were not generally written, and memory was the chief means of their preservation. The Holy Prophet sometimes objected to the writing down of Ḥadīth. Abū Huraira is reported to have said: "The Prophet of God came to us while we were writing Ḥadīth and said, What is this that you are writing? We said, Ḥadīth which we hear from thee. He said, What! a book other than the Book of Allāh?" Now the disapproval in this case clearly shows fear lest Ḥadīth be mixed up with the Holy Qur'ān, though there was nothing essentially

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

wrong in writing down Ḥadīth, nor did the Holy Prophet ever forbid its being done. On the other hand, as late as the conquest of Makka, we find him giving orders himself for the writing down of a certain ḥadīth at the request of a hearer. He also wrote letters, and treaties were also put down in writing, which shows that he never meant that the writing of anything besides the Qur'ān was illegal. What he feared, as the report clearly shows, was that if his sayings were written down generally like the Qur'ān, the two might get confused together, and the purity of the text of the Holy Qur'ān be affected. ~~—————~~

Nor was memory an unreliable means for the preservation of Ḥadīth, for the Holy Qur'ān itself was safely preserved in the memory of the Companions of the Holy Prophet in addition to being committed to writing. In fact, had the Holy Qur'ān been simply preserved in writing, it could not have been handed down intact to future generations. The aid of memory was invoked to make the purity of the text of the Qur'ān doubly sure. The Arab had a wonderfully retentive memory, and he had to store up his knowledge of countless things in his memory. It was in this safe custody that the beautiful poetry of the pre-Islamic days had been kept alive and intact. Indeed, before Islām, writing was but rarely resorted to, and memory was chiefly relied upon in all important matters. Hundreds and even thousands of verses could be recited from memory by one man, and the reciters would also remember the names of the persons through whom those verses had been transmitted to them. Aṣma'ī, a later transmitter, says that he learned twelve thousand verses by heart before he reached his majority ; of Abū Dzamdzam, Aṣma'ī says that he recited verses from a hundred poets in a single sitting ; Sha'bī

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

says that he knew so many verses by heart that he could continue repeating them for a month ; and these verses were the basis of the Arabic vocabulary and even of Arabic grammar. Among the Companions of the Holy Prophet were many who knew by heart thousands of the verses of pre-Islamic poetry, and of these one was 'A'isha, the Prophet's wife. The famous Bukhārī trusted to memory alone for the retention of as many as six hundred thousand ḥadīth, and many students corrected their manuscripts by comparing them with what he had only retained in his memory.

The first steps for the preservation of Ḥadīth were Collection of Ḥadīth: thus taken in the lifetime of the first stage. Holy Prophet,¹ but all his followers were not equally interested in the matter, nor had all equal chances of being so. Everyone had to work for his living, while on most of them the defence of the Muslim community against overwhelming odds had placed an additional burden. There was, however, a party of students called the *Aṣḥab al-Ṣuffa* who lived in the mosque itself, and who were specially equipped for the teaching of religion to the tribes outside Madīna. Some of these would go to the market and do a little work to earn livelihood; others would not care to do even that. Of this little band, the most famous was Abū Huraira, who would remain in the Prophet's company at all costs, and store up in his memory everything which the Holy Prophet said or did. His efforts were, from

1. Thus Guillaume writes in the *Traditions of Islam*: "The hadith last quoted do not invalidate the statements that traditions were written down from the mouth of the prophet; the extraordinary importance attached to every utterance of his would naturally lead his followers who were able to write to record his words in order to repeat them to those who clamoured to know what he had said; and there is nothing at all in any demonstrably early writing to suggest that such a practice would be distasteful to Muhammad" (p. 17).

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

the first, directed towards the preservation of Ḥadīth. He himself is reported to have said once: "You say, Abū Huraira is profuse in narrating ḥadīth from the Holy Prophet; and you say, How is it that the Muhājirin (Refugees) and the Anṣār (Helpers) do not narrate ḥadīth from the Prophet like Abū Huraira? The truth is that our brethren from among the Refugees were occupied in transacting business in the market and I used to remain with the Holy Prophet having filled my belly, so I was present when they were absent and I remembered what they forgot; and our brethren from among the Helpers were occupied with work on their lands, and I was a poor man from among the poor inmates of the Ṣuffa, so I retained what they forgot" (Bū. 34 : 1). Another Companion, Ṭalḥa, son of 'Uбайд-Allāh, is reported to have said of Abū Huraira: "There is no doubt that he heard from the Holy Prophet what we did not hear. The reason was that he was a poor man who possessed nothing and was, therefore, a guest of the Prophet" (Mk.—FB. I, p. 191). Here is another report from Muḥammad ibn 'Amāra: "He sat in a company of the older Companions of the Holy Prophet in which there were over ten men. Abū Huraira began to relate a certain saying of the Holy Prophet, which some of them did not know, so they questioned him over and over again until they were satisfied. Again, he related to them a saying in the same manner and he did this over and over again, and I was convinced that Abū Huraira had the best memory" (Bq.—FB. I, p. 191). According to another report, people used to say in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet that Abū Huraira narrated many sayings of the Holy Prophet. So Abū Huraira enquired of one of them as to which sūras the Holy Prophet had recited in his night prayers the day before. The man being unable to answer the question, Abū Huraira himself

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

named the sūras (Bu. 21:18), which shows not only that he had a wonderful memory, but also that he tried his utmost to remember everything.

‘Ā’isha, the Prophet’s wife, was also one of those who sought to preserve the Sunna of the Prophet. She too had a marvellous memory, and was, in addition, gifted with a clear understanding, by virtue of which she refused to accept anything which she did not understand. There is a report about her, according to which “she never heard anything she did not recognize but she questioned about it again and again” (Bu. 3 : 35). In other words, she accepted nothing, even from the lips of the Holy Prophet himself, until she was fully satisfied as to its meaning. ‘Abd-Allāh ibn ‘Umar and ‘Abd-Allāh ibn ‘Abbās are two other Companions who were specially engaged in the work of preserving and transmitting the knowledge of the Qur’ān and the Ḥadīth; as also was ‘Abd-Allāh ibn ‘Amr who used to write down the sayings of the Holy Prophet. And in addition to these, every Companion of the Holy Prophet did his utmost to preserve such of his words and deeds as came to his knowledge. ‘Umar, who resided about three miles from Madīna, had made arrangements with a neighbour of his that they should be in the company of the Holy Prophet on alternate days, so that each might report to the other what happened in his absence (Bu. 3 : 27). And, most important of all, the Holy Prophet had repeatedly laid an obligation on every one of his followers to transmit his words to others : “Let him who is present deliver to him who is absent” (Bu. 3 : 37), is the concluding sentence of many of his most important utterances ; all of which affords a clear proof that the work of the preservation and transmission of the Sunna had begun in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet. This was the first stage in the collection of Ḥadīth.

SUNNA OR ḤADITH

With the Holy Prophet's death, the work of the Collection of Ḥadīth: collection of Ḥadīth entered on a second stage. Every case that came up for decision had now to be referred either to the Holy Qur'an or to some judgment or saying of the Holy Prophet, which judgments or sayings, therefore, obtained a wide reputation. There are numerous cases on record in which a right was claimed on the basis of a judgment or saying of the Holy Prophet, and evidence was demanded as to the authenticity of that saying.¹ Thus there was a double process at work; not only was the trustworthiness of the particular ḥadīth established beyond all doubt, but the ḥadīth also obtained a wide circulation, and from being the knowledge of one man only passed to that of many. The particular judgment might not be on all fours with the circumstances of the case to which it was applied, and an analogy might then be sought from one or more sayings. Thus the multiple needs of a rapidly growing and widely spreading community whose necessities had increased tenfold on account of its onward march to civilization, brought into prominence a large number of ḥadīth, knowledge of which had been limited to one or a few only, with the seal of confirmation on

1. A Companion, Qabiṣa by name, reports that the grandmother of a deceased person came to Abū Bakr and claimed a right in inheritance. Abū Bakr said that he could not find either in the Book of God or the Sunna of the Prophet that she was entitled to any share, but that he would make enquiries about it from others. In this enquiry, Muḡhira gave evidence that the Prophet gave the grandmother one-sixth of the property. Abū Bakr asked him to bring another witness in support of it, and Muḡammad ibn Maslama appeared before Abū Bakr corroborating the evidence of Muḡhira. Judgment was accordingly given in favour of the grandmother (Tr. 27:9; A.D. 18:5). Again, Fāṭima, the Prophet's daughter, claimed that she was entitled to an inheritance from the Holy Prophet. As against this Abū Bakr cited a saying of the Holy Prophet: "We prophets do not leave an inheritance; whatever we leave is a charity." The truth of this ḥadīth was not questioned by any one, and Fāṭima's claim was rejected (Bu. 85:2). Such incidents happened daily and became the occasion of establishing or otherwise the truth of many sayings of the Holy Prophet.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

their truth, because at that time direct evidence of that truth was available.

Yet this was not the only factor that gave an impetus to a dissemination of the knowledge of Ḥadīth. The influx into Islām of large numbers of people who had never seen the Holy Prophet himself, but who could behold for themselves the astounding transformation brought about by him, and to whom, therefore, his memory was, in the highest degree, sacred, formed in itself an important factor in the general eagerness to discover everything which the great man had said or done. It was natural that each new convert should be anxious to know all there was to know about the Great Prophet who had given quite a new life to a dead world. Everyone who had seen him would thus be a centre to whom hundreds of enquirers would resort, and since the incidents were fresh in their memories, they would be conveyed with fair accuracy to the new generation. It must not be forgotten that the wonderful success which Islām achieved within so short a time, and the rapidity with which the reputation of the Holy Prophet advanced, were the very reasons which led to the preservation of the true facts concerning him. Not only had he and his religion assumed an unparalleled importance in Arabia, within twenty years of the day on which he began the work of a reformer, but within ten years of his death they had already become the most important of world factors, and everything relating to him was a matter of discussion among Arabs and non-Arabs, friends and foes. Had he remained in oblivion for a century or so, and then risen to fame, probably much of what he had said or done would have been lost to the world, and the exaggerations of a later generation would have been handed down to posterity instead of facts. But with him the case was quite different. From the humblest position he had

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

risen to the highest eminence to which man can rise, and that in less than a quarter of a century, and, therefore, not an incident of his life but had become public property before it could be forgotten. Such were the needs of the new times upon which Islām had entered after the death of the Holy Prophet.

There was another factor of the utmost importance which gave impetus to the knowledge of Ḥadīth at this stage. To the Companions of the Holy Prophet, the religion which he had brought was a priceless jewel; a thing which they valued above all else the world contained. For its sake they had given up their business, their kinsfolk, nay, their very homes; to defend it they had laid down their lives. To carry this Divine blessing, this greatest gift of God, to other people, had become the supreme object of their lives; hence a dissemination of its knowledge was their first and foremost concern. In addition to this, the Great Master had laid on those who were present, on those who saw him and listened to his words, the duty of carrying what they saw and heard, to those who were absent, to those who came after him. *Li-yuballigh al-shāhid al-ghā'iba* was the phrase which on account of the frequency of its repetition rang continually in their ears: *Let him who is present carry this to him who is absent*. And they were faithful to the great charge which was laid on them. They went eastward and westward and northward, and in whichever direction they went, and to whichever country they went, they carried with them the Qur'an and the Sunna. Everyone of them who had but the knowledge of one incident relating to the Prophet's life deemed it his duty to deliver it to another. And individuals like Abū Huraira, 'Ā'isha, 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Abbās, 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Umar, 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Amr, Anas ibn Malik and many others who had made the preservation of Sunna the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

first object of their lives, had become, as it were, centres, to whom people resorted from different quarters of the kingdom of Islām to gain knowledge of the Prophet and of his religion. Their places of residence became in fact so many colleges for the dissemination of the knowledge of Ḥadīth. Abū Huraira alone had eight hundred disciples. 'Ā'isha's house, too, was resorted to by hundreds of ardent pupils. The reputation of 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Abbās was equally great, and, notwithstanding his youth, he had a foremost place among the counsellors of 'Umar, on account of his knowledge of the Qur'an and the Sunna. The zeal of the new generation for the acquisition of religious knowledge was so great that students were wont to travel from one place to another to complete their knowledge of the Sunna, and some would journey long distances to obtain first-hand information about one ḥadīth only.¹ Thus arrangements existed both for the collection of the knowledge of Ḥadīth in different centres of learning, and for the spread of it far and wide, through the disciples who gained their knowledge at such centres,

With the passing of the generation that had seen and heard the Holy Prophet directly, the work of the

Collection of Ḥadīth: third stage.

1. It is reported that Jābir ibn 'Abd-Allāh travelled from Madīna to Syria for the sake of a single ḥadīth (FB. I, p. 158). It was a month's journey as Jābir himself states (Bu. 3: 19). *Bukhārī's* famous commentary, *Fath al-Bārī* relates several incidents of the same type. Abū Ayyūb Anṣārī, for instance, is related to have undertaken a long journey to hear a saying of the Holy Prophet from 'Aqaba ibn 'Āmir. Sa'id ibn Musayyab is reported to have said that he used to travel for days and nights in search of a single ḥadīth. Another Companion of the Holy Prophet is said to have undertaken a journey to Egypt for the sake of one ḥadīth. The zeal of the next generation was equally great. Abu-l-Āliya is reported to have said: "We heard of a ḥadīth of the Holy Prophet, but we were not satisfied until we went to the Companion concerned in person and heard it from him direct" (FB. I, p. 159). AD. reports that Abu-l-Dardā' was sitting in a mosque in Damascus when a man came to him and questioned him about a ḥadīth, saying at the same time that he had come for no other object but the verification of a ḥadīth which he (Abu-l-Dardā') related (AD. 24: 1).

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

collection of Ḥadīth entered upon a third stage. There were no more reports to be investigated from different persons, and the whole of Ḥadīth was now the property of the different teachers who taught at different centres. There is no doubt that there was no single centre at which the whole store of the knowledge of Ḥadīth could be obtained, for the Companions of the Holy Prophet had spread far and wide. But in the second stage Ḥadīth had undoubtedly passed from individual into public possession, and, therefore, in the third stage the whole of Ḥadīth could be learned by repairing to the different centres instead of enquiring about it from individuals. At this stage, moreover, the writing of Ḥadīth became more common. The large number of the students of Ḥadīth at the different centres, having abundance of material to digest, to which was also added the further difficulty of remembering the names of the transmitters, sought aid from the pen, so that the work might be easier. By this time writing had become general and writing material abundant. Moreover, there was now no fear of the Ḥadīth being confused with the Qur'ān. It must, however, be remembered that at this stage Ḥadīth was written merely as an aid to memory; the mere fact that a written ḥadīth was found among the manuscripts of a person was no evidence of its authenticity, which could only be established by tracing it to a reliable transmitter. 'Umar ibn 'Abd al-'Azīz, commonly known as 'Umar II, the Umayyad Caliph, who ruled towards the close of the first century of Hijra, was the first man who issued definite orders to the effect that written collections of Ḥadīth should be made. He is reported to have written to Abū Bakr ibn Ḥazm: "See whatever saying of the Holy Prophet can be found, and write it down, for I fear the loss of knowledge and the disappearance of the learned men ; and do not accept

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

anything but the Ḥadīth of the Holy Prophet; and people should make knowledge public and should sit in companies, so that he who does not know should come to know, for knowledge does not disappear until it is concealed from the public" (Bu. 3 : 34). The importance of this incident lies in the fact that the Caliph himself took an interest in the collection of Ḥadīth, the Umayyads generally having stood aloof from the great work up to this time. Abū Bakr ibn Ḥazm was the Caliph's Governor at Madīna, and there is evidence that similar letters were written to other centres (FB. I, p. 174). But 'Umar II died after a short reign of two and a half years, and his successor does not seem to have interested himself at all in the matter. Even if a collection had been made in pursuance of these orders, which is very doubtful, no copy has reached us.¹ But the work was taken up independently of government patronage in the next century, and this brings us down to the fourth stage in the collection of Ḥadīth.

Before the middle of the second century, Ḥadīth began to assume a more permanent shape, and written collections to see the light of day. Hundreds of the students of Ḥadīth were engaged in the work of learning it in the various centres, but with every new teacher and student the work of preserving the name of the transmitter along with the

Collection of Ḥadīth:
fourth stage.

1. Guillaume thinks that the issuing of orders by 'Umar II for the collection of Ḥadīth is a later invention. The reason given by him is that no such collection has come down to us, nor is there any mention of it in any other work. But as I have pointed out, the reason for any such collection not being made, if really it has not disappeared, was the shortness of 'Umar's reign and the indifference of the other Umayyad Caliphs. Another reason given is that the name of Ibn Shahāb al-Zuhri is, according to one report, connected with this order. But this rather confirms the authenticity of 'Umar's orders, because, as I have said before, the orders were circular. Muir is right when he says: "About a hundred years after Mahomet, the Caliph Omar II issued circular orders for the formal collection of all extant tradition. The task, thus begun, continued to be vigorously prosecuted" (*Life of Mahomet*, intr. p. xxx).

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

ḥadīth itself was becoming more difficult. Written collections of Ḥadīth had thus become indispensable. The first known work on the subject is that of Imām ‘Abd al-Mālik ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz ibn Juraij, commonly known as Ibn Juraij. According to some, however, Sa‘īd ibn Abī ‘Arūba or Rabi‘ ibn Ṣuḥaib has precedence in this matter. All these authors died about the middle of the second century. Ibn Juraij lived at Makka, while other authors who wrote books on Ḥadīth in the second century are Imām Mālik ibn Anas and Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaina in Madīna, ‘Abd-Allāh ibn Wahb in Egypt, Ma‘mar and ‘Abd al-Razzāq in Yaman, Sufyān Thaurī and Muḥammad ibn Fudzail in Kūfa, Ḥammād ibn Salma and Rauḥ ibn ‘Ubāda in Baṣra, Hushaim in Wāsiṭ and ‘Abd-Allāh ibn Mubārak in Kḥurāsān, by far the most important of the collections of these authors being the *Muwattā* of Imām Mālik. All these books, however, were far from being exhaustive writings on Ḥadīth. In the first place, the object of their compilation was simply the collection of such reports as touched on the daily life of the Muslims. Reports relating to a large number of topics, such as faith, or knowledge, or the life of the Prophet, or wars, or comments on the Qur’ān, were outside their scope. And secondly, every author collected only such reports as were taught at the centre at which he worked. Even the *Muwattā* which, as far as reliability is concerned, stands in the first rank with *Bukhārī* and *Muslim*, contains only the ḥadīth which came through the people of Ḥijāz. All these works on Ḥadīth were, therefore, incomplete, but they were a great advance on oral transmission in the work of collecting Ḥadīth.

This great work was brought to completion in the

Collection of Ḥadīth : third century of Hijra. It was then
fifth stage. that two kinds of collections of
Ḥadīth were made, the Musnad and the Jāmi‘ or the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Muṣannaf. The Musnad was the earlier type and the Jāmi' the later. Musnad is derived from *sanad* meaning *authority*, and the *isnad* of a ḥadīth meant the tracing of it back through various transmitters to the Companion of the Holy Prophet on whose authority it rested. The collections of Ḥadīth known as Musnads were arranged, not according to the subject-matter of the ḥadīth, but under the name of the Companion on whose final authority the ḥadīth rested. The most important of the works of this class is the Musnad of Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, which contains about thirty thousand reports. Aḥmad was born in 164 A. H. and died in 241 A. H., and is one of the four recognized Imāms. His collection, however, contains reports of all sorts. It is to the Jāmi' (lit. *one that gathers together*) or the Muṣannaf (lit. *compiled together*) that the honour is due of bringing the knowledge of Ḥadīth to perfection. The Jāmi' not only arranges reports according to their subject-matter, but is also of a more critical tone. Six books are recognized by the Ahl Sunna generally under the heading, being the collections made by Muḥammad ibn Ismā'il,¹ commonly known as Bukhārī, (d. 256 A. H.), Muslim (d. 261 A. H.), Abū Dāwūd (d. 275 A. H.), Tirmidhī (d. 279 A. H.), Ibn Māja (d. 283 A. H.) and Nasā'ī (d. 303 A. H.). The works of the third and the last two are more generally known by the name of Sunan (pl. of *sunna*). These books classified reports under various heads and thus made Ḥadīth easy for reference, not only for the judge and the lawyer but also for the ordinary and the research student, thus giving a further impetus to the study of

1. Muḥammad ibn Ismā'il Bukhārī was born at Bukhārā in 194 A. H. He began the study of Ḥadīth when only 11 years of age, and by the time that he was 16 had acquired a high reputation for his knowledge thereof. He had a wonderful memory, and the students of Ḥadīth used to correct their manuscripts by comparing them with what he recited from memory.

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

Ḥadīth. The Shī'as recognize the following five collections of Ḥadīth: 1. The *Kāfī* by Abū Ja'far Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb (329 A. H.) ; 2. *Man lā yastihdzu-iru-hu-l-Faqīh* by Shaiḫ 'Alī (381 A. H.) ; 3. The *Tahdhīb* by Shaiḫ Abū Ja'far Muḥammad ibn 'Alī ibn Ḥusain (466 A. H.) ; 4. The *Istibṣār* by the same author ; 5. The *Nahj al-Balāgha* by Sayyid al-Rāzī (406 A. H.). It will be seen that all these collections are of a much later date.

It may be noted here that among the six collections of Ḥadīth mentioned above, which Bukhārī are known as the *Ṣiḥāḥ Sitta* or the six reliable collections, Bukhārī holds the first place in several respects while *Muslim* comes second, and the two together are known as the *Ṣaḥīḥain* or the two reliable books. In the first place, Bukhārī has the unquestioned distinction of being first, all the others modelling their writings on his. Secondly, he is the most critical of all.¹ He did not accept any ḥadīth unless all its transmitters were reliable and until there was proof that the later transmitter had actually met the first, the mere fact that the two were contemporaries (which is Muslim's test) did not satisfy him. Thirdly, in his *fiqhah*, or acumen, he surpasses all. Fourthly, he heads the more important of his chapters with a text from the Holy Qur'ān, and thus shows that Ḥadīth is only an explanation of the Qur'ān, and as such a secondary source of the teachings of Islām.

1. A modern writer, and one who has made a special study of Ḥadīth, expresses the following opinion about Bukhārī: " So far as one is able to judge, Bukhārī published the result of his researches into the content of what he believed to be genuine tradition with all the painstaking accuracy of a modern editor. Thus he records even trifling variants in the ḥadīth, and wherever he feels that an explanatory gloss is necessary either in *isnād* or *matn* it is clearly marked as his own " (Tr. Is., p. 29).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

European critics of Ḥadīth are generally under the impression that when the authors of different reports. the Muṣannafāt set to work, there was a vast mass of spurious ḥadīth, that the collectors did not credit more than one or two per cent. of the prevailing mass as being genuine, and that these were taken to be genuine on the slender authority of the reliability of transmitters without any regard to the subject-matter of the ḥadīth. The impression that the vast mass of reports taught at the different centres in the third century was fabricated is based on a misconception. It is true that it is related of Bukhārī that he took cognizance of 600,000 reports and knew some 200,000 of these by heart. It is also true that his book contains no more than 9,000 ḥadīth. But it is not true that he found the other 591,000 reports to be false or fabricated.¹ It must be clearly understood that those who were engaged in the dissemination and study of Ḥadīth looked upon every report as a different ḥadīth when even a single transmitter of the ḥadīth was changed. Let us, for instance, take a ḥadīth for which the original

I. Writing of Bukhārī, Guillaume says : " Tradition reports that this remarkable man took cognizance of 600,000 hadith, and himself memorized more than 200,000. Of these he has preserved to us 7,397, or, according to other authorities, 7,295. If one adds to these the fragmentary traditions embodied in the *tarjama*, the total is 9,082..... When one reflects from these figures furnished by a Muslim historian that hardly more than one per cent. of the hadith said to be openly circulating with the authority of the prophet behind them were accounted genuine by the pious Bukhārī, one's confidence in the authenticity of the residue is sorely tried. Where such an enormous preponderance of material is judged false, nothing but the successful application of modern canons of evidence can restore faith in the credibility of the remainder" (Tr. Is., pp. 28, 29). And Muir says : " It is proved by the testimony of the Collectors themselves, that thousands and tens of thousands of traditions were current in their times which possessed not even the shadow of authority.....Bokhary.....came to the conclusion, after many years' sifting, that out of 600,000 traditions, ascertained by him to be then current, only 4,000 were authentic " (*Life of Mahomet*, intr. p. xxxvii).

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

authority is Abū Huraira. Now Abū Huraira had 800 disciples in Ḥadīth, and the same ḥadīth may have been reported by ten of his disciples with or without any variation. Each of these reports would, according to the collectors of Ḥadīth, form a separate ḥadīth. Again, suppose each of the transmitters of Abū Huraira's ḥadīth had two reporters, and the same Ḥadīth will count say 20 different reports, and the number would thus go on increasing as the number of reporters increased. Now at the time when Bukhārī applied himself to Ḥadīth in the first decade of the third century of Hijra, there were schools of Ḥadīth at different centres, and hundreds of students learned Ḥadīth at these schools and reported them to others. In a chain of ordinarily four or five transmitters, consider the number of reports that would arise from the same ḥadīth on account of the variation of transmitters, and it is easy to understand that 600,000 did not mean so many reports relating to various subjects, but so many reports coming through different transmitters, many of them referring to the same incident or conveying the same subject-matter with or without variation of words. That this was the method of Bukhārī's counting of reports is clear from his book, the *Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī*, which with the change of even one transmitter in a chain of, say, four or five, considers the report to be distinct.¹ What is called repetition in *Bukhārī* is due to this circumstance.

European criticism of Ḥadīth has often mixed up Reports in biographies and commentaries. Ḥadīth with the reports met with in the biographies of the Holy Prophet and in the commentaries on the Holy Qur'ān. No Muslim scholar has ever attached the same value to

1. "On the other hand, the same tradition is often repeated more than once under different chapters (*Abwāb*), so that if repetitions are disregarded the number of distinct hadith is reduced to 2,762" (Tr. Is., p. 28).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the biographical reports as ḥadīth narrated in the above-mentioned collections. On the other hand, all Muslim critics recognize that the biographers never made much effort to sift truth from error. Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal sums up the Muslim point of view as regards the trustworthiness of the biographical reports when he declares that the biographies "are not based on any principle" (Mau. p. 85), and Ḥāfiẓ Zain al-Dīn 'Irāqī says that "they contain what is true and what is false." In fact, much of the adverse European criticism of Ḥadīth would have been more suitably levelled at the biographical reports, and the same is true of the reports met with in the commentaries which are still more unreliable. Many careless commentators confounded Ḥadīth with Jewish and Christian stories, and made free use of the latter as if they were so many reports. As Ibn Khaldūn, speaking of the commentaries, says:

"Their books and their reports contain what is bad and what is good and what may be accepted and what should be rejected, and the reason of this is that the Arabs were an ignorant race without literature and without knowledge, and desert life and ignorance were their chief characteristics, and whenever they desired as mortals do desire to obtain knowledge of the cause of existence and the origin of creation and the mysteries of the universe, they turned for information to the followers of the Book, the Jews and such of the Christians as practised their faith. But these people of the Book were like themselves, and their knowledge of these things went no further than the knowledge of the ignorant masses..... So when these people embraced Islām, they retained their stories which had no connection with the commandments of the Islamic law, such as the stories of the origin of creation, and things relating to the future and the wars, etc. These people were like Ka'b Aḥbār, and Wahb ibn

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

Munabbah and ‘Abd-Allāh ibn Salām and others. Commentaries on the Holy Qur’ān were soon filled with these stories of theirs. And in such like matters, the reports do not go beyond them, and as these do not deal with commandments, so their correctness is not sought after to the extent of acting upon them, and the commentators take them rather carelessly, and they have thus filled up their commentaries with them ” (Mq. I, p. 481, ch. ‘*Ulūm al-Qur’ān*).

Shāh Walī Allāh writes in a similar strain : “And it is necessary to know that most of the Israelite stories that have found their way into the commentaries and histories are copied from the stories of the Jews and the Christians, and no commandment or belief can be based upon them ” (Hj. p. 176, ch. *I’tisām bi-l-Kitāb*).

In fact, in some of the commentaries, the reports cited are puerile nonsense. Even the commentary of Ibn Jarīr, with all its value as a literary production, cannot be relied upon. Ibn Kathīr’s commentary is, however, an exception, as it contains chiefly the Ḥadīth taken from reliable collections.

Yet another thing to beware of in a discussion on Ḥadīth is the mixing up of Ḥadīth with stories related by story-tellers.

Story-tellers.

As in every other nation, there had grown up among the Muslims a class of fable-mongers whose business it was to tickle the fancies of the masses by false stories. These were either taken up from the Jews, Christians and Persians, with whom the Muslims came in contact, or they were simply concocted. The professional story-tellers were called the *quṣṣāṣ* (pl. of *qāṣṣ*, and derived from *qaṣṣa*, meaning *he related a story*), and they seem to have sprung up early, for as Rāzī says, the Caliph ‘Alī ordered that whosoever should relate the story of David as the story-tellers (*quṣṣāṣ*) relate it (the

reference being to the story taken from the Bible as to David having committed adultery with Uriah's wife), should be given 160 stripes, that being double the punishment of the ordinary slanderer (Rz. VII, p. 187, 38:21-25). This shows that the story-teller had begun his work even at that early date, but then it must be remembered that the story-teller was never confounded with the reporter of Ḥadīth, even by the ignorant masses. His vocation, being of a lower status, was necessarily quite distinct. Ḥadīth was regularly taught in schools in the different centres, as I have already shown, and its teachers were in the first instance well-known Companions of the Holy Prophet, such as Abū Huraira, Ibn 'Umar, 'Ā'ishā, whose place was later on taken by equally well-known masters of Ḥadīth from among the *Ṭabī'in* (the successors of the Companions). No story-teller, whose sphere of action was limited to some street corner, where he might attract the attention of passers-by and perhaps gather round him a few loiterers, could aspire even to approach a school of Ḥadīth. As a writer quoted by Guillaume (on p. 82 of his book) says: "They collect a great crowd of people round them: one Qāṣṣ stations himself at one end of the street and narrates traditions about the merits of 'Alī, while his fellow stands at the other end of the street exalting the virtues of Abū Bakr. Thus they secure the pence of the Nāṣibī as well as the Shī'ī, and divide their gains equally afterwards." It is difficult to believe that such beggars and braggarts could be mistaken for reporters of Ḥadīth by any sensible person; yet even scholars like Sir William Muir and other famous Orientalists often try to confound the two, and speak of these stories as though they had some connection with Ḥadīth. Even if it be true that some of them have found a place in certain commentaries, whose authors had a love for the curious and gave but scant heed to the sifting of truth from error, the

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

Muḥaddithīn, that is, the collectors of Ḥadīth, would never dream of accepting a story from such a source. They knew the story-tellers and their absurdities well enough, and indeed so scrupulous were they in making their selections that they would not accept a report if one of the reporters was known ever to have told a lie or fabricated a report¹ in a single instance. This much every European critic of Ḥadīth must needs admit; how then could such people accept the puerile inventions of the street story-teller who, it was well-known, followed his vocation merely and openly for the few coins it might bring. That there are some incredible stories even in the collections of Ḥadīth is perfectly true, but they are so rare that not the least discredit can justly be thrown on the collections themselves on that account, the reason for their existence being something quite different.

Among all European critics, almost without exception, there is a prevalent idea that the European criticism of Ḥadīth. Muslim critics of Ḥadīth have never gone beyond the transmission line, and that the subject-matter of Ḥadīth has been left quite untouched. Suggestions have also been made that even the Companions of the Holy Prophet were at times so unscrupulous as to fabricate Ḥadīth, while it should be common knowledge that the strictest Muslim critics of the transmitters are all agreed that when a ḥadīth is traced back to a Companion of the Holy Prophet, its authenticity has been placed beyond all question. In the chapter on 'Criticism of Hadith by Muslims,' Guillaume makes the suggestion that Abū Huraira was in the habit

1. In the *Sharḥ Nukḥbat al-Fikr*, Ibn Ḥajar, while speaking of *ta'n* (i.e. accusation against a transmitter), says that if a transmitter is shown to have told a lie in transmitting a ḥadīth, or even if he is accused of having told a lie, he is discredited (p. 66).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

of fabricating ḥadīth:—

“A most significant recognition within hadith itself of the untrustworthiness of guarantors is to be found in Bukhārī. Ibn ‘Umar reports that Muhammad ordered all dogs to be killed save sheep-dogs and hounds. Abū Huraira added the word *au zar’in*; whereupon Ibn ‘Umar makes the remark, ‘Abū Huraira owned cultivated land!’ A better illustration of the underlying motive of some hadith can hardly be found” (Tr. Is., p. 78).

The word *zar’in* in the above quotation means *cultivated land*, and the suggestion is that Abū Huraira added this word for personal motives. In the first place, Abū Huraira is not alone in reporting that dogs may be kept for hunting as well as for keeping watch over sheep or tillage (*zar’*). Bukhārī reports a ḥadīth from Sufyān ibn Abī Zubair in the following words: “I heard the Messenger of Allāh, may peace and the blessings of Allāh be upon him, saying, Whoever keeps a dog which does not serve him in keeping watch over cultivated land or goats, one *qirāt* of his reward is diminished every day. The man who reported from him said, Hast thou heard this from the Messenger of Allāh? He said, Yea, by the Lord of this Mosque” (Bu. 41:3). Now this report clearly mentions watch dogs kept for sheep as well as those kept for tillage, but not dogs kept for hunting, which the Holy Qur’ān explicitly allows (5:4). Abū Huraira’s report in the same chapter, preceding that cited above, expressly mentions all these kinds, watch dogs for sheep or tillage and dogs for hunting, which only shows that Abū Huraira had the more retentive memory. And as for Ibn ‘Umar’s remark, there is not the least evidence that it contained any insinuation against Abū Huraira’s integrity. It may be just an explanatory remark, or a suggestion that Abū Huraira took care to preserve that part of the saying, because he himself had to keep watch dogs for his

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

cultivated land. With all the mistakes that Abū Huraira may have made in reporting so many ḥadīth, no critic has ever yet questioned his integrity; in fact, critics are unanimous in maintaining that no Companion of the Holy Prophet ever told a lie. Thus Ibn Ḥajar says: "The Ahl Sunna are unanimous that all (the Companions) are '*adūl*, i.e. truthful" (Iṣ. I, p. 6). The word '*adāla*, as used regarding transmitters of reports, means that there has been no intentional deviation from the truth, and this is not due merely to the respect in which the Companions are held, for the critics of the transmitters of Ḥadīth never spared any one simply because he held a place of honour in their hearts.

Further on in the same chapter Guillaume asserts that independent thinkers in the second and third century not only questioned the authority of Ḥadīth altogether, but derided the very system:

"However, there was still a large circle outside the orthodox thinkers who rejected the whole system of hadith. They were not concerned to adopt those which happened to fit in with the views and doctrines of the doctors, or even with those which might fairly be held to support their own view of life. So far from being impressed by the earnestness of the traditionists who scrupulously examined the *isnād*, or by the halo of sanctity which had gathered round the early guarantors of tradition, the independent thinkers of the second and third centuries openly mocked and derided the system as a whole and the persons and matters named therein" (Tr. Is., p. 80).

And as evidence in support of these sweeping statements, he adds:

"Some of the most flagrant examples of these lampoons will be found in the Book of Songs, where indecent stories are cast into the form in which tradition was customarily handed down to posterity" (Tr. Is., p. 80).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Thus the "independent thinkers" who rejected the system of Ḥadīth and "openly mocked and derided the system as a whole" are only the lampooners mentioned in the concluding portion of the paragraph. The *Aghānī*,¹ the Book of Songs, which is referred to as if it were a collection of lampoons directed against Ḥadīth, is an important collection of ballads by the famous Arabian historian, Abu-l-Faraj 'Alī ibn Ḥusain, commonly known as Iṣfahānī (born in 284 A. H.). I am at a loss to understand why the learned author of the *Traditions of Islam* should look upon it as an attempt to mock and deride the system of Ḥadīth. ~~There may be some indecent stories~~ connected with these songs, but the presence of such stories does not alter the essential character of the work which is in the nature of an historical collection.² Neither in the book itself nor in any earlier writing is there a word to show that the collection was made in a spirit of mockery; and as to the fact that with the songs collected are given the names of those through whom the songs were handed down, that was the common method adopted in all historical writings and collections of the time, as may be readily seen by reference to the historical writings of Ibn Sa'd, or Ibn Jarīr; and it was chosen not to insult the method of transmission of Ḥadīth but simply on account of its historical value. Guillaume has also mentioned the names of two great Muslim thinkers, Ibn

1. *The Encyclopædia of Islām* speaks of the *Aghānī* in the following words: "His chief work, which alone has been preserved, is the great *Kitāb al-Aghānī*; in this he collected the songs which were popular in his time, adding the accounts of their authors and their origin which appeared of interest to him With every song there is indicated, besides the text, the air according to the musical terminology to these are added very detailed accounts concerning the poet, often also concerning composers and singers of both sexes. In spite of its unsystematic order this book is our most important authority not only for literary history till into the third century of the Hidjra, but also for the history of civilization" (Art. Abu 'l-Farāj).

2. There are indecent stories in some of the books of the Bible, but still the Bible does not cease to have a sacred character.

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

Qutaiba and Ibn Khaldūn in this connection, but they neither rejected the Ḥadīth system as a whole, nor ever mocked or derided that system or the persons and matters mentioned therein. Ibn Qutaiba rather defended the Qur'ān and Ḥadīth against scepticism, and Guillaume has himself quoted with approval Dr. Nicholson's remarks that "every impartial student will admit the justice of Ibn Qutayba's claim that no religion has such historical attestations as Islam—*laysa li-ummatin mina 'l-umami asnādun ka-asnādihim*" (Tr. Is., p. 77). The Arabic word *asnād* used in the original, and translated as historical attestations, is the plural of *sanad* which means *an authority*, and refers especially to the reporters on whose authority Ḥadīth is accepted. Thus Ibn Qutaiba claims for Ḥadīth a higher authority than any other history of the time, and the claim is admitted by both Nicholson and Guillaume. In the *Encyclopædia of Islām* it is plainly stated that Ibn Qutaiba "defended the Qur'ān and Tradition against the attacks of philosophic scepticism." Ibn Khaldūn, too, never attacked Ḥadīth itself, and his strictures are applicable only to stories which have generally been rejected by the Muḥaddith ..

There is no doubt that the collectors of Ḥadīth attached the utmost importance to the trustworthiness of the narrators. As Guillaume says: "Inquiries were made as to the character of the guarantors, whether they were morally religiously satisfactory, whether they were tainted by heretical doctrines, whether they had a reputation for truthfulness, and had the ability to transmit what they had themselves heard. Finally, it was necessary to ascertain whether the witnesses whose testimony was accepted in a court of civil law were more than this, they tried their best to find out the truth, and their report was traceable to the Holy Prophet

Canons of criticism of Ḥadīth as accepted by Muslims.

made as to their character, whether they were morally religiously satisfactory, whether they had a reputation for truthfulness, and had the ability to transmit what they had themselves heard. Finally, it was necessary to ascertain whether the witnesses whose testimony was accepted in a court of civil law were more than this, they tried their best to find out the truth, and their report was traceable to the Holy Prophet

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

through the various necessary stages. Even the Companions of the Holy Prophet did not accept any ḥadīth which was brought to their notice until they were fully satisfied that it came from the Holy Prophet. But the Muḥaddithīn went beyond the narrators, and they had rules of criticism which were applied to the subject-matter of Ḥadīth. In judging whether a certain ḥadīth was spurious or genuine, the collectors not only made a thorough investigation regarding the trustworthiness of the transmitters but also applied other rules of criticism which are in no way inferior to modern methods. Shāh 'Abd al-'Azīz has summarized these rules in the '*Ujāla Nāfi'a*', and according to them a report was not accepted under any of the following circumstances:

1. If it was opposed to recognized historical facts.
2. If the reporter was a Shī'a and the ḥadīth was of the nature of an accusation against the Companions of the Holy Prophet, or if the reporter was a Khārijī and the ḥadīth was of the nature of an accusation against a member of the Prophet's family. If, however, such a report was corroborated by independent testimony, it was accepted.

3. If it was of such a nature to know it and act upon it was incumbent upon all, and is reported by a single man.

4. If the time and the circumstances of its narration contained evidence of its forged character.

1. An example of this is met with in *Hayāt al-Hayawān*. Hārūn al-Rashīd gave him as a present. Qādī Abu-l-Bakḥī for him and to please the monarch he narrated that they should be no betting except in racing, and that the concluding words were a forged ḥadīth. When the Qādī was gone, he ordered the fabrication of this portion of the ḥadīth. (83). Muḥaddithīn on that account at the report of the incident related in the ḥadīth. A pigeon was sent to him at the time, and he directed that there should be no betting of birds. Now when he heard of this, he added that the ḥadīth was forged. The pigeon, the ḥadīth of Abu-l-Bakḥī.

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

5. If it was against reason¹ or against the plain teachings of Islām.²

6. If it mentioned an incident, which, had it happened, would have been known to and reported by large numbers, while as a matter of fact that incident was not reported by any one except the particular reporter.

7. If its subject-matter or words were *rakīk* (*i. e.* unsound or incorrect); for instance, the words were not in accordance with Arabic idiom, or the subject-matter was unbecoming the Prophet's dignity.

8. If it contained threatenings of heavy punishment for ordinary sins or promises of mighty reward for slight good deeds.

9. If it spoke of the reward of prophets and messengers to the doer of good.

10. If the narrator confessed that he fabricated the report.

Similar rules of criticism are laid down by Mullā 'Alī Qārī in his work entitled *Maudzu'āt*, and by Ibn al-Jauzī for which see the *Fath al-Mughīth*, as well as by Ibn Ḥajar for which see *Nuzhat al-Nāzar*.

In addition to these rules of criticism, which I think leave little to be desired, there is another very important test whereby the trustworthiness of Ḥadīth may be judged, and it is a test the application whereof was commanded by the Holy Prophet himself. "There will be narrators," he is reported to have said, "reporting

1. "Ibn 'Abd al Barr (d. 463) and Al Nawawī (d. 676) do not hesitate to assail traditions which seem to them to be contrary to reason or derogatory to the dignity of the prophet" (Tr. Is., p. 94).

2. Examples of this are the ḥadīth relating to *Qadzā 'Umrī*, *i. e.*, going through the performance of the *rak'as* of daily prayers on the last Friday in the month of Ramaḍān as an atonement for not saying prayers regularly, or the ḥadīth which says, Do not eat melon until you slaughter it.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Ḥadīth from me, so judge by the Qur'ān; if a report agrees with the Qur'ān, accept it; otherwise, reject it." The genuineness of this ḥadīth is beyond all question, as it stands on the soundest basis.¹ That Ḥadīth was in vogue in the time of the Holy Prophet is a fact admitted by even European critics, as I have already shown, and that the authority of the Qur'ān was higher than that of Ḥadīth appears from numerous circumstances. "I am no more than a man," the Prophet is reported to have said according to a very reliable ḥadīth, "When I order you anything respecting religion receive it, and when I order anything about the affairs of the world, I am no more than a man" (MM. 1: 6-i). There is another saying of his: "My sayings do not abrogate the word of Allāh, but the word of Allāh can abrogate my sayings" (MM. 1: 6-iii). The ḥadīth relating to Mu'adh which has been quoted elsewhere,² places the Holy Qur'ān first, and after that Ḥadīth. 'Ā'ishā used to repeat a verse

1. A ḥadīth, however sound the statement it contains and however great the authority on which it is based, is readily condemned as a fabrication by European critics when it does not suit their canons of criticism. Thus Guillaume, after quoting the well-known ḥadīth, which is reported by a very large number of Companions—so large that not the least doubt can be entertained as to its genuineness—"Whoever shall repeat of me that which I have not said, his resting-place shall be in hell," remarks: "A study of the theological systems of the world would hardly reveal a more naïve attempt to tread the *ṣirāṭu-l-mustaqīm*" (Tr. Is., p. 79). Referring to the same ḥadīth, the same author remarks: "In order to combat false traditions they invented others equally destitute of prophetic authority" (Tr. Is., p. 78). Such irresponsible remarks ill befit a work of criticism. The genuineness of this ḥadīth is beyond all doubt, and it has been accepted as such by collectors of reports. It cannot be denied that there are theological systems whose basic principles are the concoctions of pious men, but in Islām the very details are matters of history, and "pious lies" could not find here any ground whereon to prosper.

2. On being appointed Governor of Yaman, Mu'adh was asked by the Holy Prophet as to the rule by which he would abide. "By the law of the Qur'ān," he replied. "But if you do not find any direction therein," asked the Prophet. "Then I will act according to the Sunna of the Prophet," was the reply. And the Holy Prophet approved of it (AD. 23: 11).

of the Holy Qur'ān on hearing words from the mouth of the Holy Prophet when she thought that the purport of what the Prophet said did not agree with the Holy Qur'ān. The great Imām Bukhārī quotes a verse of the Holy Qur'ān whenever he finds one suiting his text, before citing a ḥadīth, thus showing that the Qur'ān holds precedence over Ḥadīth, and by common consent of the Muslim community, the *Bukhārī*, which is considered to be the most trustworthy of all collections of Ḥadīth, is looked upon as *aṣaḥḥ al-kutubi ba'da Kitāb-Allāh*, or the most reliable of books *after* the Book of God. This verdict of the community as a whole is proof enough that even if the *Bukhārī* disagrees with the Qur'ān, it is the *Bukhārī* that must be rejected and not the Book of God. And as has already been stated at the commencement of this chapter, Ḥadīth is only an explanation of the Qur'ān, and hence also the Qur'ān must have precedence over the Ḥadīth. ¹And last of all, both Muslim and non-Muslim historians are agreed that the Holy Qur'ān has been handed down intact, every word and every letter of it, while Ḥadīth cannot claim that purity, as it was chiefly the substance of sayings that was reported. All these considerations show that the saying that Ḥadīth must be judged by the Holy Qur'ān is quite in accordance with the teachings of the Holy Prophet, and there is really no ground for doubting its genuineness. Even if there were no such ḥadīth, the test therein suggested would still have been the right test, because the Holy Qur'ān deals with the principles of the Islamic law while Ḥadīth deals with its details, and it is just and reasonable that only such details should be accepted as are in consonance with the principles. Again, as the Prophet is plainly represented in the Holy Qur'ān as not following "aught save that which is revealed" to him (6 : 50 ; 7 : 203 ; 46 : 9), and as not disobeying a word of that which

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

was revealed to him (6:15; 10:15), it follows clearly that if there is anything in Ḥadīth which is not in consonance with the Holy Qur'ān, it could not have proceeded from the Prophet and hence must be rejected.

But the question arises: Did all the collectors of Ḥadīth pay equal regard to the above canons of criticism? It is pretty clear that they did not. The

How far did the Muḥ-addithīn apply these tests. The earliest of them, Bukhārī, is, by a happy coincidence, also the soundest of them. He was not only most careful in accepting the trustworthiness of the narrators of Ḥadīth, but he also paid the utmost attention to the last of the critical tests enumerated above, namely, the test of judging Ḥadīth by the Qur'ān. Many of his books and chapters are headed by Quranic verses, and occasionally he has contented himself with a verse of the Qur'ān in support of his text. This shows that his criticism of Ḥadīth was not limited to a mere examination of the guarantors as every European critic seems to think, but that he also applied other tests. The act of criticism was, of course, applied mentally and one should not expect a record of the processes of that criticism in the book itself. So with the other collectors of Ḥadīth. They followed the necessary rules of criticism but were not all equally careful, nor did they all possess equal critical acumen or experience. Indeed, they sometimes intentionally relaxed the rules of criticism, both as regards the examination of the narrators and the critical tests. They also made a distinction between ḥadīth relating to matters of jurisprudence and other ḥadīth, such as those having to do with past history or with prophecies, or with other material which had no bearing on the practical life of man. We are clearly told that they were stricter in matters of jurisprudence than in other ḥadīth. Thus Baihaqī

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

says in the *Kitāb al-Madkhal*: "When we narrate from the Holy Prophet in what is allowed and what is prohibited, we are strict in the chain of transmission and in the criticism of the narrators, but when we relate reports on the merits of people, and about reward and punishment, we are lax in the line of transmission and overlook the defects of the narrators." And Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal says: "Ibn Ishāq is a man from whom such reports may be taken, *i.e.*, those which relate to *sīra* (life of the Prophet), but when the question is about what is allowed and what is forbidden, we have recourse to a (strong) people like this, and he inserted the fingers of one hand amid those of the other," conjoining the hands, and thus pointing to the strength of character of the transmitters.

It must, however, be admitted that most of the collectors of Ḥadīth paid more attention to the investigation of the narrators than to the other critical tests, and they were justified in this, for their object was to produce reliable collections of Ḥadīth, and, therefore, their first concern was to see that the ḥadīth could be authentically traced back to the Holy Prophet through a trustworthy chain of narrators. This part of the criticism was the more essential, as the longer the chain of narrators, the more difficult would it have been to test their reliability. Other tests could be applied to any ḥadīth at any time, and the lapse of a thousand years could in no way affect the value of these tests, but the passing away of another century would have rendered the task of the examination of the chain of narrators so difficult as to be for all practical purposes impossible. Hence the collectors of Ḥadīth rightly focussed their attention on this test. Nor did the work of collecting the Ḥadīth close the door to further criticism. The Muḥaddithīn contented themselves with

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

producing collections reliable in the main, and left the rest of the work of criticism to future generations. They never claimed faultlessness for their works; even Bukhārī did not do that. They exercised their judgments to the best of their ability, but they never claimed, nor does any Muslim claim on their behalf, infallibility of judgment. In fact, they had started a work which was to continue for generation after generation of the Muslims. If possible, a hundred more canons of criticism might be laid down, but it would still be the judgment of one man as to whether a certain ḥadīth must be accepted or rejected. Every collection is the work of one Muḥaddith, and even if ninety-nine per cent. of his judgments are correct, there is still room for the exercise of judgment by others. The Western critic errs in thinking that infallibility is claimed for any of the collections of Ḥadīth, and that the exercise of judgment by a certain Muḥaddith precludes the exercise of judgment by others as to the reliability of a report.

We must also remember that however much the collectors of Ḥadīth might have differed in their judgments as to the necessity for rigour in the rules of criticism, they set to work with minds absolutely free from bias or external influence. They would lay down their lives rather than swerve a hair's breadth from what they deemed to be the truth. Many of the famous Imāms preferred punishment or jail to uttering a word against their convictions. The fact is generally admitted as regards the Umayyad rule. As Guillaume says: "They laboured to establish the sunna of the community as it was, or as it was thought to have been, under the prophet's rule, and so they found their bitterest enemies in the ruling house" (Tr. Is., p. 42). The independence of thought of the great Muslim divines under the Abbaside rule had not deteriorated

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

in the least. They would not even accept office under a Muslim ruler: "It is well-known," says Th. W. Juynboll in the *Encyclopædia of Islām*, "that many pious, independent men in those days deemed it wrong and refused to enter the service of the Government or to accept an office dependent on it" (p. 91).

Ibn Ḥajar has dealt with different classes of Ḥadīth ✓ Different classes of in the *Sharḥ Nukḥbat al-Fikr* at Ḥadīth. great length. The most important division of Ḥadīth is into *mutawātir* (continuous) and *aḥād* (isolated). A ḥadīth is said to be *mutawātir* (lit. *repeated successively* or *by one after another*) when it is reported by such a large number that it is impossible that they should have agreed upon falsehood, so that the very fact that it is commonly accepted makes its authority unquestionable. To this category belong ḥadīth that have been accepted by every Muslim generation down from the time of the Holy Prophet.¹ The *mutawātir* ḥadīth are accepted without criticizing their narrators. All other ḥadīth are called *aḥād* (pl. of *aḥad* or *wāḥid* meaning *one*, i.e., isolated). The *aḥād* are divided into three classes, *mashhūr* (lit. *well-known*), technically ḥadīth which are reported through more than two channels at every stage; '*azīz* (lit. *strong*), that is, ḥadīth that are not reported through less than two channels; and *gharīb* (lit. *strange* or *unfamiliar*), namely ḥadīth in whose link of narrators there is only a single person at any stage. It should be noted that in this classification the condition as to the ḥadīth being narrated by more than two or two or less than two persons at any stage applies only to the three generations

1. There is a difference of opinion as to the number of reporters of the *mutawātir* ḥadīth, some considering four to be the minimum required, others five or seven or ten, others still raising it still further to forty or even seventy. But the commonly accepted opinion is that it is only the extensive acceptance of a ḥadīth which raises it to the rank of *mutawātir*.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

following the Companions of the Holy Prophet, *i.e.*, the *tabi'un* or *atbā'al-tābi'in*, or *atbā'u atbā'il-tābi'in*. Of the two chief classes of ḥadīth, the *mutawātir* and the *ahād*, the first are all accepted so far as the line of transmission is concerned, but the latter are further sub-divided into two classes, *maqbul* or those which may be accepted, and *mardūd*, or those which may be rejected. Those that are *maqbul*, or acceptable, are again sub-divided into two classes, *ṣaḥīḥ* (lit. *sound*), and *ḥasan* (lit. *fair*). The condition for a ḥadīth being *ṣaḥīḥ* or sound is that its narrators are 'adl (men whose sayings and decisions are approved or whom desire does not deviate from the right course), and *tāmm al-dzabt* (guarding or taking care of ḥadīth effectually); that it is *muttaṣil al-sanad*, *i.e.*, that the authorities narrating it should be in contact with each other, so that there is no break in the transmission; that it is *ghairu mu'allal* *i.e.*, that there is no 'illa or defect in it; and that it is not *shādhḍh* (lit. *a thing apart from the general mass*), *i.e.*, against the general trend of Ḥadīth or at variance with the overwhelming evidence of other ḥadīth. A ḥadīth that falls short of this high standard, and fulfils the other conditions but does not fulfil the condition of its narrators being *tāmm al-dzabt* (guarding or taking care of ḥadīth effectually), is called *ḥasan* or fair. Such a ḥadīth is regarded as *ṣaḥīḥ* or sound when the deficiency of effectual guarding is made up for by the large number of its transmitters. A *ṣaḥīḥ* ḥadīth is accepted unless there is stronger testimony to rebut what is stated therein. I have already said that it is recognized by the Muḥaddithin that a ḥadīth may be unacceptable either because of some defect in its transmitters, or because its subject-matter is unacceptable. Thus Ibn Hajar says that among the reasons for which a ḥadīth may be rejected is its subject-matter. For example, if a

SUNNA OR ḤADĪTH

ḥadīth contradicts the Holy Qur'ān or recognized Sunna or the unanimous verdict of the Muslim community or common-sense, it is not accepted. As regards defects in transmission, a ḥadīth is said to be *marfū'* when it is traced back to the Holy Prophet without any defect in transmission, *muttaṣal* when its *isnād* is uninterrupted, *mauqūf* when it does not go back to the Prophet, *mu'an'an* (from '*an* meaning *from*) when it is linked by a word which does not show personal contact between two narrators, and *mu'allaq* (suspended) when the name of one or more transmitters is missing (being *munqata'* if the name is missing from the middle, and *mursal* if it is from the end).

CHAPTER III.

IJTIHĀD OR EXERCISE OF JUDGMENT.

Ijtihād is the third source from which the laws of Islām are drawn. The word itself is derived from the root *jahd* which means *exerting oneself to the utmost or to the best of one's ability*, and Ijtihād, which literally conveys the same significance, is technically applicable to *a lawyer's exerting the faculties of mind to the utmost for the purpose of forming an opinion in a case of law respecting a doubtful and difficult point* (LL.).

Reasoning or the exercise of judgment, in theological Value of reason as well as in legal matters, plays a recognized. very important part in the religion of Islām, and the value of reason is expressly recognized in the Holy Qur'ān. It appeals to reason again and again, and is full of exhortations like the following: "Do you not reflect?" "Do you not understand?" "Have you no sense?" "There are signs in this for a people who reflect;" "There are signs in this for a people who understand;" and so on. Those who do not use their reasoning faculty are compared to animals, and are spoken of as being deaf, dumb and blind:

"And the parable of those who disbelieve is as the parable of one who calls out to that which hears no more than a call and a cry: deaf, dumb and blind, so they do not understand" (2 : 171).

"They have hearts with which they do not understand, and they have eyes with which they do not see, and they have ears with which they do not hear; they are as cattle; nay, they are in worse error" (7 : 179).

“ The vilest of animals, in Allāh’s sight, are the deaf and the dumb, who do not understand ” (8 : 22).

“ Or dost thou think that most of them do hear or understand ? They are simply as cattle ; nay, they are straying farther off from the path ” (25 : 44).

And while those who do not exercise their reason or judgment are condemned, those who do it are praised :

“ In the creation of the heavens and the earth and the alternation of the night and the day, there are surely signs for men of understanding : Those who remember Allāh standing and sitting and lying on their sides, and reflect on the creation of the heavens and the earth ” (3 : 189,190).

The Qur’ān does recognize revelation as a source of knowledge higher than reason, but at the same time admits that the truth of the principles established by revelation may be judged by reason, and hence it is that it repeatedly appeals to reason and denounces those who do not use their reasoning faculty. It also recognizes the necessity of the exercise of judgment in order to arrive at a decision : “ And when there comes to them news of security or fear, they spread it abroad ; and if they had referred it to the Apostle and to those in authority among them, those among them who can *search out the knowledge* of it would have known it ” (4 : 83). The original word for the italicized portion is *yastanbiṭūn* from *istinbat*, which is derived from *nabaṭ al-bi’ra*, meaning *he dug out a well and brought forth water*. The *istinbat* of the jurist is derived from this, and it signifies the searching out of the hidden meaning by his *ijtihād* and is the same as *istikhrāj*, i.e., analogical deduction (TA.). The verse thus recognizes the principle of the exercise of the judgment which is the same as *Ijtiḥād*, and though the occasion on which it is mentioned is a particular one, the principle recognized is a general principle.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Ijtihād or the exercise of the judgment is expressly recognized in Ḥadīth as the means by which a decision may be arrived at when there is no direction in the Holy Qur'ān or Ḥadīth. The following ḥadīth is regarded as the basis of Ijtihād in Islām: "On being appointed Governor of Yaman, Mu'ādh was asked by the Holy Prophet as to the rule by which he would abide. He replied, 'By the law of the Qur'ān.' 'But if you do not find any direction therein,' asked the Prophet. 'Then I will act according to the Sunna of the Prophet,' was the reply. 'But if you do not find any direction in the Sunna,' he was again asked. 'Then I will exercise my judgment (*ajtahidu*) and act on that,' came the reply. The Prophet raised his hands and said: 'Praise be to Allāh Who guides the messenger of His Apostle as He pleases'" (AD. 23 : 11). This ḥadīth shows not only that the Holy Prophet approved of the exercise of judgment, but also that his Companions were well aware of the principle, and that Ijtihād by others than the Prophet was freely resorted to when necessary, even in the Prophet's lifetime.

It is a mistake to suppose that the exercise of judgment to meet the new circumstances only came into vogue with the four great Imāms whose opinion is now generally accepted in the Islamic world. The work had begun, as already shown, in the Prophet's lifetime, since it was impossible to refer every case to him. After the Prophet's death, the principle of Ijtihād obtained a wider prevalence, and as new areas of population were added to the material and spiritual realm of Islām, the need of resorting to Ijtihād became greater. Nor did the Khalifa, the Commander of the Faithful, arrogate all authority to himself. He had a council to which every

important case was referred, and the decision of this council by a majority of votes was accepted by the Khalīfa as well as by the Muslim public. Thus Sayūṭī writes in his *History of the Caliphs* in the chapter relating to Abū Bakr (sec., *his knowledge*) on the authority of Abu-l-Qāsim Baghwī reporting from Maimūn son of Mihrān :

“ When a case came before Abū Bakr, he used to consult the Book of Allāh; if he found anything in it by which he could decide, he did so; if he did not find it in the Book, and he knew of a sunna of the Messenger of Allāh, he decided according to it; and if he was unable to find anything there, he used to question the Muslims if they knew of any decision of the Holy Prophet in a matter of that kind, and a company of people thus gathered round him, every one of whom stated what he knew from the Prophet, and Abū Bakr would say, Praise be to Allāh Who has kept among us those who remember what the Prophet said; but if he was unable to find anything in the Sunna of the Prophet, he gathered together the heads of the people and the best of them and consulted them, and if they agreed upon one opinion (by a majority) he decided accordingly ” (T Kh. p. 40).

It is true that it was not exactly a legislative assembly in the modern sense, but the nucleus of a legislative assembly can clearly be seen in this council which decided all important affairs and, when necessary, promulgated laws. It was also supreme in both religious and temporal matters. The same rule was followed by ‘Umar who resorted to Ijtihād very freely, but took care always to gather the most learned Companions and consult with them. When there was a difference of opinion, that of the majority was made the basis of decision. Besides this council, there were great

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

individual teachers, such as the Lady 'Ā'isha, Ibn 'Abbās, Ibn 'Umar and other great mujtahids of the day, whose opinion was highly revered. Decisions were given and laws made and promulgated subject only to the one condition that they were neither contrary to the Holy Qur'ān nor to the Sunna of the Prophet. And decisions of those earlier jurists were followed by the later jurists so long as they were not in disagreement with the Book of God and the Sunna of His Apostle.

In the second century of Hijra arose the great jurists who codified the Islamic law according to the need of their time.

Imām Abū Ḥanīfa.

The first of these, and the one who claims the allegiance of the greater part of the Muslim world, was Abū Ḥanīfa Nu'mān ibn Thābit who was born at Baṣra in 80 A. H. (699 A. D.), being a Persian by descent. His centre of activity, however, was at Kūfa, and he passed away in 150 A. H. (767 A. D.). The basis of his analogical reasoning (*qiyās*) was the Holy Qur'ān, and he accepted Ḥadīth only when he was fully satisfied as to its authenticity; and as the great collectors of Ḥadīth had not yet commenced the work of collection, and Kūfa itself was not a great centre of that branch of learning, naturally Imām Abū Ḥanīfa accepted very few ḥadīth, and always resorted to the Holy Qur'ān for his juristic views. Later on when Ḥadīth was collected and became more in vogue, the followers of the Ḥanafī system, as Abū Ḥanīfa's school of thought was called, introduced into it more ḥadīth. Imām Abū Ḥanīfa had two famous disciples, Imām Muḥammad and Imām Abū Yūsuf, and it is mostly their views of the great master's teaching that now form the basis of the Ḥanafī system. Abū Ḥanīfa was a man of highly independent character and when, towards the close of his life, the then Muslim Government wanted to win him over to its side, he

preferred imprisonment to an office which would have interfered with his independence of thought. On one occasion, he was flogged for eleven days consecutively, receiving ten strokes every day. His system is not only the first in point of time but is also that which claims allegiance from the great majority of Muslims, and a development of which on the right lines would have resulted in immense benefit to the Muslim world. It was he who first directed attention to the great value of *qiyās* or analogical reasoning in legislation. He also laid down the principle of equity, whereby not only could new laws be made, but even logical conclusions could be controverted when they proved to be inequitable. He recognized the authority of customs and usages, and both exercised and inculcated independence of judgment to such an extent that he and his followers were called *ahl al-ra'y* (upholders of private judgment) by the followers of other schools.

year 93 A.H. (713 A.D.), and worked

Imām Mālik. and died there at the age of eighty-two. He limited himself almost entirely to the ḥadīth which he found in Madīna, relating more especially to the practice which prevailed there, and his system of jurisprudence is based entirely on the traditions and practices of the people of Madīna. He was scrupulously careful in giving judgment, and whenever he had the least doubt as to the correctness of his decision, he would say, "I do not know." His book, the *Muwatṭā*, though a comparatively small collection of Ḥadīth, and limited only to the ḥadīth and practices of the people of Madīna, is the first work of its kind, and one of the most authoritative.

The third Imām, Abū 'Abd-Allāh Muḥammad ibn Idrīs al-Shāfi'ī, was born in Palestine in the year 150 A. H. (767 A. D.).

Imām Shāfi'ī.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

He passed his youth at Makka but he worked for the most part in Egypt, where he died in 204 A. H. In his day he was unrivalled for his knowledge of the Holy Qur'ān, and took immense pains in studying the Sunna, travelling from place to place in search of information. He was intimately acquainted with the Ḥanafī and the Mālīkī systems, but that which he himself founded was based largely on Ḥadīth, as distinguished from the Ḥanafī system which was founded on the Holy Qur'ān and made very little use of Ḥadīth. Over the Mālīkī system, which is also based on Sunna, it had this advantage that the Ḥadīth made use of by Shāfi'ī was more extensive, and was collected from different centres, while Imām Mālīk contented himself only with what he found at Madīna.

The last of the four great Imāms was Aḥmad ibn Imām Aḥmad. Ḥanbal who was born at Baghdād in the year 164 A. H. and died there in 241. He too made a very extensive study of Ḥadīth, his famous work on the subject—the Musnad of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal—containing nearly thirty thousand ḥadīth. This monumental compilation, prepared by his son 'Abd-Allāh, was based on the material collected by the Imām himself. In the Musnad, however, as already remarked, ḥadīth are not arranged according to subject-matter but under the name of the Companion to whom a ḥadīth is ultimately traced. But though the Musnad of Aḥmad contains a large number of ḥadīth, it does not apply those strict rules of criticism favoured by men like Bukhārī and Muslim. It was indeed only an arrangement according to subject-matter that made a criticism of Ḥadīth possible, and the Musnads, in which ḥadīth relating to the same matter were scattered throughout the book, could not devote much attention to the subject-matter, and were not even sufficiently strict in scrutinizing the line of transmission. Accordingly, the Musnad of

Aḥmad cannot claim the same reliability as regards its material as can the collections of the Muḥaddithīn. From the very nature of his exertions, it is evident that Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal made very little use of reasoning, and as he depended almost entirely on Ḥadīth, the result was that he admitted even the weakest ḥadīth. It would thus appear that from the system of Abū Ḥanīfa, who applied reasoning very freely and sought to deduce all questions from the Holy Qur'ān by the help of reason, the system of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal is distinguished by the fact that it makes the least possible use of reason, and thus there was a marked falling off in the last of the four great Imāms from the high ideals of the first, so far as the application of reason to matters of religion is concerned. Even the system of Abū Ḥanīfa himself deteriorated on account of the later jurists of that school not developing the master's high ideal, with the consequence that the world of Islām gradually shut the door of Ijtihād upon itself, and stagnation reigned in the place of healthy development.

The four Imāms, who are accepted by the entire
 Different methods of Sunnī world of Islām, are thus agreed
 formulating new laws. in giving to Ijtihād a very important
 place in legislation, and the Shī'as attached to it an even
 greater importance. Ijmā', of which I shall speak later,
 and which means really the Ijtihād of many, and Ijtihād,
 are thus looked upon as two more sources of the Islamic
 law along with the Holy Qur'ān and the Sunna, though
 only the latter two are regarded as *al-adillat-al-qat'iyya*
 or absolute arguments or authorities, the former
 two being called *al-adillat-al-ijtihādiyya* or arguments
 obtained by exertion. The sphere of Ijtihād is a very
 wide one, since it seeks to fulfil all the requirements of
 the Muslim community which are not met with expressly

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

in the Holy Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth. The great mujtahids of Islām have endeavoured to meet these demands by various methods, technically known as *qiyās* (analogical reasoning), *istiḥsān* (equity), *Istiṣlāḥ* (public good), and *istidlāl* (inference). Before proceeding further, a brief description of these methods may be given to show how new laws are evolved by adopting them.¹

The most important of these methods, and the one which has almost a universal sanction, is *qiyās* which means literally *measuring by* or *comparing with*, or *judging by comparing with, a thing*, while the jurists apply it to "a process of deduction by which the law of a text is applied to cases which, though not covered by the language, are governed by the reason of the text" (MJ.). Briefly it may be described as reasoning based on analogy. A case comes up for decision, which is not expressly provided for either in the Holy Qur'ān or in Ḥadīth. The jurist looks for a case resembling it in the Holy Qur'ān or in Ḥadīth, and, by reasoning on the basis of analogy, arrives at a decision. Thus it is an extension of the law as met with in the Holy Qur'ān and Ḥadīth, but it is not of equal authority with them, for no jurist has ever claimed infallibility for analogical deductions, or for decisions and laws which are based on *qiyās*; and it is a recognized principle of Ijtihād that the mujtahid may err in his judgment. Hence it is that so many differences of juristic deductions exist even among the highest authorities. From its very nature the *qiyās* of one generation may be rejected by a following generation.

1. Sir 'Abd al-Raḥīm has very ably dealt with this subject in his *Muhammadian Jurisprudence* where he has referred to original authorities. I am indebted to him for the material used here.

IJTIHĀD

Istihsān which literally means *considering a thing to be good or preferring it*, is in the technology of the jurists *the exercise of private judgment, not on the basis of analogy, but on that of public good or the interests of justice*. According to the Ḥanafī system, when a deduction based on analogy is not acceptable, either because it is against the broader rules of justice, or because it is not in the interests of the public good, and is likely to cause undue inconvenience to those to whom it is applied, the jurist is at liberty to reject the same, and to adopt instead a rule which is conducive to public good, or is in consonance with the broader rules of justice. This method is peculiar to the Ḥanafī system, but owing to strong opposition from the other schools of thought, it has not, even in that system, been developed to its full extent. The principle underlying it is, however, a very sound one and is quite in accordance with the spirit of the Holy Qur'ān. There is, moreover, less liability to error in this method than in far-fetched analogy, which often leads to narrow results opposed to the broad spirit of the Holy Qur'ān. In the system of Imām Mālik, a similar rule is adopted under the name of *istiślāḥ* which means *a deduction of law based on considerations of public good*.

Istidlāl literally signifies *the inferring of one thing from another*, and the two chief sources recognized for such inferences are customs and usages, and the laws of religions revealed before Islām. It is recognized that customs and usages which prevailed in Arabia at the advent of Islām, and which were not abrogated by Islām, have the force of law. On the same principle, customs and usages prevailing anywhere, when not opposed to the spirit of the Quranic teachings or not expressly forbidden by the Qur'ān, would be admissible, because, according to a

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

well-known maxim of the jurists, "permissibility is the original principle," and therefore what has not been declared unlawful is permissible. In fact, as a custom is recognized by a vast majority of the people, it is looked upon as having the force of *Ijmā'*, and, hence, it has precedence over a rule of law derived from analogy. The only condition required is that it must not be opposed to a clear text of the Holy Qur'ān or a reliable *ḥadīth* of the Holy Prophet. The Ḥanafī law lays special stress on the value of customs and usages. It is thus laid down in *Al-Ashbāh wa-l-Nazā'ir*: "Many decisions of law are based on usage and customs, so much so that it has been taken as a principle of laws" (MJ.). As regards laws revealed previous to Islām, opinion is divided. According to some jurists, all such laws as have not been expressly abrogated have the force of law even now, while according to others they have not. According to the Ḥanafī system, those laws of the previous religions are binding which have been mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān without being abrogated.

The word *Ijmā'* is derived from *jam'* which means *collecting or gathering together*, and *Ijmā'* carries the double significance of *composing and settling a thing which has been unsettled* and hence *determining and resolving upon an affair*, and also *agreeing or uniting in opinion* (LL.). In the terminology of the Muslim jurists, *Ijmā'* means a consensus of opinion of the mujtahids, or an agreement of the Muslim jurists, of a particular age on a question of law.¹ This agreement is inferred in three ways; firstly, by *qaul* (word), *i.e.* by recognized mujtahids expressing an opinion on the point in question; secondly, by *fi'l* (deed), *i.e.* when there is unanimity in practice;

1. For the material under this head I am indebted to Sir 'Abd al-Rahīm.

and thirdly by *sukūt* (silence), *i.e.* when the mujtahids do not controvert an opinion expressed by one or more of them. It is generally held that *Ijmā'* means the consensus of opinion of mujtahids only, and those who are not learned in law do not participate in it, but some are of opinion that *Ijmā'* means the agreement of all Muslims, infants or lunatics only being excluded. There is a difference of opinion as to whether *Ijmā'* is confined to a particular place or to one or more particular generations. Imām Mālik based his *Ijtihād* on the consensus of opinion of Madīna people. Theoretically such a limitation is untenable, as learned men were not confined to Madīna, and were sent out to outlying parts of the country even in the Prophet's lifetime. The more generally received opinion is that men of all places must be included. Again, the Sunnī schools of thought exclude the *Shī'a* mujtahids from the purview of *Ijmā'*, and *vice versa*. The *Shī'as* further hold that only the descendants of 'Alī and the Prophet's daughter Fātima are the proper persons to make an *Ijtihād*. Among the Sunnīs, some jurists are of opinion that *Ijmā'* is restricted only to the Companions of the Holy Prophet, others extending it to the next generation or the *Tabi'in*, but the general opinion is that *Ijmā'* is not confined to any one generation, nor to any one country, and therefore only the consensus of opinion of all the mujtahids of all countries in any one age is an effective *Ijmā'*, and this is almost an impossibility.

There is considerable difference of opinion as to whether an effective *Ijmā'* is formed by a majority of the mujtahids or by the agreement of the entire body of them. The majority of the jurists require the unanimity of opinion of all the jurists of a particular age, but important jurists have held the opposite view. Even the majority hold that if there is an overwhelming preponderance of mujtahids holding a certain view,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

that view is valid and binding, though not absolute (Mkh. II, p. 35; JJ. III, p. 291). Ijmā' is said to be complete when all the mujtahids of a particular age have come to an agreement on a certain question, though according to some it is necessary that all these mujtahids should have passed away without changing their opinion on that question. Some go still further and assert that no Ijmā' is effective unless it is shown that no jurist born in that age has expressed a contrary opinion.

When Ijmā' is established on a point, its effect is that no single jurist is permitted to re-open it, unless some jurist of the age in which the Ijmā' came about had expressed a different view. One Ijmā' may, however, be repealed by another Ijmā' in the same age or in a subsequent age, with this reservation that the Ijmā' of the Companions of the Holy Prophet cannot be reversed by any later generation (KA. III, p. 252). Views differ as to whether or not, when there is disagreement on a question among the Companions of the Holy Prophet, an Ijmā' upholding one view or the other is debarred. The fact that even a Companion may have made an error in forming a judgment is admitted on all hands, and therefore technically there can be no objection to an Ijmā' which goes against the opinion of a Companion.

Two more points have to be elucidated in order to realize the full force of Ijmā'. From what has been stated above, it would seem that a very large number of mujtahids would be needed for a valid Ijmā'. It is however held that if three, or even two, mujtahids take part in deliberating on a question, the Ijmā' is valid, while one jurist is of opinion that if in any particular age there is only one jurist, his solitary opinion would have the authority of Ijmā'. And now we come to the most important question. What is the authority

on which Ijmā' is to be based? According to the four great Imāms, Ijmā' may be based on the Holy Qur'an or on Ḥadīth or on analogy. The Mu'tazilas however hold that Ijmā' cannot be based on isolated ḥadīth or on analogy (JJ. III, p. 396). They, and some others, hold that as Ijmā' is absolute, the authority on which it is based must also be absolute.

It will thus be seen that it is a mistake to call Ijmā' is only Ijtihād on Ijmā' an independent source of a wider basis. the laws of Islām. It is essentially Ijtihād, with this distinction that it is Ijtihād on which all or the majority of the mujtahids of a certain generation are agreed. It is even admitted that, barring the Ijmā' of the Companions, the Ijmā' of one generation of Muslims may be set aside by the Ijmā' of another generation. The fact is, however, that if Ijmā' is taken to mean the consensus of opinion of all the mujtahids of a certain generation of Muslims, it has never been practicable after perhaps the early days of the Companions of the Holy Prophet. The Muslims, having spread far and wide and living, as they did, in distant places, could not all be occupied with the discussion of a certain question at one and the same time. Even in one country the same question need not occupy the attention of all the mujtahids simultaneously. There is, however, no denying the fact that if many mujtahids are agreed on a certain question, their opinion would carry greater weight than that of a single one, but even the opinion of many, or of all, is not infallible. Ijmā' after all is only Ijtihād on a wider basis, and like Ijtihād it is always open to correction.

I may add here that the sense in which the word To differ with majority. Ijmā' is commonly used nowadays is quite erroneous, for it is taken to mean the opinion of the majority, and it is generally thought that it is a sin on the part of a Muslim to differ

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

with the views of the majority. But honest difference of opinion, instead of being a sin, is called a mercy by the Holy Prophet, who is reported to have said: "The differences of my people are a mercy" (JS. p. 11). Difference of opinion is called a mercy, because it is only through encouraging difference of opinion that the reasoning faculty is developed, and the truth ultimately discovered. There were many differences of opinion among the Companions of the Holy Prophet, and there were also matters on which a single man used to express boldly his dissent from all the rest. For example, Abū Dharr was alone in holding that to have wealth in one's possession was a sin. His opinion was that no one should amass wealth, and that immediately one came into possession of it, he must distribute it to the poor. All the other Companions were opposed to this view, yet the authority of Ijmā' was never quoted against him, nor did anyone dare say that he deserved to go to Hell for this difference of opinion with the whole body of Companions (IS. T. IV: 1, p. 166). Ijtihād, on the other hand, is encouraged by a saying of the Holy Prophet, which promises reward even to the man who makes an error in Ijtihād: "When the judge gives a judgment and he exercises his reasoning faculty and is right, he has a double reward, and when he gives a judgment and exercises his reasoning faculty and makes a mistake, there is a reward for him" (MM. 17: 3—i).

Later jurists speak of three degrees of Ijtihād, Three degrees of though there is no authority for this Ijtihād. in either the Qur'an or the Ḥadīth or in the writings of the great Imāms. These three are *Ijtihād fi-l-shar'*, *Ijtihād fi-l-madhhab*, *Ijtihād fi-l-masā'il*, or exercise of judgment in legislation, in a juristic system, and in particular cases. The first kind of Ijtihād, exercise of judgment in the making of new laws, is

IJTIHĀD

supposed to have been limited to the first three centuries and, practically, it centres in the four Imāms who, it is thought, codified all law and included in their systems whatever was reported from the Companions and the *Tābi'in*, i.e., the generation next to the Companions. Of course, it is not laid down in so many clear words that the door of Ijtihād for making laws is closed after the second century of Hijra, but it is said that the conditions necessary for a mujtahid of the first degree have not been met with in any person after the first four Imāms, and it is further supposed that they will not be met with in any person till the Day of Judgment. These conditions are three, a comprehensive knowledge of the Qur'ān in its different aspects, a knowledge of the Sunna with its lines of transmission, text and varieties of significance, and a knowledge of the different aspects of *qiyās* (reasoning) (KA. IV, p. 15). No reason is given why these conditions were met with only in four men in the second century of Hijra, and why they were not met with in any person among the Companions of the Holy Prophet or in the first century or after the second century. It is an assertion without a basis. The second degree of Ijtihād is said to have been granted to the immediate disciples of the first four Imāms. Imām Abū Yūsuf and Imām Muḥammad, the two famous disciples of Imām Abū Ḥanīfa, belong to this class, and their unanimous opinion on any point must be accepted, even if it goes against that of their master. The third degree of Ijtihād was attainable by later jurists who could solve special cases that came before them, which had not been decided by the mujtahids of the first two degrees, but such decisions must be in absolute accordance with the opinion of the greater mujtahids. The door of such Ijtihād is also supposed to have been closed after the sixth century of Hijra. And at present, it is said, there can be only *muqallidīn* or those

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

who follow another in what he says or does, firmly believing him to be right therein, regardless of proof or evidence. They may only quote a *fatwā* from any of the earlier authorities, or when there are differing opinions of the earlier jurisconsults they can choose one of them, but they cannot question the correctness of what a mujtahid has said. Thus Ijtihād which was never considered to be an absolute authority by the great Imāms or their immediate disciples is now practically placed on the same level with the Holy Qur'ān and the Sunna, and hence no one is considered to be fit to make Ijtihād.

But it is a mistake to suppose that the door of

The door of Ijtihād is still open.

Ijtihād was closed after the four Imāms mentioned above. It is quite clear that the free exercise of judgment was allowed by the Holy Qur'ān, while both the Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth explicitly allowed an *istinbat*, and it was on the basis of these directions that the Muslim world continued to exercise its judgment in making laws for itself. The Companions of the Holy Prophet made use of it even in the Prophet's lifetime, when it was not convenient to refer a matter to him personally; and after his death, as new circumstances arose, new laws were made by the majority of the Khalīfa's council and new decisions given by the learned among the Companions; the *Ṭabī'ūn* added up to the knowledge of the Companions; and each succeeding generation, not satisfied with what the previous one had achieved, freely applied its judgment. The second century saw the four great luminaries appear on the horizon of Ijtihād, and the appearance of these great mujtahids, one after another, each evidently dissatisfied with what his predecessor had achieved, is another conclusive argument that Islām permitted human judgment to be exercised freely to meet new circumstances. Imām Mālik was not content with

what his great predecessor Abū Ḥanīfa had accomplished, nor Shāfi'ī with what his two predecessors had done; and in spite of the three having practically exhausted the well of jurisprudence, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal gave to a world, whose thirst for knowledge was ever on the increase, the result of the application of his own judgment. The great mujtahids not only applied their judgment to new circumstances, but they also differed in their principles of jurisprudence, which shows that no one of them considered the others infallible. If they were not infallible then, how did they become infallible after so many centuries when the mere lapse of time necessitated new legislation to meet new requirements? That the Holy Prophet opened the door of Iḥtihād is only too clear, that he never ordered it to be closed after a certain time is admitted on all hands; but even the great Imāms never closed that door. Neither Imām Abū Ḥanīfa, nor Mālik, nor Shāfi'ī, nor yet Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal ever said that no one after him shall be permitted to exercise his own judgment, nor did any one of them claim to be infallible; neither does any book on the principles of jurisprudence (*uṣūl*) lay down that the exercise of a man's own judgment for the making of new laws was forbidden to the Muslims after the four Imāms, nor yet that their Iḥtihād has the same absolute authority as the Holy Qur'ān and the Sunna. Iḥtihād was a great blessing to the Muslim people; it was the only way through which the needs of the succeeding generations and the requirements of the different races merging into Islām could be met. Neither the Holy Prophet, nor any of his Companions, nor any of the great mujtahids of Islām, ever said that Muslims were forbidden to apply their own judgment to new circumstances and the everchanging needs of a growing community after a certain time; nor has any one of them said, what in fact no one could say,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

that no new circumstances would arise after the second century. What happened was that the attention of the great intellects of the third century was directed towards the collection and criticism of Ḥadīth. On the other hand, the four Imāms rose so high above the ordinary jurists that the latter were dwarfed into insignificance, and the impression gained ground gradually that no one could exercise his judgment independently of the four Imāms. This impression in its turn led to limitations upon Ijtihād and the independence of thought to which Islām had given an impetus. Being thus restrained by a false impression, the intellect of Islām suffered a heavy loss and, the increasing demand of knowledge being brought to a stand-still, stagnation and ignorance took its place.

The Holy Qur'ān expressly recognizes independence

Independence of of opinion for one and all, and
thought recognized for requires that absolute obedience be
every Muslim. given only to God and His Apostle.

It says: "O you who believe! obey Allāh and obey the Apostle and those in authority from among you; then if you quarrel about anything, refer it to Allāh and the Apostle" (4:59). This verse speaks first of obedience to those in authority, the *ulu-l-amr*, along with the obedience to the Apostle, and then mentions disputes which, it says, must be settled by referring them to God and His Apostle. The omission of the *ulu-l-amr* from the latter portion of the verse shows clearly that the *quarrel* here spoken of relates to differences with the *ulu-l-amr*, and in the case of such a difference the only authority is the authority of God and the Apostle, or the Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth. Every authority in Islām, whether temporal or spiritual, is included in *ulu-l-amr*, and independence of thought for every Muslim is thus recognized by allowing him to differ with all except the Qur'ān

and the Ḥadīth. The Companions of the Holy Prophet, the Muḥaddithīn, the four Imāms and the jurists being thus included in *ulu-l-amr*, must be obeyed ordinarily, but to differ with any one or all of them, when one has the authority of the Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth, is expressly permitted. And since the ultimate test of the correctness of Ḥadīth is the Qur'ān itself, the conclusion is evident that Islām allows independence of thought subject only to one thing, that the principles laid down in the Qur'ān are not contravened.

It will thus be seen that any Muslim community has the right to make any law for itself, the only condition being that such law shall not contravene any principle laid down by the Holy Qur'ān. The impression prevailing in the Muslim world at present that no one has the right, even in the light of the new circumstances which a thousand years of the world's progress have brought about, to differ with the four Imāms is entirely a mistaken one. The right to differ with the highest of men below the Prophet is a Muslim's birthright, and to take away that birthright is to stifle the very existence of Islām. Under present circumstances, when conditions have quite changed and the world has been moving on for a thousand years, while the Muslims have more or less stagnated, it is the duty of Muslim states and Muslim peoples to apply their own judgment to the changed conditions, and find out the ways and means for their temporal salvation. In fact, the closing of the door on the free exercise of judgment, and the tendency to stifle independence of thought which took hold of the Muslim world after the third century of Hijra, was condemned by the Holy Prophet himself who said: "The best of the generations is my generation, then the second and then the third, then will come a people in which there is no good" (KU. VI, 2068). And

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

again: "The best of this community (*umma*) are the first of them and the last of them; among the first of them is the Apostle of Allāh, and among the last of them is Jesus, son of Mary,¹ and between these is a crooked way, they are not of me nor am I of them" (KU. VI, 2073).

The three generations in the first *ḥadīth* refer to three centuries, the first century being the century of the Companions, since the last of the Companions died at the end of the first century after the Prophet, the second being that of *Tābi'in* and the third that of *Atbā'al-tābi'in*. And, as a fact, we find that while independence of thought was freely exercised in the first three centuries, and even the immediate followers of Imām Abū Ḥanīfa, Muḥammad and Abū Yūsuf, did not hesitate to differ with their great leader, rigidity became the rule thereafter with only rare exceptions. The time when independence of thought was not exercised is, therefore, denounced by the Holy Prophet himself, as the time of a crooked company.

1. By Jesus, son of Mary, is meant the Messiah who was promised to the Muslims, as he is plainly called *imāmu-kum min-kum*, i.e. "your Imām from among yourselves" (Bu. 60:49).

SECOND PART
THE PRINCIPLES OF ISLĀM

CHAPTER I

IMĀN OR FAITH

The religion of Islām may be broadly divided into two parts—the theoretical, or what Faith and action. may be called its articles of faith or its doctrines, and the practical, which includes all that a Muslim is required to do, that is to say, the practical course to which he must conform his life. The former are called *uṣūl* and the latter *furūʿ*. The word *uṣūl* is the plural of *aṣl* which means *a root* or *a principle*, and *furūʿ* is the plural of *farʿ* which means *a branch*. The former are also called '*aqāid* (pl. of '*aqida*, lit. *what one is bound to*) or beliefs, and the latter *aḥkām* (pl. of *ḥukm*, lit. *an order*) or the ordinances and regulations of Islām. According to *Shahrastānī*, the former is *maʿrifa* or knowledge, and the latter *ṭāʿa* or obedience. Thus knowledge is the root; and obedience, or practice, the branch. This terminology is adopted by the later doctors; the two divisions being, in the Holy Qurʾān, *īmān* and '*amal*. The word *īmān*, generally translated as faith or belief, is derived from *āmana* (ordinarily rendered, *he believed*) which means, when used transitively, *he granted (him) peace or security*, and when used intransitively, *he came into peace or security*; while '*amal* signifies a deed or action. (The two words are most often used together in the Holy Qurʾān to indicate a believer, and *those who believe and do good* is the oft-recurring description of true believers.) Hence God is called *al-Muʾmin* (59:23) meaning *the Granter of security*, while the believer is also called *al-muʾmin*, meaning *one who has come into peace or security*, because he

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

has accepted the principles which bring about peace of mind or security from fear. As a principle is first accepted and then put into action, so the articles of faith are called the *roots*, and the regulations or ordinances which must be carried into effect are called the *branches*, because the branches grow from the roots just as action springs from faith. This relation of faith with actions must be borne in mind if we would understand the true meaning of Islām.

The word *īmān* is used in two different senses in the Holy Qur'ān. According to Rāghib, *īmān* is sometimes nothing more than a confession with the tongue that one believes in Muḥammad. There are many examples of this use of the word in the Holy Qur'ān, as in 2:62: "Those who believe (*āmanū*), and the Jews, and the Christians, and the Sabians, whoever believes in Allāh and the last day and does good, they shall have their reward from their Lord, and there is no fear for them, nor shall they grieve;" or in 4:136: "O you who believe (*āmanū*)! believe in Allāh and His Apostle and the Book which He has revealed to His Apostle." But, as Rāghib has further explained, *īmān* also implies the condition in which a confession with the tongue is accompanied by an assent of the heart, *taṣḍiq-un bi-l-qalb*, and the carrying into practice of what is believed, '*amal-un bi-l-jawāriḥ*, (lit., *doing of deeds with limbs*), as in 57:19: "And as for those who believe in Allāh and His apostles, these it is that are the truthful and the faithful ones in the sight of their Lord." The word is, however, also used in either of the two latter senses, *i.e.*, as meaning simply the assent of the heart and the doing of good deeds. Examples of this are: "The dwellers of the desert say, We believe; say,

IMĀN OR FAITH

You do not believe but say, We submit; and faith has not yet entered into your hearts" (49:14), where belief clearly stands for the assent of the heart explained in the verse itself; "What reason have you that you should not believe in Allāh, and the Apostle calls on you that you may believe in your Lord and indeed He has made a covenant with you if you are believers" (57:8), where "believe in Allāh" means *make sacrifices in the cause of truth*, as the context shows. Thus the word imān, as used in the Holy Qur'ān, signifies either simply a confession of the truth with the tongue, or simply an assent of the heart and a firm conviction of the truth brought by the Holy Prophet, or the doing of good deeds and carrying into practice of the principles accepted, or it may signify a combination of the three. ✓ Generally, however, it is employed to indicate an assent of the heart, combined, of course, with a confession with the tongue, to what the prophets bring from God, as distinguished from the doing of good deeds, and hence it is that the righteous as already remarked, are spoken of as those *who believe and do good*.

In Ḥadīth, the word imān is frequently used in its wider sense, that is to say, as including good deeds, and sometimes simply as standing for good deeds. Thus the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "Imān (faith) has over sixty branches, and modesty (*ḥayā*) is a branch of faith" (Bu. 2:3). In another ḥadīth the words are: "Imān has over seventy branches, the highest of which is (the belief) that nothing deserves to be worshipped except Allāh (*Lā ilāha ill-Allāh*), and the lowest of which is the removal from the way of that which might cause injury to any one" (M. 1:12). According to one ḥadīth:

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

"Love of the Anṣār¹ is a sign of faith" (Bu. 2:10); according to another: "One of you has no faith unless he loves for his brother what he loves for himself" (Bu. 2:7). And a third says: "One of you has no faith unless he has greater love for me than he has for his father and his son and all the people" (Bu. 2:8). The word *īmān* is thus applied to all good deeds, and *Bukhārī* has as the heading of one of his chapters in the *Kitāb al-Īmān* (Book 2): "He who says, *Imān* is nothing but the doing of good;" in support of which he quotes verses of the Holy Qur'ān. He argues from verses which speak of faith being increased,² that good deeds are a part of faith, because otherwise faith could not be thus spoken of.

Just as *īmān* is the acceptance of the truth brought by the Prophet, so *kufr* is its rejection, and as the practical acceptance of the truth or the doing of a good deed is called *īmān* or part of *īmān*, so the practical rejection of the truth or the doing of an evil deed is called *kufr* or part of *kufr*. The heading of a chapter in the *Bukhārī* is as follows: "*Ma'aṣī* (acts of disobedience) are of the affairs of *jāhiliyya*" (Bu. 2:22). Now *jāhiliyya* (lit. *ignorance*), in the terminology of Islām, means the "time of ignorance" before the advent of the Holy Prophet, and is thus synonymous with *kufr* or unbelief. In support of this is quoted a report relating to Abū Dharr who said that he abused a man, *i. e.*, addressed him as the son of a Negro woman, upon which the Holy Prophet remarked: "Abū

1. The residents of Madina who helped the Prophet on the occasion of his flight to that city are called Anṣār which is plural of *nāṣir* meaning *a helper*.

2. "He it is who sent down tranquillity into the hearts of the believers that they may have more of faith added to their faith" (48:4); "And those who believe may increase in faith" (74:31); "But this increased their faith" (3:172).

Dharr! thou findest fault with him on account of his mother, surely thou art a man in whom is *jāhiliyya* " (Bu. 2: 22). Thus the mere act of finding fault with a man on account of his Negro origin is called *jāhiliyya* or kufr. According to another ḥadīth, the Prophet is reported to have warned his Companions in the following words: "Beware! do not become unbelievers (*kuffār*, pl. of *kāfir*) after me so that some of you should strike off the necks of others" (Bu. 25: 132). Here the slaying of Muslims by Muslims is condemned as an act of unbelief. In another ḥadīth, it is said: "Abusing a Muslim is transgression and fighting with him is unbelief (kufr)" (Bu. 2: 36). Yet in spite of the fact that the fighting of Muslims with one another is called kufr—and those who fight among themselves are even called *kāfirs*—in these ḥadīth, the Holy Qur'ān speaks of two parties of Muslims at war with one another as believers (*mu'minīn*) (49: 9).¹ It is, therefore, clear that such conduct is called an act of unbelief (kufr) simply as being an act of disobedience. This point has been explained by Ibn Athīr in his well-known dictionary of Ḥadīth, the *Nihāya*. Writing under the word *kufr*, he says: "Kufr (unbelief) is of two kinds; one is a denial of the faith itself, and that is the opposite of faith; and the other is denial of a *far'* (branch) of the *furū'* of Islām, and on account of it a man does not get out of the faith itself." As already shown, the *furū'* of Islām are its ordinances, and thus the practical rejection of an ordinance of Islām, while it is called kufr, is not kufr in the technical sense, *i.e.*, a denial of Islām itself. He also tells of an incident which throws light on this question. Azharī was asked whether a man (*i.e.*, a Muslim) became

1. "And if two parties of believers fight, make peace between them, but if one of them acts wrongfully towards the other, fight that which acts wrongfully until it returns to Allāh's command" (49: 9).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

a kāfir (unbeliever) simply because he held a certain opinion, and he replied that such an opinion was kufr (unbelief); and, when pressed further, added: "The Muslim is sometimes guilty of kufr (unbelief)." Thus it is clear that a Muslim remains a Muslim though he may be guilty of an act of unbelief (kufr).

The concluding portion of the above paragraph

A Muslim cannot be called a kāfir. makes it clear that a Muslim cannot properly be called a kāfir. Every evil deed or act of disobedience being part of kufr, even a Muslim may commit an act of unbelief. And the opposite is equally true; namely, that since every good deed is a part of faith, even an unbeliever may perform an act of faith. There is nothing paradoxical in these statements. The dividing line between a Muslim and a kāfir, or between a believer and an unbeliever, is confession of the Unity of God and the prophethood of Muḥammad—*Lā ilāha ill-Allāh Muḥammad-un Rasūlu-llāh*. A man becomes a Muslim or a believer by making a confession of the Unity of God and of the prophethood of Muḥammad, and so long as he does not renounce his faith in this, he remains a Muslim or a believer technically, in spite of any opinion he may hold on any religious question, or any evil which he may commit or have committed, and a man who does not make this confession is a non-Muslim or unbeliever technically, in spite of any good that he may do. It does not mean that the evil deeds of the Muslim are not punished, or that the good deeds of the non-Muslim are not rewarded. The law of the requital of good and evil is a law apart, which goes on working irrespective of creeds, and the Holy Qur'ān puts it in very clear words: "So he who has done an atom's weight of good shall see it; and he who has done an atom's weight of evil shall see it" (99 : 7, 8). A believer is capable of doing evil and an unbeliever

IMĀN OR FAITH

is capable of doing good, and each shall be requited for what he does. But no one has the right to expel any one from the brotherhood of Islām so long as he confesses the Unity of God and the prophethood of Muḥammad. The Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth are quite clear on this point. Thus in the Holy Qur'ān we have: "And do not say to any one who offers you salutation, Thou art not a believer" (4 : 94). The Muslim form of salutation—*al-salāmu 'alai-kum*, or peace be with you, is thus considered a sufficient indication that the man who offers it is a Muslim, and no one has the right to say to him that he is not a believer, even though he may be insincere. The Holy Qur'ān speaks of two parties of Muslims fighting with each other, and yet of both as *mu'min*: "And if two parties of the believers (*mu'minin*) fight with each other, make peace between them" (49 : 9). It then goes on to say : "The believers are but brethren, therefore, make peace between your brethren" (49 : 10).

Even those who were known to be hypocrites were treated as Muslims by the Holy Prophet and his Companions, though they refused to join the Muslims in the struggle in which the latter had to engage in self-defence, and when the reputed chief of these hypocrites, the notorious 'Abd-Allāh ibn Ubayy died, the Holy Prophet offered funeral prayers on his grave and treated him as a Muslim. Ḥadīth is equally clear on this point. According to one ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said : "Whoever offers prayers as we do and turns his face to our Qibla and eats the animal slaughtered by us, he is a Muslim for whom is the covenant of Allāh and His Apostle, so do not violate Allāh's covenant" (Bu. 8 : 28). In another ḥadīth he is reported to have said : "Three things are the basis of faith : to withhold from one who confesses faith in *lā ilāha ill-Allāh*, you

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

should not call him *kāfir* for any sin, nor expel him from Islām for any deed..." (AD. 15 : 33). And according to a third, reported by Ibn 'Umar, he said: "Whoever calls the people of *lā ilāha ill-Allāh* *kāfir*, is himself nearer to *kufr*" (Tb.). By the people of *lā ilāha ill-Allāh*, or the upholders of the Unity, are clearly meant the Muslims, and it is made quite evident that any one who makes a confession of the *Kalima* that there is no god but Allāh and Muḥammad is His Apostle, becomes a Muslim, and to call him a *kāfir* is the greatest of sins. Thus it will be seen that membership of the brotherhood of Islām is a thing not to be tested by some great theologian, well-versed in logical quibblings, but rather by the man in the street, by the man of common sense, or even by the illiterate man who can judge of another by his very appearance, who is satisfied with even a greeting in the Muslim style of greeting, who requires no further argument when he sees a man turn his face to the Qibla, and to whom Islām means the confession of the Unity of God and the prophethood of Muḥammad.

A doctrine so plainly and so forcibly taught in the Holy Qur'ān and Ḥadīth stands in need of no support from the great and learned men among the Muslims, but, notwithstanding the schisms and differences that arose afterwards, and the numerous intricacies that were introduced into the simple faith of Islām by the logical niceties of later theologians, the principle above stated is upheld by all authorities on Islām. Thus the author of the *Mawāqif* sums up the views of Muslim theologians in the following words: "The generality of the theologians and the jurists are agreed that none of the *Ahl Qibla* (the people who recognize the Ka'ba as their *qibla*) can be called a *kāfir*" (Mf. p. 600). And the famous Abu-l-Ḥasan Ash'arī writes in the very beginning of his book *Maqālāt al-Islāmiyyīn wa ikhtilāfāt al-Muṣallīn*

IMĀN OR FAITH

(*what the Muslims say and the differences of those who pray*): "After the death of their Prophet, the Muslims became divided on many points, some of them calling others *dzāll* (straying from the right path), and some shunned others, so that they became sects entirely separated from each other, and scattered parties, but Islām gathers them all and includes them all in its sphere" (MI. pp. 1, 2).¹ Ṭaḥāwī, too, is reported as saying that "nothing can drive a man out of imān except the denial of what makes him enter it" (Rd. III, p. 310). Similarly Aḥmad ibn al-Muṣṭafā says that it is only bigoted people who call each other kāfirs, for, he adds: "Trustworthy Imāms from among the Ḥanafīs and the Shāfi'is and the Mālikīs and the Ḥanbalīs and the Ash'arīs hold that none of the *Ahl Qibla* can be called a kāfir" (MD. I, p. 46). In fact, it is the Khwārij who first introduced divisions or sectarianism into Islām by calling their Muslim brethren kāfirs, simply because they disagreed with their views.

1. Ash'arī states this principle by way of a preliminary to a discussion on the different sects of Islām, and then he goes on to speak of the Muslims as being divided into the Shī'a, the Khwārij, the Murj'a, the Mu'tazila, etc. Next he proceeds to discuss the main sub-divisions of these heads, those of the Shī'a being the Ghāliya (Extremists) who are again sub-divided into fifteen sects, the Rāfiḍa who are sub-divided into twenty-four different sects, and the Zaidiya who have six branches. Fifteen sub-divisions of the Khwārij are spoken of, and so on with regard to the other main sects. All these different sects and sub-sects are spoken of by Ash'arī as being Muslims, and not even the Ghāliya are excluded from Islām, though almost all of them believed in one of their leaders as a prophet, and legalized certain things expressly forbidden in the Holy Qur'ān. For instance, the Bayāniya believed in the prophethood of Bayān, their founder; the followers of 'Abd-Allāh ibn Mu'āwiya believed in their founder as Lord and as a prophet; and so it was with many others of them. Even these people are called Muslims because they still believed in the prophethood of Muḥammad and in the Divine origin of the Qur'ān and followed the law of Islām. The modern followers of Ash'arī who call their Muslim brethren kāfirs for the slightest differences should take a lesson from this.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The lexicology of *īmān* and *Islām* has already been explained. The word *īmān* signifies

īmān and *Islām*.

originally *conviction of the heart*,

while the word *Islām* signifies originally *submission*, and hence relates primarily to action. This difference in the original meaning finds expression both in the Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth, though in ordinary use they both convey the same significance, and *mu'min* and *Muslim* are generally used interchangeably. An example of the distinction in their use in the Holy Qur'ān is afforded in 49:14: "The dwellers of the desert say, We believe (*āmannā* from *īmān*) ; say, you do not believe but say, We submit (*aslamnā* from *islām*); and faith has not yet entered into your hearts; and if you obey Allāh and His Apostle, He will not diminish aught of your deeds; for Allāh is Forgiving, Merciful."¹ This does not mean, of course, that they did not believe in

1. The use of *īmān* and *Islām* in Ḥadīth points occasionally to a similar distinction in use, though ordinarily they are used interchangeably. Thus in the *Kitāb al-īmān*, Bukhārī relates the following from Abū Huraira: "The Prophet, may peace and the blessings of Allāh be upon him, was one day sitting outside among the people when a man came to him and asked; What is *īmān*? He replied: *īmān* is this that thou believe in Allāh and His angels and in the meeting with Him and His apostles, and that thou believe in life after death. He asked, What is *Islām*? He replied: *Islām* is this that thou worship Allāh and do not associate with Him aught, and keep up prayer and pay the obligatory alms (*zakāt*) and keep fast in *Ramadhān*" (Bu. 2:37). In another ḥadīth narrated in the same book, it is stated how when a Companion of the Prophet speaking of another repeatedly said that he thought him to be a believer (*mu'min*), the Prophet every time said, Rather a *Muslim* (Bu. 2:19); thus indicating that men could judge of each other only from outward acts. In the beginning of that book, however, a ḥadīth is narrated from Ibn 'Umar showing that *Islām* also includes belief: "*Islām* is based on five fundamentals, the bearing of witness (*shahāda*) that there is no god but Allāh and that Muḥammad is the Apostle of Allāh, and the keeping up of prayer, and the giving of *zakāt*, and the pilgrimage, and fasting in the month of *Ramadhān*" (Bu. 2:1). The word used here is, however, *shahāda* (or, the bearing of witness) not *īmān* or *believing*, and *shahāda* in this case, though requiring a belief in the truth of what is stated, is still an outward act.

IMĀN OR FAITH

the prophethood of Muḥammad. The significance of faith entering into the heart is made clear in the very next verse: "The believers are those only who believe in Allāh and His Apostle, then they doubt not and struggle hard with their wealth and their lives in the way of Allāh; they are the truthful ones" (49:15). In fact, both words, imān and Islām, are used to signify two different stages in the spiritual growth of man. A man is said to have believed (*āmana*) when he simply declares his faith in the Unity of God and the prophethood of Muḥammad, which in fact is the first stage of belief, because it is only by declaration of the acceptance of a principle that one makes a start; and a man is also said to have believed (*āmana*) when he carries into practice to their utmost extent the principles in which he has declared his faith. Examples of both these uses have already been given; examples of the first are 2:62, 4:136; an example of the latter (49:15) has just been quoted above. The only difference is that in the first use, belief or imān is in its first stage, a confession of the tongue—a declaration of the principle; and in the second, imān has been perfected and indicates the last stage of faith—which has then entered into the depths of the heart, and brought the change required. The same is the case with the use of the word Islām; in its first stage it is simply a willingness to submit, as in the verse quoted above (49:14); in its last it is entire submission, as in 2:112: "Yea! whoever submits himself (*aslama*) entirely to Allāh, and he is the doer of good (to others), he has his reward from his Lord, and there is no fear for them, nor shall they grieve." Thus both imān and Islām are the same in their first and last stages—from a simple declaration they have developed into perfection, and cover all the intermediate stages. They have both a starting point

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and a goal ; and the man who is at the starting point, the mere novice, and the man who has attained the goal, in spite of all the difference between them, are both called mu'min or Muslim, as are also those who are on their way, at different stages of the journey.

The above discussion leads us also to the conclusion that there are no dogmas in Islām, no mere beliefs forced upon a man for his alleged salvation. Belief, according to Islām, is not only a conviction of the truth of a given proposition, but it is essentially the acceptance of a proposition as a basis for action. The Qur'ān definitely upholds this view for, according to it, while the proposition of the existence of devils is as true as that of the existence of angels, a belief in angels is again and again mentioned as part of a Muslim's faith, whereas a disbelief in devils is as clearly mentioned as necessary : " Therefore he who disbelieves (*yakfur*) in the devil and believes (*yu'min*) in Allāh, has surely laid hold on the firmest handle " (2 : 257). The words used here for believing in God and disbelieving in devils are, respectively, *īmān* and *kufr*. If *īmān* meant simply a belief in the existence of a thing, and *kufr* the denying of the existence of a thing, a disbelief in devils could not have been spoken of as necessary along with a belief in God. God exists, the angels exist, the devil exists ; but while we must believe in God and His angels, we must disbelieve in the devil. This is because the angel, according to the Qur'ān, is the being who prompts the doing of good, and the devil is the being who prompts the doing of evil, so that a belief in angels means really acting upon the promptings to do good, and a disbelief in the devil means refusing to entertain evil promptings. Thus *īmān* (belief) really signifies the acceptance of a principle as a basis for action, and every doctrine of Islām answers to this description. There are no dogmas,

IMĀN OR FAITH

no mysteries, no faith which does not require action ; for every article of faith means a principle to be carried into practice for the higher development of man.

The whole of the religion of Islām is briefly summed up in the two short sentences, *Lā ilāha ill-Allāh, i.e.*, there is no god but Allāh, or, nothing deserves to be made an object of love and worship except Allāh, *Muḥammad-un Rasūlu-llāh, i.e.* Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allāh. It is simply by bearing witness to the truth of these two simple propositions that a man enters the fold of Islām. These two component parts of the simple faith of Islām do not occur together in the Holy Qur'ān, as in the accepted creed. The first part of the creed, however, is the constant theme of the Holy Qur'ān, and a faith in the Unity of God, in the fact that there is no god except Allāh, is repeatedly mentioned as the basic principle, not only of Islām but of every religion revealed by God. It takes several forms : "Have they a god with Allāh?" "Have they a god besides Allāh?" "There is no god except Allāh ;" "There is no god but He ;" "There is no god but Thou ;" "There is no god but I." The second part of the creed, *Muḥammad-un Rasūlu-llāh* is based on the apostleship of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, which is also a constant theme of the Holy Qur'ān, and the very words occur in 48 : 29 ; while from Ḥadīth it appears that the essential condition of the acceptance of Islām was the acceptance of these two component parts of the creed (Bu. 2 : 40).

The above, in the terminology of the later theologians, is called *īmān mujmal* or a brief expression of faith ; while the detailed expression of faith, which the later theologians call *mufaṣṣal*, is set forth in the very beginning of the Holy Qur'ān as follows : a belief in the Unseen (*i.e.* God), a belief in that which was revealed to the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Holy Prophet Muḥammad and in that which was revealed to the prophets before him, and a belief in the Hereafter (2 : 2-4). Further on in the same chapter, five principles of faith are clearly mentioned : “ That one should believe in Allāh and the Last Day and the Angels and the Books and the Prophets ” (2 : 177). Again and again the Holy Qur’ān makes it clear that it is only in relation to these five that belief is required. In the Ḥadīth, there is a slight variation. Bukhārī has it as follows : “ That thou believe in Allāh and His Angels and in the meeting with Him and His Apostles and that thou believe in the Life after death ” (Bu. 2 : 37). It will be seen that a belief in the meeting with God is mentioned distinctly here, and while this is included in the belief in God in the Holy Qur’ān in the verse quoted above, it is also mentioned distinctly on many occasions ; see 13 : 2, etc. Again, in the Ḥadīth, the Books are not mentioned distinctly and are included in the word “Apostles.” Thus the basis of belief rests on five principles, according to the Holy Qur’ān and Ḥadīth, God, His Angels, His Prophets, His Books, and a Life after death. But in some ḥadīth, the words are added : “ That thou believe in *qadar* ” (lit., *the measure*). *Qadar* is, no doubt, spoken of in the Holy Qur’ān as a law of God, but never as an article of faith, and all the Divine laws are accepted as true by every Muslim.

As I have already said, all articles of faith are in reality principles of action. Allāh is the Being Who possesses all the perfect attributes, and when a man is required to believe in Allāh, he is really required to make himself possessor of the highest moral qualities, his goal being the attainment of the Divine attributes. He must set before himself the highest and purest ideal of which the heart of man can conceive, and make his conduct conform to that

IMĀN OR FAITH

ideal. Belief in the angels means that the believer should follow the good impulses which are inherent in him, for the angel is the being who turns a good impulse. Belief in the books of God signifies that we should follow the directions contained in them for the development of our inner faculties. Belief in apostles means that we are to model ourselves on their noble example and sacrifice our lives for humanity even as they did. Belief in the Hereafter or the Last Day tells us that physical or material advancement is not the end or goal of life ; but that its real purpose is an infinitely higher one, of which the Resurrection, or the Last Day, is but the beginning.

CHAPTER II.
THE DIVINE BEING.

SEC. I—THE EXISTENCE OF GOD.

In all religious books the existence of God is taken almost as an axiomatic truth. The
Material, inner and spiritual experience of Holy Qur'an, however, advances
humanity. numerous arguments to prove the
existence of a Supreme Being Who is the Creator and
Controller of this universe. In a brief treatment like the
present, I can only refer to the three main kinds of
arguments with which the Holy Book chiefly deals. These
are, first, the arguments drawn from creation, which may
be called the lower or material experience of humanity ;
secondly, the evidence of human nature, which may be
called the inner experience of humanity ; and thirdly, the
arguments based on Divine revelation to man, which may
be called the higher or spiritual experience of humanity.
It will be seen, from what is said further on, that, as the
scope of experience is narrowed down, so the arguments
gain in effectiveness. The argument from creation
simply shows that there *must be* a Creator of this
universe, Who is also its Controller, but it does not go so
far as to show that there *is* a God. The testimony of
human nature proceeds a step further, since there is in it
a consciousness of Divine existence, though that con-
sciousness may differ in different natures according as the
inner light is bright or dim. It is only revelation that
discloses God in the full splendour of His light, and
shows the sublime attributes which man must emulate if
he is to attain perfection, together with the means where-
by he can hold communion with the Divine Being.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The first argument, that from creation, centres round the word *Rabb*. In the very first revelation that came to the Holy Prophet, he was told to "read in the name of the *Rabb* Who created" (96 : 1). Now the word *Rabb*, which is generally translated as 'Lord', conveys really quite a different significance. According to the best authorities on Arabic lexicology, it combines two senses, that of *fostering, bringing up or nourishing*, and that of *regulating, completing and accomplishing* (LL., TA.). Thus its underlying idea is that of fostering things from the crudest state to that of highest perfection ; in other words, the idea of evolution. Rāghib is even more explicit on this point. According to him, *Rabb* signifies *the fostering of a thing in such a manner as to make it attain one condition after another until it reaches its goal of perfection*. There is thus, in the use of the word *Rabb*, an indication that everything created by God bears the impress of Divine creation, in the characteristic of moving on from lower to higher stages until it reaches completion. This argument is expanded and made clearer in another very early revelation which runs thus : "Glorify the name of thy *Rabb*, the most High, Who creates, then makes complete, and Who makes (things) according to a measure, then guides them to their goal of perfection" (87 : 1-3). The full idea of *Rabb* is here expounded : He creates things and brings them to perfection ; He makes things according to a measure and shows them the ways whereby they may attain to perfection. The idea of evolution is fully developed in the first two actions, the creation and the completion, so that every thing created by God must attain to its destined completion. The last two actions show how the completion or evolution is brought about. Everything is made according to a measure, that is to say, certain laws

THE EXISTENCE OF GOD

of development are inherent in it ; and it is also shown a way, that is to say, it knows the line along which it must proceed, so that it may reach its goal of completion. It thus appears that the creative force is not a blind force but one possessing wisdom and acting with a purpose, and that purpose is the moving on of the whole creation from the lower to the higher. Even to the ordinary eye, wisdom and purpose are observable throughout the whole of the Divine creation, from the tiniest particle of dust or blade of grass to the mighty spheres moving in the universe on their appointed courses, because everyone of them is travelling on along a certain line to its appointed goal of completion.

In this connection let me draw attention to another characteristic of God's creation. Everything, we are told, is created in pairs :

“And the heaven, We raised it high with power, and We are the maker of things ample. And the earth, We have made it a wide extent ; how well have We spread it out. And of everything We have created pairs that you may be mindful ” (51 : 47-49).

“Glory be to Him Who created pairs of all things, of what the earth grows and of their own kind and of what they do not know ” (36 : 36).

“And He Who created pairs of all things ” (43 : 12).

This shows that there are pairs not only in the animal creation but also in “what the earth grows,” that is, in the vegetable kingdom, and further in “what you do not know”. In fact, the idea of pairing is carried to its furthest extent, so that even the heavens and the earth are described as if they were a pair, because of the quality of activity in the one and that of passivity in the other. This deep inter-relationship of things is also an evidence of Divine purpose in the whole of creation.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

A further point upon which the Holy Qur'ān lays especial stress is the fact that, notwithstanding its immensity of variety, there is but one law for the whole universe :

“Who created the seven heavens alike ; thou seest no incongruity in the creation of the Beneficent God ; then look again, canst thou see any disorder ? Then turn back the eye again and again ; thy look shall come back to thee confused while it is fatigued ” (67 : 3,4).

Here we are told that there is in creation neither incongruity whereby things belonging to the same class are subject to different laws ; nor disorder whereby the law cannot work uniformly ; so that the miraculous regularity and uniformity of law in the midst of the unimaginable variety of conflicting conditions existing in the universe is also evidence of a Divine purpose and wisdom in the creation of things.

Another argument that there is an intelligent Being guiding the universe is the fact that the whole of creation is held under control. from the smallest particle to the largest heavenly body, everything is held under control and is subject to a law ; no one thing interferes with the course of another or hampers it ; while, on the other hand, all things are helping each other on to attain perfection. The Holy Qur'ān stresses this fact frequently :

“The sun and the moon follow a reckoning and the herbs and the trees do adore ” (55 : 5, 6).

“And the sun runs on to a term appointed for it ; that is the ordinance of the Mighty, the Wise. And for the moon We have ordained stages till it becomes again as an old dry palm branch. Neither is it allowable to the sun that it should overtake the moon, nor can the night outstrip the day ; and all bodies float on in a sphere” (36 : 38-40).

“Again, He directed Himself to the heaven and it is

THE EXISTENCE OF GOD

a vapour; so He said to it and to the earth, Come both willingly or unwillingly. They both said, We come willingly " (41 : 11).

"Allāh is He Who made subservient to you the sea that the ships may run therein by His command, and that you may seek of His grace, and that you may give thanks. And He has made subservient to you whatsoever is in the heavens and whatsoever is in the earth, all, from Himself; indeed there are signs in this for a people who reflect " (45 : 12,13).

"And He created the sun and the moon and the stars, made subservient by His command; surely His is the creation and the command " (7 : 54).

All these verses show that inasmuch as everything is subject to command and control for the fulfilment of a certain purpose, there must be an all-Wise Controller of the whole.

The second class of argument for the existence of God relates to the human soul. In Guidance afforded by human nature. the first place there is the consciousness of the existence of God. There is an inner light within each man telling him that there is a Higher Being, a God, a Creator. This inner evidence is often brought out in the form of a question. It is like an appeal to man's inner self. The question is sometimes left unanswered, as if man were called upon to give it a deeper thought: "Or were they created for nothing, or are they the creators (of their own souls)? Or did they create the heavens and the earth?" (52 : 35, 36). Sometimes the answer is given: "And if thou shouldst ask them, Who created the heavens and the earth, they would certainly say, The Mighty, the Knowing One, has created them " (43 : 9). On one occasion, the question is put direct to the human soul by God Himself: "And when thy Lord brought forth from the children of Adam,

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

from their backs, their descendants, and made them bear witness regarding their own souls : Am I not your Lord (*Rabb*) ? They said : Yes ! we bear witness " (7 : 172). This is clearly the evidence of human nature, which is elsewhere spoken of as being " the nature made by Allāh in which He has made all men " (30 : 30). Sometimes this consciousness on the part of the human soul is mentioned in terms of its unimaginable nearness to the Divine Spirit : " We are nearer to him than his life-vein " (50 : 16). And again, " We are nearer to it (the soul) than you " (56 : 85). The idea that God is nearer to man than his own self only shows that the consciousness of the existence of God in the human soul is even clearer than the consciousness of its own existence.

If, then, the human soul has such a clear consciousness of the existence of God, how is it, the question may be asked, that there are men who deny the existence of God ? Here, two things must be borne in mind. In the first place the inner light within each man, which makes him conscious of the existence of God, is not equally clear in all cases. With some, as with the great divines of every age and country, that light shines forth in its full glory, and their consciousness of the Divine presence is very strong. In the case of ordinary men consciousness is generally weaker and the inner light more dim ; there may even be cases in which that consciousness is only in a state of inertia, and the inner light has almost gone out. Secondly, even the Atheist or the Agnostic recognizes a First Cause, or a Higher Power, even though he may deny the existence of a God with particular attributes ; and occasionally that consciousness is awakened in him, and the inner light asserts itself, especially in times of distress or affliction. It looks very much as though ease and comfort, like evil, cast a veil over the inner light of man, and that veil were removed by distress—a fact to

THE EXISTENCE OF GOD

which the Holy Qur'an has repeatedly called attention:

"And when We show favour to man, he turns aside and withdraws himself, and when evil touches him, he makes lengthy supplications" (41 : 51).

"And when harm afflicts men, they call upon their Lord turning to him" (30 : 33).

"And when the waves come over them like coverings they call upon Allāh, being sincere to Him in obedience, but when He brings them safe to the land, some of them follow the middle course" (31 : 32).

"And whatever favour is bestowed on you, it is from Allāh, and when evil afflicts you, to Him do you cry for aid" (16 : 53).

There is in man's soul something more than mere consciousness of the existence of God; there is in it a yearning after its Maker—the instinct to turn to God for help; there is implanted in it the love of God for Whose sake it is ready to make every sacrifice. Finally it cannot find complete contentment without God. But it is difficult to deal with these and the numerous other subjects relating to the attributes of the human soul in the short space at our disposal; so, perforce, we must leave it there.

The clearest and surest evidence relating to the existence of God is afforded by Divine revelation, which not only establishes the truth of the existence of God, but also casts a flood of light on the Divine attributes, without which the existence of the Divine Being would remain mere dogma. It is through this disclosure of the Divine attributes that belief in God becomes the most important factor in the evolution of man, since a knowledge of those attributes enables him to set before himself the high ideal of imitating Divine morals; and it is only thus that man can rise to the highest moral eminence. God is the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Nourisher of all the worlds, so His worshipper will do his utmost to serve the cause of humanity, and exercise care even for the dumb creation. God is Loving and Affectionate to His creatures, so one who believes in Him will be moved by the impulse of love and affection towards His creation. God is Merciful and Forgiving, so His servant must be merciful and forgiving to his fellow-beings.

A belief in a God possessing the perfect attributes made known by Divine revelation, is the highest ideal which a man can place before himself; and without this ideal there is a void in man's life which drains it of all earnestness and every noble aspiration.

In another way, Divine revelation brings man closer to God and makes His existence felt as a reality in his life, and that is through the example of the perfect man who holds communion with the Divine Being. That God is a Reality, a Truth—in fact, the greatest reality in this world—that man can feel His presence and realize Him in every hour of his everyday life, and have the closest relations with Him; that such a realization of the Divine Being works a change in the life of man, making him an irresistible spiritual force in the world, is not the solitary experience of one individual or of one nation, but the universal experience of men in all nations, all countries and all ages. Abraham, Moses, Christ, Confucius, Zoroaster, Rama, Krishna, Buddha and Muḥammad, each and every one of these luminaries, has brought about a moral, and in some cases also a material, revolution in the world, which the combined resources of whole nations have been powerless to resist, and has lifted up humanity from the depths of degradation to the greatest heights of moral, and even material, prosperity; which only shows to what heights man's soul may rise if only it works in true relationship with the Divine Being.

THE EXISTENCE OF GOD

To take but one example, that of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad. A solitary man arises in the midst of a whole nation which is sunk deep in all kinds of vice and degradation. He has no power at his back, not even a man to second him, and without any preliminaries at all he sets his hand to the unimaginable and apparently impossible task of the reformation, not merely of that one nation, but through it, of the whole of humanity, and he starts with that one Force, the Force Divine, which makes possible the impossible—"Read in the name of thy Lord;" "Arise and warn and thy Lord do magnify." The cause was Divine, and it was on Divine help that its success depended. With every new dawn the task grows harder, and the opposition waxes stronger, until, to an onlooker, there is nothing but disappointment everywhere. Yet how does it affect the Prophet's mind? His determination grows stronger with the strength of the opposition and, while in the earlier revelation there are only general statements of the triumph of his cause and the failure of the enemy, those statements become clearer and more definite as the prospects, to all outward appearance, grow more hopeless. Here are a few verses in the order of their revelation :

"By the grace of thy Lord thou art not mad. And thou shalt surely have a reward never to be cut off" (68 : 2, 3).

"Surely We have given thee abundance of good" (108 : 1).

"Surely with difficulty is ease" (94 : 5).

"And what comes after is certainly better for thee than that which has gone before, and soon will thy Lord give thee so that thou shalt be well pleased" (93 : 4, 5).

"Truly it is the word of an honoured Messenger, the possessor of strength, having an honourable place with the Lord of the throne" (81 : 19, 20).

"And during a part of the night, forsake sleep by it

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

(i. e. the Qur'ān)maybe thy Lord will raise thee to a position of great glory " (17 : 79).

" O man ! We have not revealed the Qur'ān to thee that thou mayest be unsuccessful " (20 : 1, 2).

" And on that day the believers shall rejoice, with the help of Allāh " (30 : 4, 5).

" Surely We help Our apostles, and those who believe, in this world's life and on the day when the witnesses shall stand up " (40 : 51).

" Blessed is He Who, if He please, will give thee better gardens than these, in which rivers flow, and He will give thee palaces " (25 : 10).

" Allāh has promised to those of you who believe and do good that He will make them rulers in the earth as He made rulers those before them, and that He will establish for them their religion which He has chosen for them, and that He will, after their fear, give them security in exchange " (24 : 55).

" He it is Who sent His Apostle with the guidance and the true religion that He may make it prevail over all the religions " (48 : 28).

In like manner, the end of opposition is described more clearly in the later revelations than in the earlier, although that opposition grew more and more powerful as days went on. The following three verses belong to three different periods:

" Until when they see what they are threatened with, they shall know who is weaker in helpers and fewer in number " (72 : 24).

" Or do they say, We are a host allied together to help each other? Soon shall the hosts be routed and they shall turn their backs " (54 : 44, 45).

" Say to those who disbelieve, you shall soon be vanquished " (3 : 11).

And all this came about years after these things had

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

been foretold, though at the time of their foretelling there was nothing to justify such prophecies ; nay, the circumstances were all against them. No man could possibly have foreseen what was so clearly stated as certain to come about, and no human power could have brought to utter failure the whole nation with all its resources ranged against a solitary man whom it was determined to destroy. Divine revelation thus affords the clearest and surest testimony of the existence of God, before Whose knowledge, past, present and future are alike and Who controls alike the forces of nature and the destiny of man.

SEC. 2—THE UNITY OF GOD.

All the basic principles of Islām are fully dealt with in the Holy Qur'ān, and so is the doctrine of faith in God, whereof the corner-stone is belief in the Unity of God (*tauḥīd*). The best-known expression of Divine Unity is that contained in *lā ilāha ill-Allāh*. It is made up of four words, *lā* (no), *ilāh* (that which is worshipped), *illā* (except) and Allāh (the proper name of the Divine Being). Thus these words, which are commonly rendered into English as meaning, "there is no god but Allāh", convey the significance that there is nothing which deserves to be worshipped except Allāh. It is this confession which, when combined with the confession of the prophethood of Muḥammad—*Muḥammad-un Rasūlu-llāh*—, admits a man into the fold of Islām. The Unity of God, according to the Holy Qur'ān, implies that God is One in His person (*dhāt*), One in His attributes (*ṣifāt*) and One in His works (*af'al*). His Oneness in His person means that there is neither plurality of gods, nor plurality of persons in the Godhead ; His Oneness in attributes implies that no other being possesses one or more of the Divine attributes in perfection ; His Oneness in works implies

THE UNITY OF GOD

that none can do the works which God has done, or which God may do.¹ The doctrine of Unity is beautifully summed up in one of the shortest and earliest chapters of the Holy Qur'an: "Say, He, Allāh is One; Allāh is He on Whom all depend; He begets not, nor is He begotten; and none is like Him" (ch. 112).

The opposite of Unity or *Tawhīd* is *shirk*. The word *shirk* implies *partnership* and *sharik* (pl. *shurakā'*) means a *partner*. In the Holy Qur'an, *shirk* is used to signify the associating of gods with God, whether such association be with respect to the person of God or His attributes or His works, or with respect to the obedience which is due to Him alone. *Shirk* is said to be the gravest of all sins: "*Shirk* is a grievous iniquity" (31:13); "Allāh does not forgive the association of other gods with Him and forgives what is besides that to whomsoever He pleases" (4:48). But the great gravity of this human weakness is not due to the jealousy of God—in fact jealousy is, according to the Holy Qur'an, quite unthinkable as an attribute of the Divine Being; it is due to the fact that it demoralizes man, while Divine Unity brings about his moral elevation. According to the Holy Qur'an, man is God's vicegerent (*khālifa*) on earth (2:30), and this shows that he is gifted with the power of controlling the rest of the earthly creation. We are told expressly that he has been made to rule the world: "Allāh is He Who made subservient to you the sea that the ships may run therein by His command and that you may seek of His grace, and that you may give thanks. And He has made subservient to you whatsoever is in the heavens and whatsoever is in the earth, all from Himself; surely there are signs in this

1. Some have explained Oneness in attributes as meaning that He does not possess two powers, two knowledges, etc., and Oneness in works as meaning that no other being has influence over Him.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

for a people who reflect " (45 : 12,13). Man is thus placed above the whole of creation ; nay even above the very angels who make obeisance to him (2 : 34). If, then, man has been created to rule the universe and is gifted with the power to subdue everything and to turn it to his use, does he not degrade himself by taking other things for gods, by bowing before the very things which he has been created to conquer and rule ? This is an argument which the Holy Qur'ān has itself advanced against shirk. Thus the words, " Shall I seek a lord other than Allāh, and He is the Lord of all things " (6 : 165), are followed in the next verse by " And He has made you rulers of the earth. " And again : " What, shall I seek for you a god other than Allāh while He has made you excel all created things ? " (7 : 140). Shirk is, therefore, of all sins the most serious because it degrades man and renders him unfit for attaining the high position destined for him in the Divine scheme.

The various forms of shirk mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān are an indication of the shirk. Various forms of shirk are an indication of the ennobling message underlying the teaching of Divine Unity. These are summed up in 3 : 63 : " That we shall not worship¹ any but Allāh and that we shall not associate aught with Him and that some of us shall not take others for lords besides Allāh. " These are really three forms of shirk—a fourth kind is mentioned separately. The most palpable form of shirk is that in which anything besides God is worshipped, such as stones, idols, trees, animals, tombs, heavenly bodies, forces of nature,

1. The Arabic word for worship is '*ibāda*', which carries originally a wide significance, *the showing of submission to the utmost extent, or obedience which is combined with the utmost humility*, but in ordinary usage it means the adopting of a reverential attitude of the body towards a thing, while the mind is engrossed with ideas of its greatness and mightiness, and the making of supplications to it. It is in this sense that the word '*ibāda*' is used here.

THE UNITY OF GOD

or human beings who are supposed to be demi-gods or gods or incarnations of God or sons or daughters of God. The second kind of shirk, which is less palpable, is the associating of other things with God, that is to say, to suppose that other things and beings possess the same attributes as the Divine Being. The belief that there are three persons in the Godhead, and that the Son and the Holy Ghost are eternal, Omnipotent and Omniscient like God Himself, as in the Christian creed, or that there is a Creator of Evil along with a Creator of Good, as in Zoroastrianism, or that matter and soul are co-eternal with God and self-existing like Himself, as in Hinduism—all fall under this head. The last kind of shirk is that in which some men take others for their lords. The meaning of this was explained by the Holy Prophet himself, in answer to a question put to him. When 9:31 was revealed—"they have taken their doctors of law and their monks for lords besides Allāh"—'Adiyy ibn Ḥatim, a convert from Christianity, said to the Holy Prophet that the Jews and the Christians did not worship the doctors of law and the monks. The Holy Prophet asked him if it was not true that they blindly obeyed them in what they enjoined and what they forbade, and 'Adiyy answered in the affirmative, which shows that to follow the behests of great men blindly was also considered shirk. And the fourth kind of shirk is that which is referred to in 25:43: "Hast thou seen him who takes his low desires for his god?" Here blindly following one's own desires is also called shirk, the reason being that the Unity of God is not merely a dogma to be believed in, but has a deep underlying significance as will be shown later on. A belief in the Unity of God means that true obedience is due to God alone, and whosoever obeys either any one else, or his own low desires, in preference to the Divine commandments, is really guilty of shirk.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Of the different forms of shirk, idolatry is denounced in the most scathing terms and, indeed, is cited more frequently than all the other forms of shirk. This is due to the fact that idolatry is the most heinous form of shirk and also was the most rampant throughout the world at the advent of Islām. Not only is idolatry condemned in its gross form, which takes it for granted that an idol can cause benefit or do harm, but the idea is also controverted that there is any meaning underlying this gross form of worship: "And those who take guardians besides Him, (saying), We do not serve them save that they may bring us nearer to Allāh, Allāh will judge between them in that in which they differ" (39 : 33). A similar excuse is put forward today by some of the advanced idolators. It is said that an idol is used simply to concentrate the worshipper's attention, which means that with an idol before a worshipper, whereon he may concentrate his attention, he will become more deeply engrossed in Divine contemplation, and that is the very idea which is controverted in the verse quoted above—"that they may bring us nearer to Allāh." But even in this case the worshipper must believe that the idol on which he centres his attention is a symbol of the Divine Being, which is a grossly false notion; and, moreover, it is the idol on which the worshipper's attention is centred, not the Divine Being. It is also wrong to suppose that a material symbol is necessary for concentration, for attention can be every whit as easily concentrated on a spiritual object, and it is only when the object of attention is spiritual that concentration helps the development of will-power. Along with idol-worship, the Holy Qur'ān also prohibits dedication to idols (6 : 137).

THE UNITY OF GOD

Another form of prevailing shirk denounced in the Holy Qur'ān is the worship of the sun, the moon, the stars, in fact of everything which might appear to control the destinies of man. The worship of these great luminaries is expressly forbidden: "And among His signs are the night and the day and the sun and the moon; do not make obeisance to the sun nor to the moon, and make obeisance to Allāh Who created them" (41 : 37). The argument is also clearly put forth in Abraham's controversy with his people that these things are themselves under the control of a Higher Power.¹ The argument thus advanced against the worship of the sun and the moon not only applies to all heavenly bodies, but also, and equally well, to all the forces of nature, which are in fact again and again mentioned as being made subservient to man. The worship of Sirius is alluded to in 53 : 49, where God is called the Lord of Sirius.

Deism is mentioned in particular : "And Allāh has said, Take not two gods, He is only One God" (16 : 51). The jinn are also referred to as being set up on a level with God : "And they make the jinn associates with Allāh, while He created them (6 : 101)." The Trinity is also denounced as a form of shirk : "Believe therefore in Allāh and His apostles, and say not, Three ; desist, it is better for you ; Allāh is only One God" (4 : 171). It is sometimes

1. " And thus did We show Abraham the kingdom of the heavens and the earth and that he might be of those who are sure. So when night overshadowed him, he saw a star. Said he, Is this my Lord ? And when it set, he said, I do not love the setting ones. Then when he saw the moon rising, he said, Is this my Lord ? When it set, he said, If my Lord had not guided me, I should be of the erring people. Then when he saw the sun rising, he said, Is this my Lord ? Is this the greatest ? And when it set, he said, O my people ! I am clear of what you set up with Allāh. I have turned myself, being upright, wholly to Him Who originated the heavens and the earth and I am not of the polytheists " (6 : 76-80).

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

alleged that the Quranic conception of the Trinity is a mistaken one, because it speaks of Jesus and Mary as having been taken for two gods: "O Jesus, son of Mary! didst thou say to men, Take me and my mother for two gods, besides Allāh?" (5 : 116). The reference here is to Mariolatry. That Mary was worshipped is a fact, and the Qur'ān's reference to it is significant,¹ but it should be noted that neither the Holy Qur'ān, nor the Holy Prophet, has anywhere said that Mary was the third person of the Trinity. Where the Holy Qur'ān denounces the Trinity, it speaks of the doctrine of sonship but does not speak of the worship of Mary at all; and where it speaks of the worship of Mary, it does not refer to the Trinity.

Another form of shirk, refuted in the Holy Qur'ān, is the doctrine that God has sons or daughters. The pagan Arabs ascribed daughters to God while the Christians hold that God has a son. Though the doctrine of ascribing daughters to God is mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān several times, as in

Doctrine of sonship.

1. The doctrine and practice of Mariolatry, as it is called by the Protestant controversialists, is too well-known. In the catechism of the Roman Church the following doctrines are to be found: "That she is truly the mother of God.....; That she is the mother of Pity and very specially our advocate; that her images are of the utmost utility." It is also stated that her intercessions are directly appealed to in the Litany. And further that there were women in Thrace, Scythia and Arabia who were in the habit of worshipping the Virgin as a goddess, the offer of a cake being one of the features of their worship. "From the time of the council of Ephesus, to exhibit figures of the Virgin and Child became the approved expression of orthodoxy.....Of the growth of the Marian cultus, alike in the East and the West, after the decision at Ephesus, it would be impossible to trace the history.....Justinian in one of his laws bespeaks her advocacy for the empire.....Narses looks to her for directions on the field of battle. The emperor Heraclius bears her image on his banner. John of Damascus speaks of her as the sovereign lady to whom the whole creation has been made subject by her son. Peter Damian recognizes her as the most exalted of all creatures, and apostrophizes her as deified and endowed with all power in heaven and in earth" (En. Br. 11th ed. XVII, p. 813).

THE UNITY OF GOD

16 : 57 ; 17 : 40 ; 37 : 149, yet it is against the Christian doctrine that the Holy Book speaks with gravest emphasis : " And they say : The Beneficent God has taken (to Himself) a son. Certainly you have made an abominable assertion ; the heavens may almost be rent thereat, and the earth cleave asunder, and the mountains fall down in pieces, that they ascribe a son to the Beneficent God " (19 : 88-91). The doctrine is denounced repeatedly ; for instance in 2 : 116 ; 6 : 102-104 ; 10 : 68 ; 17 : 111 ; 18 : 4, 5 ; 19 : 35, 91, 92 ; 23 : 91 ; 37 : 151, 152 ; 112 : 3. Of these, ch. 112 is undoubtedly one of the earliest revelations, while the 17th, 18th and 19th chapters also belong to the early Makka period. This shows that from the very first the Holy Qur'ān set before itself the correction of this great error. It will be observed that a mention of the doctrine of sonship is often followed by the word *subḥāna-hū*, which word is used to indicate the purity of God from all defects. The reason of this is that the doctrine of sonship is due to the supposition that God cannot forgive sins unless He receives some satisfaction therefor, and this satisfaction is supposed to have been afforded by the crucifixion of the Son of God, who alone is said to be sinless. The doctrine of sonship is thus practically a denial of the quality of forgiveness in God, and this amounts to attributing a defect to Him. It is for this reason also that we are told in 19 : 92, which is preceded by a most forcible denunciation of the doctrine of sonship, that " it is not worthy of the Beneficent God (*Raḥman*) that He should take to Himself a son." The word *Raḥmān* signifies originally the Lord of immeasurable mercy Who requires no satisfaction or compensation for a display of the quality of mercy which is inherent in Him, and the attribute of being *Raḥmān* negatives the doctrine of sonship.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The various kinds of shirk mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān show that in the doctrine of Unity it gives to the world an ennobling message of advancement all round, physical as well as moral and spiritual. Man is freed not only from slavery to animate and inanimate objects, but also from subservience to the great and wondrous forces of nature which, he is told, he can subdue to himself. It goes further and delivers man from that greatest of slaveries, slavery to man. It does not allow to any mortal the dignity of Godhead, or of being more than a mortal ; for the greatest of mortals is commanded to say : "I am only a mortal like you ; it is revealed to me that your God is One God" (18 : 110). Thus all the bonds which fettered the mind of man were struck off, and he was set on the road to progress. A slave mind, as the Holy Qur'ān plainly says, is incapable of doing anything good and great,¹ and hence the first condition for the advancement of man was that his mind should be set free from the trammels of all kinds of slavery, which was accomplished in the message of Divine Unity.

The doctrine of the Unity of God, besides casting off the bonds of slavery which had enthralled the human mind, and thus opening the way for his advancement, carries another

1. " Allāh sets forth a parable of a slave, the property of another, who has no power over anything and one whom We have granted from Ourselves a goodly sustenance, so he spends from it secretly and openly ; are the two alike ?.....And Allāh sets forth a parable of two men ; one of them is dumb, not able to do anything and he is a burden to his master ; wherever he sends him, he brings no good ; can he be held equal with him who enjoins what is just ?" (16 : 75, 76). " He has made subservient to you the sun and the moon pursuing their courses, and He has made subservient to you the night and the day " (14 : 33) ; " And the stars are made subservient by His command.....And He it is Who has made the sea subservient.....and thou seest the ships cleaving through it" (16 : 12-14) ; " Do you not see that Allāh has made subservient to you what is in the heavens and what is in the earth ? " (31 : 20) ; and so on.

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

significance equally great, if not greater, to wit, the idea of the unity of the human race. He is the *Rabb* of all the nations, *Rabb al-'ālamīn*. *Rabb* in Arabic signifies the *Fosterer of a thing in such a manner as to make it attain one condition after another until it reaches its goal of completion* (R.). The words *Rabb al-'ālamīn* thus signify that all the nations of the world are, as it were, the children of one Father, and that He takes equal care of all, bringing all to their goal of completion by degrees. Hence God is spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as granting not only His physical but also His spiritual sustenance, His revelation, to all the nations of the world: "Every nation had an apostle" (10 : 47); "There is not a people but a warner has gone among them" (35 : 24). We further find that the Holy Qur'ān upholds the idea that God, being the God of all nations, deals with all of them alike. He hearkens to the prayers of all, whatever their religion or nationality. He is equally merciful to all and forgives the sins of all. He rewards the good deeds of the Muslim and the non-Muslim alike; and not only does He deal with all nations alike, but we are further told that He created them all alike, in the Divine nature: "The nature made by Allāh in which He has made all men" (30 : 30). And this unity of the human race, which is thus a natural corollary of the doctrine of the Unity of God, is further stressed in the plain words that "all men are a single nation" (2 : 213) and that "people are naught but a single nation" (10 : 19).

SEC. 3—THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD.

Before speaking of the Divine attributes it will be necessary to warn the reader against a certain misconception as to the nature of the Divine Being. God is spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as seeing, hearing, speaking, being displeased, loving, being affectionate, grasping, controlling,

Nature of the Divine attributes.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

etc., but the use of these words must not be taken in any sense as indicating an anthropomorphic conception of the Divine Being.¹ For, He is plainly stated to be above all material conceptions: "Vision comprehends Him not and He comprehends all vision" (6 : 104). And He is not only above all material limitations but even above the limitation of metaphor: "Nothing is like a likeness of Him" (42 : 11). To indicate His love, power, knowledge and other attributes, the same words had to be used as are in ordinary use, but the conception is not quite the same. We say, God sees, yet we do not mean that He has eyes like our eyes, or that He stands in need of light whereby to see things as we stand in need of it. Or we say, He hears, yet we never mean that He has ears like ours, or that He stands in need of air, or some other external agency, to convey the sound to Him. Or we say, He creates or makes things, yet we do not mean that He has hands like ours, or that He stands in need

1. The anthropomorphic view which likens God to man has never found favour among the Muslims. A very insignificant sect known as the Karrāmiya (after the founder, Muhammad Karrām) or the Mujassima (from *jism* meaning body, after the doctrine advocated by them) held the view that God was corporeal, but this has always been rejected by the learned among the Muslims. In one ḥadīth it is no doubt stated that the Holy Prophet, in a vision, felt a touch of the Divine hand between his shoulders, but it is unreasonable to take for reality what was seen in a vision. Ash'ari says: "The Ahl Sunna and the followers of Ḥadīth hold that God is not a *jism* (corporeal) and He is not like anything else and that He is on the 'Arsh.....and that the nature of His *istiwā* is not known (*bi-lā kaif*) and that He is Light" (MI, p. 211). He also says that He has hands the nature of which is not known (*bi-lā kaif*), and eyes the nature of which is not known (*bi-lā kaif*), and so on. It is also laid down as a basic principle regarding the Divine attributes that "He does not resemble His creatures in anything, nor does any of His creatures resemble Him" (FA, p. 14); and further that the attributes of the Divine Being are to be taken as referring to the ultimate end (Bai.). Shāh Wali Allāh is more express and says in clear words that the *baṣṭ al-yad* in His case means only *being bountiful* (Hj. p. 63); while regarding Divine attributes in general, he writes in the same strain as Baidzāwī, saying that "their use is only in the sense of the ultimate end of those words," adding that His *rahma* for instance only means the bestowal of good things, not an actual inclining of the heart (Hj.).

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

of material with which to make things. Similarly, His love, pleasure, displeasure, affection, pity are independent of the organism which in the case of man gives rise to those qualities. Even the "hands" of God are spoken of in the Holy Qur'an (5 : 64), but it is simply to give expression to His unlimited power in bestowing His favours on whom He will. The word *yad* which means *hand* is also used metaphorically to indicate favour (*ni'ma*) or *protection* (*ḥifāza*) (R.). Thus in 2 : 237 occur the words "in whose hand (*yad*) is the marriage tie," where the word *yad* is used in a metaphorical sense. In the *Nihāya*, the word *yad* is explained as meaning *ḥifz* (protection) and *difā'* (defence), and in support of this is quoted the ḥadīth which speaks of Gog and Magog in the words *la-yadāni li-ahad-in bi-qitali-him*, which signify that no one shall have the power (*yadān*, lit., *two hands*) to fight with them. Hence *the hands of God* in 5 : 64 stand for *His favours* according to the Arabic idiom.

Another, and a greater, misunderstanding exists as to the meaning of *kashf 'ani-l-sāq*. Here it is nothing but gross ignorance of Arabic idiom that has led some to translate it as meaning *uncovering of the leg*. The expression is used twice in the Holy Qur'an, once with regard to the queen of Sheba (27 : 44) and once passively without indicating the subject (68 : 42). It has never been used in relation to God. The word *sāq*, which means *shank*, is used in the expression *kashf 'ani-l-sāq* in quite a different sense, for *sāq* also means *difficulty* or *distress*, and the expression under discussion means either *to prepare oneself to meet a difficulty or the disclosure of distress* (TA., LL.).

God's 'Arsh or Throne is spoken of, yet it does not signify any place, rather representing His control of things as a monarch's throne is a symbol of his power to

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

rule : "The 'Arsh of Allāh is one of the things which mankind knows not in reality but only in name, and it is not as the imaginations of the vulgar hold it to be And it is taken as indicating *might* or *power* and *authority* and *dominion*" (R.). *Istawā 'ala-l-'Arsh* is the form which occurs more often in connection with the mention of 'Arsh, and a reference to it is invariably made after mentioning the creation of the heavens and the earth, and in relation to the Divine control of the creation, and the law and order to which the universe is made to submit by its great Author. *Istawā* followed by *'alā*, means *he had the mastery or control of a thing or ascendancy over it* (R.). It is nowhere said in the Holy Qur'ān that God sits on 'Arsh; it is always His controlling power that is mentioned in connection therewith. A similar misunderstanding exists with regard to *kursī* (lit. *throne* or *chair*) which is also supposed by some to be a material thing, whereas no less an authority than Ibn 'Abbās explains the word *kursī* as meaning *'ilm* or *knowledge* (Bai. 2 : 255), and even according to lexicologists *kursī* here may mean *knowledge* or *kingdom* (R.). *Kursī* and 'Arsh, therefore, stand only for the knowledge and control of God.

Allāh is the proper or personal name—*ism dhāt*—

Proper name of the of the Divine Being, as distinguished
Divine Being. from all other names which are
called *asmā' al-ṣifāt* or names denoting attributes. It is
also known as the greatest name of God (*ism a'ẓam*).
Being a proper name it does not carry any significance,
but as being the proper name of the Divine Being
it comprises all the attributes which are contained
separately in the attributive names. Hence the name
Allāh is said to gather together in itself all the
perfect attributes of God. The word Allāh being a
proper name is *jamīd*, that is to say, it is not derived

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

from any other word. Nor has it any connection with the word *ilāh* (god or object of worship), which is either derived from the root *aliha* meaning *taḥayyara* or *he became astonished*, or it is a changed form of *wilāh* from the root *waliha*, which means *he became infatuated*. It is sometimes said that Allāh is a contracted form of *al ilāh*, but that is a mistake, for if *al* in Allāh were an additional prefix, the form *yā Allāh*, which is correct, would not have been permitted, since *yā al-ilāh* or *yā al-Raḥmān* are not permissible. Moreover, this supposition would mean that there were different gods (*ālīha*, pl. of *ilāh*), one of which became gradually known as *al-ilāh* and was then contracted into Allāh. This is against facts, since Allāh "has ever been the name of the Eternal Being" (DI.). Nor has the word Allāh ever been applied to any but the Divine Being, according to all authorities on Arabic lexicology. The Arabs had numerous *ilāhs* or gods but none of them was ever called Allāh, while a Supreme Being called Allāh was recognized above them all as the Creator of the universe (29:61), and no other deity, however great, was so regarded.

Among the attributive names of the Divine Being
 Four chief attributes. occurring in the Holy Qur'an, four stand out prominently, and these four are exactly the names mentioned in the *Fātiḥa*, the Opening chapter, which by a consensus of opinion, and according to a saying of the Holy Prophet, is the quintessence of the Holy Book. The chapter opens with the proper name Allāh, and then follows the greatest of all attributive names *Rabb* which, for want of a proper equivalent, is translated "Lord". Its real significance, according to the best authority on Quranic lexicology, is the *Fosterer of a thing in such a manner as to make it attain one condition after*

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

another until it reaches its goal of completion (R.). *Rabb*, therefore, means the Lord Who brings all that is in this universe to a state of perfection through various stages of growth,¹ and as these stages include the lowest and remotest, which, as we go back farther and farther, dwindle into nothingness, the word *Rabb* carries with it the idea of the Author of all existence. *Rabb*, being the Bringer to perfection, is thus the chief attribute of the Divine Being, and hence it is that prayers are generally addressed to *Rabb*, and begin with the words *Rabba-nā*, that is, our Lord.² Indeed after the proper name Allāh, the Holy Qur'ān has given the greatest prominence to the name *Rabb*.

The order adopted by the Holy Qur'ān in speaking of the Divine attributes is a highly scientific one. Allāh, the proper name, comes first of all in the Opening chapter, and this is followed by *Rabb*, the most important

1. The theory of evolution, to which a reference is undoubtedly contained in the word *Rabb*, is expressly referred to on several occasions in the Holy Qur'ān. Thus speaking of the first state of the heavens and the earth, it says: "The heavens and the earth were closed up and We opened them" (21 : 30). This, no doubt, refers to an early stage in evolution when there was a state of chaos, out of which the present highly complicated but completely regulated system has grown up. And speaking of the creation of man, it says: "And indeed He has created you through various grades" (71 : 14), showing that man has been brought to the present state of physical perfection after passing through various conditions. In another place it is said that man "shall certainly enter one state after another" (84 : 19), which is in all likelihood a reference to the spiritual evolution of man.

2. It should be noted here that Jesus Christ addressed the Divine Being as *Ab* or Father, instead of which the Holy Qur'ān adopts *Rabb*. Now while *Ab* or Father carries with it the idea of paternal affection combined with fostering, the word *Rabb* carries a far grander idea, the idea of the unbounded love and affection of the Author of all existence, who has not only given to the whole creation its means of nourishment, but has also ordained beforehand for all a sphere of capacity and within that sphere provided the means by which they may continue to attain gradually to their goal of perfection. It shows how highly the Quranic revelation has developed the simpler ideas of previous revelations.

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

of the attributive names. Their relative importance is further shown by the fact that while the name Allāh is found in the Holy Qur'ān some 2,800 times, the name *Rabb* occurs about 960 times, no other name being so frequently mentioned. Next in importance to *Rabb* are the names *Raḥmān*, *Raḥīm* and *Mālik* which follow *Rabb* in the Opening chapter. These three names in fact show how the attribute of *rabūbiyya*, or bringing to perfection by fostering, is brought into play. *Raḥmān* and *Raḥīm* are derived from the one root *raḥma*, which means *tenderness requiring the exercise of beneficence*, and thus comprise the ideas of love and mercy. *Raḥmān* is of the measure of *fa'lān* and gives expression to the preponderance of *raḥma* in Divine nature, and *Raḥīm* is of the measure of *fa'il* and gives expression to the repetition of the quality of *raḥma*. On account of this difference, *Raḥmān* signifies that love is so predominant in the Divine nature that He bestows His favours and shows His mercy even though man has done nothing to deserve them. The granting of the means of subsistence for the development of physical life, and of Divine revelation for man's spiritual growth, are due to this attribute of unbounded love in the Divine Being. Then follows the stage in which man takes advantage of these various means which help the development of his physical and spiritual life, and turns them to his use. It is at this stage that the third attribute of the Divine Being, *Raḥīm*, comes into play, whereby He rewards every effort made by man in the right direction; and since man is making constant and continual efforts, the attribute of mercy conveyed in the name *Raḥīm* is also displayed continually. This is true both as regards the physical and spiritual development of man. The Holy Prophet himself is reported to have said: "*Al-Raḥmān* is the Beneficent God Whose love and mercy are manifested

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

in the creation of this world, and *al-Rahim* is the Merciful God Whose love and mercy are manifested in the state that comes after" (BM. I, p. 17).

To bring creation to perfection, however, there is needed the manifestation of yet another attribute. As submission to the law results in the advancement of man which brings reward, disobedience to the law must result in retarding his progress or bringing down punishment upon him. In fact, the punishment of wrong is as necessary in the Divine scheme as is the reward of good, and punishment is really only a different phase of the exercise of the attribute of *rabūbiyya* (fostering); for ultimate good is still the object. Therefore, just as *Rahim* is needed to bring his reward to one who does good or submits to the law, there must be another attribute to bring about the requital of evil. Hence in the Opening chapter of the Holy Qur'ān, *Rahim* is followed by *Mālikī yaum-il-dīn* or "Master of the Day of Requital." The adoption of the word *Mālik*, or Master, in connection with the requital of evil is significant, as, ordinarily, it would be expected that there should be a judge to mete out the requital of evil. The essential difference between a judge and a master is that the former is bound to do justice and must punish the evil-doer for every evil, while the latter, the master, can exercise his discretion, and may either punish the evil-doer or forgive him and pass over even the greatest of his iniquities.¹ This idea is fully developed in the Holy

1. It is here that the makers of the Christian creed have made their greatest error. They think that the Son of God is needed to atone or make compensation for the evil deeds of humanity, since God, being a judge, cannot forgive sins unless somebody can be found to provide a compensation. In the Holy Qur'ān we are told that God is a Master and He can, therefore, forgive. In fact, the Lord's prayer belies the Christian creed, because there we are told to pray that God may forgive us our sins, as we forgive our debtors. How do we forgive a debtor? Not by

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

Qur'ān, where we are repeatedly told that while good is rewarded ten times over or even more, evil is either forgiven or requited with its equivalent. In one place, indeed, the unbounded mercy of the Divine Being is said to be so great that "He forgives the sins altogether" (39 : 53). Hence the attributive name *Mālik* is introduced to link the idea of requital with that of forgiveness, and that is why, while the Opening chapter mentions the name *Mālik* as next in importance to *Rahīm*, in the body of the Holy Qur'ān it is the name *Ghāfur* (Forgiving) which occupies that place of importance, the first two, *Rahmān* and *Rahīm*, along with the cognate verb forms, occurring about some 560 times, and *Ghāfur*, the next in point of frequency, occurring in its noun and verb forms about 230 times. Hence it will be seen that the Holy Qur'ān gives prominence to the attributes of love and mercy in God to an extent whereof the parallel is not to be met with in any other revealed book.

From the explanations thus given of the four names
Rabb, Rahmān, Rahīm and Mālik,
 Ninety-nine names. from the frequency of their mention

in the Holy Qur'ān, to which no approach is made by any other name, and from their mention in the Opening chapter of the Holy Qur'ān, it is clear that the Holy Qur'ān looks upon these four names as the chief attributive names of the Divine Being, and all His other attributes are but the offshoots of these four essential attributes. On the basis of a report from Abū Hurairā, which, however, is regarded as *gharīb* (weak) by Tirmidhī, ninety-nine names of God are generally mentioned, the hundredth name being Allāh, but while some of them occur in the Holy Qur'ān, others are only inferred from some act of the Divine Being, as finding expression in the Holy

pocketing the money but by relinquishing the debt. And if man can forgive, why not God?

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Book. There is, however, no authority whatever for the practice of repeating these names on a rosary or otherwise. Neither the Holy Prophet, nor any of his Companions ever used a rosary. In the Holy Qur'ān, it is said : "And Allāh's are the most excellent names, therefore call on Him thereby, and leave alone those who violate the sanctity of His names" (7 : 180). The context shows that calling on God by His excellent names only means that nothing derogatory to His dignity should be attributed to Him ; for, in the second part of the verse those who violate the sanctity of the Divine names are rebuked,¹ and the violation of the sanctity of the Divine names has been clearly explained as meaning either ascribing to God attributes which do not befit His high dignity, or ascribing Divine attributes to that which is not Divine. Hence calling on God by His excellent names merely means that only those high attributes should be ascribed to Him which befit His dignity. The particular names of God mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān are :

1. As relating to His person, *al-Wāḥid* or *Aḥad* (the One), *al-Ḥaqq* (the True), *al-Quddūs* (the Holy), *al-Ṣamad* (on Whom all depend while He does not depend on any), *al-Ghanī* (the Self-sufficient), *al-Awwal* (the First), *al-Ākhir* (the Last), *al-Ḥayy* (the Ever-living), *al-Qayyūm* (the Self-subsisting).

2. As relating to the act of creation, *al-Khāliq* (the Creator), *al-Bārī'* (the Creator of the soul), *al-Muṣawwir* (the Fashioner of shapes), *al-Badī'* (the Originator).

1. Sanctity of the Divine names may be violated in three ways : (1) By giving the holy names of God to other beings ; (2) by giving God names which do not befit Him ; and (3) by calling God by names of which the meaning is unknown (Rz.). According to Rāghib violation of the sanctity of the Divine names is of two kinds : (1) giving Him an improper or inaccurate attribute, and (2) interpreting His attributes in a manner which does not befit Him (R.).

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

3. As relating to the attributes of love and mercy, (besides *Rabb*, *al-Raḥmān* and *al-Raḥīm*), *al-Ra'ūf* (the Affectionate), *al-Wadūd* (the Loving), *al-Laṭīf* (the Benignant), *al-Tawwāb* (the Oft-returning to mercy), *al-Ḥalīm* (the Forbearing), *al-'Afuww* (the Pardoner), *al-Ṣhakūr* (the Multiplier of rewards), *al-Salām* (the Author of peace), *al-Mu'min* (the Granter of security), *al-Barr* (the Benign), *Rafī' al-darajāt* (the Exalter of ranks), *al-Razzāq* (the Bestower of sustenance), *al-Waḥḥāb* (the Great Giver), *al-Wāsi'* (the Ample-giving).

4. As relating to His greatness and glory, *al-'Azīm* (the Grand), *al-'Azīz* (the Mighty), *al-'Aliyy* or *Muta'al* (the Exalted, or the High), *al-Qawiyy* (the Strong), *al-Qaḥḥār* (the Supreme), *al-Jabbār* (one Who sets things aright by supreme power),¹ *al-Mutakabbir* (the Possessor

1. Considerable misconception prevails as to the true significance of the name *al-Jabbār*, a recent writer in the *Encyclopædia of Islam* going so far as to translate it by the word *Tyrant*, while the next name *al-Mutakabbir* is rendered, by the same writer, *Haughty*. This rendering is no doubt due to an obsession on the part of Christian writers that the God of Islām is an embodiment of cruelty, tyranny and frightfulness, and that a Loving and Merciful God is peculiar to the Christian religion. If the writer had consulted even Hughes' *Dictionary of Islam*, he would not have made such a blunder. Hughes renders *al-Jabbār* as meaning *Repairer*, and *al-Mutakabbir* as meaning *the Great*. The rendering in the *Encyclopædia* is distortion of the worst type. Because, he says, the word *jabbār* has been used for men in a bad sense, the same sense is conveyed when it is spoken of God. There are hundreds of words in every language which are used in a good as well as in a bad sense, and no reasonable person would contend that because a word has been used in a bad sense, it cannot be used in a good one. The Holy Qur'ān lays it down plainly that God's are the most excellent names; would the rendering *haughty* or *tyrant* be in consonance with that statement? Again the Holy Qur'ān declares on more occasions than one that God is "not in the least unjust" to men (41: 46; 50: 29), and that He does not do injustice to the weight of an atom (4: 40). Can we in the face of this description of God call Him a tyrant? If we go to Arabic lexicology, we find that the word *jabr*, from which *al-Jabbār* is derived, means originally *repairing* or *setting a thing aright by supreme power* (*islāḥ al-shai'i bi-dzarb-in min-al-qahri*) (R.). The same authority goes on to say that it is used to indicate simply *repairing* or *setting aright*, and sometimes simply *dominance* or *supreme power*. When man makes a wrong

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

of greatness), *al-Kabīr* (the Great), *al-Karīm* (the Honoured), *al-Ḥamīd* (the Praiseworthy), *al-Majīd* (the Glorious), *al-Matīn* (the Strong), *al-Zāhir* (Ascendant over all), *Dhu-l-jalāli wa-l-ikrām* (the Lord of glory and honour).

5. As relating to His knowledge, *al-‘Alīm* (the Knowing), *al-Ḥakīm* (the Wise), *al-Samī‘* (the Hearing), *al-Khabīr* (the Aware), *al-Baṣīr* (the Seeing), *al-Shahīd* (the Witness), *al-Raḡīb* (the Watcher), *al-Bāṭin* (the Knower of hidden things), *al-Muhaimin* (the Guardian over all).

6. As relating to His power and control of things, *al-Qādir* or *Qadīr* or *Muqtadīr* (the Powerful), *al-Wakīl* (the One having all things in His charge), *al-Waliyy* (the Guardian), *al-Ḥafīẓ* (the Keeper), *al-Malik* (the King), *al-Mālik* (the Master), *al-Fattāḥ* (the Greatest Judge), *al-Ḥāsib* or *Ḥasīb* (the One Who takes account), *al-Muntaqim* or *Dhu-ntiqām* (the Inflicter of retribution), *al-Muqīt* (the Controller of all things).

The other names which are taken from some act or attribute of God mentioned in the Holy Qur’ān are *al-Qābidz* (the One Who straitens), *al-Bāsiṭ* (the One Who amplifies), *al-Rāfi‘* (the One Who exalts), *al-Mu‘izz* (the One Who gives honour), *al-Mudhill* (the One Who brings disgrace), *al-Mujīb* (the One Who accepts prayers), *al-Bā’ith* (the One Who raises the dead to life), *al-Muḥṣī* (the One Who records or numbers things), *al-Mubdi‘* (the One Who begins), *al-Mu‘id* (the One Who reproduces), *al-Muḥyi* (the One Who gives life), *al-Mumit* (the

use of dominance, he becomes a *jabbār* in a bad sense ; but in the Holy Qur’ān itself, this word *jabbār* is used of men simply in the sense of *mighty*. When Moses asked his people to enter the Holy Land, they said : “ O Moses ! there are *mighty men* (*jabbārīn*) in it, and we will on no account enter it until they go out from it ” (5 : 22). All authorities are agreed that *al-Jabbār*, spoken of God, means either *One Who sets aright* by *supreme power* or the *Supreme One Who is above His creation*.

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

One Who causes death), *Mālik-al-mulk* (the Master of the kingdom), *al-Jāmi'* (the One Who gathers), *al-Mughnī* (the One Who enriches), *al-Mu'tī* (the One Who grants), *al-Māni'* (the One Who withholds), *al-Hādī* (the One Who guides), *al-Bāqī* (the One Who endures for ever), *al-Wārith* (the One Who inherits everything).

Of the rest of the ninety-nine names, *al-Nūr* (the Light) is not really a name of the Divine Being—God is called *Nūr* in the sense of being the Giver of light (24:35); *al-Ṣabūr* (the Patient), *al-Rashīd* (the One Who directs), *al-Muqsit* (the Equitable), *al-Wālī* (the One Who governs), *al-Jalīl* (the Majestic), *al-'Adl* (the Just), *al-Khāfidz* (the One Who abases), *al-Wājid* (the Existing), *al-Muqaddim* (the One Who brings forward), *al-Mu'akkhhir* (the One Who puts off), *al-Dzārr* (the One Who brings distress), *al-Nāfi'* (the One Who confers benefits), may be taken from the sense. Two more attributes falling under this head will be referred to later on because they require a detailed treatment; these are the attributes of *speech* and *will*, which are dealt with in the chapters on Revealed Books and Qadar, respectively.

It will be seen that the attributes of God given above have nothing to do with the Predominance of love and mercy in Divine nature. autocracy, inexorability, vengeance and cruelty which European writers have generally associated with the picture of Him as drawn in the Holy Qur'ān. On the contrary, the qualities of love and mercy in God are emphasized in the Holy Qur'ān more than in any other sacred book. Not only does every chapter open with the two names *Rahmān* and *Rahīm*, thus showing that the qualities of love and mercy are predominant in Divine nature, but the Holy Book goes further and lays the greatest stress in explicit words on the immeasurable vastness of the Divine mercy. The following may be taken as examples :

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

“ He has ordained mercy on Himself ” (6 : 12, 54).

“ Your Lord is the Lord of all-encompassing mercy ”
(6 : 148).

“ And My mercy encompasses all things ” (7 : 156).

“ Except those on whom thy Lord has mercy, and for this did He create them ” (11 : 119).

“ O My servants ! who have acted extravagantly against their own souls, do not despair of the mercy of Allāh, for Allāh forgives the sins altogether ” (39 : 53).

“ Our Lord ! Thou embracest all things in mercy and knowledge ” (40 : 7).

So great is the Divine mercy that it encompasses believers and unbelievers alike as the above verses show. Nay, the very foes of the Holy Prophet are spoken of as having mercy shown to them: “ And when We make people taste of mercy after an affliction touches them, lo ! they devise plans against Our communications ” (10 : 21). The polytheists are repeatedly spoken of as calling upon God in distress, and God as removing their distress. The picture of the Divine attributes portrayed in the Holy Qur’ān, is first and last, a picture of love and mercy, and while these are mentioned under many different names and repeated hundreds of times, His attribute of punishment—Inflicter of retribution—occurs but four times in the whole of the Qur’ān (3 : 3 ; 5 : 95 ; 14 : 47 ; 39 : 37). It is true that the punishment of evil is a subject on which the Holy Qur’ān is most emphatic, but its purpose in this case is simply to impress upon man that evil is a most hateful thing which ought to be shunned ; and, by way of set-off, not only does it lay great stress on the reward of good deeds, but goes further and declares over and over again that evil is either forgiven or punished only with the like of it, but that good is rewarded ten-fold, and hundred-fold, or even without measure. But at the same time it must be borne

THE ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

in mind that punishment itself, as described in the Holy Qur'ān, is of a remedial nature, and has in it nothing of vengeance—it is the treatment of a disease which man has brought upon himself. It is still love, for its object is still to set a man on the road to spiritual progress by healing the disease. One of the names of God, included in the ninety-nine names by the later theologians, though not mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān, is *al-Dzārr* or One Who causes distress, but this bringing about of distress is only in the limited sense that it is a punishment for wrong-doing with the underlying object of reformation: “We seized them with distress and affliction in order that they might humble themselves” (6 : 42 ; 7 : 94).

Just as a belief in the Unity of God is a source of

Divine attributes as the great ideal to be attained.	man's upliftment, making him con- scious of the dignity of human nature, and inspiring him with the grand ideas of the conquest of nature and of the equality of man with man, so the numerous attributes of the Divine Being, as revealed in the Holy Qur'ān, are really meant for the perfection of human character. The Divine attributes really serve as an ideal to which man must strive to attain. God is <i>Rabb al-'ālamīn</i> , the Fosterer and Nourisher of the worlds; keeping that as an ideal before himself, man must endeavour to make the service of humanity, even that of dumb creation, the object of his life. God is <i>Rahmān</i> conferring benefits on man and showing him love without his having done anything to deserve it; the man who seeks to attain to perfection must do good even to those of his fellow-men from whom he has not himself received, and does not expect to receive, any benefit. God is <i>Rahīm</i> , making every good deed bear fruit; man must also do good for any good that he receives from another. God is <i>Malik</i> , requiting evil, not in a spirit of vengeance or even of unbending
--	---

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

justice, but in a spirit of forgiveness, in the spirit of a master dealing with his servants; so must man be forgiving in his dealings with others, if he will attain to perfection.

The above are the four chief attributes of the Divine Being, and it is easily seen how they serve as ideals for man. So it is with all His other attributes. Take, for example, those of love and mercy. God is Affectionate, Loving-kind, Benignant, Oft-returning to mercy, Forbearing, Pardoner, Multiplier of rewards, Author of peace, Granter of security, Restorer of loss, Benign, Exalter of ranks, Ample-giving, Bestower of sustenance and so on; all this man must also try to be. Again let us take His attributes of knowledge. God is Knowing, Wise, Aware, Seeing, Watcher, Knower of hidden things; man must also try to perfect his knowledge of things and acquire wisdom. In fact, where man is spoken of as having been made a vicegerent of God (2 : 30), his chief characteristic, that which marks him out as the ruler of creation, is stated to be a knowledge of things (2 : 31). And as regards wisdom, it is written in the Holy Book that the Holy Prophet was raised up to teach wisdom (2 : 151 ; 3 : 163 ; 62 : 2). Take even His attributes of power and greatness and control of all things; even the angels are commanded to make obeisance to man, showing that man is destined to exercise control even over them. Nay, he is told again and again that everything in the heavens and in the earth has been made subservient to him. It is true that man's love, mercy, knowledge, wisdom, and control of things are all insignificant as compared with their Divine models, but however imperfectly he may achieve it, the fact remains that he has before him the ideal of Divine morals, which he must try to imitate.

CHAPTER III

ANGELS

The Arabic word for angel is *malak*, of which the plural form is *malā'ika*. The root from which the word is derived is 'alk or 'alūka meaning *risāla* or *the bearing of messages*. The *hamza* (') was dropped from the singular form which was originally *ma'lak* and afterwards changed into *mal'ak* (hence the plural *malā'ika*), such changes being very common in the Arabic language. Some authorities, however, consider the form *malak* to be the original form and trace it to the root *malk* or *milk* meaning *power*, and this difference of opinion has been turned by D. B. Macdonald into an *argument* that the word is borrowed from the Hebrew, though he admits that "there is no trace of a verb in Hebrew (nor in Phœnician, where the noun occurs in later inscriptions)" (En. Is., art. *Malā'ika*). The Holy Qur'ān speaks of the creation of man from dust and of the creation of jinn from fire, but it does not speak of the origin of *malā'ika*. There is, however, a report from 'Ā'isha, according to which the Holy Prophet said that the jinn are created from *nār* (fire), and that the angels are created from *nūr* (light) (M. 53: 10). This shows that the angels are immaterial beings, and further, that the *jinn* and the *malā'ika* are two different classes of beings, and that it is a mistake to consider them as belonging to one class. In the Holy Qur'ān angels are spoken of as "messengers (*rusul*) flying on wings (*ajniha* pl. of *janāḥ*)" (35: 1). Their description as *rusul* (pl. of *rasūl*, meaning *a messenger*) has reference to their spiritual function of bearing Divine messages. Sacred

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

history, indeed, represents angels as possessing wings, but so far as the Holy Qur'ān is concerned, it would be a grievous mistake to confuse the *janāḥ* (wing) of an angel with the fore-limb of a bird which fits it for flight. The wing is a symbol of the *power* which enables those immaterial beings to execute their functions with all speed; and in Arabic, the word *janāḥ* is used in a variety of senses. In birds it is the wing; the two sides of a thing are called its *janāḥain* or two *janāḥs*; and in man, his hand is spoken of as his *janāḥ* (R.). The word has further been used metaphorically in the Holy Qur'ān in several places, as in 15:88 and 26:215, where the "lowering of the *janāḥ*" stands for "being kind." The Arabic proverb, *Huwa maqṣūṣ al-janāḥ*, (lit., he has his *janāḥ*—wing—clipped), really means, *he lacks the power to do a thing* (LL.), which shows, as I have said, that *janāḥ* is used for *power* in Arabic. In the immaterial beings called angels who are created from *nūr* (light), and in whom therefore a material *janāḥ* cannot be thought of, it is simply the symbol of a *power* which is speedily brought into action.

It is commonly thought that the immaterial beings, whom we call angels, can assume any shape they like, but the Holy Qur'ān gives no countenance to this idea. On the contrary, it is repeatedly stated in answer to the demands of the Prophet's opponents who desired to see an angel or to have an angel as an apostle, that angels could not be seen and that an angel would have been sent as an apostle had angels and not human beings lived on earth: "And nothing prevents people from believing when the guidance comes to them except that they say, What! has Allāh raised up a mortal to be an apostle? Say, Had there been in the earth angels walking about as settlers, We would have sent down to them from the heaven an angel as an apostle" (17:94, 95). Twice it is related in the

ANGELS

Holy Qur'an that the angelic hosts sent to help the Muslims were not seen by human eye : " Then Allāh sent down His tranquillity upon His Apostle and upon the believers, and sent down hosts which you did not see " (9 : 26) ; " Call to mind the favour of Allāh to you when there came upon you hosts, and We sent against them a strong wind and hosts that you saw not " (33 : 9). The Holy Qur'an further states that the devils or jinn cannot be seen by human eye : " He sees you, he as well as his host, from whence you cannot see them " (7 : 27).

Two cases have, however, to be considered. In the first place, there is a story related about Abraham's guests (11 : 69, 70 ; 15 : 51, 52 ; 51 : 24, 25) who first came to him and gave him the good news of a son, Isaac, and then went to Lot and bade him leave the city along with his followers, since punishment was about to overtake his people. It is generally supposed that these were angels, as angels alone are deputed to deliver messages to prophets, and the Bible says that they were angels. But the Holy Qur'an speaks of them only as the guests of Abraham and as " Our messengers," and nowhere says that they were angels. Had they been angels, they would have delivered the Divine message to Abraham and Lot in the manner in which the angels deliver such messages, which is by revealing the Divine message to the heart of the prophet : " He revealed it to thy heart by Allāh's command " (2 : 97) ; and the angel, though he may come in the shape of a man, is not seen by the physical eye of the prophet but by his spiritual eye. Therefore, if the guests spoken of were angels, their appearance to both Abraham and Lot must have been in vision, in which state it is that revelation comes to the prophets of God ; but if it was with the physical eye that Abraham and Lot beheld them, then they were men and not angels. The

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

fact that they did not take any food when it was offered by Abraham merely shows that they did not need it, or that they were fasting at the time. Abraham had received the news of a son independently of them, and Lot had also been informed of the impending fate of his people without their agency: "And We revealed to him this decree that the roots of these shall be cut off in the morning" (15:66).

The other case is that of Hārūt and Mārūt. Special stress has been laid on this point by Hārūt and Mārūt Western writers generally, and by the Christian missionaries in particular, and the inference is drawn, from what is related of them in the Holy Qur'ān, that angels are not immaterial creatures and that they have desires like human beings; and thus it is sought to contradict the whole teaching of the Holy Qur'ān on angels by a story which is based neither on the Qur'ān nor on any authentic ḥadīth. In fact, the Holy Qur'ān rejects the story which was current among the Magi and the Jews about these two angels. According to Sale, the Persian Magi "mention two rebellious angels of the same names, now hung up by the feet, with their heads downwards, in the territory of Babel." And he adds: "The Jews have something like this, of the angel Shamhozai, who, having debauched himself with women, repented, and by way of penance hung himself up between heaven and earth." These stories, and others ascribing evil practices to Solomon, were rejected by the Holy Qur'ān in the following words: "And Solomon did not disbelieve but the devils disbelieved, teaching men enchantment, and it was not revealed to the two angels Hārūt and Mārūt at Babel, nor did they teach it to any one, so that they should have said, We are only a trial, therefore do not disbelieve." (2:102). The statement made here amounts to this. The Jews instead of following the word

ANGELS

of God went after certain evil crafts which they attributed to Solomon and to two angels at Babel. Solomon is declared to be innocent of evil practices, and the story of the two angels a fabrication. All reliable commentators have taken the same view of the Quranic statement. The ḥadīth which is quoted in support of it is not to be met with in the six reliable collections, but only in the Musnad of Aḥmad, and the Musnad contains many untrustworthy ḥadīth. Moreover, nothing which contradicts the very principles laid down in the Holy Qur'ān can be accepted on the basis of such weak authority. The commentators condemn the alleged report as *fāsid* (untrue) and *mardūd* (repudiated) (Rz.). Another authority says that nothing in this story can be traced to the Holy Prophet and calls it *khurāfat* (puerile and worthless) (R.M.). Hence the alleged story of Hārūt and Mārūt which is rejected by the Holy Qur'ān, and is not based on any authentic ḥadīth, cannot be made a basis for rejecting the principle laid down in the Holy Qur'ān that angels cannot be seen.

Though angels are spoken of as *beings*, they are not endowed with powers of discrimination like those of human beings; in this respect, indeed, they may be said to partake more of the attributes of the powers of nature than of man. Their function is to obey and they cannot disobey. The Holy Qur'ān says plainly: "They do not disobey Allāh in what He commands them and they do as they are commanded" (66 : 6) ; which also shows that the story of Hārūt and Mārūt, which ascribes disobedience to angels, is without foundation. And inasmuch as man is endowed with a will while the angel is not, man is superior to the angel; which superiority is also evident from the fact that angels were commanded to make obeisance to him (2 : 34).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

It is true that the angel Gabriel is spoken of as

The angel's coming to the Holy Prophet. coming to the Holy Prophet with the Divine revelation, but as has been already shown, it was with the spiritual senses that the Holy Prophet received the revelation, and therefore it was not with the physical eye that he beheld Gabriel. The angel came to him sometimes in the shape of a man; the Prophet heard the words of revelation, on occasions, with the force of the ringing of a bell, yet those who were sitting next him, while fully conscious of the change coming over him, neither saw the angel, nor heard the words of the revelation. Numerous incidents are related in which the Holy Prophet received the revelation while he was sitting among his Companions, yet not one of the Companions ever saw the angel, or even heard his voice. And even when Gabriel came to him at other times, it was always with the spiritual eye that the Holy Prophet saw him. 'Ā'ishā is very explicit on this point. It is related that on a certain occasion the Holy Prophet said to her: "O 'Ā'ishā! here is Gabriel offering salutation to thee." She said: "And on him be peace and the mercy and blessings of Allāh; thou seest what I do not see" (Bu. 59 : 6). This shows that even 'Ā'ishā never saw Gabriel, whether he came with revelation or on other occasions.

There are, however, a few stray incidents, related in certain ḥadīth, from which inference is drawn that others besides the Holy Prophet saw Gabriel, but, from what has been stated above, it is clear that either it was in a state of *kashf* (vision) and therefore with the spiritual senses that they saw him, or that there had been some misunderstanding in the relation of the incident. For instance, it is stated that a stranger came to the Holy Prophet while he was sitting with his Companions, and asked him what *imān*,

ANGELS

Islām, etc. were; and when he went away, the Holy Prophet said that it was Gabriel who had come to teach them their religion (Bu. 2 : 37). But it is doubtful whether the Prophet meant that the man who put the questions was Gabriel, or that the answers which he gave to the stranger were at the prompting of Gabriel. I should be inclined to place the latter interpretation on his words, as being more in consonance with the principle laid down that the angel cannot be seen with the physical eye, and with the vast majority of other incidents in which Gabriel came to the Holy Prophet and was seen by him but not by others present at the same time. Or, it is possible that the few people who were present with the Prophet shared his vision and saw Gabriel with the spiritual eye.

There are two other cases in which there seems to be a misunderstanding. The first is the case of Umm Salama, the Prophet's wife. Some one was talking with the Holy Prophet, and Umm Salama thought it was Dihya. Afterwards she heard the Prophet delivering a sermon which gave her to understand that it was Gabriel (Bu. 66 : 1). Here, clearly there seems to be a misunderstanding. The Holy Prophet never told Umm Salama or anybody else that it was Gabriel who talked to him in the presence of Umm Salama. Her first impression was that it was Dihya, and when she expressed that opinion to the Holy Prophet, he did not contradict her, which shows that she was right. Afterwards certain words of the Holy Prophet in a sermon gave her the impression that it was Gabriel, but she never expressed that opinion to the Holy Prophet, and therefore her second impression cannot be accepted in face of the fact that whenever Gabriel appeared to the Prophet, whether with or without a revelation, he was never seen by any one except the Holy Prophet, and that too with the inner light. The second is an incident

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

recorded by Ibn Sa'd as to 'Ā'isha having seen Gabriel (Is. VIII, p. 140). It cannot be accepted when, according to Bukhārī as quoted above, 'Ā'isha herself told the Prophet that she could not see the angel whom he saw. If Gabriel could be seen with the physical eye, the Prophet would have then and there shown him to her.

In the Holy Qur'ān, angels are generally described as having some sort of connection with the spiritual state of man. It

Angelic function.

was an angel, Gabriel by name, who brought revelation to the Holy Prophet (2 : 97 ; 26 : 193, 194) and the prophets before him (4 : 163). The same angel is mentioned as strengthening the prophets (2 : 87) and the believers (58 : 22), while angels generally are spoken of as descending on believers and comforting them (41 : 30); they are also intermediaries in bringing revelation to those who are not prophets, as in the case of Zacharias (3 : 38) and Mary (3 : 41, 44). Angels were also sent to help the believers against their enemies (3 : 123, 124 ; 8 : 12) ; they pray for blessings on the Holy Prophet (33 : 56) and on the believers (33 : 43); they ask forgiveness for all men, believers as well as non-believers (42 : 5); they cause to die believers (16 : 32) and also non-believers (4 : 97 ; 16 : 28). They write down the deeds of men (28 : 10, 12). They will intercede for men on the Day of Judgment (53 : 26). There is no clear reference to their function in the physical world unless the causing of death may be treated as such, but I have classed it as a spiritual function because death makes both the believers and unbelievers enter a new life. It may be added here that the Ḥadīth speaks also of an angel of birth, that is an angel appointed for every man when he quickens in the mother's womb (Bu. 59 : 6). There are, however, verses in the Holy Qur'ān which show that the angelic hosts have some sort of connection with the physical world.

ANGELS

The most important of these verses are those which speak of the creation of man (Adam). When God wished to create man, He communicated His wish to the angels (2 : 30 ; 15 : 28 ; 38 : 71). This shows that the angels were there before man was created, and, therefore, must have had some sort of connection with the physical world and with the forces which brought about the creation of man. Unless they are treated as intermediaries carrying out the Divine will, the imparting to them of the Divine will to create man is meaningless. These verses, therefore, lead us to the conclusion that the laws of nature find expression through angels. It is due to this function of theirs that they are called *rusul* (messengers) (22 : 75 ; 35 : 1). Expression of the Divine will is a Divine message, and the angels as bearers of that message carry it into execution. The description of them also as bearers of the Throne ('Arsh) of the Lord (40 : 7 ; 69 : 17) leads to the same conclusion ; for, as already stated, the 'Arsh stands for the Divine control of the universe, and the angels, the bearers of that control, are in fact the intermediaries through whom that control is exercised.

Vastly greater importance is, however, attached to the angelic function in the spiritual world, because it is primarily with the spiritual development of man that the Holy Qur'ān is concerned. To put it briefly, the function of the angel in the spiritual world is the same as his function in the physical world—to serve as an intermediary in carrying out the Divine will which, in the latter case, is to bring about the evolution of creation, and in the former, the evolution of man. According to the teachings of Islām, the angel has a close connection with the life of man from his birth, even from the time he is in the mother's womb till his death, and even after death, in his spiritual progress in Paradise and

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

his spiritual treatment in Hell. The different functions of the angel in connection with the spiritual life of man may be broadly divided into seven classes which are detailed below.

The most important and, at the same time, the most prominent function of the angel, in the spiritual realm, is the bringing down of Divine revelation or the communication of Divine messages to the prophets. The prophet not only sees the angel, but also hears his voice, and the angel is to him, therefore, a matter of fact reality. This has been the universal experience of humanity in all ages and all climes. As the angel is an immaterial being, the prophet sees him sometimes in the shape of a human being and sometimes in other forms. Thus the angel Gabriel often appeared to the Holy Prophet in the shape of a man, but sometimes he saw him "in his shape" (*fī ṣūratihī*) "filling the whole horizon" (Bu. 59 : 7). It is not stated what that shape was, and probably it could not be described; only the spiritual eye could recognize it. Once, also, he saw his six hundred *ajniha* (Bu. 59:7) which no doubt stand for his immense power. On another occasion he saw him in a cloud (Bu. 59: 6); the cloud itself being probably a part of the vision.

According to the Holy Qur'ān, the angel who brought revelation to the Holy Prophet is known by the name of Gabriel (2 : 98). The Arabic form is *Jibrīl* which is, according to 'Ikrama, composed of *jibr* meaning 'abd or servant and 'īl meaning God (Bu. 65, sūra 2:6). The same authority says that *Mikāl* (Michael) also has the same meaning, being *Mikā-'īl*, *Mik* bearing the significance of 'abd. Gabriel is mentioned too as *Rūḥ al-Amin* or the Faithful Spirit (26:193, 194), and *Rūḥ al-Qudus* or the Holy Spirit (16 : 102). In all three

ANGELS

places, Gabriel or the Faithful Spirit or the Holy Spirit is said to have revealed the Qur'ān to the Holy Prophet. The revelation to the prophets that appeared before him is said to have been granted in a similar manner (4:163). In Hadīth, Gabriel is spoken of as *al-Nāmūs al-akbar* or *the great angel who is entrusted with secret messages*, and the same *Nāmūs* is said to have appeared to Moses (Bu. 1:1). Gabriel is also called *rasūl* or the messenger through whom God speaks to His prophets (42:51).

While Gabriel is thus spoken of as bringing revelation to the prophets, angels generally are said to bring revelation to other righteous servants of God: "He sends down the angels with the inspiration (*al-rūḥ*) by His commandment on whom He pleases of His servants" (16:2). And again: "Exalter of degrees, Lord of the Throne; He makes the inspiration (*al-rūḥ*) to light by His command on whom He pleases of His servants" (40:15). These are general statements; and in the case of Mary who was undoubtedly not a prophet, the angels are also spoken of as bearing Divine messages: "And when the angels said, O Mary! Allāh has chosen thee and purified thee" (3:41); and again: "When the angels said, O Mary! Allāh gives thee good news with a word from Him of one whose name is the Messiah" (3:44). And so in the case of Zacharias, the father of John the Baptist: "The angels called to him as he stood praying in the sanctuary, That Allāh gives thee good news of John" (3:38). And the believers generally are thus spoken of: "As for those who say, Our Lord is Allāh, then persevere on the right way, the angels descend upon them, saying, Fear not, nor be grieved, and receive good news of the garden which you were promised" (41:30).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The second function of the angels, as revealed in the Holy Qur'ān, is to strengthen the righteous servants of God, prophets as well as others, and to give them comfort in trial and affliction. Jesus Christ is specially mentioned in this connection because of the serious allegations of the Jews against him. Thrice it is stated in the Holy Qur'ān that Jesus Christ was strengthened with the Holy Spirit which is the same as Gabriel (2: 87, 253; 5: 110). And the believers generally are said to be strengthened with the Holy Spirit: "These are they into whose hearts He has impressed faith, and strengthened them with a Spirit (*Rūḥ*) from Him" (58: 22), where instead of the *Rūḥ al-Qudus* we have *Rūḥ-in min-hu* (Spirit from Him), the meaning being the same. In one ḥadīth, the Prophet is reported as asking Ḥassān, the poet, to defend him against the abuse of the unbelievers and adding: "O Allāh! strengthen him with the Holy Spirit," and in the next the words are: "And Gabriel is with thee" (Bu. 59: 6). And again we find in the Holy Qur'ān that the angels are the *awliyā'* (friends or guardians) of the faithful in this world's life and in the hereafter (41: 31). It was in this sense, *i. e.*, to strengthen the believers, that the angels were sent to help the believers in their struggle against the unbelievers. Thus in one place we read: "When you sought help from your Lord, so He answered you: I will assist you with a thousand of the angels" (8: 9); and in another: "Does it not suffice you that your Lord should assist you with three thousand of the angels sent down" (3: 123); while yet on a third field of battle the Muslims were promised the help of five thousand angels (3: 124). The Holy Qur'ān itself explains why the angels were sent: "And Allāh gave it as a good news and that your hearts might be at ease thereby"

ANGELS

(3:125; 8:10). It was through the strengthening of the believers' hearts that the angels worked (8:12). What were the conditions under which these angelic hosts were sent? The Muslims had to fight in defence against heavy odds, 300 against a thousand, 700 against three thousand, and 1,500 against 15,000. And on all three fields the Muslims were victorious and the unbelievers had to go back "failing to attain what they desired" (3:126). The strengthening of heart through the angels is, therefore, a solid fact of history. ✓

Closely allied with this strengthening of the believers is the third function of the angels—that of executing Divine punishment against the wicked, because in the contest between the righteous and the wicked the punishment of the latter and the help of the former are identical. Often would those who sought to extirpate the truth by physical force say that if there were a God Whose messenger the Prophet was, and if there were angels who could help his cause, why did they not come?

"Why are not the angels sent down upon us, or why do we not see our Lord?" (25:21).

"They do not wait aught but that Allāh and the angels come to them in the shadows of the clouds, and the matter has already been decided" (2:210).

"They do not wait aught but that the angels should come to them or that the commandment of thy Lord should come to pass" (16:33).

"They do not wait aught but that the angels should come to them, or that thy Lord should come, or that some of the signs of thy Lord should come to them" (6:159).

To these demands the Holy Qur'ān replies in the following words: "And on the day when the heaven shall burst asunder with the clouds, and the angels shall be

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

sent down, descending. The Kingdom on that day shall rightly belong to the Beneficent God, and a hard day shall it be for the unbelievers" (25 : 25, 26). This shows that it was the promised punishment of the unjust which was hinted at in the coming of the angels. Elsewhere it is said : "And hadst thou seen when the angels will cause to die those who disbelieve, smiting their faces and their backs" (8 : 50). "But how will it be when the angels cause them to die, smiting their faces and their backs" (47 : 27). And on one occasion, the demand and the answer are thus put together : "Why dost thou not bring to us the angels if thou art of the truthful ones? We do not send the angels but with truth, and then they would not be respited" (15 : 7, 8).

Another very important function of the angels is that of intercession—an intercession ^{Angels' intercession and prayers for men.} which includes both the believer and the unbeliever. As God "has ordained mercy on Himself" (6 : 12), and His "mercy encompasses all things" (7 : 156)—in fact, it was to show mercy that "He created them" (11 : 119)—it was necessary that His angels, who are intermediaries carrying out His will, should include all in their intercession. The intercession of the angels is mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān on one occasion in particular : "And how many an angel is there in the heavens whose intercession does not avail at all except after Allāh has given permission to whom He pleases and chooses" (53 : 26). The Ḥadīth also speaks of the intercession of angels (Bu. 97 : 24). Now intercession is really a prayer to God on behalf of the sinners on the Day of Judgment, but we are told that the angels pray for men even in this life : "The angels celebrate the praise of their Lord and ask forgiveness for those on earth" (42 : 5), "those on earth" including both the believer and the unbeliever. And while this

ANGELS

prayer is all-comprehensive, it grows stronger in the case of believers : “ Those who bear the Throne and those around Him celebrate the praise of their Lord and believe in Him and ask protection for those who believe : Our Lord ! Thou embracest all things in mercy and knowledge, therefore grant protection to those who turn to Thee and follow Thy wayand make them enter the gardens of perpetuity which Thou hast promised to them and those who do good of their fathers and their wives and their offspring..... and keep them from evil ” (40 : 7-9). As a result of the prayers of the angels, the faithful are actually guided forth from every kind of darkness into light : “ He it is Who sends His blessings on you and (so do) His angels, that He may bring you forth out of darkness into the light ” (33 : 43). And as regards the Prophet, the angels bless him : “ God and His angels bless the Prophet ; O you who believe ! call for Divine blessings on him ” (33 : 56). Thus it will be seen that the angels’ connection with man grows stronger as he advances in righteousness. As regards men generally, the angels pray for their forgiveness so that punishment in respect of their evil deeds may be averted ; as regards the faithful, they lead them forth from darkness into light, and thus enable them to make progress spiritually ; and as regards the Prophet, they bless him and are thus helpful in advancing his cause in the world.

It will be seen that in his spiritual function the
Angels help in the spiritual progress of man. the angel is meant to render help in the spiritual advancement of man.
The angel brings down Divine revelation, and it is only with the help of such revelation that man is able to realize what the spiritual life is, and to make advancement spiritually by a development of his inner faculties. The angel strengthens the Prophet, through whom the law of spiritual progress is revealed, and also the believers who

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

are instrumental in carrying the ennobling message to humanity, and thus renders help in establishing the law of spiritual advancement; and the same end is achieved by the punishment of those who try to exterminate that law and its upholders. The angels' intercession and prayer even for the unbeliever are undoubtedly meant to set him on the road to spiritual progress, while his bringing of the believers from darkness into light, and his blessings on the Prophet, are the advancement of the cause of spiritual progress. Thus, if analyzed, every one of the functions of the angel is aimed at helping the spiritual advancement of man, and bringing about his spiritual perfection. This is further borne out by the fact that there are angels even in Paradise and Hell¹ which are really two different places or conditions, wherein man is enabled to carry on his spiritual progress after death, the former opening the way to unmeasurable heights of spiritual progress,² and the latter cleansing man of the spiritual diseases³ which he has himself contracted by leading an evil life in this world.

Every good and noble deed is the result of the Angels' promptings to noble deeds. promptings of the angel. The Holy Qur'ān speaks of the angel and the devil as leading man to two different courses of life; the former as shown above to a good and noble life aiming at the development of the human faculties, and the latter, as will be shown later, to a base and wicked life tending to the deadening of those faculties. Every man is said to have two associates, an associate angel and an associate

1. Speaking of those in Paradise, the Holy Qur'ān says: "And the angels will enter in upon them from every gate" (13 : 23). And of Hell it says: "And We have not made the guardians of the fire others than angels" (74 : 31).

2. A single day of that progress is said to extend over fifty thousand years: "To Him ascend the angels and the spirit (of man) in a day, the measure of which is fifty thousand years" (70 : 4).

3. See the discussion on Hell.

ANGELS

devil. The first is called a *shāhid* (witness), and the second a *sā'iq* (driver): "And every one shall come, with it a driver and a witness. Certainly thou wert heedless of it, but now We have removed from thee thy veil so that thy sight to-day is sharp" (50 : 21, 22). The *driver* is the devil who makes evil suggestions and leads man to a state of degradation, and the *witness* is the angel who helps man on to a good and noble end. Man is said to be heedless of it here, there being a veil over his eyes, so that he cannot see to what condition he is being led, but he will see the result clearly on the Day of Judgment. In *Ḥadīth* we are told that every man has an associate angel and an associate devil. Thus Muslim reports from Ibn Mas'ūd: "The Holy Prophet said, There is not one among you but there is appointed over him his associate from among the jinn and his associate from among the angels. The Companions said, And what about thee, Prophet of Allāh? He said, The same is the case with me, but Allāh has helped me over him (*i.e.*, the associate jinn) so he has submitted and does not command me aught but good" (MM. 1 : 3-i; Ah. I, pp. 385, 397, 401). According to another *ḥadīth*, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "There are suggestions which the devil makes to the son of man and suggestions which the angel makes. The devil's suggestion is for evil and giving the lie to the truth, and the angel's suggestion is for good and the acceptance of truth" (MM. 1 : 3-ii).

Another spiritual function of the angels, on which special stress is laid in the Holy Qur'ān, is the recording of the good and evil deeds of man. These angels are called *kirām-an kātibīn* (honourable recorders), the words being taken from the following verse of the Holy Qur'ān:

"And surely there are guardians over you,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

honourable recorders, they know what you do" (82 : 10-12).

And elsewhere we have :

"When the two receivers receive, sitting on the right and on the left. He utters not a word but there is by him a watcher at hand" (50 : 17, 18).

"Alike (to Him) among you is he who conceals his words and he who speaks them openly, and he who hides himself by night and who goes forth by day. There are (angels) following him closely, before him and behind him, who guard him by Allāh's command." (13 : 10, 11).

The guarding in the last verse refers to the guarding of man's deeds. The angels are immaterial beings, and hence also their recording is effected in a different manner from that in which a man would prepare a record. In fact, their record exists, as elsewhere stated, in the form of the effect which an action produces : "And We have made every man's actions cling to his neck, and We will bring forth to him on the Resurrection Day a book which he will find wide open" (17 : 13). The clinging of a man's actions to his neck is clearly the effect which his actions produce and which he is powerless to obliterate, and we are told that this effect will be met with in the form of an open book on the Resurrection Day, thus showing that the angel's recording of a deed is actually the producing of an effect.

The different functions of angel in the spiritual world are thus connected, in one way or another, either with the awakening of the spiritual life in man or its advancement and progress. Herein lies the reason why faith in angels is required along with a faith in God :

"Righteousness is this that one should believe in Allāh and the last day and the angels and the book and the prophets" (2 : 177).

ANGELS

“The Apostle believes in what has been revealed to him from his Lord and so do the believers ; they all believe in Allāh and His angels and His books and His apostles ” (2 : 285).

Faith or belief in any doctrine, according to the Holy Qur’ān, is essentially the acceptance of a proposition as a basis for action. Faith in angels, therefore, means that there is a spiritual life for man, and that he must develop that life by working in accordance with the promptings of the angel and by bringing into play the faculties which God has given him ; and this is why—though the existence of the devil, who makes the evil suggestions, is as much a fact as the existence of the angel who makes the good suggestions—the Holy Qur’ān requires a belief in angels and a disbelief in devils.¹ This, of course, is not to say that one must deny the existence of the devil. The significance is clear enough : one must obey the commandments of God and refuse to follow the suggestions of the devil. Faith in the angels, therefore, only means that every good suggestion—and such is the suggestion of the angel—must be accepted, because it leads to the spiritual development of man.

There is a popular misconception, into which many writers of repute have fallen, that Iblīs is not an angel but one of the jinn. Iblīs or the Devil is one of the angels. The misconception has arisen from the fact that where the angels are commanded to make obeisance to Adam, there is also mention of Iblīs and his refusal to make obeisance : “And when We said to the angels, Make obeisance to Adam, they did obeisance but² Iblīs

1. “Therefore, whoever disbelieves in the devil and believes in Allāh, he has laid hold on the firmest handle ” (2 : 256).

2. The word *illā*, which ordinarily means *except* and is used as indicating *istithnā* (exception), is sometimes used to indicate *istithnā’ munqarī* (lit., an exception which is cut off), the thing excepted being disunited in kind from that from which the exception is made, so that the two belong to two

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

(did it not): he refused and he was proud and he was one of the unbelievers" (2 : 34). From these words it is clear enough that Iblīs or the Devil was one of the unbelievers and refused to obey, and, therefore, he could not be an angel, because, of the angels, it is plainly said that "they do not disobey Allāh in what He commands them, and do as they are commanded" (66 : 6). And elsewhere it is stated in so many words that Iblīs was not from among angels but from among the jinn : "And when We said to the angels, Make obeisance to Adam, they made obeisance but Iblīs (did it not); he was of the jinn, so he transgressed the commandment of his Lord" (18 : 50). Now jinn and angels are two different classes of beings; their origin and their functions have nothing in common. The jinn, as we have seen, are mentioned as being created from fire, while the angels are created from light; and the function of the jinn has also been shown to be quite different from the function of the angel. It is, therefore, an obvious error to look upon the jinn as being a branch of the angelic creation.

The word *jinn* is derived from *janna* meaning *he covered* or *concealed* or *hid* or *protected*. All Arabic lexicologists are agreed on its Arabic origin, and moreover, there are numerous words in use in Arabic which are derived from the same root, as the verb *janna* meaning *it covered* or different classes. Thus they say, *jā' al-qawmu illā himār-an*, the meaning of which is that the people came but an ass did not come, the people and the ass belonging to two quite different classes. It is exactly in this sense that the word *illā* is used here, the angels and Iblīs belonging to quite two different classes. Hence the rendering adopted. It is sometimes argued that if the devil were not an angel, he would not have been spoken of at all in connection with the commandment to the angels to make obeisance to man. The fact is that the commandment to the angels was in fact a commandment to all creation, and the lower beings, jinn, were, therefore, included in it. The words *idh amartu-ka* (when I commanded thee), occurring in 7 : 12 regarding the devil, show that the lower beings called jinn were included by implication in the commandment to the higher beings.

ANGELS

overshadowed (6 : 77), or the noun *janna* meaning *garden* because its trees cover the ground, or *janān* meaning *the heart* because it is concealed from the senses, and *majann* or *junna* meaning *shield* because it protects a man, and *janīn* or the *fœtus*, so long as it is in the mother's womb.¹ The word jinn has been used in the Holy Qur'ān distinctly in two senses. It is applied in the first place to a certain class of beings that cannot be perceived with the senses. The origin of these beings is said to be fire, and their function is described as that of exciting evil passions or low desires. The Holy Qur'ān is explicit on both these points. As regards the creation of jinn, it says: "And the jinn We created before of intensely hot fire" (15 : 27); and again: "And He created the jinn of a flame of fire" (55 : 15). And to show that the jinn and the devils are one, the devil is spoken of as saying: "I am better than he (*i. e.*, man) ; Thou hast created me of fire while him Thou hast created of dust" (7 : 12). As regards the function of jinn, the Holy Qur'ān is equally clear: "The slinking devil who whispers into the hearts of men, from among the jinn and the men" (114 : 4-6). Ḥadīth have already been quoted showing that every man has with him an associate from among the angels who inspires him with good and noble ideas, and an associate from among the jinn who excites his baser passions.

The question is often asked why has God created beings which lead man astray ? There

The devil.

is a misunderstanding in this question.

God has created man with two kinds of passions, the higher which awaken in him a higher or spiritual life, and the lower which relate to his physical existence ; and corresponding to these two passions there are two kinds of beings, the angels and the devils. The lower passions

¹ In spite of this, the writer in the *Encyclopædia of Islam* calls it a loan-word.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

are necessary for man's physical life, but they become a hindrance to him in his advancement to a higher life when they run riot and become out of control. Man is required to keep these passions in control. If he can do so, they become a help to him in his advancement instead of a hindrance. This is the meaning underlying the Holy Prophet's reply in the ḥadīth already quoted, when he was asked if he too had an associate jinn. "Yes," he said, "but Allāh has helped me to overcome him, so he has submitted and does not command me aught but good." His devil is said to have submitted to him (*aslama*), and instead of making evil suggestions commanded him naught but good, that is to say, became a help to him in the development of his higher life.

Such is the true significance underlying the story of Adam. The devil at first refuses to make obeisance to man, *i.e.*, to become helpful in his spiritual advancement, and is determined, by hook or crook, to set him on the wrong course and excite his baser passions: "Most certainly I will take of Thy servants an appointed portion; and I will lead them astray and excite in them vain desires" (4: 118, 119). But he is subdued by the help of the Divine revelation, and those who follow the revelation shall have no fear of the devil's misleading: "Then Adam received some words from his Lord, so He turned to him mercifully. ..Surely there will come to you guidance from Me, so whoever follows My guidance, no fear shall come upon them, nor shall they grieve" (2: 37, 38). The presence of the devil thus indicates that, in the earlier stages of spiritual development, man has to contend with him by refusing to obey his evil promptings, and any one who makes this struggle is sure to subdue the evil one; while in the higher stages, the lower passions having been brought into subjection, the devil actually becomes helpful, "commanding naught but

good," so that even physical desires become a help in the spiritual life of man. Without struggle there is no advancement in life, and thus even in the earlier stages, the devil is the ultimate means of man's good, unless, of course, man chooses to follow, instead of stubbornly resisting him.

The other use of the word jinn is with regard to

men of a certain class.¹ Even the word *shaitān* (devil) or *shayāṭīn* (devils) has been applied to men in the Holy Qur'ān, and the leaders of evil are again and again called devils; see 2 : 14 ; 3 : 174 ; 8 : 48 ; 15 : 17 ; 21 : 82, etc. But the use of the word jinn when speaking of men was recognized in Arabic literature before Islām. The verse of Mūsa ibn Jābir *fa-mā nafarat jinnī*, which would literally mean, *and my jinn did not flee*, has been explained as meaning, "and my companions who were like the jinn did not flee" (LL.). Here the word jinn is clearly explained as meaning human beings. And Tabrezī says, further, that *the Arabs liken a man who is sharp and clever in affairs to a jinnī and a shaitān* (TH. I, p. 193). There are other examples in pre-Islamic poetry in which the word jinn has been used to denote great or brave men.² In addition to this, the word jinn is explained by Arabic lexicologists as meaning *mu'azzam al-nās* (Q., TA.), i. e., *the main body of men or the bulk of mankind* (LL.). In the mouth of an Arab, the main body of men would mean the non-Arab world. They called all foreigners jinn because they were concealed from their eyes. It is in

1. Some authorities have held that the word jinn is also applicable to the angels, but it should be borne in mind that it is in a strictly literal sense that the word has been so used. The literal significance of the word jinn is *a being hidden from the human eye*, and as the angels are also invisible beings, they may be called *jinn* in a literal sense. Otherwise they have nothing in common with jinn.

2. I have quoted these verses in my Urdū commentary, the *Bayān al-Qur'ān*, under 6 : 131.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

this sense that the word jinn is used in the Holy Qur'ān in the story of Solomon: "And of the jinn there were those who worked before him by the command of his Lord They made for him what he pleased of fortresses and images" (34: 12,13). The description of the jinn here as builders of fortresses shows them to have been men. And they are also spoken of as *shayāṭīn* (devils) in 38: 37, where they are called builders and divers, and it is further added that some of them were "fettered in chains." Surely those who built buildings and dived into the sea were not invisible spirits, nor do invisible spirits require to be fettered in chains. These were in fact the stangers whom Solomon had subjected to his rule and forced into service¹.

In one place in the Holy Qur'ān jinn and men are addressed as one *ma'shar* (6: 131),² and *ma'shar* is a class or community (*jamā'a*) whose affair is one (L.A.) ; and the jinn and men spoken of in this verse cannot belong to two different classes. Again, in the same verse, both jinn and men are asked the question: "Did there not come to you apostles from among you?" Now the apostles who are mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān or Ḥadīth all belong to mankind, and the Holy Book does not speak of a single apostle from among the jinn. The jinn in this case, therefore, are either non-Arabs or the iniquitous leaders who mislead others. In 17: 88, it is stated that if jinn and men should combine together to bring the like of the Qur'ān, they could not bring the like of it," while in 2: 23, in an exactly similar challenge,

1. A comparison with II Ch 2:18 would further clear the point: "And he set threescore and ten thousand of them to be bearers of burdens, and fourscore thousand to be hewers in the mountain."

2 "O assembly (*ma'shar*) of jinn and men ! did there not come to you apostles from among you, relating to you My communications and warning you of the meeting of this day of yours" (6: 131).

ANGELS

we have the word *shuhadā'a-kum* (your helpers or leaders), instead of jinn. Again in the sense of a foreigner the word has been used in 46 : 29, where a party of the jinn is stated to have come to the Holy Prophet and listened to the Holy Qur'ān and believed in it; for all the injunctions contained in the Holy Qur'ān are for men, and there is not one for the jinn. This was evidently a party of the Jews of Nisibus as reports show, and the Holy Qur'ān also speaks of them as believers in Moses (46 : 30). The jinn mentioned in the first section of ch. 72 are evidently Christians,¹ since they are spoken of as holding the doctrine of sonship (72 : 3, 4). In 72 : 6, they are called *rijāl* (pl. of *rajul*), which word is applicable to the males of human beings only (L.A.).

Commenting on 46 : 29, Ibn Kathīr has quoted several reports from the Musnad of Aḥmad, which establish the following facts. The Holy Prophet met a party of jinn at Nakhla when returning from Ṭā'if in the tenth year of the Call. These are said to have come from Nineveh. On the other hand, there is a well-established story that the Holy Prophet on his way back from Ṭā'if took rest in a garden where he met a Christian who was a resident of Nineveh; and the man listened to his message and believed in him. It may be that he had other companions to whom he spoke of the Holy Prophet, and that these came to him later on. Another party of jinn is said to have waited on him when he was at Makka, and he is reported to have gone out of the city to a lonely place at night time, and to have spent the whole night with them. And we are told that their traces and the traces of the fire which they had burned during the night were visible in the morning. When prayer-time came and the Holy Prophet said his payers in

1. This is very probably a prophetic reference to the spread of Islām among the Christian nations of Europe.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the company of Ibn Mas'ūd, the narrator, two of them are said to have come and joined the service. They are supposed to have been Jews of Nisibus and were seven in number (IK. 46 : 29). The Holy Prophet went to see them outside Makka, evidently because the Quraish would have interfered with the meeting and ill-treated any who came to see him. At any rate the Holy Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth do not speak of the jinn as they exist in the popular imagination, interfering in human affairs or controlling the forces of nature or assuming human or any other shape or taking possession of men or women and affecting them with certain diseases.¹

There is another misunderstanding in connection with the devils or the jinn which it is time should be removed. It is thought that according to the teachings of the Holy Qur'ān, the devils have access to the Divine secrets, and stealthily overhear the Divine revelation which is communicated to the angels. This, however, was an Arab superstition borrowed either from the Jews² or the

1. Such ideas are unfortunately associated with the existence of jinn in the Gospels. The stories of Jesus casting out devils are more wondrous than fairy tales : "And devils also came out of many, crying out, and saying, Thou art Christ the Son of God" (Lk 4 : 41). A devil was cast out of a dumb man and he began to speak (Mt. 9 : 32); a woman from Canaan had a daughter possessed with the devil and Jesus at first refused to cast out the devil because she was not an Israelite (Mt. 15 : 22); as many as seven devils went out of Mary Magdalene (Lk. 8 : 2); the devils cast out of another two men were sufficient for a whole herd of swine : "They went into the herd of swine : and, behold, the whole herd of swine ran violently down a steep place into the sea, and perished in the waters" (Mt. 8 : 32). And this power of casting out devils was given to all those who believed in Jesus (Mk. 16 : 17).

2. "The Talmud teaches that angels were created of fire and that they have various offices.....that the Jinn are an intermediate order between angels and men.....that they know what is to happen in the future, because they listen to what is going on behind the curtain to steal God's secrets" (RI. p. 68). The Quranic teaching is opposed to this; it is not the angels that are created of fire but the jinn. The jinn are not an intermediate order between angels and men; man is placed highest of

ANGELS

Persians, and the Holy Qur'ān has rejected it in emphatic words. Thus, speaking of the revelation of the Holy Book, it says : "And this is truly a revelation from the Lord of the worlds ; the Faithful Spirit has descended with it upon thy heart And the devils have not come down with it, and it behoves them not and they have not the power to do it ; they are far removed even from the hearing of it " (26 : 192-212). In the face of these words, it is impossible to maintain that the Qur'ān upholds the doctrine of the devils' access to Divine secrets. The Divine message is entrusted to Gabriel, who is here called the Faithful Spirit to show that it is quite safe with him ; and this message he brings direct to the heart of the Prophet. But that is not all ; the idea that the devils can overhear it by eavesdropping is strongly condemned ; they do not ascend to heaven as is popularly supposed, nor do they come down to earth with the Divine secrets ; nor does it behove them, nor have they the power to ascend to heaven and come down with the revelation ; they are far removed even from the hearing of it, so their stealthy listening to the Divine secrets is only a myth. And again : "Or have they the means by which they listen (to Divine secrets) ? Then let their listener bring a clear authority " (52 : 38). Here too the claim made by superstition on behalf of the devils, that they can ascend into heaven and listen to the Divine secrets, is plainly rejected. And yet again we are told that Divine secrets are safely entrusted to the apostles, and that no one else has access to them : "He does not reveal His secrets to any, except to him whom He chooses as an apostle, for He makes a guard to march before him and after him " (72 : 26, 27).

all, even above the angels ; the jinn are invisible beings of a very low order, their only function is the insinuation of evil into the hearts of men, and they have no access to Divine secrets.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Among Muslims, too, there is this misconception of the eavesdropping of devils, which, however, has arisen from a misunderstanding of certain words, particularly the words *shaitān* and *rajm*. *Shaitān*, as already shown, has admittedly been used for the iniquitous leaders of opposition to the Holy Prophet, as in the case of the hypocrites: "And when they are alone with their devils (*shayāṭīn*), they say, we are with you" (2 : 14). All commentators are agreed that here by *their devils* are meant their leaders in unbelief (IJ—C. I, p. 99, Bdz., Rz., etc.). Now opposition to the Holy Prophet came chiefly from two sources, *viz.*, the worldly leaders and the diviners or soothsayers (*kāhin*). As the simple faith of Islām was the death-knell of all superstitions, and the office of *kāhin* represented one of the greatest superstitions that ever enthralled the Arab mind, at all times prone to superstition, the diviners fought the Prophet tooth and nail. They deceived the people by their oracular utterances, and by presuming to foretell that the Prophet would soon perish. Like the worldly leaders of 2 : 14, these diviners are also spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as *shayāṭīn* (devils), because they led people to evil courses of life. The word *rajm* used in connection with these devils or diviners has also been misunderstood. *Rajm*, no doubt, does mean *the throwing of stones*, but it is also used to indicate *zann* or *conjecture*, *tawahhum* or *superstition*, *shatm* or *abusing*, and *ṭard* or *driving away* (R.). It occurs in the sense of conjecture in 18 : 22—"Making conjectures (*rajm-an*) at what is unknown"—, and in the sense of *abuse* in 19 : 46 in which the word *la-arjumanna-ka* is explained as meaning, "I will speak to thee in words which thou dost not like" (R.). And it is added that *shaitān* or the devil is called *rajīm*, because "he is driven away from all good and from the high places of the exalted assembly (*mala'al-a'lā*)" (*Ibid.*).

ANGELS

Now in the Holy Qur'ān occur the words : “ And We have adorned this lower heaven with lights and We have made them *rujūm-an li-l-shayātīn*,” which words are wrongly translated as *missiles for the devils*.¹ In the light of what has been stated above, the meaning is clearly, *means of conjecture for the kāhins*, i.e., the diviners and the astrologers. The following significance is accepted by the best authorities : “ We have made them to be means of conjectures to the devils of mankind, i.e., to the astrologers ” (LL., Bdz., TA.). Another commentator says : “ It is said that the meaning is that We made them so that the devils of mankind who are the astrologers make conjectures by them ” (RM.). Ibn Athīr, after stating that the stars could not be missiles because they remain in their places, and that therefore only flames from their fire could be meant, gives the following alternative explanation : “ It has been said that by *rujūm* are meant the conjectures which were made,.....and what the astrologers state by guesses and surmises and by their coming to certain conclusions on account of the combination of the stars and their separation, and it is they that are meant by *shayātīn*, for they are the devils of mankind. And it has been stated in some ḥadīth that whoever learns anything from astrology..... learns the same from sorcery, and the astrologer is a *kāhin* (diviner or soothsayer) and the *kāhin* is a sorcerer and the sorcerer is an unbeliever, and thus the astrologer who claims to acquire a knowledge of the stars to decide the happenings (of the future) thereby, and ascribes to them the sources of good and evil, is called a *kāfir* ” (N. art. *rajm*). Thus a plain verse of the Holy Qur'ān which really condemns the

1. This is even Mr. Pickthall's translation, though he adds a footnote which shows this translation to be incorrect : “ On the authority of a tradition going back to Ibn 'Abbās, the allusion is to the soothsayers and astrologers who saw the source of good and evil in the stars.”

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

practices of diviners and soothsayers has been misinterpreted to mean that the stars were used as missiles for the devils who went up to heaven. Reference to this subject is contained in two other places:

“Surely We have adorned the nearest heaven with an adornment, the stars. They cannot listen to the exalted assembly and they are reproached from every side, being driven off, and for them is a perpetual chastisement; but he who snatches off a single snatching, there follows him a brightly shining flame” (37 : 6-10). “And certainly we have made stars in the heaven and We have made them fair-seeming to the beholders, and We guard it against every accursed devil; but he who steals a hearing, so there follows him a visible flame” (15:16-18).

On both these occasions, the principle is again stated in forcible words that the soothsayers and diviners have no access to heaven or the stars on which they base their conjectures; it is they again who are here called the rebellious or accursed devils—“They cannot listen to the exalted assembly.” But we are also told that “they are reproached from every side, being driven off,” *i. e.*, their own votaries do not honour them, and they are reproached because what they assert proves untrue and, therefore they live in perpetual torture. And then there is an exception: “But he who snatches off a single snatching.” Now this snatching away of the soothsayers, after we are told that they are reproached from every side and driven off, clearly means nothing but that occasionally their conjecture turns out to be true. The same idea is expressed in ch. 15 by the words “he who steals a hearing.” It is of course not meant that the Divine secrets are being discussed aloud somewhere, in heaven or in some star, and that the soothsayer, or the devil, is hiding and overhears them.

ANGELS

Divine revelation, as I have already shown, is entrusted to the Faithful Spirit, that is Gabriel, who, in turn, discloses it to the heart of the Prophet—there is no question of overhearing in this process.¹ And on both these occasions, it is the diviners and soothsayers, the *kāhins* of Arabia, that are spoken of. It has been established on the authority of the Holy Qur'ān itself that the devils cannot ascend to heaven, that they have no access to Divine secrets, that Divine revelation as sent to the Prophet is sent through the Faithful Spirit direct to the Prophet's heart, and it is a travesty of all these clearly established principles to say that the devils can overhear the Divine secrets. The soothsayer's occasional snatching and his stealthy hearing refer only to his conjecture sometimes coming true, the visible flame which follows meaning the subsequent failure and disappointment due to the advent of Islām, which

1. The following ḥadīth cannot be taken literally, and inasmuch as certain portions thereof are opposed to the Holy Qur'ān, there seems to have crept in some misunderstanding on the part of some narrator. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said that when God intends to send a revelation, the heavens are shaken and the heavenly hosts swoon and fall down in prostration. Gabriel is the first to raise his head and to him God reveals His pleasure. The angels then enquire of Gabriel what God has said, and he replies: The Truth, and He is the High, the Great. The secret listeners hear a part of this. Some are destroyed by the flame of fire but some are successful in imparting the news to others before they themselves are destroyed, and these latter take the message to the *kāhin* (diviner) on earth (Bu. 65 : sūra 34 : 1). Different versions of this ḥadīth are met with, but I have taken the most salient points of all. Now whereas a large number of ḥadīth state, and the Holy Qur'ān also is explicit on this point, that revelation is communicated directly to the Prophet by Gabriel, without any intervention, this ḥadīth says that it is communicated by Gabriel to other angels, and this is done in such a way that even the devils can hear it, while according to the Holy Qur'ān the devils are "far removed from the hearing of it" (26 : 212). Hence the ḥadīth, being opposed to the Holy Qur'ān and other ḥadīth, cannot be accepted in its entirety. There has undoubtedly been some misunderstanding somewhere in the course of transmission, and the wrong view of some narrator has crept in.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

destroyed the whole effect of the soothsayer's pretensions. The description of spiritual truths in terms of physical laws which are prevalent in the world is of common occurrence in the Holy Qur'ān; and it is a fact that before the light of Islām the darkness of superstition—and the office of the *kāhin* or the soothsayer was undoubtedly a superstition—was completely dispelled, so that Islām may be said literally to have proved a flame of fire for the chaff of soothsaying and divination.

CHAPTER IV REVEALED BOOKS

Revealed books are mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān under three names. In 2 : 285 and in other places, the Prophet and the believers are spoken of as believing in *kutubi-hī* or His books. The word *kutub* is pl. of *kitāb*, which is derived from the root *kataba*, meaning *he wrote* or *he brought together*, and *kitāb* (book) is *a writing which is complete in itself*. Thus a letter may be called *kitāb*, in which sense the word occurs in 27 : 28, 29, regarding Solomon's letter to the Queen of Sheba. The word *kitāb* has, however, been used to speak of the revelation of God to prophets whether written or not (R.), while it is also freely used regarding the Divine decrees or ordinances (see 8 : 68, 9 : 36, 13 : 38, etc.). The word *al-Kitāb* has been used in the Holy Qur'ān for the Qur'ān itself, for the chapters of the Holy Qur'ān (98 : 3), for any previous revelation, for all previous revelations taken together (13 : 43) and for all revealed books including the Holy Qur'ān (3 : 118). Revealed books are also spoken of as *ṣuḥuf* (pl. of *ṣaḥīfa*) as in 87 : 18, 19, where all previous books, particularly the books of Moses and Abraham are so called, or as in 80 : 13 and 98 : 2 where the Holy Qur'ān itself is spoken of as *ṣuḥuf*. The word *ṣaḥīfa* is derived from *ṣaḥf*, and *ṣaḥīfa* means *anything spread out* (R.). *Muṣḥaf* means *a collection of written pages*, and the Holy Qur'ān is also called *muṣḥaf*. The third name under which revealed books are mentioned is *zukur* (pl. of *zabūr*), as in 26 : 196, 55 : 43, etc. The singular form, *zabūr*, occurs three times only in the Holy Qur'ān, twice in connection

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

with the book of David . “ And to David We gave a scripture (*zabūr*) ” (4 : 163, 17 : 55) ; and on one occasion a quotation is given from *al-Zabūr* : “ And truly We wrote in the Book (*al-Zabūr*) after the reminder that the land— My righteous servants shall inherit it ” (24 : 105). The word *zabūr* is derived from *zabara* which means *he wrote* or *he wrote it firmly* or *skilfully* or *engraved* or *inscribed on a stone* (T.A.) ; and *zabūr* means *any writing* or *book*, and particularly *the Book of the Psalms* of David is called *al-Zabūr* (L.L.).

The Arabic word for revelation, *wahy*, which originally means *a hasty suggestion* has, in its highest form, come to signify the Divine word which is communicated to His *anbiyā'* (prophets) and His *auliyā'* (saints, or righteous servants of God, who have not been raised to the dignity of prophethood)¹ (R.). According to the Holy Qur'ān, *wahy* or revelation is a universal fact, so much so that it is even spoken of as being granted to inanimate objects : “ Then He directed Himself to the heaven and it was a vapour, so He said to it and to the earth : Come both willingly or unwillingly. They both said : We come willingly. So He ordained them seven heavens in two periods, and revealed in every heaven its affair ” (41 : 11, 12). On another occasion there is mention of revelation to the earth : “ When the earth is shaken with her shaking, and the earth brings forth her burdens, and man says, What has befallen her ? On that day she shall tell her news, as if thy Lord had revealed to her ” (99 : 1-5). In the first instance, God's speaking to the earth and the heavens and His revelation to the heavens shows that there is a kind of revelation through which the Divine laws are made to operate in the universe ; in the second, a great revolution that is

1. *Al-kalimatu-llatī tulqā ilā anbiyā'i-hī wa auliyā'i-hī wahy-un.*

REVEALED BOOKS

brought about upon earth—its “bringing forth its burdens,” explained as the laying open of its treasures (R.) in the form of minerals and other products—is spoken of as a kind of revelation. There is also a revelation to the lower animals: “And thy Lord revealed to the bee, saying, Make hives in the mountains and in the trees and in what they build: then eat of all the fruits and walk in the ways of thy Lord submissively” (16: 68, 69). This is really an example of the Divine revelation being granted also to the lower creation, so that, that which they do by instinct is really a revelation. These two examples show that Divine revelation is intended for the development and perfection of everything within its ordained sphere. Here may also be mentioned the revelation to angels: “When thy Lord revealed to the angels: I am with you, so make firm those who believe” (8: 12). As revelation itself is communicated through angels, it appears that there are various orders of angels; and it is for this reason that Gabriel, the angel who brings revelation to the prophets of God, is regarded as the greatest of them all.

Much misconception prevails as to the sphere of revelation to man. It is generally thought that revelation is limited to the prophets of God. This is not true, for the Holy Qur’ān regards it, in one form or another, as the universal experience of all humanity. Rāghib, whom I have already quoted, defines revelation, in a strictly technical sense, as meaning the word of God as conveyed to the prophets (*anbiyā’*) and to other righteous servants (*aulyā’*) of God. And the Holy Qur’ān speaks on several occasions of revelation (*wahy*) having been granted to such righteous servants of God as were not prophets, men as well as women. The mother of Moses is said to have received a revelation though she was undoubtedly not a prophet, and

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

so are the disciples of Jesus who were not prophets: "And We revealed (*auḥainā*) to Moses' mother, saying: Give him suck, and when thou fearst for him, cast him into the river, and do not fear nor grieve; for We will bring him back to thee and make him one of the apostles" (28: 7); "And when I revealed (*auḥaitu*) to the disciples (of Jesus), saying, Believe in Me and My apostle" (5: 111). These verses leave not the least doubt that *wahy* or revelation is granted to those who are not prophets as well as to prophets, and therefore the door to revelation is not closed, even though no prophet at all would come after the Holy Prophet Muḥammad. It is only authoritative revelation, the form of revelation peculiar to prophets, the revelation through Gabriel as explained in the next paragraph, that has ceased with the coming of the Holy Prophet.

Revelation to inanimate objects, to the lower animals
 and to the angels is of a different
 nature from revelation to man, and
 it is the latter with which we are chiefly concerned.

Revelation to man granted in three ways. Divine revelation to man is stated to be of three kinds: "And it is not for any mortal that Allāh should speak to him except by inspiring or from behind a veil, or by sending a messenger and revealing by His permission what He pleases" (42: 51). The first of these, which is called *wahy* in the original, is the inspiring of an idea into the heart, for the word *wahy* is used here in its original significance of *a hasty suggestion* or *infusing into the heart*, as distinguished from a revelation in words. In spite of the fact that this kind of revelation is the "infusing of an idea into the heart," it is called a form of God's speaking to man. This is technically called *wahy khafiyy* or inner revelation, and the sayings of the Holy Prophet touching religious matters are in this class. The Holy Prophet himself is reported to have said on such an

REVEALED BOOKS

occasion: "The Holy Spirit has inspired (this) into my heart" (N.). It is an idea put into the mind, as distinguished from revelation proper, which is a message conveyed in words. Revelation in this form is common to both prophets and those who are not prophets, and it is more or less in this sense, the sense of putting a suggestion into the mind, or what is called a *limma* or *waswasa* of the devil, that the devils are spoken of as bringing *wahy* to their friends: "And the devils do suggest (*yūḥann* from *wahy*) to their friends" (6:122).

The second mode of God's speaking to man is said to be "from behind a veil" (*min warā'i ḥijāb*), and this includes *ru'yā* (dream), *kashf* (vision), and *ilhām* (when voices are heard or uttered in a state of trance, the recipient being neither quite asleep, nor fully awake). This form of revelation is also common both to prophets and those who are not prophets, and in its simplest form, the *ru'yā* or the dream, is a universal experience of the whole of humanity. The Holy Qur'ān tells us of the vision of a king, who was apparently not a believer in God (12:43)—a vision which had a deep underlying significance. This shows that, according to the Holy Qur'ān, revelation in its lower forms is the common experience of all mankind, of the unbeliever as well as of the believer, of the sinner as well as of the saint.

The third kind, which is peculiar to the prophets of God, is that in which the angel (Gabriel) brings the Divine message in words. This is the surest and clearest form of revelation, and such was the revelation of the Qur'ān to the Holy Prophet. This is called *wahy matluww* or *revelation that is recited in words*. It is the highest and most developed form of revelation; and it was in this form that revelation was granted to all the prophets of God, to Noah, to Abraham, to Moses, to every

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

prophet of every nation.¹ The revealed books are a record of this highest revelation, and technically the word revelation (*wahy*) is applied to this form as distinguished from the lower forms.

Speaking of Adam, the Holy Qur'ān has stated the reason why revelation from God was needed, and the purpose which it fulfilled. Man had two objects before him, to conquer nature and to conquer self, to bring under his control the powers of nature and his own desires. In the story of Adam as the prototype of man, related in 2 : 30-39,² we

Object of God's revelation to man

1. Some Muslims have been misled, by the Christian conception of revelation, into the belief that revelation means only an illumination of the mind, and that to say that God speaks is merely metaphor, because it is only the recipient of the revelation who speaks under a certain Divine influence. Unfortunately the original Gospel, the revelation of Jesus Christ, having been lost, there arose four men who at different periods wrote four gospels containing the life-story of Jesus together with remnants of his teachings. These were believed to have been written under Divine influence and therefore the Christian conception of revelation could go no further. According to the Holy Qur'ān, the illumination of the mind, or the inspiration of the mind of man with a certain idea, or, as it is called in the Holy Qur'ān, the putting of a hasty suggestion into the mind, is only the lowest form of revelation, common both to the prophet and to him who is not a prophet, the only difference being that, in the case of the prophet, it is a very clear idea while in the case of others it may be clear or vague according to the capacity of the recipient. Revelation, in which words are communicated to the prophet through the angel Gabriel, is the highest and most developed form of revelation, while next to it in force and clarity come the words communicated to the righteous among the Muslims, or the visions shown to them.

2. I quote here the more important passages of this section : "And when thy Lord said to the angels, I am going to place in the earth one who shall rule in it, they said, What ! wilt Thou place in it such (beings) as shall make mischief in it and shed blood, and we celebrate Thy praise and extol Thy holiness? He said, I know what you do not know. And He gave Adam knowledge of all things.....And when We said to the angels, Make obeisance to Adam, they did obeisance, but Iblis did it not; he refused and he was proud, and he was one of the unbelievers. And We said, O Adam ! dwell thou and thy wife in the garden, and eat from it a plenteous food wherever you wish, and do not approach this tree, for then you will be of the unjust. But the devil made them both fall from it, and caused them to depart from that in which they were.....And

are told that Adam was given the knowledge of things, that is to say that man was endowed with the capacity to obtain knowledge of all things (2 : 31) ; he was also gifted with the power to conquer nature, for the angels (beings controlling the powers of nature) made obeisance to him (2 : 34) ; but Iblis (the inciter of lower desires in man) did not make obeisance, and man fell a prey to his evil suggestions. Man was powerful against all, but weak against himself. He could attain perfection in one direction by his own exertions ; he could conquer nature by his knowledge of things and the power granted to him ; but the greater conquest and the greater perfection lay in the conquest of his inner self, and this conquest could only be brought about by a closer connection with the Divine Being. It was to make this perfection possible for him that revelation was needed. Thus, we are told, when man proved weak against his own desires and passions, Divine help came to him in the form of certain " words from his Lord " (2 : 37), that is to say, in the Divine revelation which was granted to Adam.

Adam received (some) words from his Lord, so He turned to him mercifully ; He is Oft-returning to mercy, the Merciful. We said, Go forth from this all, so surely there will come to you a guidance from Me, then whoever follows My guidance, no fear shall come upon them, nor shall they grieve." Light is thrown on this subject by what is stated elsewhere in the same connection : " And certainly We created you, then We fashioned you, then We said to the angels, Make obeisance to Adam. So they did obeisance except Iblis, he was not of those who did obeisance..... And We said : O Adam ! dwell thou and thy wife in the garden..... But the devil made an evil suggestion to them that he might make manifest to them what had been hidden from them of their evil inclinations " (7 : 11—20). The latter passage shows that in the story of Adam, the story is related of every son of man, that it was by his evil suggestion that the devil misled man and that this suggestion was in connection with man's own evil inclination. This is made yet more clear by a warning to all sons of man : " O children of Adam ! let not the devil cause you to fall into affliction as he expelled your parents from the garden, pulling off from them both their clothing that he might show them their evil inclinations. He sees you, he as well as his host, from whence you cannot see them " (7 : 27).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

And as for his posterity, the Divine law was given :
 “ There will come to you a guidance from Me, then whoever follows My guidance, no fear shall come upon them nor shall they grieve ” (2 : 38). In these words man is told that, with the help of Divine revelation, he shall have no fear of the Devil’s temptings, and so the hindrance in his progress and the obstacle to the development of his faculties being removed, he shall go on advancing on the road to perfection.

It has already been pointed out that revelation in its lower forms, in the form of inspiration or that of dreams or visions, is the universal experience of humanity, but even in its highest form, it is not, according to the Holy Qur’ān, limited to one particular man or to one particular nation. It is, on the other hand, most emphatically stated that just as God has given His physical sustenance to each and every nation, even so He has endowed it with His spiritual sustenance for its moral and spiritual advancement. Two quotations from the Holy Qur’ān will suffice to show that revelation in its highest form has been granted to every nation : “ There is not a people but a warner has gone among them ” (35 : 24) ; “ And every nation had an apostle ” (10 : 47). And thus the idea of revelation in Islām is as broad as humanity itself.

The religion of Islām, therefore, requires a belief, not in the Holy Qur’ān alone, but in all the books of God, granted to all the nations of the world. At its very commencement it lays down in clear words : “ And those who believe in that which has been revealed to thee and that which was revealed before thee ” (2 : 4). And again : “ The Apostle believes in what has been revealed to him from his Lord, and so do the believers ; they all believe in Allāh and His angels and His books

Revelation is a universal fact.
 Belief in all sacred scriptures is an article of Muslim faith.

REVEALED BOOKS

and His apostles" (2 : 285). A book was granted to every prophet of God : " All people are a single nation ; so Allāh raised prophets bearing good news and warning, and He revealed with them the book with truth" (2 : 213) ; " But if they reject thee, so indeed were rejected before thee apostles who came with clear arguments and scriptures and the illuminating book" (3 : 183). Only two books are mentioned by their special name, the *Taurāt* (Torah, or book of Moses) and the *Injil* (Gospel, or book of Jesus). The giving of a scripture (*ṣabūr*) to David is also mentioned (17 : 55), and the scriptures (*ṣuḥuf*) of Abraham and Moses are mentioned together in 53 : 36, 37 and 87 : 19. But, as stated above, a Muslim is required to believe, not only in the particular books named, but in all the books of all the prophets of God ; in other words, in the sacred scriptures of every nation, because every nation had a prophet and every prophet had a book.

According to the Holy Qur'ān, revelation is not only universal but also progressive, attaining perfection in the last of the prophets, the Holy Prophet Muḥammad. A revelation was granted to each nation according to its requirements, and in each age in accordance with the capacity of the people of that age. And as the human brain became more and more developed, more and yet more light was cast by revelation on matters relating to the unseen, on the existence and attributes of the Divine Being, on the nature of revelation from Him, on the requital of good and evil, on life after death, on Paradise and Hell. The Holy Qur'ān is called a book "that makes manifest," because it shed complete light on the essentials of religion, and made manifest what had hitherto remained, of necessity, obscure. It is on account of this full resplendence of light which it casts on all religious problems that

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the Holy Qur'ān claims to have brought religion to perfection: "This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed My favour on you and chosen for you Islām as a religion" (5 : 30). Six hundred years before, Jesus Christ said: "I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now. Howbeit when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth" (Jn. 16 : 12, 13). This is clearly a reference to the coming of a revelation with which religion shall come to perfection, and, among the sacred books of the world, the Holy Qur'ān is alone in advancing the claim that it has brought religion to perfection, and, in keeping with that claim, has cast the fullest light on all religious questions.

Besides bringing religion to perfection and making plain what was obscure in the previous scriptures, the Holy Qur'ān claims to be a guardian over those scriptures, guarding the original teachings of the prophets of God, and a judge deciding the differences between them. Thus after speaking of the Torah and the Gospel (5 : 44, 47), it says: "And We have revealed to thee the Book with the truth, verifying what is before it of the book and a guardian over it" (5 : 48). It is elsewhere pointed out in the Holy Book that the teachings of the earlier scriptures had undergone alterations, and therefore only a revelation from God could separate the pure Divine teaching from the mass of error which had grown around it. This the Qur'ān did, and hence it is called a guardian over the earlier scriptures. As for its authority as a judge, we are told: "Certainly We sent apostles to nations before thee And We have not revealed to thee the Book except that thou mayest make clear to them that about which they differ" (16 : 63, 64). Religious differences had grown to such an extent that religion itself would have lost

REVEALED BOOKS

all hold on humanity had not a revelation from the Divine Being guided humanity aright. All religions were from God, yet they all denounced each other as leading man to perdition; and their basic doctrines had come to differ from each other to such an extent that it had become simply unthinkable that they could have proceeded from the same Divine source; till the Holy Qur'an pointed out the common ground, namely, the Unity of God, and the universality of revelation.

There is much that is common to the Holy Qur'an and the previous scriptures, especially the Bible. The Qur'an has repeatedly declared that the basic principles of all religions were the same, only the details differing according to the time and the stage of a people's development. All these principles in a more developed form are taught by the Holy Qur'an, and occasionally lessons have been drawn from previous history. But one thing is remarkable, to wit, that both in its discussion of religious principles and in its references to history, the Holy Qur'an has done away with the defects of the earlier books. Take, for example, the Bible. It mentions many incidents which, so far from conveying any ennobling lesson, are derogatory to the dignity of prophethood, and, sometimes, even of an obscene nature. An educated Jew or Christian would prefer that his sacred book did not contain such statements as that Abraham, that great and revered patriarch of all nations, was a liar, that Lot committed incest with his own daughters, that Aaron made the image of a calf and led the Israelites to its worship, that David, whose beautiful Psalms are the texts of sermons in churches and synagogues, committed adultery with Uriah's wife, and that Solomon with all his wisdom worshipped idols to please his wives. The Holy Qur'an speaks of all these

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

great men but it accepts none of these statements and rejects most of them in unmistakable words. Again, it speaks of the Devil tempting Adam, but in language which makes it clear that it is the story of man's every-day experience; there is no image of dust into whose nostrils the breath of life is breathed; no rib of Adam is taken out to make the woman; there is no Divine interdiction against the tree of the knowledge of good and evil; there is no serpent to beguile the woman, nor does the woman tempt the man; the Lord God does not walk in the garden in the cool of the day; no punishment is meted out to the serpent that he shall go on his belly and eat dust; the bringing forth of children is not a punishment for the woman, nor is labouring in the fields a punishment for the man. Similarly the Holy Qur'ān relates the history of Noah several times, but not once does it state that there was a deluge which covered the whole earth and destroyed all living creatures on the face of the earth. It only speaks of a flood that destroyed Noah's people. There are many other examples¹ which show that, though the Qur'ān relates the histories of some of the prophets of yore in order to draw lessons therefrom, yet it does not borrow from the Bible. It is from the Divine source that its knowledge is drawn, and hence it is that when referring to those histories, it removes all their defects.

The examples given above show that the old scriptures, though revealed by God, have undergone considerable changes; and this is not only true of the Bible but applies with equal truth to all the ancient revealed books. Modern criticism of the Bible, together with the accessibility of

1. I have noted these and other differences between the Holy Qur'ān and the Bible in the notes to my Translation of the Holy Qur'ān; to which I may refer the reader who seeks further information on this point.

REVEALED BOOKS

ancient manuscripts, has now established the fact that many alterations were made in it; it is over thirteen hundred years since the Holy Qur'ān charged the followers of the Bible with altering its text; and that at a time when nobody knew that such alterations had been made in its text. I shall content myself with only one quotation: "Do you then hope that they would believe in you, and a party from among them used indeed to hear the word of Allāh, then altered it after they had understood it, and they know (this).....Woe, then, to those who write the book with their hands and then say, This is from Allāh; so that they may take for it a small price" (2:75-79).¹ Hence it should be borne in mind that

1. The following examples of alterations in some of the Old and New Testament books are taken from a Christian commentator on the Bible. Regarding the authorship of the Pentateuch which has generally been ascribed to Moses, he says: "On close examination, however, it must be admitted that the Pentateuch reveals many features inconsistent with the traditional view that in its present form it is the work of Moses. For instance, it may be safely granted that Moses did not write the account of his own death in Dt 34..... In Gn 14: 14 and Dt 34 mention is made of Dan; but the territory did not receive that name till it was conquered by the Danites, long after the death of Moses (Josh 19: 47, Jg 18: 29) Again, in Nu 21: 14, 15 there is quoted as an ancient authority 'the book of the Wars of the Lord', which plainly could not have been earlier than the days of Moses. Other passages which can with difficulty be ascribed to him are Ex 6: 26, 27; 11: 3; 16: 35, 36; Lv 18: 24-28; Nu 12: 3; Dt 2: 12" (Dm. p. xxv). And again: "A careful examination has led many scholars to the conviction that the writings of Moses formed only the rough material or part of the material, and that in its present form it is not the work of one man, but a compilation made from previously existing documents" (*Ibid*, p. xxvi).

How true are the words of the Holy Qur'ān, uttered 1300 years ago! "Who write the book with their hands, then say, This is from Allāh."

The case of other books of the Bible is no better. Even the Gospels are admitted to have been altered. The original Gospel of Jesus Christ is nowhere to be found. But even the authenticity of the authorship of St. Matthew and the others is doubtful. As Dummelow says, "Direct authorship of this Gospel by the apostle Matthew is improbable" (Dm. p. 620). As regards Mark, he says: "Internal evidence points definitely to the conclusion that the last twelve verses (*i. e.*, 16: 9-20) are not by St. Mark" (*Ibid*, p. 732). The explanation as to how these verses found a place

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

though the Holy Qur'ān speaks again and again of "verifying" what is before it, yet it does not and cannot mean that there have been no alterations in them. On the other hand, it condemns many of the doctrines taught by the followers of the earlier scriptures, and this shows that while their origin is admitted to be Divine, it is at the same time pointed out that these books have not come down to us in their original purity, and that the truth revealed in them has been mixed up with errors due to alterations effected by human hands.

In almost every great religion, Divine revelation is considered to be the peculiar experience of a particular race or nation, and even in that nation the door to revelation is looked upon as having been closed after some great personage or after a certain time. But Islām, while making revelation the universal experience of humanity, also considers its door as standing open for all time. There is an erroneous idea in some minds that, in Islām, the door to revelation was closed with the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, because it is stated in the Holy Qur'ān that he is the last of the prophets. Why there shall be no prophet after him will be discussed in the next

here is very interesting. It is stated that the Gospel of Mark, being the first authoritative account of the life of Jesus, gained a good circulation at first; but, later on, Matthew and Luke became more popular, and Mark was, so to say, put in the shade. "When at the close of the apostolic age an attempt was made (probably in Rome) to collect the authentic memorials of the Apostles and their companions, a copy of the neglected Second Gospel was not easily found. The one that was actually discovered, and was used to multiply copies, had lost its last leaf, and so a fitting termination (the present appendix) was added by another hand" (Dm. p. 733). Many other examples of changes made in the text can be quoted, but one more would suffice. Commenting on the well-known confession of Christ, "Why callest thou me good" (Mk. 10 : 17), Dummelow says that in the Revised Version of Matthew, Christ's reply is, "Why askest thou me concerning that which is good"; and adds: "The author of Matthew..... altered the text slightly, to prevent the reader from supposing that Christ denied that He was good" (*Ibid*, p. 730).

chapter, but it is an error to confuse the discontinuance of prophethood with the discontinuation of revelation. It has been shown that of the three kinds of revelation, two are common to both prophets and those who are not prophets, while only one form of revelation, the highest, in which the angel Gabriel is sent with a message in words, is peculiar to the prophets; and therefore when it is said that no prophet shall appear after the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, the only conclusion that can be drawn from it is that the door has been closed on that highest form of revelation; but by no stretch of words can revelation itself be said to have come to an end. The granting of revelation to those who are not prophets being an admitted fact, as shown above on the basis of plain Qurānic verses, revelation remains, and humanity will always have access to this great Divine blessing, though prophethood, having reached its perfection, has naturally come to an end. The doctrine of the continuance of revelation is clearly upheld in the Holy Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth. Thus the former says: "Those who believe and guard against evil, they shall have good news (*bushrā*) in this world's life and in the hereafter" (10: 63, 64). The *bushrā* granted in this world's life are "good visions which the Muslim sees or which are shown to him," according to a saying of the Holy Prophet (Rz.). And according to one of the most reliable ḥadīth, *bushrā* or *mubashsharāt*—both words having the same significance—are a part of prophethood. Thus the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "Nothing remains of prophethood but *mubashsharāt*" (Bu. 91 : 5). Being asked what was meant by *mubashsharāt*, he replied, "good (or true) visions" (Bu. 91 : 5). According to another ḥadīth, he is reported to have said: "The vision of the believer is one of the forty-six parts of prophethood" (Bu. 91 : 4). In another version of the same

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

ḥadīth, instead of the *vision of the believer*, the words are *good (or true) visions (ru'yā ṣāliha)*. The word *vision* is used here in a wide sense, and includes the inspiration which is granted to the righteous. For we are told in yet another ḥadīth: "There used to be among those who were before you persons who were spoken to (by God) though they were not prophets; if there is such a one among my people, it is 'Umar" (Bu. 62: 6). All these ḥadīth and the Quranic verse quoted above afford proof enough that revelation in some of its lower forms is continued after the Holy Prophet, and it is only the highest form of revelation, the revelation brought by Gabriel, that has been discontinued with the termination of prophethood.

It is thus one of the basic principles of Islām that God speaks as He hears and sees. *Kalām* (speaking) is an attribute of the Divine Being. It has been said that God is never spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as *Mutakallim* or *Kalīm*, that is, as One Who speaks (En. Is., art. *Kalām*). I have already shown that there are many names of the Divine Being that are taken from some attribute or act ascribed to Him in the Holy Qur'ān, as for instance, *al-Rāfi'*, *al-Qābidz*, *al-Bāsiṭ*, *al-Mujīb*, *al-Muḥyi*, etc. There are even names that are taken not from an express attribute or act but from the sense simply, as *al-Wājid*, *al-Muqaddim*, *al-Mu'akkhkhir*, etc. Now the attribute *kalām* of the Divine Being is mentioned frequently in the Holy Qur'ān. God spoke to (*kallama*) Moses (4: 164; 7: 143); He spoke to (*kallama*) other prophets (2: 253); He speaks to those who are not prophets (42: 51). This leaves no doubt that speaking is an attribute of God according to the Holy Qur'ān, just as seeing and hearing are His attributes. The list of ninety-nine names that has been prepared may not include it, but the Holy Qur'ān definitely and decidedly states

REVEALED BOOKS

again and again that God has been speaking to His servants. Hence though no prophet will come after the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, yet God still speaks to His righteous servants, because it is one of His attributes, and because His attributes cannot cease.

The useless controversy which once occupied the attention of the Muslim world as to whether the Holy Qur'ān was created or uncreated, and whether it was eternal or *muḥdath* (new, or coming into existence afterwards), on account of which many men of note had to suffer great hardships, seems to have been due to some misunderstanding. It is recognized by all that *kalām* (speech) is an attribute of God, and all attributes of the Divine Being are inseparable from Him; indeed the Divine Being could not be conceived of as existing without these attributes. Hence no attribute could be said to have been created or *muḥdath*, that is, coming into existence afterwards. But there is equally no doubt that Divine attributes find expression at different times. God sees and hears from eternity, He sees and hears now and He will see and hear in the future. Similarly He speaks from eternity; He speaks even now and He will speak in the future. When Adam came into this world, He granted him a revelation; afterwards He granted a revelation to Noah, then to Abraham, then to Moses. He granted revelations to all nations of the world, each at a particular time and in the language of that particular people. That revelation, and in fact all events of the future, existed in His unlimited knowledge from all eternity, but so far as human experience is concerned, it was *muḥdath* or new, and we have to speak in terms of human experience. Nothing is new in the sight of God, whenever done, but according to our conception of things, the revelations given

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

to Adam and to Noah and all other prophets were new when they were granted. The Holy Qur'ān itself is explicit on this point: "There comes not to them a new (*muhḍath*) reminder from their Lord but they hear it while they sport" (21:2). In this sense, the Holy Qur'ān was also a new reminder, though it was there in the knowledge of God from all eternity. But things cannot be said to be eternal and uncreated, simply because they are in the knowledge of God from eternity.

CHAPTER V

PROPHETS

The next article of faith in the Muslim catechism is belief in the prophets. The Arabic word for prophet is *nabī*, which is derived from *naba'*, meaning *an announcement of great utility imparting knowledge of a thing* (R.). It is added by the same authority that the word *naba'* is applied only to such information as is free from any liability to untruth. It should be noted that the hamza (') in the root-word *naba'* is dropped in the word *nabī*.¹ One lexicologist explains the word *nabī* as meaning *an ambassador between God and rational beings from among His creatures* (R.). According to another, a *nabī* is *the man who gives information about God* (Q.), and this is further explained as *the man to whom God gives information concerning His Unity and to whom He reveals secrets of the future and imparts the knowledge that he is His prophet* (TA.). A *nabī* is also called a *rasūl*, which means *an apostle or messenger*, lit., *one sent*. The two words *nabī* and *rasūl* are used interchangeably in the Holy Qur'ān, the same person being sometimes called *nabī* and sometimes *rasūl*; while occasionally both names are combined. The reason seems to be that the prophet has two capacities, viz., he receives information from God, and he imparts the message to mankind. He is called a *nabī* in his first, and a *rasūl* in his second capacity, but there is one difference. The word *rasūl* has a wider significance, being applicable to every messenger in a literal sense; and the angels are called Divine

1. It is for this reason that some authorities are of opinion that *nabī* is derived from *nubuwwa* meaning *the state of being exalted*.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

messengers, *rusul* (pl. of *rasūl*) (35 : 1), because they are also bearers of Divine messages to carry out the Divine will.

It has already been stated that a faith in Divine Faith in Divine mes- revelation is one of the essentials of sengers. Islām, and since revelation must be communicated through a man, faith in the messenger is a natural sequence. Hence faith in the messengers of God is mentioned along with faith in the revealed books (2 : 177, 285). In fact there is a deeper significance underlying faith in the prophets, and hence the greater stress is laid upon this article of faith. The prophet is not only the bearer of the Divine message, but he also shows how that message is to be interpreted in practical life ; and therefore he is the model or exemplar to be followed. It is the prophet's example that inspires a living faith in the hearts of his followers and brings about a real transformation in their lives. This is why the Holy Qur'ān lays special stress on the fact that the prophet must be a man. The reformation or transformation of man can only be accomplished through a man-prophet. The angel's function is restricted simply to the delivery of the Divine message to the perfect man, the prophet. Hence an angel is sent as a messenger to the prophet and not as a messenger to men generally. He belongs to a different class of beings and cannot serve as a model for men. The reformation of man is thus entrusted to man : "Had there been in the earth angels walking about as settlers, We would have sent down to them an angel from the heaven as an apostle" (17 : 95) ; " And we did not send before thee any but men to whom We sent revelation And We did not give them bodies not eating food " (21 : 7, 8). If, then, even an angel cannot serve as a model for men, much less would God Himself serve that purpose, even if it were possible that He should come in the flesh. The

PROPHETS

doctrine of incarnation is, therefore, rejected, because God incarnate would serve no purpose in the reformation of man ; seeing that man has to face temptations at every step, but there is no temptation for God.

Prophethood is a free Divine gift to man, a *mauhiba*,¹

Universality of the institution of prophethood. according to the Holy Qur'ān. Just as He has granted His gifts of physical sustenance to all men alike, so His spiritual gift of prophethood, through which a spiritual life is awakened in man, is also a free gift to all the nations of the world. It is not among the Israelites alone that prophets were raised up, as would appear from the Bible. According to the Holy Qur'ān, there is not one nation in the world in which a prophet has not been raised up : " There is not a people but a warner has gone among them " (35 : 24). And again : " Every nation has had an apostle " (10 : 47). We are further told that there have been prophets besides those mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān : " And We sent apostles We have mentioned to thee before, and apostles We have not mentioned to thee " (4 : 164). It is, in fact, stated in a ḥadīth that there have been 124,000 prophets, while the Holy Qur'ān contains only about twenty-five names, among them being several non-Biblical prophets, Hūd and Ṣāliḥ raised up in Arabia, Luqmān in Ethiopia, a contemporary of Moses (generally known as *Khidzr*) in Sūdān, and *Dhu-l-Qarnain* (Darius I, who was also a king) in Persia ; all of which is quite in accordance with

1. The Holy Qur'ān itself is called a gift of God : " The Beneficent God (*al-Raḥmān*) taught the Qur'ān " (55 : 1, 2). That is to say, it is a free gift of God, not the result of anything done on the part of man, because *al-Raḥmān* means the bestower of free gifts. We are also told that no man can rise to the dignity of prophethood by his own efforts ; it is God Who raises someone to that dignity when He intends to reform men. Thus the unbelievers' question, as to why revelation is not sent to them, is met with the reply : " God knows best where He places His message " (6 : 125).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the theory of the universality of prophethood, as enunciated above. And as the Holy Book has plainly said that prophets have appeared in all nations and that it has not named all of them, which in fact was unnecessary, a Muslim may accept the great luminaries who are accepted by other nations as having brought light to them, as the prophets of those nations.

The Qur'ān, however, not only establishes a theory that prophets have appeared in all nations; it goes further and renders it necessary that a Muslim should believe in all those prophets. In the very beginning we are told that a Muslim must "believe in that which has been revealed to thee and that which was revealed before thee" (2 : 4); and a little further on : "We believe in Allāh and in that which has been revealed to us and in that which was revealed to Abraham and Ishmael and Isaac and Jacob and the tribes, and in that which was given to Moses and Jesus, and in that which was given to the prophets from their Lord; we do not make any distinction between any of them" (2 : 136), where the word *prophets* clearly refers to the prophets of other nations. And again, the Holy Qur'ān speaks of Muslims as believing in all the prophets of God and not in the Holy Prophet Muḥammad alone : "Righteousness is this that one should believe in Allāh and the last day and the angels and the book and the prophets" (2 : 177); "The Apostle believes in what has been revealed to him from his Lord, and so do the believers; they all believe in Allāh and His angels and His books and His apostles; we make no distinction between any of His apostles" (2 : 285). In fact, to believe in some prophets and reject others is condemned as *kufṛ* (unbelief) : "Those who disbelieve in Allāh and His apostles, and (those who) desire to make a distinction between Allāh and His apostles, and (those who) say,

PROPHETS

We believe in some and disbelieve in others, and desire to take a course between (this and) that—these it is that are truly unbelievers” (4 : 150, 151). A belief in all the prophets of the world is thus an essential principle of the religion of Islām, and though the faith of Islām is summed up in two brief sentences, there is no god but Allāh and Muḥammad is His apostle, yet the man who confesses belief in Muḥammad, in so doing accepts all the prophets of the world, whether their names are mentioned in the Holy Qur’ān or not. Islām claims a universality to which no other religion can aspire, and lays the foundation of a brotherhood as vast as humanity itself.

The Divine scheme whereby prophets were raised up
National prophets. for the regeneration of the world, as disclosed in the Holy Qur’ān, may be briefly summed up as follows. Prophets were raised up in every nation, but their message was limited to that particular nation and in some cases to one or a few generations. All these prophets were, so to say, national prophets, and their work was limited to the moral upliftment and spiritual regeneration of one nation only. But while national growth was a necessity of the first condition of the human race, when each nation lived almost an exclusive life and the means of communication between different races were wanting, the grand aim which the Divine scheme had in view was the upliftment and unification of the whole human race. Humanity could not remain for ever divided into water-tight compartments of nationality, formed on the basis of blood or geographical limitations. In fact these divisions had, through jealousy, become the means of discord and hatred between different nations, each looking upon itself as the only chosen nation, and despising the rest. Such views

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

tended to extinguish utterly any faint glimmerings of aspirations for the unity of the human race. The final step, therefore, in the institution of prophethood was the raising up of one prophet for all the nations, so that the consciousness of being one whole might be brought to the human race. The day of the national prophet was ended; it had served the purpose for which it was meant, and the day of the world-prophet dawned upon humanity in the person of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, to lead it on to the grand idea of the oneness of the human race.

The idea of the world-prophet is not based on a solitary passage occurring in the Holy Qur'ān, as to the extent of the mission of this or that prophet; but is a fully developed Divine scheme. When mentioning the earlier prophets, the Qur'ān says that Noah was sent "to his people" (7 : 59, 71 : 1), and so Hūd (7 : 65), and Ṣāliḥ (7 : 73), and Shu'aib (7 : 85)—everyone of them was sent to his people. It speaks of Moses as being commanded to "bring forth *thy* people from darkness into light" (14 : 5); it speaks of Jesus as "an apostle to the children of Israel" (3 : 48); but in speaking of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, it says in unequivocal words that "We have not sent thee but to *all men* as a bearer of good news and as a warner" (34 : 28). The Arabic words for *all men* are *kāffat-an li-l-nās*, where even *al-nās* carries the idea of *all people*, and the addition of *kāffa* is meant to emphasize further that not a single nation was excluded from the heavenly ministration of the Prophet Muḥammad. On another occasion, also, the universality of the Prophet's mission is thus stressed: "Say, O people! I am the Apostle of Allāh to you all, of Him Whose is the kingdom of the heavens and the earth" (7 : 158). One thing is sure that no other prophet is spoken of either in the

PROPHETS

Holy Qur'ān or in any other scripture¹ as having been sent to the whole of humanity or to all people or all nations, nor is the Holy Prophet Muḥammad ever spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as having been sent to *his people* only. It is, no doubt, true that he is commanded to warn "a people whose fathers were not warned" (36 : 1), but that does not mean that he was not to warn others than Arabs, for in 25 : 1, he is expressly described as being "a warner to all the nations." Nay, the Holy Qur'ān itself is repeatedly termed "a reminder for the nations" (68 : 52 ; 81 : 27 ; 38 : 87 ; 12 : 104). And he is not only a warner to all the nations, but a mercy to all of them as well : "And We have not sent thee but as a mercy to all the nations" (21 : 107).

The idea that a world-prophet must follow the national prophets is further developed in the Holy Qur'ān. It is in a Madīna revelation,² that the whole proposition, the appearance of a world-prophet, the

1. Jesus Christ was the last of these national prophets; and though the message of Christianity has now been conveyed to all the nations of the world, yet that was never Christ's own idea. He was perfectly sure that he was "not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel" (Mt. 15 : 24); so sure indeed that he did not hesitate to call those who were not Israelites "dogs" in comparison with "the children" who were the Israelites (Mt. 15 : 26), and the bread of the children could not be cast to the dogs. Nevertheless, the idea of casting the heavenly bread of Jesus to the same non-Israelite "dogs" entered the head of one of his disciples, after "the children" had shown no desire to accept that bread.

2. A. J. Wensinck advances a new theory in his recent book, *The Creed of Islam*, to the effect that though there are passages in the Holy Qur'ān which speak of a universal mission of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, but it was an earlier idea given up later : "It is true that there are in the Kuran expressions that seem to cover a wider field. We have already seen an example of this in the verse, 'Say to them, O men! verily I am unto you all the Apostle of God.' None of these passages, however, seems to have been revealed after the Hidjra" (p. 7). One fails to understand the force of the argument, if there be one, conveyed in these words. When a proposition is so clearly stated, what difference does it make whether it was uttered in Makka or Madina. As a matter of fact, Madina and Makka revelations are equally clear as to the universality of the Holy Prophet's mission.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

distinguishing feature of his religion and the necessity for believing in him, is laid down in clear words. I quote the whole passage :

“And when Allāh made a covenant through the prophets : Certainly what I have given you of book and wisdom—then an apostle comes to you verifying that which is with you, you must believe in him, and you must aid him. He said, Do you affirm and accept My compact in this matter ? They said, We do affirm. He said, Then bear witness, and I too am of the bearers of witness with you. Whoever then turns back after this, these it is that are the transgressors. Is it then other than Allāh’s religion that they seek to follow, and to Him submits (*aslama*) whoever is in the heavens and the earth, willingly or unwillingly, and to Him shall they be returned. Say : We believe in Allāh and what has been revealed to us, and what was revealed to Abraham and Ishmael and Isaac and Jacob and the tribes, and what was given to Moses and Jesus and to the prophets from their Lord ; we do not make any distinction between any of them, and to Him do we submit. And whoever desires a religion other than Islām, it shall not be accepted from him, and in the hereafter he shall be one of the losers ” (3 : 80-84).

That a world-prophet is spoken of here is evident from the fact that his acceptance—“you must believe in him and you must aid him”—is made obligatory on the followers of all the prophets that had passed away before him. As prophets had been sent, according to the plain teachings of the Holy Qur’ān, to every nation, the conclusion is obvious that the followers of every prophet are required to believe in this, the final, Prophet. The distinguishing feature of the world-prophet as mentioned here is that he will “verify that which is with you ;” in other words, that he will bear testimony to the

PROPHETS

truth of all the prophets of the world. You may turn the pages of all the sacred books and search the sacred history of every nation, and you will find that there is but One Prophet who verified the scriptures of *all* religions and bore testimony to the truth of the prophets of every nation. In fact, no one could aspire to the dignity of world-prophet who did not treat the whole humanity as one ; and Muḥammad is the only man who did so by declaring that prophets of God had appeared in every nation and that every one who believed in him must also believe in *all* the prophets of the world. Hence it is that the verse requiring a belief in all the prophets of God—a belief in Abraham, in Ishmael, in Isaac, in Jacob, in Moses, in Jesus, and finally and comprehensively in *the prophets*,—which occurs several times in the Holy Qur’ān, is repeated here again, and followed by the plain statement that Islām, or *belief in all the prophets of God*, is the only religion with God, and whosoever desires a religion *other than Islām*—a belief only in one prophet while rejecting all others—, it shall not be accepted from him, because belief in *one* prophet is after all only acceptance of partial truth, and tantamount to the rejection of the whole truth, to wit, that there have been prophets in every nation.

Muḥammad (peace be on him), therefore, does not only claim to have been sent to the whole world, to be a warner to all people and a mercy to all nations, but lays the foundations of a world-religion, by making a belief in the prophet of every nation the basic principle of his faith. It is the only principle on which the whole of humanity can agree, the only basis of equal treatment for all nations. The idea of a world-prophet is not a stray idea met with in the Qur’ān ; it is not based simply on one or two passages, stating that he had been raised up for the regeneration of all nations ; but the idea is here

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

developed at length, and all the principles which can form the basis of a world-religion are fully enunciated. The whole of humanity is declared to be one nation (2: 213); God is said to be the *Rabb* (the Nourisher unto perfection) of all nations (1: 1); prophets are declared to have been raised up in all the nations for their upliftment (35: 24); all prejudices of colour, race and language are demolished (30: 22; 49: 13); and a vast brotherhood, extending over all the world, has been established, every member of which is bound to accept the prophets of all nations, and to treat all nations equally. Thus not only is the Prophet Muḥammad a world-prophet who takes the place of the national prophets, but he has also established a world-religion wherein the idea of nationality is superseded by the consciousness of the unity of the human race.

All prophets, being from God, are as it were brothers.

All prophets are one community. This doctrine of the brotherhood of all prophets is not only taught in the interdiction against making distinctions between the prophets of God, as stated above, but is laid down in the plainest words in both the Holy Qur'ān and Ḥadīth. Thus, after speaking of various prophets in the chapter entitled *Prophets*, we are told: "Surely this is your community, a single community" (21: 92). And again: "O apostles! eat of the good things and do good; surely I know what you do. And surely this your community is one community and I am your Lord" (23: 51, 52). Ḥadīth also tells us that all prophets are as brothers: "The prophets are, as it were, brothers on the mother's side, their affair is one and their followers are different" (Bu. 60: 48). Every prophet may have some special characteristic of his own, but, generally, what is said of one in the Holy Qur'ān, of his high morals or sublime character or noble teachings or trust in God, is true of all. Thus of Abraham we are told that he was "a

PROPHETS

truthful man" (19 : 41) ; of Moses that he was "one purified" (19 : 51), or that he was "brought up before My eyes" (20 : 39) ; of Ishmael that he was "truthful in promise" or "one in whom his Lord was well pleased" (19 : 54, 55) ; of Noah, Hūd, Ṣāliḥ and Lot that they were "faithful" (26 : 107, 125, 143, 162) ; of Jesus that he was "worthy of regard in this world and the hereafter, and one of those who are near to God" (3 : 44) ; of John the Baptist that "We granted him wisdom..... and tenderness from Us and purity, and he was one who guarded against evil, and dutiful to his parents and he was not insolent, disobedient" (19 : 12-14), or that he was "honourable and chaste" (3 : 38). It is the gravest mistake to think that the high qualities attributed to one prophet may be wanting in others. The prophets are all one community ; they were all raised up for one purpose ; the teachings of all were essentially the same ; they were all truthful, all faithful, all worthy of regard ; all were made near to God, all were pure, all of them guarded against evil, all were honourable and chaste, and none of them was insolent or disobedient to God.¹

1. As the Christian religion is based on the supposition that Jesus Christ was the son of God and that he alone, being sinless, could be an atonement for the sins of humanity, every Christian writer has taken pains to call in the help of the Qur'ān for the exclusive sinlessness of Jesus Christ, while the Gospels deal a death-blow to that sinlessness by the plain answer he is said to have given to one who called him "good master" : "Why callest thou me good ? There is none good but one, that is, God" (Mt. 19 : 17, Mk. 10 : 18). In the Holy Qur'ān, all prophets are treated as one community. The Christian argument that Jesus is spoken of as "worthy of regard" and as "one of those made near to God" and that therefore other prophets were not such would, if applied against Jesus, mean that, since of John it is said that he was "chaste" and "one who guarded against evil," therefore Jesus Christ was not chaste, nor did he guard against evil ; or since of Abraham it is said that he was "truthful" but not so of Jesus, therefore Jesus was not truthful. It should be noted that the Holy Qur'ān speaks of Jesus as "one of those made near to God," and, on another occasion, of the Companions of the Holy Prophet as being *muqarrabūn* or *those made near to God* (56 : 11). The exclusive sinlessness of Jesus Christ is quite unknown to the Qur'ān ; neither does

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The prophets are raised up for the upliftment of human-

Why prophets are ity and for freeing men from the
raised up ? bondage of sin. It has been shown

in the last chapter that Divine revelation was needed to enable man to subdue the devil, who would, otherwise, be a great hindrance in his moral and spiritual progress. Man was commanded to live in a spiritual paradise, but since he was unable to withstand the temptations of the devil, the Divine revelation came to his aid; and a rule for all time was laid down for the guidance of all men : " There will come to you a guidance from Me, then whoever follows My guidance, no fear shall come upon

the fact that Jesus Christ is called *kalimatu-hū* (His word) and *rūh-un min-hu* (a spirit from Him) in any way establish that he is looked upon as more than mortal, since his mortality is repeatedly established in the clearest words : " Surely the likeness of Jesus with Allāh is as the likeness of Adam " (3 : 58); " The Messiah, son of Mary, was but an apostle ; apostles before him had indeed passed away ; and his mother was a truthful woman ; they both used to eat food " (5 : 75). And if Jesus Christ is called God's word, it only shows that he is looked upon as a created being like other mortals, for all created beings are called *words of God* : " If the sea were ink for the words of my Lord, the sea would surely be consumed before the words of my Lord are exhausted, though We were to bring the like of that sea to add thereto " (18 : 109). Jesus Christ is thus one of these numberless words. Similarly, he is called a spirit from God, not the spirit of God, as Christian writers have generally supposed : " O followers of the Book ! do not exceed the limits in your religion, and do not speak lies against Allāh, but speak the truth ; the Messiah, Jesus son of Mary, is only an apostle of Allāh and His word which He communicated to Mary and a spirit from Him " (4 : 171). The spirit of God was also breathed into Adam : " And when I have made him complete and breathed into him of My spirit, fall down making obeisance to him " (15 : 29). In fact, every man is spoken of as having the spirit of God breathed into him : " Then He made his progeny of an extract, of water held in light estimation. Then He made him complete and breathed into him of His spirit " (32 : 8, 9). Thus every man is a spirit from God ; nay he is more than this, inasmuch as every man is called a vicegerent of God (*ḥalīfa*) (2 : 30). Sometimes a *ḥadīth* is quoted in support of the theory of the exclusive sinlessness of Jesus : " No child is born but the devil touches him when he is born, so he raises a cry for help on account of his touching him, except Mary and her son " (Bu. 60 : 44). A similar *ḥadīth* is related about John the Baptist : " There is no man (*ʿabd*) but he will meet Allāh in a state of being sinful except John (Yaḥyā) " (IK). Now these *ḥadīth* contradict

PROPHETS

them, nor shall they grieve " (2 : 38). The negation of fear refers to the fear of the devil's temptation, as a remedy against which Divine revelation was first granted to man. Again, every prophet brings the message of the Unity of God, and the significance underlying this message has already been shown (in ch. 2) to be the all-round advancement of man, physical as well as spiritual and moral. And every prophet is called a *mubashshir* (giver of good news) and *mundhir* (warner) (2 : 213) ; the good news relating to his advancement and elevation, the warning to the retarding of or interference with

each other ; for, according to the first, even John was born with a touch of the devil, while, according to the latter, even Mary and Jesus are sinful. It is therefore out of the question to take them literally. In fact, Mary and her son, in the first *ḥadīth*, and John, in the second, are mentioned as prototypes of the righteous man. The Holy Qur'ān itself tells us that Mary stands for a believer : " Allāh sets forth to those who believe the example of the wife of Pharaoh.....and of Mary, the daughter of 'Amrān, who guarded her chastity, so We breathed into him of Our inspiration, and she accepted the truth of the words of her Lord and His books, and she was of the obedient ones " (66 : 11). The believer not yet emancipated from the bondage of sin is compared to Pharaoh's wife ; Pharaoh being, as it were, the embodiment of evil ; and the believer so emancipated is likened to Mary who guarded her chastity and accepted the truth of the words of her Lord. Mary, therefore, according to the Holy Qur'ān, typifies the man whom the devil cannot mislead, or, in the words of the *ḥadīth*, whom the devil does not touch ; while her son is described, in the same verse, as one into whom " We breathed of Our inspiration." The *ḥadīth* therefore tells us that two kinds of men are not tempted by Satan or touched by him ; of such as are not prophets, those, like Mary, who guard themselves and are perfectly obedient, and the prophets, like Jesus, who are the recipients of Divine revelation. In the second *ḥadīth*, both these are called *Yahyā* which literally means *he is alive*, i.e., people in whom the life spiritual is awakened. All others are said to be touched by the devil, i.e., the devil misleads them at times, but being believers in God they cry aloud for help, such being the significance of the word *ṣārikh* used in the *ḥadīth*. The time of birth mentioned in the *ḥadīth* indicates the spiritual birth, the first beginnings of which are marked by the struggle against evil, or the temptations of the devil, which struggle is spoken of as the crying for help to God against those temptations. Both these *ḥadīth*, therefore, must be accepted only metaphorically ; for if they are taken in a literal sense, they contradict each other, and, not only each other but all principles of religion also, and are therefore plainly unacceptable.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

his progress. Moreover, the four works of the Prophet, as mentioned several times in the Holy Qur'ān, are stated thus : " We have sent an Apostle to you from among you who recites to you Our communications and purifies you and teaches you the Book and the wisdom " (2 : 151, etc.). The Arabic word for purifying is *yuzakkī* which is derived from *zakā*, originally meaning, according to Rāghib, *the progress attained by Divine blessing* (i.e., by the development of the faculties placed by God within man), and relates to the affairs of this world as well as the hereafter, that is to say, to man's physical as well as spiritual advancement. The prophet's message of *purification*, therefore, signifies not only purification from sin but also man's setting forth on the road to physical and moral advancement.¹ All these references to the Holy Book show that the object of sending prophets was no other than the upliftment of man, to enable him to subjugate his animal passions, to inspire him with nobler and higher sentiments, and to imbue him with Divine morals.

The very object of the raising up of prophets makes it clear that the men who are commissioned for this high office must themselves be free from the bondage of sin, and more than that, the possessors of high morals. The doctrine of the sinlessness of prophets has therefore always been an admitted principle among Muslims.

1. Christian theologians have greatly misunderstood the object with which prophets are raised up. They think that to be delivered from the bondage of sin is the be-all and end-all of man's earthly life, the highest spiritual stage to which man can rise ; and therefore they believe that prophets were raised up solely for this purpose. The Holy Qur'ān, on the other hand, looks upon sinlessness as the starting point of man's spiritual advancement. It teaches, of course, that man must resist the temptation of the devil, but that is only the first step for the proper development of the great faculties which God has granted to man, and man's advancement is so limitless that it continues even after death, in a new life.

PROPHETS

Christian writers on Islām, however, have laboured to show that this doctrine is opposed to the Holy Qur'ān,¹ but nothing could be further from the truth. The Qur'ān not only speaks of individual prophets in terms of the highest praise, but also lays down clearly in general terms that the prophets cannot go, either in word or in deed, against any commandment of God: "And We did not send before thee any apostle but We revealed to him that there is no god but Me, therefore serve Me. And they say, The Beneficent God has taken to Himself a son.

1. Sell in *The Faith of Islam* admits that "the orthodox belief is that prophets are free from sin" (p. 299), and then goes on to say that this "does not agree with actual facts." Klein in *The Religion of Islam*, while conceding the point that according to the teachings of Islām, a prophet must possess faithfulness, truthfulness and the like, and that it is impossible to ascribe to prophets attributes opposed to these, such as unfaithfulness, falseness, mendaciousness, want of intelligence, dullness, or concealing the message (pp 73, 74), says that there is a "contradiction between the teaching of the Qur'ān and that of the theologians." The fact is that the Christian doctrine of Atonement is responsible for all these quibblings of the Christian controversialists. Because the "Son of God" was needed to make atonement for the sins of men, therefore all the prophets raised up for the regeneration of man must be sinful. If others, besides Jesus Christ, were sinless, the world would have no need for a "Son of God." The Bible itself, notwithstanding the many alterations in it, contains clear evidence of the sinlessness of the prophets. Of Noah it is said that he "was a just man and perfect in his generations" (Gen 6:9). To Abraham, the Lord said: "Walk before me and be thou perfect" (Gen. 17:1). To Moses, He said: "Thou shalt be perfect with the Lord thy God" (Deut. 18:13). Now *perfect* is more than *sinless*. The Bible itself says: "Blessed are the perfect in the way, who walk in the law of the Lord..... They also do no iniquity: they walk in his ways" (Ps. 119:1,3). And again: "The law of his God is in his heart; none of his steps shall slide" (Ps. 37:31). Zacharias, according to the writers of the Gospels, was not a prophet, and yet both he and his wife are declared to be sinless: "And they were both righteous before God, walking in all the commandments and ordinances of the Lord, blameless" (Lk. 1:6). And of John, their son, it is said that he was "filled with the Holy Ghost, even from his mother's womb" (Lk. 1:15). In the face of such clear words upholding the sinlessness of prophets, and of even the righteous persons who were not prophets, it is sheer defiance of sacred authority to call the prophets sinful for the sake of one who rebuked others for calling him "good" (Mk. 10:17,18). The doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets is therefore based both on the Holy Qur'ān and the Bible.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Glory be to Him. Nay! they are honoured servants ; they do not precede Him in speech and only according to His commandment do they act " (21: 25-27).¹ And elsewhere it is said: "It is not attributable to a prophet that he should act unfaithfully" (3: 160). These two verses set out in general words the principle of the sinlessness of prophets, while it has already been shown how each individual prophet has been spoken of in terms of the highest praise; one is called a *ṣiddīq* (*i. e.*, one who never told a lie); another is said to have been purified by God's hand and to have been brought up in the Divine presence; a third is described as being one in whom God was well pleased; a fourth is mentioned as having been granted purity and as one who guarded against evil and never disobeyed; a fifth is said to be worthy of regard and one of those who are near to God; and many of them, including the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, are described as being *amin*, which means *one who is completely faithful to God*. The Holy Qur'ān, therefore, leaves not the least doubt as to the sinlessness of the prophets.

There are however certain words which have been misunderstood by some critics, who have straightway rushed to the erroneous conclusion that the Holy Qur'ān gives no support to the doctrine of the sinlessness of prophets. The most important of these words is *istighfār* which is generally taken as meaning *asking for forgiveness of sins*. It carries, however, a wider significance, being derived

1. Commentators who have taken the last words as applying to angels, have done so only because they have paid no attention to the context. There is no doubt that, elsewhere, similar words are used about angels: "Who do not disobey Allāh in what He commands them, and do as they are commanded" (66: 6). But the context here is too clear to need any comment. It speaks of the prophets, and then it speaks of the Christian doctrine that God has taken a son to Himself, which is based on the theory of the sinfulness of all prophets, as already shown, and hence it goes on to state in clear words that all prophets are sinless.

PROPHETS

from the root ghafr which means *the covering of a thing with that which will protect it from dirt* (R.). Hence istighfār means only *the seeking of a covering or protection*, and therefore seeking of *protection from sin* is as much a meaning of istighfār as the *seeking of protection from the punishment of sin*. Qaṣṭalānī, in his commentary on Bukhārī, makes this quite clear, and adds that ghafr means *sitr or covering, which is either between man and his sin or between sin and its punishment* (Qs. I, p. 85). When it is established that, according to the plain teachings of the Holy Qur'ān, the prophets are sinless, istighfār can, in their case, only be taken as meaning the *seeking of protection from the sins to which man is liable*. The istighfār of the prophets, therefore, means only their flying for protection to God, for it is through Divine protection alone that they can remain sinless. Hence the Holy Prophet is spoken of in a ḥadīth as doing istighfār a hundred times a day; that is to say, he was every moment flying for protection to God, and praying to Him, that he may not go against His will. Istighfār or the prayer for ghafr (protection) is in fact a prayer for Divine help in the advancement to higher and higher stages of spiritual perfection. Thus, even those who have been admitted into Paradise, are described as praying to God for His ghafr: "Our Lord! make perfect for us our light and grant us protection (ighfir), for Thou hast power over all things" (66:8). The ordinary rendering is "forgive us," but forgiveness, in the narrow sense of pardoning of sins, is meaningless here, because none can be admitted into Paradise unless his sins are pardoned. Ghafr or forgiveness, therefore, stands here for Divine help in the spiritual advancement of man, which will continue even after death. On another occasion, maghfira, which is the same as ghafr, is described as a blessing of Paradise: "For them therein

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

are all kinds of fruits and forgiveness (*maghfira*) from their Lord" (47: 15). *Maghfira* is therefore one of the blessings which the righteous shall enjoy in Paradise, and therefore a Divine help in the onward progress of man therein.

Another misunderstood word is *dhanb* which is generally translated as meaning sin; but *dhanb* also is a word with a very wide significance. According to one authority, *dhanb* is originally *taking the tail of a thing*, and it is applied to every act the consequence of which is disagreeable or unwholesome (R.). According to another, it means either a sin, or a crime or a fault, and it is said to differ from *ithm* in being either intentional or committed through inadvertence, whereas *ithm* is definitely intentional (LL.). *Dhanb* is therefore as much applicable to sins due to perversity as to shortcomings resulting from inadvertence. Now in the case of these latter, there is a vast difference between the righteous man and the sinner. A righteous man, without in the least departing from the course of righteousness, would always feel that he had fallen short in doing some good to humanity or in doing his duty to God; and thus, even though he is engaged in doing some good, he feels that there is something lacking in him. But between the shortcoming of such a one and that of the sinner is a world of difference. The sinner's shortcoming or *dhanb* is that he has set himself against the will of God deliberately and done evil, while the righteous man's shortcoming lies in the fact that he is not satisfied that he has done all the good that it was in his power to do.

Another word which requires to be explained in this connection is *khata'* or *khata'*. This word too has a wide significance. According to Rāghib *when a man intends the doing of a good thing but he happens to do instead something which*

PROPHETS

he never intended, that is also *khata'i'a* (mistake). According to another authority, the difference between *khata'i'a* (mistake) and *ithm* (sin) is that in the latter there is intention, which is not necessary in the former (IJ-C. V, p. 162). When the mujtahid (one who exercises his reasoning faculty) does not arrive at a right conclusion and makes a *khata'a'* (mistake) in his judgment, he is still said to merit a reward, since his intention was good. Hence the word *khata'i'a* or *khata'a'* does not necessarily imply sin.

Christian criticism of Islām has been particularly directed against the doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets, and this, as I have already pointed out, is due to the Christian doctrine of Atonement which falls *ipso facto* the moment that any one else is regarded as sharing with Jesus Christ the honour of sinlessness. This criticism is, however, based, not on any principle enunciated in the Holy Qur'ān, for it is there stated in clear words that all prophets of God are faithful, both in word and deed, to the Divine commandments, but on certain cases of individual prophets. Most of this misdirected criticism is due to a wrong conception of the four words I have explained; viz., *ghafr*, *istighfār*, *dhanb* and *khata'a'*. For example, it is said that Noah was a sinner because he prayed to God, saying: "My Lord! I seek refuge in Thee from asking Thee that of which I have no knowledge; and if Thou shouldst not forgive (*taghfir*) me and have mercy on me, I should be of the losers" (11 : 47). The word used for forgiving is from *ghafr*, which, as I have shown, means the granting of protection as well against sin as against the punishment of sin, and the prayer has not the remotest reference to any confession of sin on the part of Noah. Similarly, Abraham is looked upon as a sinner because he is spoken of as expressing the hope that God "will forgive my mistake (*khata'i'ati*) on the Day of Judgment."

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

(26 : 82). It is one thing to commit a mistake and quite a different thing to go against the Divine commandments, and no sensible critic could twist such words into a confession of sin.

The Holy Prophet Muḥammad is said to be a sinner because he is commanded to seek Divine protection (*istaghfir*) for his *dhanb* (40 : 55). Now to seek protection against sin does not mean that sin has been committed—he who seeks Divine protection rather guards himself against the commission of sin; and, moreover, the word used here is *dhanb* which means any human shortcoming. The following verses may however be discussed at greater length : “ We have given to thee a clear victory, that Allāh may grant thee protection for that which has gone before of thy *dhanb* or the *dhanb* attributed to thee (*dhanbi-ka*) and that which remains behind ” (48 : 1, 2). Even if the first interpretation (*dhanbi-ka* meaning, thy *dhanb* or thy fault) is adopted, there is no imputation of sin, but only of human shortcomings, for, as has been already shown, *dhanb* carries that wider significance. But as a matter of fact, *dhanbi-ka* here means the *dhanb attributed to thee*, not thy *dhanb*. The victory spoken of in the first sentence is, on the best authority, the Ḥudaibiya truce, (Bu. 65, sūra 48 : 1). During a prolonged state of hostilities, between the Muslims and their opponents, the latter had had no opportunity for reflecting on the beauties of Islām, but had, in fact, contracted a certain hatred towards it. They did not come into contact with the Holy Prophet except as enemies on the field of battle, and hence they drew a dark picture of him as an enemy. The truce drawn up at Ḥudaibiya was a victory for Islām, or, at any rate, a gain to the cause of Islām, since it put a stop to hostilities; and peace being established in the country, the non-Muslims freely mixed with the Muslims,

PROPHETS

and the good points of Islām together with the high morality of the Prophet made their impression. Misunderstandings were removed, and people began to be attracted by the bright picture of Islām. It was in this sense that the Ḥudaibiya truce, which is called a clear victory of Islām, became the means of protection (*ghafr*) to the Holy Prophet against the evil things which had been said concerning him. It was a victory over the hearts of men, and it changed their mental attitude towards Islām, while the number of Muslims increased by leaps and bounds and all carpings ceased. The reference in "what remains behind" is to the later carpings of the critics of Islām, and means that evil things will be said about the Holy Prophet at a later date as well, and that all such misrepresentations and misunderstandings will, in their turn, be swept away. This significance of the *idzāfa* is a common-place of the Arabic language. Again and again the Holy Qur'ān speaks of *shurakā'* (associates) of God, though the meaning is that they are the associates attributed to the Divine Being by polytheists. Similarly in 5 : 29, the word *ithmī* does not mean *my sin*, but *the sin committed against me* : "I wish that thou shouldst bear the sin against me (*ithmī*) and thy own sin."

Moses is also said to have committed a sin by killing a Copt, but the Holy Qur'ān makes it clear that he simply used his fist to ward off an attack against an Israelite who was being ill-treated (28 : 15), and thus death was only accidental. No law would hold a man to be guilty under such circumstances. It is true that the word *dzāll* is used of Moses in connection with this incident on another occasion (26 : 20), but *dzalla* means *he was perplexed* or *confused* (LL.), and it is in this sense that the word is there used. *Dzāll* is also employed with reference to the Holy

Moses.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Prophet Muḥammad in 93 : 7 in almost the same sense, one unable, by himself, to find the way to prophethood (R.).¹ This is not only made clear by the context, but also by the history of the Prophet's life, which shows that from his very childhood he shunned not only idolatry but all the evil practices of Arab society, a reference to which is contained in the Holy Qur'ān: "Your companion did not err, nor did he deviate" (53 : 2). Living in the midst of an evil society, he was not only free from the evils of that society, but was further anxious to find a way for its delivery from those evils. He saw around him the degraded condition of a fallen humanity but could not see the way to raise it up; it was God Who showed him that way, as the verse runs: "And He found thee unable to see the way and He showed the way" (93 : 7).

Concerning Adam, it is undoubtedly said that "Adam
Adam.
disobeyed his Lord" (20 : 121), but even here there is no commission of sin, for as a preliminary to that incident, it is clearly stated: "And certainly We gave a commandment to Adam before, but he forgot; and We did not find in him any determination (to disobey)" (20 : 115). There was no intention on the part of Adam to disobey the Divine commandment; it was simply forgetfulness that brought about the disobedience. In 2 : 36, where the same incident is related, the word used instead of *disobedience* is a derivation of *zalla* which means *a slip* or *a mistake*. Thus, individually, none of the prophets is spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as having committed a sin, and therefore the doctrine of the sinlessness of the prophets is unassailable.

The word employed in the Holy Qur'ān for miracle

Conception of miracles in Islām. is *āya*, the primary meaning of which is *an apparent sign or mark by*

1. *Ghaira muhtaḍ-in li-mā sīqa ilaihi min al-nubuwwa.*

PROPHETS

which a thing is known (R.). As there used, it generally carries one of two significations, *an indication, evidence* or *proof*, and a *Divine message* or *communication*. In the first sense, it includes the miracle in its meaning, and in the second, a verse of the Holy Qur'ān. The adoption of the same word to indicate a Divine message and its proof is noteworthy. It shows that the Divine message itself is first and foremost the proof of its own truth, and hence it is that the Holy Qur'ān has always been looked upon by all Muslims as the greatest miracle of the Holy Prophet. And it is indeed the greatest miracle ever vouchsafed to a prophet because it stands in need of no other evidence whatever, but is itself a living proof of its own truth for all time.

Christian writers on Islām are generally of opinion that though the Holy Qur'ān records certain miracles of other prophets, it denies that any signs at all were vouchsafed to the Holy Prophet Muḥammad save and except the Holy Qur'ān. It is true that the Quranic conception of miracles is quite different from that of the Christian. In Christianity, miracles are all in all. Not only do they take the place of argument, but the central doctrine of the Christian religion is itself based on an alleged miracle. For what is the rising of Jesus from the dead but a miracle? And a miracle, too, without a shred of evidence. Yet if Jesus did not rise from the dead, the pillar on which the whole structure of Christianity rests crashes to the ground. The basic doctrine of Christianity thus being a miracle, it is not surprising that, in the Gospels, miracles take the place, not only of argument, but also of religious duties, moral teachings and spiritual awakening. The dead are made to rise from the graves, multitudes of the sick are healed, sight is restored to the blind, the lame are made to walk, the deaf to hear, water is turned into wine, devils are cast out

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

and many other wonderful deeds are done.¹ That these are only exaggerations or misunderstandings or even pure inventions is quite another matter ; the impression one gains is that the great object before the reformer is not to bring about a transformation by implanting

1. Though the Gospels lay so much stress on miracles, the whole force out of the argument of miracles, if there be any argument, is taken away by two outstanding facts. In the first place, similar miracles were, according to the Gospels, worked even by the opponents of Jesus Christ, for he is himself made to say "And if I by Beelzebub cast out devils, by whom do your children cast them out ?" (Mt. 12 : 27 ; Lk. 11 : 19) The disciples of the Pharisees could therefore work the miracles which Jesus did. And again, he is reported as saying : "Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name ? And in thy name have cast out devils ? And in thy name done many wonderful works ?" (Mt. 7 : 22) Even false Christs could work the miracles which Jesus showed : "For there shall arise false Christs and false prophets, and shall show great signs and wonders" (Mt. 24 : 24). And last of all there was the healing pool of those days : "Now there is at Jerusalem by the sheep market a pool, which is called in the Hebrew tongue Bethesda, having five porches. In these lay a great multitude of impotent folk, of blind, halt, withered, waiting for the moving of the water. For an angel went down at a certain season into the pool, and troubled the water : whosoever then first after the troubling of the water stepped in was made whole of whatsoever disease he had" (Jn. 5 : 2-4). If miracles were so cheap in those days, if even the disciples of the Pharisees and iniquitous and false Messiahs could perform the self same miracles which the "Son of God" was performing, if there was such a miraculous pool, what evidence can these miracles possibly afford ?

Yet another consideration makes the evidence of the Gospel miracles worthless. The miraculous in a prophet's life is needed to assure the people to whom he is sent of the truth of his message, and to convince the ordinary mind that some supernatural power is at his back. The question, therefore, is, supposing Jesus wrought the miracles which are recorded of him in the Gospels, what was the effect produced by those miracles ? Certainly if such wonderful deeds were done, the masses ought to have followed him without hesitation. But the Gospels tell us that though multitudes of the sick followed him and were healed, and though faith was a condition precedent to healing, yet Jesus never had multitudes of followers. His following was very poor, perhaps no more than five hundred men. His own disciples also did not show in any marked degree the effect of the miraculous upon their lives. Of the twelve specially chosen, one turned traitor, another cursed and the rest all fled, leaving the master in a sad plight. Therefore even if Jesus worked miracles, they would seem never to have fulfilled the objects for which miraculous power is vouchsafed

PROPHETS

faith in God in the mind of man ; and that conviction of the truth is sought, not by argument or appeal to the heart, but by overawing the mind by the miraculous. The conception of the miracle, as given by the Holy Qur'ān, is quite different. Here the supreme object before the Prophet is to effect a moral and spiritual transformation ; the means adopted are an appeal to the reasoning faculty, an appeal to the heart of man to convince him that the Divine message is meant for his own uplifting, and lessons drawn from previous history showing how the acceptance of truth has always benefited man, and its rejection has worked to his own undoing. The miracle has its own place in the Divine scheme ; something great and beyond human power and comprehension is wrought now and again to show that the source of the great Message of Truth is supernatural, Divine. Thus the Holy Qur'ān makes it clear that the bringing about of a transformation is the real object for which prophets are raised up, that this object is attained by several means, each of which, therefore, has but a secondary value, and that among these evidences of the truth of the prophet, the miracle occupies not the highest place.

Thus it is that, while the Holy Qur'ān is full of arguments, makes frequent appeals to human nature, and repeatedly refers to the histories of previous peoples, the mention of miracles in it is very rare. But still they are not denied : “ And they swear by Allāh with the strongest of their oaths that if a sign came to them they would most certainly believe in it. Say, Signs are only with Allāh ; and what should make you know that when it comes they will not believe ” (6 : 110). The words “ signs are only with Allāh ” clearly imply, as do those that follow, that extraordinary signs will be shown as an evidence of the Divine mission of the Prophet. Strange it is that there are critics who see in this verse a

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

denial of signs, only because it is said that signs are with God. It is true that the Holy Qur'ān does not represent the Holy Prophet Muḥammad as a wonder-worker, as the Gospels represent Jesus Christ. Signs were shown, not when the Prophet so desired, or when his opponents demanded, but when it was the will of God; hence, whenever an extraordinary sign of the Prophet's truth was demanded, the reply was that such a sign would come when God willed it.

Another much misunderstood verse of the Holy Qur'ān relating to the showing of signs is 17:59: "And nothing could have hindered Us that We should send signs except that the ancients rejected them.. and We do not send signs but to make men fear." The words do not signify that because the former people had rejected the signs, therefore God would send no more. Had this been their meaning, God would have ceased to send even Divine messages, because the ancients had already rejected such messages. But, since the word *āya* means both *a sign* and *a communication*, the argument of rejection applies to both equally well. The meaning of the words is quite clear. If anything could have been considered as hindering God from sending a new communication or a sign, it would surely have been the rejection of such by previous generations, but it never did. The Divine Being has been equally merciful to all generations, and rejection by former was no ground for depriving later generations of signs and Divine guidance.

As I have already stated, the greatest miracle of Islām is the Holy Qur'ān. Nor is this an after-thought on the part of the Muslims, for the Holy Book itself claims to be a miracle and has challenged the world to produce its like:

The miracles of Islām

["If men and jinn should combine together to produce the like of this Qur'ān, they could not produce the like of

it, though some of them were aiders of others " (17 : 88).

" Or, do they say, He has forged it ? Say, Then bring ten forged chapters like it and call upon whom you can besides Allāh, if you are truthful " (11 : 13).

" Or, do they say, He has forged it ? Say, Then bring a chapter like this and invite whom you can besides Allāh, if you are truthful " (10 : 38).

" And if you are in doubt as to that which We have revealed to Our servant, then produce a chapter like it, and call on your helpers besides Allāh, if you are truthful " (2 : 23).

And if the claim be so great, the proof is not less, in witness whereof let me cite a few quotations from recent writers :

" It was the one miracle claimed by Mohammed—his 'standing miracle' he called it ; and a miracle indeed it is " (Bosworth Smith's *Life of Mohammed*, p. 290).

" The Qorān is unapproachable as regards convincing power, eloquence and even composition " (*New Researches*, by Hirschfeld, p. 8).

" Never has a people been led more rapidly to civilization, such as it was, than were the Arabs through Islām " (*Ibid.*, p. 5).

" A more disunited people it would be hard to find, till suddenly, the miracle took place ! A man arose who, by his personality and by his claim to direct Divine guidance, actually brought about the impossible—namely the union of all these warring factions " (*The Ins and Outs of Mesopotamia*, p. 99).

" That the best of Arab writers has never succeeded in producing anything equal in merit to the Qur'ān itself is not surprising " (Palmer Intr. to *Translation of Qur'ān*, p. lv).

In short, the Qur'ān is a miracle because it brought about the greatest transformation that the world has ever

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

witnessed—a transformation of the individual, of the family, of society, of the nation, of the country; an awakening material as well as moral, intellectual as well as spiritual. It produced an effect, a hundred thousand times greater than that of any other miracle recorded of any prophet; hence its claim to be the greatest of all miracles is incontestable and uncontested.

Of all miracles, the Holy Qur'ān gives the first place to prophecy, and, in fact, prophecy does, in some respects, enjoy a distinction beyond that attaching to other miracles. Miracles generally are manifestations of the powers of God, and prophecy gives prominence to God's infinite knowledge which comprehends the future as well as the past and present. But there is one great disadvantage attaching to all miracles which are merely manifestations of power. It is very difficult to secure reliable evidence for them under all circumstances. Certain men may have witnessed the performance of such a miracle and their evidence may satisfy their contemporaries, but, with the lapse of time, their testimony loses much of its value. Therefore a miracle stands in need of being proved up to the hilt before it may be used as evidence of a prophet's claim, and in most cases it is very hard, if not impossible, to adduce any proof that the miracle ever actually took place.

Another difficulty in the matter of miracles generally is to be found in the fact that however wonderful a performance, it may be explained scientifically, and thus lose all value as a sign of the Divine mission of its worker. Take for instance the great miracles of Jesus Christ. The greatest of these is his raising the dead to life, and in one case, that of the ruler's daughter, Jesus is reported as saying: "The maid is not dead, but sleepeth" (Mt. 9: 24). There was no doctor's certificate at hand to

PROPHETS

show that the maid actually was dead, and, notwithstanding the impression of the relatives that such was the case, Jesus Christ himself knew that she was only sleeping or, perhaps, in a state of stupor. If then the disciples did not misunderstand his symbolical words, and Jesus used to talk much in figurative language,¹ there is still the possibility that a person who was taken for dead was not actually dead. And this is exactly what happened in the case of Jesus himself who was taken for dead but was not actually dead, as is shown by facts recorded about him in the Gospels. Jesus' miracles of healing are still more doubtful in view of the fact that similar miracles were also performed by his opponents, and that there was, as we have seen, a Pool of Healing in those days, which restored sight to the blind and cured all kinds of ailments. Such doubts, however, do not exist in the case of prophecy, which can stand the test of scientific investigation. Moreover, the evidence in such case rests on a firmer basis altogether, and its fulfilment generally comes to pass after a long time. A prophecy which proceeds from a Divine source must, of course, disclose some event which is beyond the scope of human knowledge and which cannot possibly be discovered by human

1 There is not the least doubt that Jesus often spoke in parables and used symbolic language freely: "Let the dead bury their dead" (Mt. 8:22); "The hour is coming, and now is, when the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of God.....for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice and shall come forth" (Jn. 5:25-29). There seems to be no doubt that words like these were the source from which sprang marvels like the following: "And, behold, the veil of the temple was rent in twain from the top to the bottom; and the earth did quake, and the rocks rent; And the graves were opened; and many bodies of the saints which slept arose, And came out of the graves after his resurrection and went into the holy city, and appeared unto many" (Mt. 27:51-53). A recent commentator says of this incident that it "seems to be a pictorial setting forth of the truth that in the Resurrection of Christ is involved the resurrection of all His saints, so that on Easter Day all Christians may be said in a certain sense to have risen with Him" (Dummelow's *Bible Commentary*).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

foresight. It must also be connected with some deep Divine purpose connected with the elevation of humanity, for prophecies are not meant merely to satisfy human curiosity. Lastly, it must have behind it the force of conviction, so that it is not only uttered with the utmost certainty but even in circumstances which apparently conflict with what is disclosed in the prophecy. A prophecy that fulfils these three conditions is one of the greatest miracles, a miracle which by an appeal to reason shows that there is a God Who reveals deep secrets to man and with Whom man can hold communion.

The prophecies mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān and
Prophecy of the triumph of Islām of which Ḥadīth literature is full, cover so vast a ground and relate to a future so distant that they require separate treatment. But I would give one example in illustration of what I have said above. The Holy Qur'ān gives prominence to the great prophecy of the triumph of Islām, and its earlier chapters are full of such prophecies uttered in various forms. Now these chapters were revealed, and these prophecies announced, at a time when the Holy Prophet was quite alone and helpless, beset by enemies on all sides plotting to put an end to his very life. The few adherents to his cause had, by cruel persecution, been forced to leave their very homes and to take shelter in a foreign land. There was not the remotest prospect of Islām ever making any headway against the mighty forces of polytheism and idolatry, the mass of superstition and evil of every kind ranged against it. All previous attempts at the regeneration of Arabia, those of the Jewish nation which had settled down in various parts of Arabia, of the Christian missionaries who had the backing of the powerful Roman empire on the north and of Abyssinia in the south and west, the indigenous Arab attempt known as Ḥanīfism,

PROPHETS

had all proved utter failures, and thus the fate of each previous attempt was only a symbol of despair for any fresh reform movement. Yet under these circumstances, amid all this despair on every side, we find prophecy after prophecy announced in the surest and most certain terms to the effect that the great forces of opposition should be brought to naught, that the enemies of Islām should be put to shame and perish, that Islām should become the religion of the whole of Arabia, that the empire of Islām should be established and battles be fought in which the Muslims should be victorious and the enemy brought low, that Islām should spread to the farthest corners of the earth and that it should ultimately be triumphant over all religions of the world.¹ Has not

1. I give a few quotations from the Holy Book: "Are the unbelievers of yours better than these (Pharaoh and others), or is there an exemption for you in the scriptures? Or do they say, We are a host allied together to help each other? Soon shall the host be routed, and they shall turn (their backs)" (54: 43-45)

"And you dwell in the abodes of those who were unjust to themselves, and it is clear to you how We dealt with them and We have set forth parables to you. And they have indeed planned their plan, and their plan is with Allāh, though their plan was such that the mountains should pass away thereby. So do not think Allāh to be failing in His promise to His apostles; for Allāh is Mighty, the Lord of retribution" (14: 45-47).

"Those who disbelieve, neither their wealth nor their children shall avail them in the least against Allāh.....After the manner of the people of Pharaoh and those before them; they rejected Our communications, so Allāh seized them on account of their sins and Allāh is severe in requiting (evil). Say to those who disbelieve: You shall be vanquished and driven together to hell" (3: 9-11).

"We will soon show them Our signs in remote regions and in their own souls, until it will become quite clear to them that it is the truth" (41: 53).

"And those who disbelieved said to their apostles, We will drive you forth from our land, or else you shall come back into our religion. And their Lord revealed to them, Certainly We will destroy the unjust and We will settle you in the land after them" (14: 13, 14).

"And truly We wrote in the Book after the reminder that the land—My righteous servants shall inherit it. In this is a message to a people who serve Us" (21: 105-106).

"Allāh has promised to those of you who believe and do good that He will make them rulers in the earth as He made rulers those before them,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

all this been said in the Holy Qur'ān in plain words, and at a time when there was not the least prospect of Islām gaining ground? And was not all this brought to fulfilment, against all expectations, in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet? These are simple questions and no one who has the slightest acquaintance with the Holy Qur'ān or the history of Islām can have any hesitation in answering them in the affirmative.

The value of prophecy, as a miracle of Islām, is, however, much more extensive. There are great and wonderful prophecies in the Book, and more still in Ḥadīth, extending into the far future, many of which have been fulfilled in our own age, and almost every generation of Muslims sees with its own eyes the fulfilment of one or more of these great prophecies, and needs not to turn the pages of history to find out what miracles were performed by the Holy Prophet in a previous age. Another feature of this miracle is that it has been vouchsafed even to the righteous followers of the Holy Prophet in every age. Thus it is not the Prophet's own prophecies that are witnessed in every age, for prophecy is also a heritage to his devout and faithful followers.¹

There is one more point on which light should be
Intercession : God is thrown in connection with the place
the real Intercessor. of prophets in Islām, and that is the

and that He will establish for them their religion which He has chosen for them, and that He will, after their fear, give them security in exchange" (24 : 55).

"He it is Who sent His Apostle with the guidance and the true religion, that He may make it overcome the religions, all of them" (61 : 9 ; 48 : 28 ; 9 : 33).

1. Speaking of the faithful, the Holy Qur'ān says : " They shall have good news (*bushrā*) in this world's life " (10 : 64) ; and elsewhere : " The angels descend upon them, saying, Fear not, nor be grieved, and receive good news of the garden which you were promised " (41 : 30). And according to Ḥadīth, " nothing remains of prophethood except *mubashsharāt* " (Bu. 91 : 5), and these are explained to be good visions and called a part of prophethood (Bu. 91 : 4).

PROPHETS

doctrine of intercession. The Arabic word for intercession is *shafā'a* which is derived from *shaf'* meaning *the making a thing to be one of a pair* (T.A.), or *the adjoining a thing to its like* (R.) ; and *shafā'a* signifies *the joining of a man to another assisting him*, especially when a man who enjoys a high rank and honour joins himself to a man of a lower position (R.). In the Holy Qur'an, God is spoken of as the real *Shafī'* (Intercessor): " There is no guardian (*waliyy*) for them besides Him, nor any intercessor (*shafī'*) " (6 : 51, 70). And on another occasion : " Allāh's is the intercession altogether " (39 : 44). It is sometimes spoken of in connection with the Divine control of things as in 32 : 4 : " Allāh is He Who created the heavens and the earth and what is between them in six periods, and He holds control on the Throne ; you have not besides Him any guardian or any intercessor ; will you not mind ? " Thus intercession, according to the Holy Qur'an, is really in the hands of God, just as the control of things is really in His hands, and hence the oft-repeated expression that none can intercede with God except with His permission (10 : 3 ; 2 : 255).¹

Intercession is also denied in the case of those that are set up as gods : " And they shall not have any intercessors from among their associate gods " (30 : 13) ; " And they serve besides Allāh what can neither harm them nor profit them, and they say, These are our intercessors with Allāh " (10 : 18).

Among those who can intercede with God with His permission, angels are mentioned :
 Who can intercede ? " And how many an angel is there

1. The writer of the article on *shafā'a* in the *Encyclopædia of Islām* is evidently wrong when he translates the *shafā'a* passage as meaning " Who should intervene with Him, *even* with His permission." The Arabic words are *illā bi-idhni-hī*, and any one having even a superficial knowledge of Arabic knows that *illā* means *except*, not *even*. The erroneous rendering has entirely changed the sense of the passage.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

in the heavens whose intercession does not avail at all except after Allāh has given permission to whom He pleases and chooses " (53 : 26). Prophets are also spoken of as intercessors : " And We did not send before thee any apostle but We revealed to him that there is no god but Me, therefore serve Me. And they say, The Beneficent God has taken to Himself a son. Glory be to Him. Nay, they are honoured servants. They do not precede Him in speech and only according to His commandment do they act. He knows what is before them and what is behind them, and they do not intercede except for him whom He approves " (21 : 25-28). Believers are also spoken of as interceding : " And those whom they call upon besides Him have no authority for intercession, but he who bears witness of the truth and they know " (43 : 86). Since every believer is a witness of the truth, this verse may fairly be taken as referring to the intercession of believers. Another verse which apparently speaks of the intercession of true believers is as follows : " They do not control intercession, save he who has made a covenant with the Beneficent God " (19 : 87), since every true believer may be said to have made a covenant with God.

Ḥadīth also speaks of the intercession of God, of angels, of prophets and of believers. Thus a ḥadīth relating to shafā'a, accepted by both Bukhārī and Muslim, concludes with the words : " Then Allāh will say, The angels have interceded and the prophets have interceded and the believers have interceded, and there remains the most Merciful of all merciful ones ; then He will take a handful out of fire and bring forth from it a people who have never done any good " (Bu. 97 : 24). The handful of God cannot leave anything behind.

PROPHETS

As I have shown with reference to Arabic lexicons, the true meaning of *shafā'a* is the rendering of assistance by one who holds a high position to one in a low position and standing in need of such help. The word has been used in exactly the same sense in the Holy Qur'an. The idea of mediation, which depicts a wrathful Being, on the one hand, determined to execute the sentence of punishment, and, on the other, a suppliant on behalf of a sinner, is not the Quranic sense of intercession or *shafā'a*. For here the real intercessor or *Shafi'* is God Himself, not the wrathful God who is bound to punish the sinners for what they have or even for what they have not done,¹ but the most Merciful of all merciful ones, Who is moved for humanity's sake to such an extent that He takes out from the fire even those who have never done any good. The *shafā'a* of God is, therefore, the merciful Divine help which enables the sinners to escape from the evil consequences of what they have done, when all other means have failed.

The intercession of angels is thus spoken of in the Holy Qur'an :

“ Those who uphold the Throne and those around Him celebrate the praise of their Lord and believe in Him and ask protection for those who believe : Our Lord ! Thou embracest all things in mercy and knowledge, therefore grant protection to those who turn (to Thee) and follow Thy way, and save them from the chastisement of the Hell : Our Lord ! and make them enter the gardens of perpetuity which Thou hast promised to them and those who do good of their fathers and their wives and their offspring, for Thou art the Mighty, the Wise :

1. According to the Christian Church, man must suffer for what is called Original Sin, i.e., the sin not committed by man but by some distant forefather of his in the remote past.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

And keep them from evil deeds, and whom Thou keepest from evil deeds this day, indeed Thou hast mercy on him, and that is the Mighty achievement " (40 : 7-9).

" The heavens may almost rend asunder from above them and the angels celebrate the praise of their Lord and ask forgiveness for those on earth ; now surely Allāh is the Forgiving, the Merciful " (42 : 5).

It will be seen that in the first of these passages, the angels are spoken of as asking for Divine protection and Divine mercy for the believers specially, though their fathers, wives and offspring are afterwards included, and in the second passage, the angels are spoken of as asking forgiveness for believers as well as unbelievers. The intercession of the angels is, therefore, common to both believers and unbelievers. The spiritual relation of the angel with man, as has already been seen in the chapter on Angels, is one of prompting to noble and virtuous deeds, and hence the angels' intercession is in connection with those who have done some sort of good, whether they be believers in a prophet or not. And this intercession takes the form of a prayer that mercy and forgiveness be shown by God to His creatures.

Divine mercy is also manifested through the prophets,

Intercession of prophets and believers. and this is the *shafā'a* of the prophets and believers.

It is a mistake to suppose that the *shafā'a* of the prophets will be exercised only on the Day of Judgment ; nor is it limited to the prayers of forgiveness for the dead.¹ The prophet's *shafā'a* is witnessed in the change he brings about in the life of a

1. In the article on *Shafā'a* in the *Encyclopædia of Islām* we have : " But it should be noted that the Prophet even in his lifetime is said to have made intercession. 'A'isha relates that he often slipped quietly from her side at night to go to the cemetery of Baqī al-Gharqad to beseech forgiveness of Allāh for the dead.....Similarly his *istighfār* is mentioned in the *ṣalāt al-djanā'iz* and its efficacy explained.. The prayer for the forgiveness of sins then became or remained an integral part of this *ṣalāt*..... to which a high degree of importance was attributed."

PROPHETS

people, in delivering them from the bondage of evil, and setting them on the road to advancement. Thus it is stated that the Holy Prophet Muḥammad was raised up so that he might purify the people (2 : 151). The Arabic word for purification is *yuzakkī*, derived from *zakā* whereof the original meaning is, *the progress attained by Divine blessing* (R.). And the miraculous purification of Arabia and its advancement, physical, intellectual, moral and spiritual, is the clearest evidence of the *shafā'a* of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad. He prayed incessantly for the well-being of his followers, and his prayer is said to be "a relief" to those for whom he prayed (9 : 103). He is also commanded to do *istighfār* for them, as in 3 : 158, 4 : 64, 24 : 62, 47 : 19, 60 : 12, and this was clearly, as in the case of angels, intercession on their behalf. The *shafā'a* of the believers is of a similar nature. The believers who are on a higher spiritual plane help those who are on a lower level, by their example and by their prayers. The *shafā'a* by example is clearly spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān : "Whoever joins himself to another (*yashfa'*) in a good cause (*shafā'at-an ḥasanat-an*) shall have a share of it" (4 : 85). The original word used here is *shafā'a*, and the meaning is that when a man sets a good example which others follow and benefit thereby, he is rewarded for it.

It is clear from the above that the doctrine of *shafā'a* *Shafā'a* on the Judgment Day. in Islām is really meant to give expression to the boundless mercy of the Divine Being. This *shafā'a* is exercised, in the first instance, in this life. There are the angels of God who prompt men to do good and pray to God that men may be saved from falling into evil, and that Divine blessings and mercy may be extended to them ; there are the prophets of God who are raised up with the express object of delivering men from the bondage of sin and setting

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

them on the right course to advancement, who, by their example and by their prayers, lead men out of the darkness of evil into the light of the Divine mercy and blessings; and there are believers who have attained to perfection, and who, following in the footsteps of the great prophets of God, intercede for those who are left behind. But according to the Holy Qur'ān, the progress of man is not limited to this life. Far more extensive fields of activity are awaiting him in the life after death, and the Day of Resurrection is the great day when the consequences of all good and evil deeds shall be made fully manifest. The *shafā'a* of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad on that day is given the greatest prominence, so much so that no prophet exercises that prerogative until the Holy Prophet Muḥammad shall have prostrated himself before God and praised Him with the highest praises and prayed to him with the most earnest prayer. It is then that God shall say to him: "O Muḥammad! raise thy head, and speak and thou shalt be granted thy desire, and intercede and thy intercession shall be accepted" (Bu. 81 : 51). It is no wonder that the intercession of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad shall thus be exalted on the Day of Resurrection, for even in this life, the *shafā'a* exercised by him is so transcendent that the *shafā'a* of every other prophet sinks into insignificance before it. The material, moral and spiritual revolution brought about by the Holy Prophet Muḥammad has been so tremendous that by a consensus of opinion he is admitted to be the "most successful of all prophets and religious personalities" (En. Br., art. *Koran*). God had been showering his blessings on mankind through angels and through prophets and their righteous followers, and the help which they have rendered to mankind is itself evidence that in the higher life they will render similar help; but, inasmuch as God's mercy knows no bounds, even those who have

PROPHETS

responded neither to the call of the angel in this life, nor to the call of the prophets of God, nor yet to the call of other righteous servants of God, those who, in the words of the ḥadīth, have never done any good, shall be lifted up by Divine mercy, by the most Merciful of all merciful ones, and being delivered from the evil consequences of what they have wrought, shall be set up on the road to unlimited progress which the Resurrection shall open up for mankind.

In the Holy Qur'ān, the Holy Prophet Muḥammad is spoken of as the last of the prophets: Finality of prophethood. "Muḥammad is not the father of any of your men, but he is the Apostle of Allāh and the last of the prophets (*khātam al-nabiyyīn*), and Allāh is cognisant of all things" (33 : 40). The words *khātam al-nabiyyīn* and *khātim al-nabiyyīn* mean *the last of the prophets*, for both the words *khātam* and *khātim* mean *the last portion of anything* (LL.). The best Arabic lexicologists are agreed that *khātam al-qaum* means *the last of a people* (TA.). The doctrine of the finality of prophethood in Muḥammad (peace be on him), therefore, rests on the clear words of the Holy Qur'ān.

Ḥadīth is even clearer on this point. The meaning of *khātam al-nabiyyīn* was thus explained by the Holy Prophet himself: "My example and the example of the prophets before me is the example of a man who built a house and he made it very good and very beautiful with the exception of a stone in the corner, so people began to go round it and to wonder at it and to say, Why has not this stone been placed? The Prophet said, I am this stone and I am the last of the prophets" (Bu. 61 : 18). This ḥadīth, in which the Holy Prophet speaks of himself as the corner-stone of prophethood and the last of the prophets, is related by Muslim and Tirmidhī as well, and also by Aḥmad in more than ten places. Another ḥadīth in

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

which the Holy Prophet speaks of himself as the last of the prophets is contained in the following words: "The Israelites were led by prophets; whenever a prophet died, another prophet came after him; surely after me there is no prophet, but there will be successors" (Bu. 60:50). This is also narrated by Muslim and Aḥmad in several places. According to another ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said to 'Alī, when on the occasion of the Tabūk expedition he left him in Madīna in his place: "Art thou not pleased that thou shouldst stand to me in the same relation as Aaron stood to Moses except that there is no prophet after me" (Bu. 64:78). Similar ḥadīth in which the Holy Prophet made it clear that no prophet would appear after him abound in other Ḥadīth books.

The idea that prophethood came to a close in the person of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad is not a stray idea. On the other hand, it is the natural conclusion of the universalization of the theory of revelation which is the basic principle of the religion of Islām. Revelation, according to the Holy Qur'ān, is not the solitary experience of this or that nation but the spiritual experience of the whole of the human race. Allāh is spoken of in the very opening verse as the *Rabb* of all the nations of the world, the *Nourisher unto perfection, physically as well as spiritually, of the whole human race*. Starting from that broad basis, the Holy Qur'ān develops the theory that prophets were sent to every nation: "There is not a people but a warner has gone among them" (35:24); "Every nation has had an apostle" (10:47). At the same time it is stated that every prophet was sent to a single nation and, therefore, though prophethood was in one sense a universal fact, it was more or less a national institution, the scope of the

PROPHETS

preaching of every prophet being limited to his own nation.

The advent of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad universalized the institution of prophethood in a real sense. The day of the national prophet was over, and one prophet was raised for the whole world, for all nations and for all ages:

“Blessed is He Who sent down the *Furqān* upon His servant that he may be a warner to all the nations” (25 : 1).

“Say, O people! I am the Apostle of Allāh to you all, of Him Whose is the kingdom of the heavens and the earth” (7 : 158).

“And We have not sent thee but to all the men as a bearer of good news and as a warner, but most men do not know” (34 : 28).

The world-prophet therefore took the place of the national prophets, and the grand idea of unifying the whole human race, and gathering it together under one banner, was thus brought to perfection. All geographical limitations were swept away as were all bars of colour and race, and the basis of the unity of the human race was laid upon the grand principle that the whole human race was one, and that all men, wherever they may be found, were a single nation (2 : 213). Such unity could not be accomplished unless the finality of prophethood was established, for if prophets continued to appear after the world-prophet, they would undoubtedly demand the allegiance of this or that section, and shatter the very foundations of the unity at which Islām aimed by giving a single prophet to the whole world.

It may, however, be further added that by bringing prophethood to a close, Islām has not deprived the world of a blessing

Significance underlying finality.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

which was available to previous generations. The object of sending a prophet to a people was to make known the Divine will, and point out the ways by walking in which men could hold communion with God. That object was also brought to perfection through the great world-prophet, whose message was so perfect that it met the requirements not only of all contemporary nations but of all future generations as well. This is plainly claimed by the Holy Qur'ān, a claim not put forward by any other heavenly book or any other religion: "This day have I perfected for you your religion and completed on you My blessing" (5:3). The perfection of religion and the completion of the blessing of prophethood thus go hand in hand, and the blessing of prophethood being made complete in the person of the Holy Prophet, it is a distortion of facts to say that if no more prophets appeared, the Muslims would be without the blessing of prophethood, since they possess that blessing in its most complete form. Religion being made perfect, and prophethood being made complete, there remained no need for another religion after Islām or for another prophet after the Holy Prophet Muḥammad.

There is a prophecy in Hadīth books which states
 Appearance of the that the Messiah would appear
 Messiah, among the Muslims. The words in
Bukhārī are: "How would you feel when the son of Mary makes his appearance among you, and he will be your Imām from among yourselves (*imāmu-kum min-kum*)" (Bu. 60:49). In *Muslim* instead of *imāmu-kum min-kum*, the words are *amma-kum min-kum* (M. 1:70), the significance being exactly the same as that of Bukhārī's words. This prophecy has given rise to a more or less general misconception that the Israelite prophet Jesus Christ would appear among the Muslims, a misconception due to not giving proper attention to the doctrine of

PROPHETS

finality of prophethood, for if there is *no need* for a prophet, as clearly set forth in the Holy Qur'ān, neither a new nor an old prophet can appear. In fact, the appearance of an old prophet would be as much subversive of the doctrine of the finality of prophethood and as derogatory to the dignity of the *last* prophet of the world, as would the appearance of a new prophet. The words of the prophecy are so clear that if due attention had been paid to them, there could never have been a misconception. The son of Mary spoken of in the prophecy is clearly called "your Imām from among yourselves," and therefore the Israelite prophet Jesus Christ, who was from among the Israelites, could *not* be meant.

The prophecy relating to the appearance of the Messiah among the Muslims is on all fours with the prophecy relating to the second advent of Elias among the Israelites. In fact, there is a strange coincidence between the cases of Elijah and Jesus Christ. Of Elijah it is said in the Bible: "Elijah went up by a whirlwind into heaven" (II. Kings 2: 11). On the strength of this inspired evidence, the Jews believed that Elijah was alive in heaven. Then there was the prophecy: "Behold, I will send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the Lord" (Mal. 4: 5), which showed that he would return to this earth before the Messiah appeared. Yet these hopes based on such strong evidence were not fulfilled. Jesus Christ was confronted with this difficulty: "And his disciples asked him, saying, Why then say the scribes that Elias must first come?" (Mt. 17: 10). Jesus' reply is recorded in the following words: "Elias truly shall first come But I say unto you, That Elias is come already, and they knew him not, but have done unto him whatsoever they listed..... Then the disciples understood that he spake unto them of John the Baptist" (Mt. 17: 11-13).

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

And John the Baptist was called Elias in prophecy because it had been said of him: "And he shall go before him in the spirit and power of Elias" (Lk. 1 : 17).

Now of Jesus Christ it is nowhere said in the Holy Qur'an that he went up into heaven. On the other hand, it is plainly stated that he died a natural death.¹ Therefore there is not the least ground for supposing that Jesus Christ is alive in heavens. Again, the Bible states plainly that Elijah will be sent, but the Ḥadīth prophecy about the advent of the Messiah adds the clear words that "he will be your Imām from among yourselves." Even if Jesus had been alive and the words quoted above had not made clear the true significance of the prophecy, the analogy of the prophecy of Elijah's advent would have been sufficient to eradicate all misconceptions regarding the reappearance of Jesus Christ. But in addition to all this, there is the clearly defined and strongly established fact of the finality of prophethood which bars the advent of any prophet, old or new, after the Holy Prophet Muḥammad.

It must however be borne in mind that, as shown in the last chapter, Divine revelation is granted to prophets as well as to those who are not prophets, and that, therefore, though prophethood, being no more needed, has been brought to a close, the gift of Divine revelation to the righteous servants of God is still granted as heretofore. Men do not stand in need of a new prophet because they have a

1. "O Jesus! I will cause thee to die and exalt thee in My presence and clear thee of those who disbelieve and make those who follow thee above those who disbelieve to the Day of Resurrection" (3 : 54).

"And when Allāh said : O Jesus, son of Mary ! didst thou say to men, 'Take me and my mother for two gods besides Allāh, he said.....I did not say to them aught save what thou didst enjoin me with, That serve Allāh, my Lord and your Lord ; and I was a witness of them so long as I was among them, but when Thou didst cause me to die, Thou wert the watcher over them, and Thou art witness of all things" (5 : 116, 117).

PROPHETS

perfect law in the Holy Qur'ān, but they do stand in need of Divine blessings, and Divine revelation is the highest of all blessings. Moreover, speaking is an attribute of the Divine Being, just as hearing and seeing are His attributes also, and Divine attributes never cease to function. It has also been shown in the last chapter that, according to a most reliable ḥadīth, a part of prophethood called *mubashsharāt* (lit. *good visions*) remains after prophethood has ceased (Bu. 91 : 5), and according to another, God speaks to the righteous in this *umma* though they are not prophets (Bu. 62 : 6). There is another ḥadīth showing that *mujaddids* (reformers) will appear among the Muslims: "Surely Allāh will raise up for this community (of Muslims), at the commencement of every century, one who will reform their religion" (AD. 36 : 1). A *mujaddid* is a reformer raised up to remove errors that have crept in among the Muslims, and to shed new light on the great religious truths of Islām in the new circumstances which the Muslim community will be called upon to face.

CHAPTER VI

LIFE AFTER DEATH

A faith in a life after death is the last of the basic principles of Islām. The word generally used in the Holy Qur'ān to indicate this life is *al-ākhirah*; and *ākhir* is the opposite of *awwal* (which means *the first*), and thus signifies *that which comes after* or *the future*, or *the last*. *Al-yaum al-ākhir* or *the last day* is used instead of *al-ākhirah* (2 : 8, 62, etc.) ; sometimes *al-dār al-ākhirah*, *the next* or *the future* or *the last abode*, is used (28 : 77 ; 29 : 64 ; 33 : 29), and once *al-nash'at al-ākhirah* or *the future* or *the next life*, which is the real meaning conveyed by all these terms (R.).¹ Death, in the light of the plain teachings of the Holy Qur'ān, is not the end of man's life ; it only opens the door to another, a higher, form of life : " Have you considered the small life-germ ? Is it you that create it or are We the Creator ? We have ordained death among you and none can prevent Us that We may change your attributes and make you grow into what you know not " (56 : 58-61). Just as from the small life-germ grows the man, and he does not lose his individuality for all the changes which he undergoes, so from this man is made the higher man, his attributes being changed, and he himself being made to grow into what he cannot conceive at present. That this new life is a higher form of life is also made plain : " See how We have made some of them to excel others, and certainly

1. Occasionally the word *al-ākhirah* is used to indicate the future condition in this very life as compared with the previous state, as in 93 : 4 : " And that which comes after (*al-ākhirah*) is certainly better for thee than that which has gone before," where the meaning is that the future had great eventualities in store for the Holy Prophet, and his cause would continue to gain as time went on.

LIFE AFTER DEATH

the hereafter is much superior in respect of degrees and much superior in respect of excellence " (17 : 21).

The Holy Qur'an accords to faith in the Future Life an importance which is next only to faith in God. Very often all the doctrines of faith are summed up, as amounting to belief in God and the Future Life : " And there are some people who say, We believe in Allāh and the last day, and they are not at all believers " (2 : 8) ; " Whoever believes in Allāh and the last day and does good, they shall have their reward from Allāh " (2 : 62).

The Opening chapter of the Holy Qur'an, entitled the *Fātiḥa*, is not only looked upon as the quintessence of the Holy Qur'an, but it is actually the chapter which plays the greatest part in creating a true Muslim mentality ; for the Muslim must turn to it in his five daily prayers over thirty times daily. In this chapter God is spoken of as the " Master of the Day of Requital, " and thus the idea that every deed must be requited is brought before the mind of the Muslim continually. This constant repetition of the idea of a requital of deeds, undoubtedly impresses on the mind the reality of a future life, when every deed shall find its full reward. The reason for giving this great importance to a life after death is clear. The greater the faith in the good or bad consequences of a deed, the greater is the incentive which urges a man to or withholds him from that deed. Now a belief in life after death implies that every deed, however secretly it may be done, must bear fruit, and therefore this belief is both the greatest impetus towards good and noble, and the greatest restraint upon evil or irresponsible, deeds. A deep consciousness of the consequences of a deed, consequences which must follow even after death, is thus engendered by a belief in a life after death. But more than this, such a belief purifies

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the motives with which a deed is done. It makes a man work with the most selfless of motives, for he seeks no reward for what he does; his work is for higher and nobler ends relating to the life beyond the grave.

The Holy Qur'ān not only speaks of a life after death which opens out before man a new world of advancement, before which the progress of this life sinks into insignificance; it also shows that the basis of that life is laid in this our life on earth. The hereafter is not a mystery beyond the grave; it begins in this life. For the good, the heavenly life, and for the wicked, a life in hell, begin even here, though the limitations of this life do not allow most people to realize that other: "Certainly thou wert heedless of it, but now We have removed from thee thy veil, so thy sight to-day is sharp" (50 : 22). This shows that the life spiritual which here is hidden from the human eye by reason of material limitations, will become manifest in the Resurrection; because human perception will then be clearer, the veil of material limitations having been removed. The Holy Qur'ān plainly speaks of two paradises for the righteous and two chastisements for the wicked, and as plainly of a heavenly and hellish life, each beginning here :

"And for him who fears to stand before his Lord are two gardens" (55 : 46).

"O soul that art at rest! return to thy Lord well pleased with Him, well pleasing Him, so enter among My servants and enter into My Paradise" (89 : 27-30).

"Nay! if you had known with a certain knowledge, you should certainly have seen the Hell" (89 : 5, 6).

"It is the fire kindled by Allāh which rises above the hearts" (104 : 6, 7).

"And whoever is blind in this life, shall also be blind in the hereafter" (17 : 72).

LIFE AFTER DEATH

“Such is the chastisement, and certainly the chastisement of the hereafter is greater, did they but know ” (68 : 33).

The state between death and Resurrection is called *barzakh* which literally means *a thing that intervenes between two things*, or *an obstacle* or *a hinderance* (L.L.). The word *barzakh* has been used in this latter sense in two places in the Holy Qur’ān (25 : 53 and 55 : 20), where a barrier between two seas is spoken of as *barzakh*. As signifying the state between death and Resurrection, it occurs in the following verses : “Until when death overtakes one of them, he says : Send me back, my Lord, send me back, haply I may do good in what I have left. By no means ! it is a mere word that he speaks, and before them is *barzakh* until the day when they are raised ” (23 : 99, 100). This intervening state is also known by the name of *qabr*, which means *grave*, but has also been used in the wider sense of the state which follows death. Thus the three states, death, the grave and Resurrection, are spoken of, where the *grave* undoubtedly stands for *barzakh* : “Then He causes him to die, then assigns to him a grave (*aqbara-hū*) ; then when He pleases, He will raise him to life again ” (80 : 21, 22). And the raising to life on the Day of Resurrection is spoken of as the raising of those who are in their graves, as in 100 : 9 and 22 : 7, where all people are meant, whether actually buried or not. The state of *qabr* is therefore the same state as that of *barzakh*, the state in which every man is placed after death, and before the Resurrection.

It will have been seen that the Holy Qur’ān speaks of the growth of a higher life even in the life of this world, and thus the spiritual experience of man is the first stage of the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

higher life. Yet, ordinarily, man is neglectful of this higher experience, and it is only persons of a very high spiritual development that are in any way conscious of that higher life. Barzakh is really the second stage in the development of this higher life, and it appears that all men have a certain consciousness of the higher life at this stage, though full development has not yet taken place. In the Holy Qur'ān, even the development of the physical life is mentioned as passing through three stages. The first stage of that life is the state of being in the earth; the second, that of being in the mother's womb; and the third, that in which the child is born. Thus we have: "He knows you best when He brings you forth from the earth and when you are embryos in the wombs of your mothers" (53 : 32). And again: "And He began the creation of man from dust; then He made his progeny of an extract of water held in light estimation; then He made him complete and breathed into him of His spirit" (32 : 7-9). And still again: "And certainly We have created man of an extract of clay; then We make him a small life-germ in a firm resting-place then We cause it to grow into another creation, so blessed be Allāh, the best of the creators" (23 : 12-14). Corresponding to these three stages in the physical development of man, the stage of dust, the stage of embryo and the stage of birth into life, the Holy Qur'ān speaks of three stages in his spiritual development. The first is the growth of a spiritual life which begins in this very life, but it is a stage at which ordinarily there is no consciousness of this life, like the dust stage in the physical development of man. Then there comes death, and with it is entered the second stage of the higher or spiritual life, the barzakh or the *qabr* stage, corresponding to the embryo state in the physical development of man. At this stage, life has taken a

LIFE AFTER DEATH

definite form, and a certain consciousness of that life has grown up, but it is not yet the full consciousness of the final development which takes place with the Resurrection, and which may therefore be compared to the actual birth of man, to his setting forth on the road to real advancement, to a full awakening of the great truth. The development of the higher life in barzakh is as necessary a stage in the spiritual world as is the development of physical life in the embryonic state. The two stages thus stand on a par.

That there is some kind of awakening to a new spiritual experience immediately after the barzakh stage death is abundantly evident from various Quranic statements. For example, the very verses in which barzakh is spoken of (23 : 99, 100) set forth the spiritual experience of the evil-doer, who immediately becomes conscious of the fact that he has been doing in his first life something which is now detrimental to the growth of the higher life in him, and hence desires to go back, so that he may do good deeds which may help the development of the higher life. It shows that the consciousness of a higher life has sprung up in him immediately after death. On another occasion, we are told that evil-doers are made to taste of the evil consequences of their deeds in this state of barzakh, the consciousness of the chastisement becoming clear on the Resurrection Day : "And the most evil chastisement overtook Pharaoh's people : the fire ; they shall be brought before it every morning and evening and on the day when the hour shall come to pass : Make Pharaoh's people enter the severest chastisement " (40 : 45, 46).

It should be noted that while, in the Holy Qur'an, the guilty are spoken of as receiving chastisement in the state of barzakh, in the Hadith this punishment is spoken of as 'adhāb al-qabr, or the punishment meted out in

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

the grave. In *Bukhārī* the chapter on '*adhāb al-qabr*' (Bu. 23 : 87) begins with quotations from the Holy Qur'ān, one of which is the verse relating to the punishment of Pharaoh's people in *barzakh*, quoted at the conclusion of the previous paragraph. This shows that *Bukhārī* regards these two punishments as one, and thus he establishes the identity of *qabr* and *barzakh*. Again, the 90th chapter of the same book has the following heading: "The dead man is shown his abode morning and evening" (Bu. 23 : 90). Under this heading, a *ḥadīth* is narrated from 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Umar reporting the Holy Prophet as saying that "when a man dies, his abode (in the next life) is brought before him morning and evening, in Paradise if he is one of the inmates of Paradise, and in fire if he is one of the inmates of fire" (Bu. 23 : 90). This *ḥadīth* also shows that '*adhāb al-qabr*' means only the spiritual condition of the guilty people in the state of *barzakh*.

Similarly, the righteous are spoken of as tasting the fruits of good deeds immediately after death: "And reckon not those who are killed in Allāh's way as dead; nay, they are alive, being given sustenance from their Lord; rejoicing in what Allāh has given them out of His grace, and they rejoice for the sake of those who, being left behind, have not yet joined them, that they shall have no fear nor shall they grieve" (3 : 168, 169). These verses show that the departed ones are even conscious of what they have left behind, and this establishes some sort of connection between this world and the next.

All questions connected with the life of the other world are of an intricate nature, Duration of *barzakh*. inasmuch as they are not things that can be perceived by these senses; they are "secrets" that shall be made known only after death, according to the Holy Qur'ān (32 : 17); "things which no eye has

LIFE AFTER DEATH

seen, nor has ear heard, nor have they entered into the heart of man," according to a saying of the Holy Prophet (Bu. 59 : 8). As will be shown later on, the very ideas of time and space as relating to the next world are different from those here, and therefore we cannot conceive of the duration of barzakh in terms of this world. Moreover, the full awakening to the higher life will take place in the Resurrection, and the state of barzakh is therefore a state, as it were, of semi-consciousness. Hence it is that it is sometimes likened to a state of sleep as compared with the great awakening of the Resurrection, for the unbelievers are made to say: "O woe to us! who has raised us up from our sleeping-place?" (36 : 52). The state of barzakh, as regards those who have wasted their opportunities in this life, lasts, according to the plain teachings of the Holy Qur'an, till the Day of Resurrection: "And before them is barzakh until the day when they are raised" (23 : 100). The question of a longer duration of barzakh for some, and a shorter one for others, does not arise, as they do not seem to have consciousness of the length of time: "And at the time when the hour shall come, the guilty shall swear that they did not tarry but an hour; thus are they ever turned away. And those who are given knowledge and faith will say, Certainly you tarried according to the ordinance of Allāh till the Day of Resurrection, so this is the Day of Resurrection, but you did not know" (30 : 55, 56). As regards those in whom the life spiritual has been awakened in the life on earth, consciousness in the barzakh state will undoubtedly be more vivid, and there is a ḥadīth which speaks of the righteous being exalted to a higher state (*raf'*) after forty days, and thus making progress even in the state of barzakh.

The Resurrection is spoken of under various names, the most frequent of which is *yaum al-qiyāma* or *the day of the great rising*,
Various names of Resurrection.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

which occurs seventy times in the Holy Qur'ān. Next to it is *al-sā'a* which means *the hour*, and occurs forty times, *yaum al-ākhir* or *the last day* which occurs twenty-six times, while *al-ākhirā* as meaning *the future life* occurs over a hundred times. Next in importance is *yaum al-dīn* which means *the day of requital*. *Yaum al-faṣl* or *the day of decision* occurs six times, and *yaum al-ḥisāb* or *the day of reckoning*, five times. Other names occur only once or twice, such as *yaum al-faṭḥ* (*the day of judgment*), *yaum al-talāq* (*the day of meeting*), *yaum al-jam'* (*the day of gathering*), *yaum al-khulūd* (*the day of abiding*), *yaum al-khurūj* (*the day of coming forth*), *yaum al-ba'th* (*the day of being raised to life*), *yaum al-ḥasra* (*the day of regret*), *yaum al-tanād* (*the day of calling forth*), *yaum al-āzifa* (*the day that draws near*), *yaum al-taghābun* (*the day of manifestation of defects*). Other names which occur once or twice without the word *yaum* (*day*) are *al-qāri'a* (*the striking calamity*), *al-ghāshiya* (*the overwhelming calamity*), *al-ṣākhkha* (*the deafening calamity*), *al-ṭāmma* (*the predominating calamity*), *al-ḥāqqa* (*the great truth*), and *al-wāqi'a* (*the great event*).

It will be seen that most of these names refer either

<p>A general destruction and a general awaken- ing.</p>	<p>to a destruction or an awakening and rising to a new life; they relate to the sweeping off of an old order</p>
---	---

and the establishment of a new one. A few quotations descriptive of the Resurrection will make the point clearer:

“He asks: When is the Day of Resurrection? When the sight becomes confused, and the moon becomes dark, and the sun and the moon are brought together. Man shall say on that day, Whither to flee to? By no means! there shall be no place of refuge. With thy Lord alone shall on that day be the place of rest..... Some faces on that day shall be bright, looking to their Lord; and other faces on that day shall be gloomy,

LIFE AFTER DEATH

knowing that there will be made to befall them some great calamity" (75 : 6-25).

"When the stars are made to disappear, and when the heaven is rent asunder, and when the mountains are carried away as dust, and when the apostles are made to reach their appointed time" (77 : 8-11).

"The day of decision is a day appointed: the day on which the trumpet shall be blown, so you shall come forth in hosts; and the heaven shall be opened so that it shall be all openings; and the mountains shall be moved off so that they shall remain a mere semblance" (78 : 17-20).

"The day on which the quaking one shall quake, what must happen afterwards shall follow it. Hearts on that day shall palpitate, their eyes cast down. But it shall be only a single cry, when lo! they shall be wakeful" (79 : 6-14).

"They ask thee about the hour, whither is its destination. To thy Lord is the goal of it" (79 : 42-44).

"When the earth is shaken with her violent shaking, and the earth brings forth her burdens. On that day men shall come forth in sundry bodies that they may be shown their works" (99 : 1-6).

"The day on which they shall come forth from their graves in haste, as if they were hastening on to a goal" (70 : 43).

"And when the trumpet is blown with a single blast, and the earth and the mountains are borne away and crushed with a single crushing; on that day shall the great event come to pass. On that day you shall be exposed to view, no secret of yours shall remain hidden" (69 : 13-18).

"When the great event comes to pass—there is no belying its coming to pass—abasing, exalting" (56 : 1-3).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

“On the day when the earth shall be changed into a different earth and the heavens as well” (14 : 48).

The two words used most frequently regarding the
Three resurrections
Resurrection are *al-qiyaāma* and *al-sā'a*. The first of these refers apparently, to the *rising*, which is its literal significance, the second to destruction, being *the hour of doom*. Now as regards this latter word, Rāghib says that there are three *sā'as* in the sense of resurrection; *viz.*, *kubrā* or *the greater resurrection* which is the rising up of the people for reckoning; *wuṣṭā* or the middle resurrection which is the passing away of one generation; and *ṣuḡhrā* or the minor resurrection which is the death of the individual. An example of the last use of the word *sā'a* is given as occurring in the Holy Qur'ān: “They are indeed in loss who give the lie to the meeting with Allāh until when the hour comes upon them all of a sudden” (6 : 31). Here *the hour (al-sā'a)* clearly stands for the death of the person who gives the lie. As regards the use of *al-sā'a* in the sense of the end of a generation, a ḥadīth of the Holy Prophet is quoted according to which he is reported to have said referring to 'Abd-Allāh ibn Unais, who was then only a boy: “If the life of this boy is lengthened, he will not die till the hour (*al-sā'a*) comes to pass” (R.); and it is related that he was the last to die from among the Companions of the Holy Prophet; in other words, *al-sā'a* in this case signifies the passing away of the generation of the Companions of the Holy Prophet. There are examples of this use in the Holy Qur'ān also: “The hour (*al-sā'a*) drew nigh and the moon did rend asunder” (54 : 1). “The hour,” in this case, clearly stands for the doom of the opponents of the Holy Prophet. And again: “Do they say, We are a host allied together to help each other? Soon shall the hosts be routed and they shall turn their backs. Nay, the hour

LIFE AFTER DEATH

(*al-sā'a*) is their promised time and the hour shall be most grievous and bitter" (54 : 44-46). Bukhārī tells us, in his comment on these verses, that, when the Holy Prophet was faced with a most serious situation on the day of Badr, the Muslims being in danger of utter annihilation at the hands of their powerful opponents, and was praying for their safety, he was reminded of the prophecy contained here, and comforted his Companions by reciting these verses aloud (Bu. 64 : 4), showing that by *the hour* (*al-sā'a*) here was meant the hour of the enemy's discomfiture.

It may also be noted that just as the word *al-sā'a* is used in a wider sense, and indicates, besides the Doomsday, sometimes the death of an individual and sometimes the passing of a generation, so do the words *qiyāma* (rising) and *ba'th* (raising the dead to life) sometimes occur, each in a wider sense. Thus there is a saying of the Holy Prophet: "Whoever dies, his resurrection has indeed come to pass" (MM. 26 : 7). Here the state of *barzakh* is called a resurrection, and this shows that no sooner does a man die than he is raised up to a new life. It should be further borne in mind that on many occasions when the Holy Qur'an speaks of the dead, it means those who are spiritually dead, and by giving life to them it means the bringing about of a spiritual awakening in them. Take the following example: "Is he who was dead, then We raised him to life and made for him a light by which he walks among the people, like him whose likeness is that of one in utter darkness whence he cannot come forth?" (6 : 123). Here, clearly, the dead one is he who is spiritually dead, and God's raising him to life is giving him the life spiritual. On one occasion even, by "those in the graves" are meant those who are dead spiritually :

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

“ Neither are the living and the dead alike. Surely Allāh makes him whom He pleases hear, and thou canst not make those hear who are in the graves. Thou art naught but a warner ” (35 : 22, 23). The context shows that by “ those in the graves ” are meant those whom death has overtaken spiritually, whom the Prophet would warn but they would not listen. On another occasion, where those in the graves are mentioned, the words convey a double significance, referring to the spiritual awakening brought about by the Holy Prophet as well as to the new life in the Resurrection : “ And thou seest the earth sterile land, but when We send down water on it, it stirs and swells and brings forth of every kind a beautiful herbage. This is because Allāh is the Truth and because He gives life to the dead and because He has power over all things, and because the hour is coming, there is no doubt about it; and because Allāh shall raise up those who are in the graves ” (22 : 5-7). The first part of this passage, describing the giving of life to dead soil by means of rain, shows that the second part refers to the giving of spiritual life by means of Divine revelation, a comparison between rain and revelation being of frequent occurrence in the Holy Qur’ān. “ The hour ” here, as in so many other places, refers to the doom of the opponents of the Prophet, and “ the dead ” and “ those in the graves ” are evidently the spiritually dead. But though speaking primarily of the spiritual resurrection, there is also a reference to the great resurrection of the dead. In fact, not only here but in many other places in the Holy Qur’ān, the spiritual resurrection, to be brought about by the Holy Prophet, and the greater resurrection of the dead are mentioned together, the one being as it were an evidence of the other,¹ because an awakening to

1. This is specially the case when the giving of life to the dead earth, by means of rain, is spoken of as an evidence of the Resurrection. In such

LIFE AFTER DEATH

spiritual life shows the existence of a higher life, the development of which is the real aim of the greater resurrection. This is the first great argument running throughout the pages of the Holy Qur'an as to the truth of the greater resurrection. The spiritual resurrection brought about by the Holy Prophet, the awakening to a spiritual life, makes the higher life an experience of humanity, and thus clears the way for a development of that life in a higher sphere, above the limitations of this material world.

That the whole of creation on this earth is for the service of man, and that human life has some great aim and purpose to fulfil, is yet another argument for Resurrection advanced by the Holy Qur'an: "Does man think that he is to be left to wander without an aim?" (75 : 36); "What ! do you think that We have created you in vain and that you shall not be returned to Us?" (23 : 115). Just as the God-idea ennobles man's life, and endows it with the purest and highest impulses, so does the resurrection-idea introduce a seriousness into man's life which cannot

cases, both the spiritual resurrection and the greater resurrection are meant, the one in fact being evidence of the other. That the spiritual resurrection serves as an argument for the greater resurrection is clearly pointed out in the following verses: "Nay ! I call to witness the Day of Resurrection. Nay ! I call to witness the self-accusing spirit" (75 : 1, 2). Now here the resurrection is spoken of as an evidence of the Resurrection, and what is really meant is that the spiritual resurrection to be brought about by the Holy Prophet (such being the significance of *qiyāma* here) shall serve as an evidence of the greater resurrection which is implied. And the fact that it is the spiritual resurrection that is produced as an evidence is made clear by mentioning along with it the self-accusing spirit which is the first stage of the growth of spiritual life in man, because it is when the inner self of man accuses him of wrong-doing that his struggle against evil is begun in earnest, which struggle is the first indication of the growth of spiritual life; but when evil is done without the inner voice asserting itself, it is a sign that the man is spiritually dead. The self-accusing spirit is the lower stage of the growth of a spiritual life, the higher stage being called "the spirit at rest," or *al-nafs al-muṭma'inna*, which enters into paradise even in this life (89 : 27-30).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

be otherwise attained. It will be taking too low a view of human nature to imagine that with all those vast capacities for ruling nature and its wonderful forces, human life itself has no aim, and is like the grass that grows up to-day and disappears to-morrow, serving either as fodder or manure. If everything in nature is intended for the service of man, but human life itself is without purpose, then man must be placed lower than the lowest form of creation, which is a contradiction in terms. The Holy Qur'ān makes this argument clear in three brief sentences: "Surely We have created man in the best make, then We render him the lowest of the low, except those who believe and do good, for they shall have a reward never to be cut off" (95 : 4-6). The last words clearly refer to the higher life which is never to be cut off, and this argument is followed by the conclusion : "So who can give thee the lie after this about the Judgment?" (95 : 7). It cannot be that the whole of creation should serve a purpose and that man alone, who is lord of it and endowed with capabilities for ruling the universe, should have a purposeless existence. It is the Resurrection alone that solves this difficulty. Man has a higher object to fulfil, he has a higher life to live beyond this world ; and that higher life is the aim of human life.

Another argument adduced by the Holy Qur'ān in

Good and evil must support of the Resurrection is that have their reward.

good and evil must have their reward.

Of the whole living creation, man alone has the power to discriminate between good and evil. And so acute is his perception of good and evil that he will strive with all his might to promote good and to eradicate evil. He makes laws for this purpose, and uses the whole machinery of power at his disposal to enforce them. Yet what do we see in practical life ? Good is often neglected and starves, while evil prospers. That is not as it should be.

LIFE AFTER DEATH

“ Allāh does not waste the reward of the doers of good ” (11 : 115 ; 12 : 90, etc.) ; “ We do not waste the reward of him who does a good deed ” (18 : 30) ; “ I will not waste the work of a worker among you, whether male or female, the one of you being from the other ” (3 : 194) ; “ Whoever does an atom’s weight of good shall see it, and whoever does an atom’s weight of evil shall see it ” (99 : 7, 8)—such are some of the plain declarations made by the Holy Qur’ān. And when We look at nature around us, we find the same law at work. Every cause has its effect, and every thing done must bear a fruit. Even that which man does in the physical world must bear a fruit. Why should man’s good or evil deeds be an exception to this general rule working in the whole universe ? And if they are not an exception, as they should not be, the conclusion is evident that good and evil must bear their full fruit in another life, which indicates the continuity of the life of man in another world, when death has put an end to it in this.

It will be seen from the above that the Resurrection is not a dogma in which a man is required to believe, for his salvation in another life ; rather is it a principle of human life, a principle which makes that life more serious and more useful, while at the same time awakening in him the consciousness of a life that is higher. The man who sincerely believes in the Resurrection, will try his utmost to take advantage of every opportunity that is offered to him to live his life to the best purpose ; he will do his best to do any good that he possibly can to the creatures of God, and he will shun every evil deed so far as lies in his power. Thus a belief in the Resurrection is needed in the first place to make this lower life worth living. Without such a belief, life loses not only all its point, leaving man without any

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

real and abiding aim, but, in addition, all incentive to do good and eschew evil.

The idea of a life after death is so strange to the average mind that the Holy Qur'ān has, again and again, to answer the question, how will it be? And the answer given in all cases is that the great Author of all existence Who made this vast universe out of nothing could also bring about a new creation :—

“Were We then fatigued with the first creation? Yet are they in doubt with regard to a new creation” (50 : 15).

“And they say, What! when we shall have become bones and decayed particles, shall We then be raised up to a new creation? Say, Become stones or iron, or some other creature of those which are too hard to receive life in your minds! But they say, Who will return us? Say, He Who created you at first” (17 : 49-51).

“What! when we shall have become bones and decayed particles, shall we then be indeed raised up into a new creation? Do they not consider that Allāh, Who created the heavens and the earth, is able to create their likes?” (17 : 98-99).

“And if thou wouldst wonder, then wondrous is their saying, What! when we are dust, shall we then be in a new creation?” (13 : 5).

“Dost thou not see that Allāh created the heavens and the earth with truth? If He please, He will take you away and bring a new creation, and this is not difficult for Allāh. And they shall all come forth before Allāh” (14 : 19-21).

The subject is reverted to too often for me to be able to quote all the verses bearing on it, but the one universal idea running through them all is that this old creation, the earth and its heaven, that is to say, the solar system,

LIFE AFTER DEATH

or the whole of the universe, would give place to a new creation. The old order would be changed into an entirely new one. It shall be a day "when the earth shall be changed into a different earth and the heavens as well" (14 : 48). Just as this universe has grown out of chaos and a nebulous mass into its present state of systems of stars and their families, it will, in its turn, give place to a higher order which will be evolved from it. The idea is quite consistent with the scientific knowledge of the universe to which man has attained at the present day, the idea of evolution, order out of chaos, a higher order out of a lower order, and with this order of the universe, a higher order of human life, of which our present senses cannot conceive.

Another very important question connected with the

Will the Resurrection
be bodily or spiritual?

Resurrection is this, Will it be a resurrection of the body or a spiritual resurrection? So far as our present experience goes, it is through the body that the spirit receives all its impressions of pleasure and pain, that it gets knowledge, and perception of things, that its impulses and sentiments are developed. In fact, according to the present state of our knowledge, we cannot conceive of the soul without a body. But whether the soul in Resurrection will receive back the same body of clay which it left in this world is quite another question. There is nothing in the Holy Qur'ān to show that the body which the soul left at death will be restored to it. On the other hand, there are clear statements to show that it will be a new creation altogether. The verses quoted in the last paragraph give a clear indication that it is not the old creation that will be restored at the Day of Resurrection. Even the old heaven and the old earth will pass away and there will be a new heaven and a new earth (14 : 48). If the very earth and heaven have changed

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

at the Resurrection, how can the human body remain the same? And in fact the Holy Qur'ān has stated clearly that it shall be a new body altogether. In one place, the human beings at the Resurrection are called the likes of the present race: "Do they not consider that Allāh Who created the heavens and the earth is able to create their likes?" (17 : 99), where the Arabic words for *their likes* are *mithla-hum*, the personal pronoun *hum* referring to men, not to heaven and earth; and in another place, the statement that the bodies would be changed is even clearer. There, the question of the unbelievers is first mentioned: "What! when we die and have become dust and bones, shall we indeed be raised?" (56 : 47). And the reply is given: "Have you considered the life-germ? Is it you that create it or are We the Creator? We have ordained death among you and none can prevent Us, that We may change your attributes and make you grow into what you know not. And you know the first growth, why do you not then mind?" (56 : 58-62). Everything is here made perfectly clear. What will happen after men have become dust and bones? They shall be raised up again but their "attributes" will be entirely "changed," and the new growth will be one which "you know not," while "you know the first growth." The human body at the Resurrection is, therefore, not only a new growth, but a growth in which the attributes have been changed; and this growth of changed attributes is such as, with our present senses, we cannot even know. And this is as true of the human body as of all things of the next life, of the blessings of Paradise as well as of the chastisement of Hell, that they are things which, according to a saying of the Holy Prophet, "the eye has not seen, nor has the ear heard, nor has it entered into the heart of man to conceive of them" (Bu. 59 : 8). The resurrection-body has therefore nothing in common with the present

LIFE AFTER DEATH

body of clay except the name or the form which preserves the individuality.

There is another consideration which shows that the body which the soul receives after death, is not the material body of this world. As has already been shown, the departed one has a fore-taste of the blessings of Paradise or of the chastisement of fire, as the case may be, immediately after death, that is to say, while in the state of barzakh. Now the state of barzakh lasts until the Resurrection, while it is a matter of daily observance that the body either becomes dust in the grave or is burned. It is then absolutely certain that the soul's fore-taste of blessings or of chastisement in barzakh is not through the body of clay which it left behind it at death. And that it must receive a body is also certain, because it is through some body that the spirit can have experience of pleasure or of pain. The soul therefore must receive a new body even in barzakh, and since both barzakh and Resurrection are two different conditions of the same state of life after death, differing only in the vividness of the picture and the stage of growth, it is clear that the body which the soul receives in the Resurrection, does not materially differ from the body which it receives in barzakh.

To understand how, what may be called the spiritual body of the life after death, is prepared, we must turn again to the Holy Qur'ān. There we find it stated that angels have been appointed to record the good and evil deeds of man. Thus in the opening sections of the 13th chapter, a denial of the Resurrection—"When we are dust, shall we then be in a new creation?" (13 : 5)—is followed by the answer: "Alike to Him among you is he who conceals his words and he who speaks them openly, and he who hides himself by night and who goes forth by

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

day. There are angels following him closely, before him and behind him, that guard him by Allāh's command " (13 : 10, 11). It is first stated that to God all are alike, those who conceal their words and those who speak them openly, and those that do a good or evil deed in the darkness of the night and those who do it in the light of the day ; and it is then added that there are angels before and behind man that guard him. The guarding of the man and the guarding of his deeds are thus one and the same thing. In fact this has been made clear in an earlier chapter—earlier in point of revelation : " Nay ! but you give the lie to the Judgment, and surely there are guardians over you, honourable recorders, they know what you do " (82 : 9-12). Here the angels that are called " guardians over you," being undoubtedly the guarding angels of 13 : 11, are plainly described as the recording angels who know what a man does. Thus both these verses show that an inner self of man is being developed, all along, through his deeds, and that is what is meant by guarding man in one case and guarding *his deeds* in the other. It is the inner self that assumes a shape after death and forms first the body in *barzakh*, and is then developed into the body in Resurrection.

A similar denial of the Resurrection elsewhere—" What ! when we are dead and have become dust ? " (50 : 3), is rebutted in the following words : " We know indeed what the earth diminishes of them, and with Us is a writing that preserves " (50 : 4). It is here admitted that the body does indeed become dust, and that is " what the earth diminishes of them "—dust returns to dust—, but there is with God a writing that preserves what is essential to growth in the next life. That preserving writing is the record of good and evil deeds kept by the guardian angels, so that, here again, we are told that while the outer garb of the soul, the body, becomes dust

LIFE AFTER DEATH

and goes back to the earth out of which it came, the inner self is preserved and forms the basis of the higher life—life in the Resurrection.

This materialization of spiritualities—not a materialization in the sense in which it is accepted in this life, but a materialization of the new world to be evolved from the present world—is spoken of frequently in the Holy Qur'an as well as in Hadith. For instance, those who are guided by the light of faith in this life shall have a light running before them and after them on the Day of Resurrection: "Is he who was dead, then We raised him to life and made for him a light by which he walks among the people, like him whose likeness is that of one in utter darkness whence he cannot come forth?" (6 : 123); "On that day thou wilt see the faithful men and the faithful women, their light running before them and after them" (57 : 12). And the fruits of good deeds are spoken of as fruits of Paradise: "And convey good news to those who believe and do good deeds, that they shall have gardens in which rivers flow; whenever they shall be given a portion of the fruit thereof, they shall say, This is what was vouchsafed to us before; and they shall be given the like of it" (2 : 25). Similarly the fire which burns within the heart of man in this life, by reason of inordinate love of wealth, becomes the fire of Hell in the next life: "It is the fire kindled by Allāh which rises above the hearts" (104 : 6, 7). And the spiritual blindness of this life turns into blindness in the next life: "And whoever is blind in this life, he shall also be blind in the hereafter" (17 : 72). The seventy years of evil-doing—which represent the average of human life—are turned into a chain of seventy cubits (69 : 32). The man who acts according to the Book of God or takes it in his right hand here, shall be given his book in the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

right hand on the Resurrection Day, and the man who will have none of it, and throws it behind his back, shall be given his book behind his back or in his left hand (69 : 19, 25 ; 84 : 7, 10). Hadīth, also, is full of examples of this. The spiritualities of this life take an actual shape in the hereafter. This is the truth underlying all the blessings of Paradise and the torments of Hell.

It will have been noticed that the guarding of the
The book of deeds good and evil deeds of man, which
form the basis of the higher life, is
spoken of as writing them down ; and a book of good and
evil deeds is repeatedly mentioned. I quote further :

“ Or do they think that We do not hear what they conceal and their secret discourses ? Aye ! and Our messengers with them write down ” (43 : 80).

“ This is Our book that speaks against you with justice ; surely We wrote what you did ” (45 : 29).

“ And the book shall be placed, then thou wilt see the guilty fearing from what is in it, and they will say, Ah ! woe to us ! what a book is this ! it does not omit a small one or a great one, but numbers them all ” (18 : 49).

“ So whoever shall do of good deeds and he is a believer, there shall be no denying of his exertion, and We write it down for him ” (21 : 94).

Not only has every individual his book of deeds, but even nations are spoken of as having their books of deeds :

“ And thou shalt see every nation kneeling down ; every nation shall be called to its book : To-day you shall be rewarded for what you did ” (45 : 28).

A nation's book of deeds throws light on what is meant by the individual's book of deeds. The book of deeds means nothing but the effect of the deeds done by the individual or the nation. It is not meant, of course, that there will be a book such as we understand, using

LIFE AFTER DEATH

the word materially, a collection of pages of some sort written with pen and ink. It is a mistake to take the word *kitāb* in this sense in connection with the writing of good and evil deeds. *Kitāb* does not always mean *a collection of written leaves*; it sometimes signifies the *knowledge of Allāh*, or *His command*, or *what He has made obligatory* (R.). And *kataba* does not always mean that *he wrote certain words on paper with ink and pen*; it also means *he made a thing obligatory or decreed or ordained or prescribed a thing* (*Ibid.*). According to the same authority, the significance of *kitāba* (*writing*) in 21 : 94, where the writing of good deeds is spoken of, is that God will preserve those deeds for the doer, and requite him for them.

A study of the verses in which the recording of actions, or the book of deeds, is referred to, leads to the conclusion that it is the effect produced by those actions that is meant. For instance, in 17 : 13, where the book of deeds is mentioned, the deeds are not stated to have been written down, but to have been made to cling to the doer's neck: "And We have made every man's actions to cling to his neck, and We will bring forth to him on the Resurrection Day a book which he will find wide open." Now making the actions cling to the doer's neck is clearly causing the effect of the actions to appear on the doer, so that whatever deed, good or bad, is done, it leaves its impress on the doer.¹ This is in accordance with what has been already stated, to wit, that an inner self of man is being prepared in this life. That inner self is really his book of deeds, a book in which is noted down the effect of every deed done. It

1. The deed done is, in this verse, called a *ṭā'ir*, which means originally *a bird* or *a thing that flies off*, and also means *a deed*. A man's deed is called a *ṭā'ir* to show that it flies off as soon as it is done, so that it is not in man's power to bring it back, though, as the verse clearly states, a permanent impress of it is left on the doer.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

is to this that the concluding words of the verse allude where it is said that this book of deeds, the inner self, which here is hidden from the human eye, will become an open book on the Day of Resurrection. And, quite in consonance with this, the next verse goes on to say : " Read thy book ; thine own self is sufficient as a reckoner against thee this day " (17 : 14). In other words, the effect of a man's deeds becomes so manifest on the Resurrection Day that no outside reckoning is needed. It is man himself who reads his own book, that is to say, sees all his actions in the impress left on him, and it is he himself who takes his account because the reckoning has already appeared in his own self.

In agreement with this are two other verses of an earlier chapter : " The book of the wicked is in prison " (83 : 7) ; " The book of the righteous is in the highest places " (83 : 18). As opposed to the righteous who are in the highest places, the wicked should have been spoken of as being in the lowest places, but instead of that they are stated to be in prison, which means that a bar is placed against their advancement ; hence they are mentioned further on as being " debarred from their Lord " (83 : 15), while the righteous go on advancing to higher and higher places. The " book " here plainly stands for the inner self of the man ; in any other sense, the placing of the book in a prison is meaningless. Thus it is clear from the various descriptions of the " book of deeds " that it is the effect of good or evil deeds, accelerating or retarding a man's spiritual progress, as the case may be, that is meant, and that the writing is nothing but the impress that is left on man when he does a good or bad deed—an impress which no human eye can see, but whose reality cannot be doubted by any conscientious thinker.

LIFE AFTER DEATH

A balance is also spoken of in connection with the good and evil deeds of man. The Balance. *mīzān* or balance is again a misunderstood word. *Wazn* is simply *the knowing of the measure of a thing* (R.). It is true that the measure of material things is judged by a pair of scales or by some other implement, but the deeds of man need no scales for their measurement. Rāghib is very clear on this point when he says that by *wazn* or *mīzān*, in connection with the deeds of men, is meant "the doing of justice in the reckoning of men." He quotes the following examples : "And the measuring out (*wazn*) on that day will be just" (7 : 8) ; "And We will set up just balances (*mawāzin*, pl. of *mīzān*) on the Day of Resurrection" (21 : 47), where in fact the meaning is made clear in the Holy Qur'ān itself by the addition of the words "no soul shall be dealt with unjustly in the least." So too elsewhere, a *mīzān* is referred to as working in nature itself : "And the heaven He raised it high, and He made the balance, that you may not be inordinate in respect of the balance, and keep up the measure with equity and do not make the balance deficient" (55 : 7-9). Here the words used for *measure* or *balance* are the same words *mīzān* and *wazn*. A *mīzān* or balance is first spoken of as existing in connection with the creation of the heavens, and this is followed by an injunction that men should also preserve the balance with equity. Now the balance that is seen working in nature is the law to which every thing is subject so that, while opposing forces do exist, yet each force is subject to a law and does not nullify the other. Everything works out its destiny according to a measure, and so should man also work out his destiny according to a measure. Hence the injunction not to get inordinate in respect of the measure.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The *mīzān* or 'balance' of men is clearly spoken of elsewhere as having been sent down by God: "We sent Our apostles with clear arguments, and sent down with them the book, and (sent down) the *mīzān* (balance), that men may conduct themselves with equity" (57 : 25). Now revelation, or the book, is sent down by God to awaken the spiritual life in man, and therefore the balance, which is spoken of as having been sent down along with revelation, must also relate to the spiritual life of man. In his physical growth man is undoubtedly subject to the same balance as is the rest of nature, yet apart from that he has a higher life, the life spiritual, which is evolved out of the present life, and the book that is sent with the prophets and the balance both relate to the growth of that spiritual life. The book contains the directions in principle, to do good and shun evil, and the balance is there to weigh the good and the evil, so that the spiritual life awakened in man takes a good or bad turn, a higher or lower form, according to the preponderance of good or evil. Thus not only do good and evil deeds leave their effect behind, but there is also a balance which gives shape to that effect and makes the spiritual growth possible, or has a retarding effect on that growth if evil preponderates.

The 'balance' of the hereafter, therefore, differs not at all from the 'balance' of this life; except that there it takes a more palpable form. The general principle is laid down in the following verses:

"And we will set up just balances (*mawāzīn*, pl. of *mīzān*) on the Day of Resurrection, so no soul shall be dealt with unjustly in the least; and though there be the weight of a grain of mustard seed, We will bring it, and sufficient are We to take account" (21 : 47).

"And the measuring out (*wazn*) on that day will be just; then as for those whose measure of good deeds is

LIFE AFTER DEATH

heavy, they shall be successful. And as for those whose measure of good deeds is light, those it is that have made their souls suffer loss" (7 : 8, 9).

A certain class of persons is indicated in whose case no balance shall be set up at all. These are the people who waste away the whole of their energy in this world's doings : " Shall We inform you of the greatest losers in deeds ? These are they whose labour is lost in this world's life... ..and therefore We will not set up a balance for them on the Day of Resurrection " (18 : 103-105).

The life after death takes two forms : a life in Paradise for those in whom the good preponderates over the evil, and a life in Hell for those in whom the evil preponderates over the good. The word *Paradise* (*Firdaus*) occurs only twice in the Holy Qur'ān ; on one occasion in conjunction with *janna* (18 : 107) and on the other alone (23 : 11). It is the word *janna*, or its plural *jannāt*, that is generally used to indicate the abiding place of the righteous. *Janna* is derived from *jann* which signifies *the concealing of a thing so that it is not perceived by the senses*, and *janna*, in ordinary usage, means *a garden*, because its ground is covered by trees. But the use of this name for the abode of bliss has a deeper significance, since of Paradise it is plainly stated that its blessings are such as cannot be perceived by the physical senses. The description of Paradise usually given is, *gardens in which rivers flow*, corresponding to which the description of the righteous generally is, *those who believe and do good*. These two descriptions, read in the light of what has gone before as to the materialization in the next world of the spiritualities of this life, are an indication of the fact that faith, which is the water of spiritual life, is converted into rivers, and good deeds, which spring from faith, are the seeds whence grow the trees of the next life.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The description of Paradise as a garden with rivers
Blessings of Paradise flowing in it is clearly stated to be a
parable or a likeness, not an actuality,
in terms of this life : “ A likeness of the garden which the
righteous are promised : there flow in it rivers ; its fruit
is perpetual and its shade ” (13 : 35) ; “ A parable of the
garden which the righteous are promised : therein are
rivers of water that does not alter ” (47 : 15). And
quite in keeping with this description is the statement,
made elsewhere, that the blessings of Paradise cannot be
conceived of in this life, not being things of this world :
“ No soul knows what is hidden for it of that which will
refresh the eyes : a reward for what they did ” (32 : 17).
An explanation of these words was given by the Holy
Prophet himself when he said : “ Allāh says I have pre-
pared for My righteous servants what no eye has seen
and no ear has heard, and what the mind of man has not
conceived ” (Bu. 59 : 8). And Ibn ‘Abbās, the famous
Companion and commentator, is reported to have said :
“ In Paradise there are no foods of this life except the
names ” (RM. I, p. 172).

A few examples may be added. *Zill* which means *a shadow* is spoken of as one of the blessings of Paradise :
“ They and their wives shall be in shades ” (36 : 56) ;
“ The righteous shall be amid shades and fountains ”
(77 : 41) ; “ Its fruit is perpetual and its shade ” (13 : 35).
The same word has also been used in connection with
the rigours of Hell : “ And the shade of black smoke,
neither cool nor honourable ” (56 : 43, 44). “ Walk on to
the shade having three branches ” (77 : 30). Now *zill*
does not in all these cases bear the significance of *shade* ;
the name is there but its import is quite different. In
fact we are plainly told in the Holy Qur’ān that there is
no sun in Paradise : “ They shall see therein neither sun
nor intense cold ” (76 : 13). Hence in the case of

LIFE AFTER DEATH

Paradise, *zill* signifies *protection* or *plenty*, as being the idea underlying the word *shade* (R.). The same authority adds that *zill* is *every covering whether good or bad*; and hence *zill* is also spoken of as one of the severities of Hell.

Take another example. Those in Paradise are spoken of as being given *rizq* which means *sustenance*. But it cannot mean what sustains the body here. It is the *rizq* that is needed for the sustenance of the inner self of man, and it is for this reason that prayer is called *rizq* (sustenance) in 20 : 131. The fruits of Paradise, whether mentioned under a particular name or generally, are not the fruits of this life, but of deeds done. The name is the same, but the significance is quite different. Thus we are told : "Whenever they shall be given a portion of the fruits thereof, they shall say, This is what was given to us before" (2 : 25). Evidently the fruits of good deeds are meant here, and not the fruits that the earth grows, because the latter are not given to all the faithful here while the former are. Similar is the case with the rivers of water, milk, honey and wine, all of which things are plainly spoken of as a parable (47 : 15); the thrones, the cushions and carpets (88 : 13-16); the ornaments, the bracelets, the silk robes (18 : 31); all those are not things of this life, but they are mentioned simply to show that whatever may serve to perfect the picture of the happiness of man, will be there. As for the exact form they will take, that cannot be made known to man, because his senses are incapable of perceiving it. All descriptions of the blessings of the next life are only a *mathal* (*a likeness* or *a parable*), as is explained in the Holy Qur'an.

As already stated, the Resurrection means quite a new life and a new order of things, a new heaven and a new earth. A little consideration will show that even our ideas of place and time are inapplicable to the next life.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Paradise extends over the whole of the heavens and the earth, that is to say, the whole of this universe: "And hasten to forgiveness from your Lord and a garden, the extensiveness of which is as the heavens and the earth" (3:132; 57:21). And when the Holy Prophet was asked where was Hell, if Paradise extended over the whole of the heavens and the earth, he replied "Where is the night when the day comes?" (RM. I, p. 670). This shows that Paradise and Hell are more like two conditions than two places. Again, notwithstanding the fact that the two are poles asunder, the one being the highest of the high and the other the lowest of the low, they are separated only by a wall: "Then a separation will be brought about between them by a wall having a door in it; on the inside of it there shall be mercy and before the outside of it there shall be chastisement" (57:13). And elsewhere, speaking of the inmates of Paradise and the inmates of Hell, it is said: "And between the two there shall be a veil" (7:46). It is impossible, with our present ideas of space, to conceive of these two things at one and the same time. Again, a "vehement raging and roaring" of hell-fire is repeatedly mentioned (25:12; 67:7), but those in Paradise shall "not hear its faintest sound" (21:102), while they will hear the call of the inmates of that fire: "And the inmates of the fire shall call out to the dwellers of the garden, saying, Pour on us some water or give us of the sustenance that Allāh has given you. They shall say, Allāh has prohibited them both to the unbelievers, who take their religion for an idle sport and a play, and this world's life deceives them" (7:50, 51). Thus those in Paradise shall hear the talk of those in Hell, but they shall not hear the roaring of the fire of Hell. This shows that the change, that will come over man in the Resurrection, will be so thorough that even his present

LIFE AFTER DEATH

senses will be changed into others of which it is impossible for him to conceive in this life, senses which would hear the lowest tones of one kind, but not the most terrible sounds of another.

The things mentioned among the blessings of Paradise are, therefore, not the things of this world, but things which we have neither seen nor heard of in this life ; nor, with our present senses, can we even conceive of them. All descriptions given are simply to show that the life of the righteous will be perfect in the Resurrection. It is with the same end in view that mention is made of the company of men and women in that state, to which sensually-minded people have attached a sensual significance. Writing under the word *zawj*, Rāghib says that "the meaning of *zawwajnā-hum bi-ḥūr-in* 'in is that we have given them *ḥūr* as companions (*qarannā-hum bi-hinna*), and the Qur'ān does not say *zawwajnā-hum ḥūr-an* as you say in the case of the marriage of a man with a woman, *zawwajtu-hū imra'at-an*, hinting that the relations there will not be as they are known to us here in this life." It is also stated that in the "relations with women in Paradise there is no suggestion of procreation" (RM. I, p. 172); and as sexual relationship, as understood in this life, is a requirement of nature to help the act of procreation, it is clear that the relationship of sexes or the company of men and women in the Resurrection has quite a different significance.

The mention of women in the Holy Qur'ān is, in the first place, to show that men and women are both equal in the sight of God, and that both will enjoy the higher life in the Resurrection. That women, in general, shall have access to Paradise, like men, is made clear in many places :

"And whoever does good deeds, whether male or

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

female and he is a believer—these shall enter the garden” (40 : 40; 4 : 124).

“Whoever does good deeds, whether male or female, and he (or she) is a believer, We will make him (or her) live a happy life” (16 : 97).

“I will not waste the work of a worker among you, whether male or female, the one of you being from the other” (3 : 194).

The wives of the righteous are mentioned particularly as accompanying their husbands in Paradise :

“Dwell thou and thy wife in the garden” (2 : 35).

“They and their wives shall be in shades, reclining on raised couches” (36 : 56).

“Our Lord ! and make them enter the gardens of perpetuity which Thou hast promised to them and those who do good of their fathers and their wives and their offspring” (40 : 8).

“Enter the garden, you and your wives ; you shall be made happy” (43 : 70).

Among the various descriptions of women in Paradise
Hūr.
is the word *hūr*, which occurs four times in the Holy Qur’ān, in 44 : 54, 52 : 20, 55 : 72, and 56 : 22. It is a plural of *ahwar* (applied to a man) and of *haurā’* (applied to a woman), signifying *one having eyes characterized by the quality termed hawar (LL.). Hawar means originally whiteness (which is a symbol of purity), and the word haurā’ is applied to a woman who is of a white colour and whose white of the eye is intensely white and the black thereof intensely black (LA.). Ahwar, besides being applied to a man of a similar description, also signifies pure or clear intellect (LL.). In fact, purity is the prevailing idea in*

LIFE AFTER DEATH

ḥawār, and therefore *ḥawārī*,¹ which is derived from the same root, means *a pure and a sincere friend*. Hence "pure ones" is the nearest rendering of the word *ḥūr*, in English. The four occasions on which the women of Paradise are spoken of as *ḥūr* are quoted below :

"Surely the righteous are in a secure place, in gardens and springs and We will give them the company of pure (*ḥūr*), beautiful ones" (44 : 51-54).

"Surely the righteous shall be in gardens and bliss Reclining on thrones set in lines, and We will give them the company of pure (*ḥūr*), beautiful ones" (52 : 17-20).

"In them (*i. e.*, the gardens) are goodly women, beautiful ones Pure ones (*ḥūr*) confined to the pavilions" (55 : 70-72).

"And the foremost are the foremost, these are they who are drawn nigh (to God). In the gardens of bliss On thrones inwrought... And pure (*ḥūr*), beautiful ones, the likes of hidden pearls : a reward for what they used to do" (56 : 10-24).

Are *ḥūr* the women that go to Paradise, the wives of the righteous? A hint to this effect is given in a *ḥadīth*. The last of the occasions on which the *ḥūr* are spoken of is 56 : 10-24, and in continuation of the subject there occur the words : "Surely We have made them to grow into a new growth, then We have made them virgins, loving, equals in age, for the sake of the companions of the right hand" (56 : 35-38). In connection with this, the making them "to grow into a new growth," the Holy Prophet is reported to have said, that by this are meant women

1. In the Holy Qur'an this word has been particularly applied to the chosen disciples of Jesus, but in *Ḥadīth* it is used in a general sense in connection with the chosen friends of any prophet.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

who have grown old here (Tr. 44 : sūra 56). The meaning, therefore, is that all good women shall grow into a new growth in the new life of the Resurrection, so that they shall all be virgins, equals in age. The Holy Prophet's explanation shows that the word *ḥūr* is used to describe the new growth into which women of this world will grow. An anecdote is also related that an old woman came to the Holy Prophet when he was sitting with his Companions, and asked him if she would go to Paradise. In a spirit of mirth, the Holy Prophet remarked that there would be no old woman in Paradise. She was about to turn away rather sorrowfully, when the Holy Prophet comforted her with the words that all women shall be made to grow into a new growth, so that there shall be no old woman in Paradise, and recited the verses quoted above (RM. VIII, p. 320).

The conclusion to which this *ḥadīth* leads is further supported by what is stated in the *Ḥūr* as a blessing of Paradise. Holy Qur'ān. The description of *ḥūr*, as given in the Holy Qur'ān, contains the best qualities of a good woman, purity of character, beauty, youthful appearance, restrained eyes and love for her husband. But even if the *ḥūr* are taken to be a blessing of Paradise, and not the women of this world, it is a blessing as well for men as for women. Just as the gardens, rivers, milk, honey, fruits, and numerous other things of Paradise are both for men and women, even so are *ḥūr*. What these blessings actually are, no one knows, but the whole picture of Paradise drawn in the Holy Qur'ān strongly condemns the association of any sensual idea therewith. It may, however, be asked, why are these blessings described in words which apply to women? The fact is that the reward spoken of here has special reference to the purity and beauty of character, and if there is an emblem of purity and beauty, it is

LIFE AFTER DEATH

womanhood, not manhood.

What is true of women is also true of *ghilmān* (children). The Holy Qur'ān speaks, on one occasion, of the presence in Paradise of *ghilmān* (pl. of *ghulam* meaning *a boy*), and on two occasions of *wildān* (pl. of *walad* meaning *a son* or *a child*): "And round them shall go boys (*ghilmān*) of theirs as if they were hidden pearls" (52 : 24) ; "And round about them shall go children (*wildān*) never altering in age" (56 : 17 ; 76 : 19). In the first case, there is a double indication showing that these boys, called the *ghilmān*, are the offspring of the faithful ; they are called *ghilmān-un la-hum* or their boys, and it is clearly stated that God "will unite with them (*i. e.*, the righteous) their offspring" (52 : 21). To the same effect it is elsewhere said that the "offspring" of the faithful will be made to enter Paradise with them (40 : 8). Hence the *ghilmān* and the *wildān* are the young children who have died in childhood. There is, however, a possibility that these boys are only a blessing of Paradise, as boyhood is, like womanhood, an emblem of purity and beauty.

No reader of the Holy Qur'ān can fail to see that the real picture of Paradise, therein portrayed, strikes at the very root of sensual pleasures. I quote only a few verses which reveal the true nature of Paradise :

"Allāh has promised to the believing men and the believing women gardens in which rivers flow, to abide in them, and goodly dwellings in gardens of perpetual abode ; and greatest of all is Allāh's goodly pleasure, that is the grand achievement" (9 : 72).

"As for those who believe and do good, their Lord will guide them by their faith ; there shall flow beneath them rivers in gardens of bliss ; their cry in it shall be,

LIFE AFTER DEATH

Glory to Thee, O Allāh! and their greeting in it shall be, Peace; and the last of their cry shall be, Praise be to Allāh, the Lord of the worlds" (10 : 9, 10).

" Their greeting in it is, Peace " (14 : 23).

" The righteous shall be in the midst of gardens and fountains : Enter them in peace, secure. And We will root out whatever of rancour is in their breasts—they shall be as brethren, on raised couches, face to face. Toil shall not afflict them in it, nor shall they be ever ejected from it " (15 : 45-48).

" And they shall say : All praise is due to Allāh, Who has made grief to depart from us ; our Lord is surely Forgiving, Multiplier of rewards, Who has made us alight in a house abiding for ever out of His grace ; toil shall not touch us therein, nor shall fatigue therein afflict us " (35 : 34, 35).

" They shall have fruits therein, and they shall have whatever they desire : Peace, a word from a Merciful Lord " (36 : 57, 58).

" Enter it in peace ; that is the day of abiding. They have therein what they desire and with Us is more yet " (50 : 34, 35).

" They shall not hear therein vain or sinful discourse, except the word, Peace, Peace " (56 : 25, 26).

Quite in accordance with this description of Paradise, one of the names by which Paradise is mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān is *dār al-salām* or "abode of peace" (6 : 128 ; 10 : 25).

The ultimate object of the life of man is described
 Liqā' Allāh or the as *liqā' Allah* which means *the meet-*
 meeting with God. *ing with God*. In one of the earliest
 chapters we are told : " O man ! thou must strive to
 attain to thy Lord a hard striving until thou meet Him " (84 : 6). But this object cannot be fully attained in this life ; it is only in the life after death, the higher life, that

LIFE AFTER DEATH

man is able to reach this stage. Hence it is, that those who deny the life after death are said to be deniers of the meeting with God: "And they say, What! when we have become lost in the earth, shall we then be in a new creation? Nay; they are disbelievers in the meeting with their Lord" (32 : 10). To be content with this life, and not to look forward to a higher goal and a higher life, is repeatedly condemned: "Those who do not hope in the meeting with Us, and are pleased with this world's life and are content with it, and those who are heedless of Our communications—these, their abode is the fire" (10 : 7, 8); "We leave those who hope not for the meeting with Us in their inordinacy, blindly wandering on" (10 : 11); "And those who disbelieve in the communications of Allāh and the meeting with Him despair of My mercy, and these are they that shall have a painful chastisement" (29 : 23); "They know the outward show of this world's life, and of the hereafter they are absolutely heedless.[†] Do they not reflect within themselves that Allāh did not create the heavens and the earth and what is between them but with the truth and for an appointed term? And surely most of the people are deniers of the meeting with their Lord" (30 : 7, 8). Only those who are sure that they will meet their Lord work on patiently for this great object: "And seek assistance through patience and prayer, and surely it is a hard thing except for the humble ones, who know that they shall meet their Lord and that they shall return to Him" (2 : 45, 46). The meeting with the Lord is the great goal to attain which all good deeds are done: "Therefore whoever hopes to meet his Lord, he should do good deeds, and not join anyone in the service of his Lord" (18 : 110). And what is Hell itself but being debarred from the Divine presence: "Nay! rather, what they used to do has become like rust on their hearts.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

Nay! they shall, on that day, be debarred from their Lord, then they shall enter the burning fire " (83 : 14-16). Paradise is therefore the place of meeting God, and life in Paradise is above all corporeal conceptions.

That, however, is only the beginning of the higher Advancement in the life. The goal has been attained, but higher life. it only opens out wide fields for further advancement. If man has been granted such vast capabilities even in this physical life that his advancement knows no bounds, that advancement could not cease with the attainment of the higher life. In accordance with the idea of the Resurrection as the birth into a higher life, the Holy Qur'ān speaks of an unending progress in that life, of the righteous ever rising to higher and higher stages. Rest and enjoyment are not the goal of human existence. Just as there is a desire implanted in the human soul to advance further and further in this life, even so there will be such a desire in Paradise: "O you who believe! turn to Allāh a sincere turning; maybe your Lord will remove from you your evil and cause you to enter gardens in which rivers flow, on the day on which Allāh will not abase the Prophet and those who believe with him; their light shall run on before them and on their right hand; they shall say, Our Lord! make perfect for us our light and grant us protection, for Thou hast power over all things " (66 : 8). It is clear from the first part of this verse that all evil is removed from those who enter into Paradise, and as clear from the concluding portion that the soul of the righteous shall still be animated by a desire for more and more light, which evidently indicates a desire to attain to higher and ever higher stages of spiritual life. And there shall be means of fulfilment of every desire in Paradise: "They shall have fruits therein and they shall have whatever they desire " (36 : 57). So the desire to attain to higher and

LIFE AFTER DEATH

higher stages cannot remain unfulfilled : " But those who are careful of their duty to their Lord shall have high places, above them higher places (still), built for them " (39 : 20). The new life granted to the righteous in Paradise is thus the starting-point for a new advancement, in which man shall continue to rise to higher and higher places. Nor shall man ever grow weary in the attainment of these high stages of which even a conception cannot be now formed, for "toil shall not touch him therein, nor shall fatigue therein afflict him " (35 : 35); " Nor shall they be ever ejected from it " (15 : 48). The joys of Paradise are thus really the true joys of advancement.

Hell is described by seven different names in the Holy Qur'ān, and these are supposed by some to be the seven divisions of Hell. That most frequently occurring is *Jahannam*, which is, as it were, a proper name for Hell. *Jihinnām* signifies *great depth*, and *bi'r-un jahannam-un* means *a well whose depth is very great* (L.A.). Another name for Hell which bears a similar significance, but which occurs only once in the Holy Qur'ān, is *hāwiya* (101 : 9), meaning an *abyss* or *a deep place of which the bottom cannot be reached* (L.A.), the root being *hawā* which means *falling down to a depth from a height*, and hence indicating low desires (R.). Four names of Hell are taken from the analogy of fire, viz., *jahīm*, derived from *jaḥm* signifying the *burning* or *blazing of fire*, but this word is applied to the fury of war as well as of fire, while *tajahhama*, another measure from the same root, means *he burned with vehemence of desire* or *covetousness and niggardliness*, also *he became strained in disposition* (L.L.); *sa'ir* from *sa'r* which means the *kindling of fire* and is metaphorically applied to *the raging of war* (R.), while the word *su'ur* has been used in the sense of *distress* in the Holy Qur'ān (54 : 24); *saqar* from *saqara* which means

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

the heat of the sun scorched a man (R.); *laẓā* which means *the flame* of the fire, and in one form (*talazzā*) is metaphorically used for "*burning with anger*" (L.A.). The seventh name *ḥuṭama*, which occurs only twice in the same context, (104:4, 5), is derived from *ḥaṭam* which means *the breaking of a thing*, also *breaking* or *rendering infirm* or *weak with age*, while *ḥuṭama* means *a vehement fire*, and *ḥuṭma* sterility (LL.). The word *ḥuṭām*, derived from the same root, is used in 57 : 20 and elsewhere for "dried up and broken down" vegetation.

It will be seen from the above that the different names of Hell convey three different ideas, the idea of falling down to a great depth, the idea of burning and the idea of being broken down. Thus as the idea of rising higher and higher is connected with Paradise, that of falling down to abysmal depth is essentially connected with Hell; and as the ideas of contentment and happiness are associated with Paradise, the idea of burning is associated with Hell which is itself but the result of burning with passion in this life; and lastly, as the idea of a fruitful life is associated with Paradise, life in Hell is represented as an unfruitful life. All of which is the result of man's own deeds. Because he follows his low desires and baser passions, he makes himself fall into the depths; the burning caused by worldly desires and passions changes into a flaming fire after death; and since the only end in view is some sort of gain in this life, there is no deed which can bear fruit after death. Just as the blessings of Paradise are a manifestation of the hidden realities of this life, so are the depths, the fire and the unfruitfulness of the next, the Day of Resurrection being the day of the manifestation of hidden realities (86 : 9), when the veil shall be removed from the eyes of man so that he shall see clearly the consequences of the deeds, of which he took no heed in this

LIFE AFTER DEATH

life (50 : 22). In other words, the spiritual torments and mental pangs that are generally felt almost imperceptibly in this life, assume a palpable shape in the life after death. The answer to the question, what is Hell ? is unequivocally given as " the fire kindled by Allāh which rises above the hearts " (104 : 6, 7). Now the fire which rises above the hearts, is the heart-burning caused by inordinate passions. Regret for the evil done is also spoken of as fire : " Thus will Allāh show them their deeds to be an intense regret to them, and they shall not come out of the fire " (2 : 167). The *ahwā'*, or low desires of this life, that are so often a hindrance in man's awakening to a higher life and nobler aims, become the *hāwiya* or *jahannam*, the abysmal depth, to which the evil-doer makes himself fall. Even so, in the Holy Qur'ān, we are told : " Therefore avoid the uncleanness of the idols and avoid false words, being upright for Allāh, not associating aught with Him ; and whoever associates others with Allāh, it is as though he had fallen from on high " (22 : 30, 31). And again : " Say, Shall we call on that besides Allāh, which does not benefit us nor harm us, and shall we be turned back on our heels after Allāh has guided us, like him whom the devils have made to fall down perplexed in the earth ? " (6 : 71). And again : " And on whomsoever My wrath comes down, he indeed falls down to a depth " (20 : 81). And of the people whose exertions are all limited to this life, it is said : " They whose labour is lost in this world's life and they think that they are well-versed in skill of the work of their hands. These are they who disbelieve in the communications of their Lord and in the meeting with Him, so their deeds bear no fruit, and therefore We will not set up a balance for them on the Day of Resurrection. Thus it is that their recompense is Hell " (18 : 104-106).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Though fire is so frequently mentioned as the consequence of evil, the reason for which I will give later on, yet there are a number of other aspects of the evil consequences of evil deeds. For example, it is said: "For those who do good is good and more, and blackness shall not cover their faces nor ignominy; these are the dwellers of the garden, in it they shall abide. And those who earned evil—the punishment of an evil is the like of it, and abasement shall come upon them—they shall have none to protect them from Allāh—as if their faces had been covered with slices of the dense darkness of night; these are the inmates of the fire, in it they shall abide" (10 : 26, 27). Blackness of the face is again mentioned as the chastisement of Hell: "On the day when some faces shall turn white and some faces shall turn black; then as to those whose faces turn black, Did you disbelieve after your believing? Taste therefore the chastisement because you disbelieved" (3 : 105). So, too, in the earlier revelation: "And on many faces on that day shall be dust; darkness shall cover them. These are they who are unbelievers, the wicked" (80 : 40-42).

Disgrace is mentioned as the chastisement of evil-doers in many other places: "Then on the Resurrection Day, He will bring them to disgrace The disgrace and the evil are this day upon the unbelievers" (16 : 27); "That We may make them taste the chastisement of abasement in this world's life, and the chastisement of the hereafter is certainly much more abasing, and they shall not be helped" (41 : 16). Again, those in Hell are sometimes spoken of as asking for water and sustenance from those in Paradise: "And the inmates of the fire shall call out to the dwellers of the garden, saying, Pour on us some water or give us of the subsistence that Allāh has given you" (7 : 50).

LIFE AFTER DEATH

They have themselves got water, but it is "boiling and intensely cold" (78 : 25). On other occasions, however, it is light that they cry for: "On the day when the hypocritical men and the hypocritical women will say to those who believe, Wait for us that we may have light from your light. It shall be said, Turn back and seek a light" (57 : 13).

Hell, therefore, only represents the evil consequences Remedial nature of of evil deeds, but still it is not a Hell. place merely for undergoing the consequences of what has been done; it is also a remedial plan. In other words, its chastisement is not for the purpose of torture; it is for purification so that man, rid of the evil consequences which he has brought about with his own hands, may be made fit for spiritual advancement. The Holy Qur'ān has clearly set out this law regarding even those punishments which are made to overtake man here on earth: "And We did not send a prophet in a town, but We overtook its people with distress and affliction in order that they might humble themselves" (7 : 94).

It is clear from this that God brings down His punishment upon a sinning people in order that they may turn to Him; in other words, that they may be awakened to a higher life. The same must therefore be the object of punishment in Hell; it is a remedial measure.

In fact, a little consideration would show that good is enjoined because it helps the progress of man, and evil is prohibited because it retards that progress. If a man does good, he himself gets the advantage of it; if he does evil, it is to his own detriment. It is a subject to which the Holy Qur'ān returns over and over again:

"He will indeed be successful who purifies it, and he will fail who corrupts it" (91 : 9, 10).

"Your striving is surely directed to various ends.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Then as for him who gives in charity and is careful of his duty, and believes in goodness, We will facilitate for him the easy end. And as for him who is niggardly and does not care for his duty, and rejects goodness, We will facilitate for him the difficult end " (92 : 4-10).

" If you do good, you will do good for your own souls, and if you do evil, it shall be for them " (17 : 7).

" Whoever does good, it is for his own soul, and whoever does evil, it is against it, and thy Lord is not in the least unjust to the servants " (41 : 46).

" Whoever does good, it is for his own soul, and whoever does evil, it is to his detriment; then you shall be brought back to your Lord " (45 : 15).

Purification being the great object, the man who has wasted his opportunity here must undergo the ordeal of Hell in order to obtain it. Various other considerations lead to the same conclusion. In the first place, such great prominence is given to the attribute of mercy in God, that He is spoken of as having " ordained mercy on Himself " (6 : 12, 54) ; the Divine mercy is described as encompassing all things (6 : 148 ; 7 : 156 ; 40 : 7), so that even those who have acted extravagantly, against their own souls, should not despair of the mercy of God (39 : 53) ; and finally it is laid down that for mercy did He create all men (11 : 119). Such a merciful Being could not chastise man unless for some great purpose, which purpose is to set him again on the road to the higher life, after purifying him from evil. It is like a hospital wherein different operations are performed only to save life.

The ultimate object of the life of man is that he shall live in the service of God : " And I have not created the jinn and the men except that they should serve Me " (51 : 56). The man who lives in sin is debarred from the Divine presence (83 : 15); but, being purified

LIFE AFTER DEATH

by fire, is again made fit for Divine service. Hence Hell is called, in one place, the *maulā* (friend) of the sinners (57 : 15), and their *umm* (mother) in another (101 : 9). Both descriptions are a clear indication that Hell is intended to raise up man by purifying him from the dross of evil, just as fire purifies gold of dross. It is to point to this truth that the Holy Qur'an uses the word *fitna* (*the assaying of gold, or casting it into the fire to purify it*), both of the persecutions which the faithful undergo in this life (2 : 191 ; 29 : 2, 10), and of the punishment which the evil-doers shall suffer in Hell (37 : 63). Thus the faithful are purified through their sufferings, in the way of God, in this life ; and the evil-doers shall be purified by hell-fire. Hell is called a " friend " of sinners, because through sufferings it will fit them for spiritual progress, and it is called their " mother," because in its bosom they will be brought up, so that they may be able to tread the path of a new life.

Another consideration, which shows that this chastisement is of a remedial nature, is that, according to the teachings of the Holy Qur'an and the sayings of the Holy Prophet, all those, who are in Hell, shall ultimately, when they are fit for a new life, be released from it. This is a point on which great misunderstanding prevails even among Muslim theologians. They make a distinction between the Muslim sinners and the non-Muslim sinners, holding that all Muslim sinners shall be ultimately taken out of Hell, but not the non-Muslim sinners. Neither the Holy Qur'an nor the Ḥadīth upholds this view. There are two words *khulūd* and *abad* used in connection with the abiding in Hell or Paradise, and both these words, while, no doubt, indicating *eternity*, also bear the significance of *a long time*. Not only do all authorities on Arabic lexicology agree on this, but the use of these words in the Holy Qur'an also makes it quite

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

clear. The word khulūd has been freely used regarding the chastisement in Hell of Muslim as well as of non-Muslim sinners. I will quote but one example of its use regarding Muslim sinners. After stating the law of inheritance, it is said: "These are Allāh's limits; and whoever obeys Allāh and His Apostle, He will cause him to enter gardens in which rivers flow to abide in them; and this is the great achievement. And whoever disobeys Allāh and His Apostle and goes beyond His limits, He will cause him to enter into fire, to abide in it (khālidin), and he shall have an abasing chastisement" (4 : 13, 14). Here clearly Muslim sinners are spoken of, and yet their abiding in Hell is expressed by the word khulūd.

Take the other word *abad*. This word occurs thrice in the Holy Qur'ān, in connection with the abiding of sinners in Hell. Ordinarily, it is taken as meaning *for ever* or *eternally*, but that it sometimes signifies only *a long time*, is abundantly clear from the fact that both its dual and plural forms are in use. Rāghib says that this is owing to the fact that the word is, in that case, used to express *a part of time*. And explaining its verb form *ta'abbada*, he says it signifies the thing *existed for abad*, and is taken to mean *what remains for a long time*. Thus *a long time*, as the significance of *abad*, is fully recognized in Arabic lexicology. That in the case of those in Hell, it signifies *a long time* and not *for ever*, is clear from the fact that the abiding in hell of even the unbelievers is elsewhere stated to be for *ahqāb*, which is the plural of *huqba*, meaning *a year* or *many years* (L.A.), or *eighty years* (R.). At all events it indicates a definite period of time, and hence serves as a clear indication that even *abad*, in the case of abiding in Hell, means *a long time*.

The two words khulūd and *abad*, which are generally

LIFE AFTER DEATH

construed as leading to an eternity of Hell, being thus disposed of, I will now consider the verses which are generally adduced in support of the idea that those in Hell shall for ever and ever suffer its endless tortures :

“ Thus will Allāh show them their deeds to be intense regret to them, and they shall not come out of the fire ” (2 : 167).

“ Those who disbelieve, even if they had what is in the earth, all of it, and the like of it with it, that they might ransom themselves with it from the chastisement of the Day of Resurrection, it shall not be accepted from them, and they shall have a painful chastisement. They would desire to go forth from the fire, and they shall not go forth from it, and they shall have an abiding chastisement (5 : 36, 37).

“ Whenever they will desire to go forth from it, from grief, they shall be turned back into it ” (22 : 22).

“ And as for those who transgress, their abode is the fire ; whenever they desire to go forth from it, they shall be brought back into it, and it will be said to them, Taste the chastisement of the fire which you called a lie ” (32 : 20).

These verses are self-explanatory. Those in Hell shall desire to escape from it but shall not be able to do so ; even if they could offer the whole earth as a ransom, they would not be able to get out. The evil consequences of sin cannot be avoided, howsoever one may desire, and even so is the fire of Hell. None can escape from it. But not a word is there in any of these verses to show that God will not take them out of it, or that the tortures of Hell are endless. They only show that every sinner must suffer the consequences of what he has done, and that he cannot escape them ; but that he may be set free when he has undergone the necessary chastisement, or that God may, of His boundless mercy,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

deliver the sinners when He pleases, is not denied here.

Even if *abad* is taken to mean eternity, the abiding in Hell, according to the Holy Qur'ān, must cease at some time, because a limit is placed on it by the addition of the words *illā mā shā'a Allāh* or *except as Allāh pleases*, which clearly indicate the ultimate deliverance of those in Hell. The following two verses may be noted in this connection :

“ He shall say, The fire is your abode, to abide in it, except as Allāh pleases, for thy Lord is Wise, Knowing ” (6 : 129).

“ So as to those who are unhappy, they shall be in the fire ; for them shall be sighing and groaning in it, abiding therein so long as the heavens and the earth endure except as thy Lord pleases, for thy Lord is the mighty Doer of what He intends ” (11 : 106, 107).

Both these verses show that the abiding in Hell must come to an end. To make this conclusion clearer still, the Holy Qur'ān has used a similar expression for those in Paradise but with quite a different ending :

“ And as to those who are made happy, they shall be in the garden, abiding in it as long as the heavens and the earth endure, except as thy Lord pleases : a gift never to be cut off ” (11 : 108).

The two expressions are similar ; those in Hell and those in Paradise abide, each in his place, so long as the heavens and the earth shall endure, with an exception added in each case—except as thy Lord pleases—showing that they may be taken out of that condition. But the concluding statements are different. In the case of Paradise, the idea that those in it may be taken out of it, if God pleases, is immediately followed by the statement that it is a gift that shall never be cut off, showing that they shall not be taken out of Paradise ; while in the case of Hell, the idea that those in it will be taken out is

LIFE AFTER DEATH

confirmed by the concluding statement, that God is the mighty Doer of what He intends.

This conclusion is corroborated by Ḥadīth. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said : “ Then Allāh will say, The angels have interceded and the prophets have interceded and the faithful have interceded and none remains but the most Merciful of all merciful ones. So He will take out a handful from fire and bring out a people who have never done any good ” (Bu. 97 : 24).

Three kinds of intercession are spoken of in this ḥadīth ; of the faithful, of prophets and of the angels, and the intercession of each class is undoubtedly meant for people who have some sort of close relation with that class. The faithful will intercede for people who have come into contact with them personally ; the prophets will intercede for their followers ; the angels, being the movers to good, will intercede for people who are not followers of a prophet, but who have done some good. And the ḥadīth adds that the most Merciful of all still remains, so He will bring out from the fire even people who have never done any good. It follows that, thereafter, none can remain in Hell, and in fact the handful of God cannot leave anything behind.

Other ḥadīth state even more explicitly that all men shall be ultimately taken out of Hell. “ Surely a day will come over Hell when it will be like a field of corn that has dried up after flourishing for a while ” (KU.). “ Surely a day will come over Hell when there shall not be a single human being in it ” (FBn. IV, p. 372). And a saying of ‘Umar, the second Caliph, is recorded as follows : “ Even if the dwellers in Hell may be numberless as the sands of the desert, a day will come when they will be taken out of it ” (FBn. IV, p. 372). A similar saying is recorded from Ibn Mas‘ūd : “ Surely a time will come

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

over Hell when its gates shall be blown by wind, there shall be none in it, and this shall be after they have remained therein for many years" (IJ—C. XII, p. 66). Similar sayings are reported from many other Companions, such as Ibn 'Umar, Jābir, Abū Sa'īd, Abū Huraira, etc., and also from the *Ṭābi'in* (FBn.). And later Imāms, such as Ibn 'Arabī, Ibn Taimiya, Ibn Qayyim and many others have held similar views (*Ibid*). Thus there can be but little doubt left that Hell is a temporary place for the sinner, whether Muslim or non-Muslim, and this also supports the view that the chastisement of Hell is not for torture, but as a remedy, to heal the spiritual diseases which a man has incurred of himself and by his own negligence, and to enable him to start again on the road to the higher life. The truth of this has already been established from the Holy Qur'ān, but a ḥadīth, also, may be quoted here which expressly speaks of inmates of the fire as being set on the road to the higher life: "Then will Allāh say, Bring out (of the fire) every one in whose heart there is faith or goodness to the extent of a mustard seed, so they will be taken out having become quite black; then they will be thrown into the river of life and they will grow as grows a seed by the side of a river" (Bu. 2:15). This ḥadīth is conclusive as to the remedial nature of Hell, and establishes beyond all doubt that all men will ultimately be set on the way to the higher life.

QADAR OR TAQDIR.

*Qadar*¹ and *taqdir*, according to Rāghib, mean *the*

Significance of *qadar* *making manifest of the measure*
and *taqdir*. (*kamiyya*) *of a thing*, or simply

measure. In the words of the same authority, God's *taqdir* of things is in two ways, by granting *qudra*, i.e., *power*, or by making them in a particular measure and in a particular manner, as wisdom requires. An example of this is given in the *taqdir* of the date-stone, out of which it is the palm only that grows, not an apple or olive tree, or in the *taqdir* of the sperma of man, out of which grows man only, not any other animal. *Taqdir* is

1. The word *qadzā* is generally associated with *qadar*, and in common parlance, *qadzā wa qadar* of God are spoken of together. But while *qadar* means the Divine measure of things, *qadzā*, according to Rāghib, means the *deciding of an affair whether it be by word or by deed*. It is further stated to be of two kinds, either as relating to man or as relating to God. An example of the *qadzā* of God in word is 17 : 4 where *qadzainā*, (present plural preterite form of *qadzā*) means, according to Rāghib, *we made known to them and revealed to them a decisive revelation*: "And We made known (*qadzainā*) to the children of Israel in the Book, Certainly you will make mischief in the land twice." So also in 15 : 66 which runs thus: "And We revealed (*qadzainā*) to him this affair that the roots of these shall be cut off in the morning." In both these places *qadzā* means the making known of a Divine order by way of prophecy. An example of the deciding of an affair by deed is 40 : 20, where God's judgment is called His *qadzā*: "And Allāh judges with the truth," or 41 : 12, etc. where the creation of heavens is spoken of: "So He ordained them (*qadzā hunna*) seven heavens." Referring to the distinction between *qadzā* and *qadar*, Rāghib says that *qadar* is the measure, while *qadzā* is the decision or the bringing of it into action. Thus when the Caliph 'Umar ordered Abū 'Ubaida to give up a plague-stricken place to which 'Umar refused to go, and to remove his troops to a healthier spot, he was met with the objection: "Dost thou fly from the *qadzā* of Allāh?" i.e., from what God has ordered. 'Umar's reply was: "I fly from the *qadzā* of Allāh to the *qadar* of Allāh." What he meant evidently was that if God had brought about plague by His *qadzā* in one place, another place was free from it, and it was His *qadar*, i.e., a Divine law, that they should betake themselves to a place of safety (R.). *Qadzā* is, therefore, only the ordering of a thing to come to pass, while *qadar* signifies the creating of things subject to certain laws.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

therefore the law or measure which is working throughout the whole of creation; and this is exactly the sense in which the word is used in the Holy Qur'ān. For example, the Holy Qur'ān speaks of a *taqdīr* for each and every thing that has been created :

“Glorify the name of thy Lord, the Most High, Who creates, then makes complete, and Who makes things according to a measure (*qaddara* from *taqdīr*), then guides them to their goal ” (87 : 1—3).

“Who created everything, then ordained for it a measure (*taqdīr*) ” (25 : 2).

“Surely We have created everything according to a measure (*qadar*) ” (54 : 49).

“And the sun runs on to a term appointed for it; that is the law (*taqdīr*) of the Mighty, the Knowing. And as for the moon, We have ordained (*qaddarnā* from *taqdīr*) for it stages ” (36 : 38, 39).

The law according to which foods, provisions and other things are provided in the earth is also called a *taqdīr* of God, and so, also, the law according to which rain falls on the earth, and that according to which night and day follow each other :

“And He made in it mountains above its surface, and He blessed therein and made (*qaddara*) therein its foods ” (41 : 10).

“And there is not a thing but with Us are the treasures of it, and We do not send it down but in a known measure (*qadar*) ” (15 : 21).

“And We send down water from the cloud according to a measure (*qadar*) ” (23 : 18 ; 43 : 11).

“And Allāh has appointed a measure (*yuqaddiru* from *taqdīr*) of the night and the day ” (73 : 20).

Though man is included in the creation, and his *taqdīr* is therefore the same as the *taqdīr* of the whole creation, he is also separately spoken of as having a

QADAR OR TAQDİR

taqdır similar to the law of growth and development in other things :

“ Of what thing did He create him ? Of a small life-germ He created him, then He made him according to a measure (*qaddara-hū*) ” (80 : 18, 19).

All these verses go to show that, as according to lexicologists, taqdır, in the language of the Holy Qur’ān, is a universal law of God, operating as much in the case of man as in the rest of nature : a law extending to the sun, the moon, the stars, the earth and the heavens and all that exists in them. This universal law is fully explained in two short verses in 87 : 2-3 : “ Who creates, then makes complete, and Who makes according to a measure, then guides.” Four things are mentioned regarding every thing created, including man ; its *khalq* or creation, its *taswiya* or completion, its *taqdır* or measure, and its *hidāya* or guidance to its goal. The law of life, as witnessed in nature, is exactly the law described here. Everything is created so as finally to attain to its completion, this completion being brought about according to a law or a measure within which everything works by Divine guidance. Thus the taqdır of everything is the law or the measure of its growth and development. While one seed will grow into a blade of grass, another will become a huge tree ; notwithstanding its growth and development, one life-germ can hardly be seen with a microscope while another grows into a huge animal. Everything has its own line of development and so has man ; therefore his taqdır is not different in nature from the taqdır of other things.

Taqdır, meaning the *absolute decree of good and evil* by God, an idea with which the word is now indissolubly connected by the popular mind as well as by thinking writers, is

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

neither known to the Holy Qur'ān,¹ nor even to Arabic lexicology. The doctrine of predestination is of later growth, and seems to have been the result of the clash of Islām with Persian religious thought. The doctrine that there are two creators, a creator of good and a creator of evil, had become the central doctrine of the Magian religion, just as the Trinity had become that of the Christian faith. The religion of Islām taught the purest monotheism, and it was probably in controverting the dualistic doctrine of the Magian religion, that the discussion arose as to whether or not God was the creator of evil. These discussions grew very hot and many side-issues sprang up. All this was due only to a misunderstanding of the nature of good and evil. God created man with certain powers which he could exercise under certain limitations, and it is the exercise of these powers in one way or another that produces good or evil. For instance, God has gifted man with the power of speech, which he can use either to do good or evil to humanity, either to tell a truth and say a good word, or to utter falsehood and slander. Similarly, man has been endowed with numerous other powers which may be used either for good or for evil. Hence the controversy, as to whether God was the creator of good and evil, arose simply out of a misconception of the nature of good and evil. The same act may be a virtue on one occasion and evil on another. A blow struck in self-defence or in defence of a

1. There is only one occasion in the Holy Qur'ān on which a derivative of *taqdir* is used to indicate the fate of a person. Speaking of the wife of Lot, the Holy Qur'ān says : " We ordained (*qaddarnā*) that she shall be of those who remain behind " (15 : 60 ; 27 : 57). But even here it does not mean that God had ordained that she should be a doer of evil. There is mention here of an ordinance which holds good in the case of all evil-doers that they should suffer the evil consequences of what they have done ; she was not one of the faithful, but a disbeliever, so that when Divine punishment overtook the evil-doers, she was ordained to be with them.

QADAR OR TAQDİR

helpless man is right, and a blow struck aggressively is wrong. Hence evil is also called *zulm*, which means according to lexicologists, *the placing of a thing in a place other than that which is meant for it, either by falling short or by excess or by deviation from its time or its place* (R.). Thus the use of a power in the right manner, or at the right moment, or in the right place is a virtue, and its use in a wrong manner, or at a wrong moment, or at the wrong place is a vice. The Holy Qur'ān, therefore, has not dealt with the question of the creation of good and evil at all. It speaks of the creation of heaven and earth and all that is in them; it speaks of the creation of man; it speaks of endowing him with certain faculties and granting him certain powers; it tells us that he can use these powers and faculties within certain limitations, just as all other created things are placed within certain limitations—and the limitations of each kind are its taqdīr. But in the Holy Qur'ān, there is no mention of a taqdīr, which means either the creation of good and evil deeds, or an absolute decree of good and evil by God.

The following verse is sometimes quoted as showing that God is the creator of the actions of man: "And Allāh has created you and what you make" (37 : 96). The Arabic word for "you make" is *ta'malūn*, from *'aml* which means both *doing* and *making*. So the words are sometimes taken as meaning "what you do" instead of "what you make," and from this it is concluded that God is the creator of the actions of man, and as these actions are good as well as bad, therefore God is the creator of the evil deeds of man. The context, however, shows that *mā tā'malūn* here means "what you make," and not "what you do," and the verse in question does not speak of the good and evil deeds of man, but of the idols and stones which were worshipped. Verses 91-93

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

speak of Abraham's breaking the idols ; v. 94 says that when the people saw their idols broken, they advanced towards him; vv. 95, 96 contain Abraham's arguments against idol-worship: "What! do you worship what you hew out? And Allāh has created you and what you make." Now the concluding words "what you make" clearly refer to the idols which they made, and the argument is clear that what was hewed out by man's own hands could not be God, God being the Creator of man as well as of the stones which were made into idols. This interpretation has been accepted by the best commentators (RM. VII, p. 300). According to some commentators, the words are interrogatory: "And God has created you, and what is it that you do?"

It may, however, be added that God is recognized by the Holy Qur'ān as the first and ultimate cause of all things; but this does not mean that He is the creator of the deeds of man. He has, of course, created man; He has also created the circumstances under which he lives and acts; but still He has endowed man with a discretion to choose how to act, which he can exercise under certain limitations, just as all his other powers and faculties are exercised under limitations and only in accordance with certain laws. Thus in the Holy Qur'ān: "The truth is from your Lord; so let him who pleases accept (it) and let him who pleases reject (it)" (18 : 29). And as he can exercise his discretion or his will in doing a thing or not doing it, he is responsible for his own deeds and is made to suffer the consequences.¹

1. The useless controversy as to whether God was or was not the creator of man's deeds divided the Muslim world at one time into three camps. The Jabriyya held that God was the creator of man's deeds, whether good or evil, and man was entirely powerless in the matter. He moved as the Divine hand moved him, having neither the choice, nor the power, nor the will to swerve a hair's breadth from what God had decreed. Another party went to the other extreme holding that man, being the creator of his own actions, had full control over them. This was the view

QADAR OR TAQDIR

A great deal of misunderstanding exists as to the

The will of God and the will of man. relation of the Divine will to the will of man. All the faculties with which man has been endowed have emanated from the great Divine attributes. Yet all human attributes are imperfect, and can be exercised only under certain limitations and to a certain extent. God is All-seeing and All-hearing; man also sees and hears, but these attributes in him bear no comparison to the Divine attributes of seeing and hearing, being only imperfect and miniature images of the perfect and infinite attributes of the Divine Being, even as the reflecting mirror of human nature is itself imperfect and finite. For the very same reason, man's exercise of these attributes is also subject to certain limitations and laws. Man's knowledge of things, his exercise of power over things and his exercise of his will in relation to things, stand on a par. All these are subject to limitations and laws. Man's will stands in the same relation to the Divine will as his other attributes to the attributes of the Divine Being. He can exercise it under limitations and laws, and there is a very large variety of circumstances which may determine his

adopted later on by the Mu'tazila whose founder was Wāṣil ibn 'Aṭā. Their argument was that it was impossible that God should first compel a man to do a thing and then punish him for it. The general body of the Muslims held that both these were extreme views. But in marking out an intermediate course, they adopted a position which was not very clear. They held that faith was the *via media* between jabar and qadar, but to effect a reconciliation between these two extreme views they introduced the theory of *kasb* which means *acquisition*. The gist of this theory was "that man is neither absolutely compelled, nor an absolutely free agent" (RI, p. 104). So far the position was logical, but further discussions led the holders of this view to the absurd position that man was only outwardly free, being inwardly forced. It is true that man's will works under certain limitations, qadar or taqdir of God, but it is not true that the Divine will compels him to take a certain course. There may be a hundred and one causes of his decision in a particular case, and his responsibility may vary according to those circumstances; but still the choice is his, and so is the responsibility.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

choice in each case. Yet it is not true that the choice to exercise it has been taken from him ; and the fact is that, notwithstanding all the limitations, he is free to exercise his will, and, therefore, though he may not be responsible to the same extent for anything done in all cases, and a variety of circumstances must determine the extent of his responsibility, which may be very small, almost negligible, in some cases and very great in others, yet he is a free agent and responsible for what he does.

I now take the Quranic verses bearing on this subject. The argument that man does an evil deed because God wills it so, is put into the mouth of the opponents of the Holy Prophet on several occasions. Take the following for instance : "The polytheists will say, If Allāh had pleased, we would not have associated aught with Him, nor our fathers, nor would we have forbidden to ourselves anything; even so did those before them reject until they tasted Our punishment. Say, Have you any knowledge so you should bring it forth to us ? You only follow a conjecture and you only tell lies. Say, Then Allāh's is the conclusive argument ; if He had (so) willed, He would have guided you all " (6 : 149, 150). The polytheists' contention here is that what they do is in accordance with the will of God, and this is condemned as a mere conjecture and a lie. And against it, two arguments are adduced. The first is that previous people were punished when they persisted in their evil courses ; if what they did was because God had so willed it, He would not have punished them for it. The second is that God had never said so through any of His prophets : "Have you any knowledge with you so you should bring it forth to us." And in the verse that follows, the argument is carried further : "If He had willed, He would have guided you all." The conclusion is clear. If it were the Divine will that people should be compelled

QADAR OR TAQDIR

to one course, that would have been the course of guidance. But men are not compelled to accept even the right way; much less could they be compelled to follow the wrong course. The Divine will is expressed through prophets who are raised up to point out what is good and what is evil, and the choice is given to man to follow the one or the other. That is clearly laid down: "Surely We have shown him the way, he may accept or reject" (76 : 3). And again: "The truth is from your Lord, so let him who pleases believe, and let him who pleases disbelieve" (18 : 29). The Divine will is therefore exercised in the raising up of prophets, and in the pointing out of the courses of good and evil, and human will is exercised in the choice of one course or the other.

It is this very law that is expressed at the end of ch. 76: "Surely this is a reminder, so whoever pleases takes to his Lord a way. And you do not please except that Allāh please" (76 : 29, 30). And again to the same effect: "It is naught but a reminder for the nations, for him among you who pleases to go straight, and you do not please except that Allāh please, the Lord of the worlds" (81 : 27-29). It will be seen that in both these places, the Holy Qur'ān is spoken of as having been revealed for the upliftment of man, yet, it is added, only he will derive benefit from it who chooses to go straight or take a way to his Lord, that is, exercises his will in the right direction. Thus man is left to make his choice after God has sent down a revelation, and the will of man to make a choice is thus exercised only after the will of God has been exercised in the sending down of a revelation. If God had not pleased to reveal the reminder, man would have had no choice. Thus the words "you do not please except that Allāh please," mean only this that if God had

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

not pleased to send a revelation, man would not have been able to make his choice of good or evil.¹

The doctrine of predestination, or the decreeing of Foreknowledge of a good course for one man and an evil course for another, thus finds no support from the Holy Qur'ān which plainly gives to man

1. The Western critics of Islām, who are not in the habit of giving any serious thought to the Holy Qur'ān, have hastily formed the opinion that the Holy Prophet was an opportunist, and that the Holy Qur'ān makes contradictory statements, preaching free will at one time and laying stress on predestination at another. Thus Macdonald writes in the *Encyclopædia of Islām* under *Kadar* : "The contradictory statements of the Qur'ān on free will and predestination show that Muḥammad was an opportunist preacher and politician, and not a systematic theologian." The same view has been expressed by Sell : "The quotations made from the Qur'ān in the last few pages will have shown that whilst some passages seem to attribute freedom to man, and speak of his consequent responsibility, others teach a clear and distinct fatalism" (*Faith of Islam*, p. 338). Both these writers have not taken the trouble to study the Holy Qur'ān for themselves, and have based the above opinion simply on the fact that the contending Muslim sections have, all of them, quoted the Holy Qur'ān in their support, as if the numerous sects of Christianity had never quoted the Bible text in support of their contradictory assertions ! The verse which I have discussed here is looked upon by Sell as the "famous text" in support of predestination. Yet if a little consideration had been given to the words, the meaning could have been easily discovered. There is rather a tendency to force the conclusion of fatalism even upon plain words. I may here note some of the verses which Hughes has quoted in his *Dictionary of Islām* in support of the doctrine of predestination :

"All sovereignty is in the hands of God" (13 : 30) ; "God slew them and those shafts were God's, not thine" (8 : 17). Now these two verses have apparently not the least bearing on predestination ; the first speaks of God's sovereignty and the second says that the defeat and slaughter of the overwhelming Quraish forces could not be brought about by the Prophet, and that it was brought about by God. Two other verses quoted by Hughes have been wrongly translated, but in spite of that they do not lend any colour to the decree of good and evil : "All things have been created after fixed decree" (54 : 49) ; "The Lord hath created and balanced all things and hath fixed their destinies and guided them" (87 : 2).

Now the translation of *qadar* by *fixed decree* and that of *qaddara* by *He has fixed their destinies* is opposed to all Arabic lexicons. The wish of the writer has here taken the place of rules of interpretation. The other verses quoted by him have been fully discussed by me, and none of them speaks of predestination.

QADAR OR TAQDIR

the choice to follow one way or the other. But, it is said, the doctrine of the decreeing of good and evil follows from the doctrine of the foreknowledge of God. If God knows what will happen in the future, whether a particular man will take a good or an evil course, it follows that that man must take that particular course, for the knowledge of God cannot be untrue. Now in the first place, it must be clearly understood what God's knowledge of the future means. The fact is that the future is an open book to God. The limitations of space and time, which are everything to man, are nothing to God. Man's knowledge of things is limited both by space and time, but to the Infinite Being, unlimited space is as it were a single point and the past and the future are like the present. God sees or knows the future as a man would know what is passing before his eyes. God's knowledge of the future therefore, though far above and far superior to man's knowledge, is like his knowledge of the present, and mere knowledge of a thing does not interfere with the choice of the agent or the doer. Hence God's foreknowledge has nothing to do with predestination.

Statements are frequently met with in the Holy God's writing of Qur'an in which God is spoken of as adversities. having written down the doom of a nation, or a man's term of life, or an affliction. Such verses have also been misconstrued as upholding the doctrine of predestination. The misconception is due to a wrong interpretation of the word *kitāb*, which ordinarily carries the significance of *writing*, but has been freely used in Arabic literature and in the Holy Qur'an itself in a variety of senses. Rāghib says: "The word *kitāba* carries the significance of *ithbāt*, i. e., *establishing* or *confirming*, and *taqdīr*, i. e., *measuring out*, and *ijāb*, i. e., *making obligatory*, and *fardz*, i. e., *making incumbent*, and *'azm bi-l-kitāba*, i. e., *determination to write down*." And

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

further on, it is stated that *kitāba* also signifies *qadzā*, i.e., *what has been brought to pass*, and *ḥukm*, i. e. *order*, and *‘ilm*, i.e., *knowledge*. Examples of these uses are given: “Allāh has written (*kataba*), I will most certainly prevail, I and My apostles” (58:21). “Nothing will afflict us save what Allāh has written down (*kataba*) for us” (9:51). “Say, Had you remained in your houses, those for whom slaughter was written down (*kutiba*) would certainly have gone forth to the places where they would be slain” (3:153). Now in all these instances there is no mention of predestination or the fixing beforehand of an evil course for the evil-doer. In the first example the meaning is clearly this, that the order or command has gone forth from God that the Prophet shall triumph, and God’s orders must come to pass. “God has written down” only means that it is God’s order that such a thing should happen. It is not necessary to seek a reference to any previous writing or previous order, because the order or writing is there in these words themselves, but, if necessary, the reference may be to the numerous prophecies that are met with in the Holy Qur’ān regarding the ultimate triumph of the Holy Prophet, and which were in fact written down in a literal sense.

In the other two examples, there is mention of the writing down of distress or death. In the first place, it must be borne in mind that even if by the writing down of death or distress it is meant that such was pre-ordained for them, it does not lend any support to the doctrine of predestination, which means that the evil course of an evil-doer has been fixed for him beforehand, and that no choice is left to him to adopt either a good or an evil course. Death or distress is due to circumstances over which man has no control, while the doing of good or evil is a matter entirely of man’s own choice, according to the plain teaching of the Holy Qur’ān. But, as a matter of

fact, there is no mention of pre-ordaining here, for *kitāba* means *ordering* and not pre-ordaining. In fact, the word sometimes means simply *intending* a thing : " The reason is that a thing is first intended, then spoken and then written. So intention is the beginning and writing the end, and therefore the word *kitāba* is used to signify mere *intention*, which is the beginning, when it is meant to emphasize it by writing " (R.). Going back to the Holy Qur'ān itself, while it speaks of distress being " written down " in one place, it speaks of it as coming with God's permission or knowledge or order, in another. Compare the following two verses : " No affliction befalls on the earth nor in your own souls, but it is in a book before We bring it into existence " (57 : 22) ; " No affliction comes about but by Allāh's permission (*idhn*) " (64 : 11). The word *idhn* used here, means, according to Rāghib, knowledge of a thing, where there is with it also *mashī'a*, i. e. permission or order. It is clear, from a comparison of these two verses, that what is called *kitāba* in one place is called *idhn* in another. Thus the writing of Allāh is only His knowledge or permission or order.

The Holy Book throws further light on this subject where it makes mention of the Divine intention to bring the faithful to perfection through adversities. Thus, speaking of the believers in particular, it says : " And We will certainly try you with somewhat of fear and hunger and loss of property and lives and fruits ; and give good news to the patient, who, when a misfortune befalls them, say, We are Allāh's and to Him we shall return. These are they on whom are blessings and mercy from their Lord and these are the followers of the right course " (2 : 155-157). The principle is laid down here that the faithful are brought to perfection through adversities and trials, because we are told that Allāh intends to try the believers by means of various kinds of

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

afflictions, and through patience in suffering, they make themselves deserving of Divine blessings and mercy. Therefore when the faithful are made to say, "Nothing will afflict us save what Allāh has written down for us" (9 : 51), it is in reference to the Divine will, as expressed above, and they are made to suffer afflictions for their own perfection. God's writing down afflictions for them means, therefore, only that the Divine law is that they will be brought to perfection through afflictions. Of like significance is 3 : 153.

Both the verses quoted above and other similar verses which speak of the writing down of afflictions for the believers, only teach that greatest lesson of life, resignation in adversities. Muslims are taught to remain absolutely contented when they have to meet adversity or death in fulfilment of their duties. If a Muslim meets adversity or even death, he must believe that it is by God's order, that being the real meaning of *kitāba* in such cases. That faith upholds a Muslim in adversity because he knows that out of an adversity which is by the order of the good God, will undoubtedly come good. There is a message in these verses that Muslims must face all adversities manfully and never despair of the mercy of God.

A few words may be added in this connection on the *lauḥ maḥfūz*, which is generally supposed to contain all the decrees of God in writing. The word *lauḥ* means *a plank*, as in 54 : 13, and also *a tablet for writing*, and *maḥfūz* means *that which is guarded*. The expression *lauḥ maḥfūz* occurs but once in the Holy Qur'ān, and there it is mentioned in connection with the guarding of the Qur'ān itself : "Nay! it is a glorious Qur'ān in a guarded tablet" (85 : 21, 22). The word *lauḥ* in its plural form *alwāḥ* is used in connection with the books of Moses : "And We ordained

QADAR OR TAQDIR

for him in the tablets (*alwāḥ*) admonition of every kind and clear explanation of all things" (7 : 145). The *alwāḥ* of Moses and the *lauḥ* of the Qur'ān are the same; only in the case of the Qur'ān the *lauḥ* is stated to be *maḥfūz* or *guarded*, for which the explanation is given "that the Qur'ān is protected against change and alteration" (R.). The meaning conveyed in *lauḥ maḥfūz* is therefore exactly the same as is elsewhere stated about the Holy Qur'ān: "Surely We have revealed the Reminder and We will surely be its guardian" (15 : 9). The significance in both cases is that no alteration shall find a way into the text of the Holy Qur'ān, and that it shall be preserved in full purity. So far as the Holy Qur'ān is concerned, there is no mention in it of a *lauḥ maḥfūz* in which the decrees of God are written. Rāghib says that "the nature of it has not been disclosed to us" (R.). One thing is clear that God's writing is not of the same nature as man's writing; for man stands in need of pen, ink, and writing material, while God does not. This point has elsewhere been explained in connection with the Divine attributes, where it has been shown that though speaking, seeing, hearing and other deeds are ascribed to God, yet the nature of these deeds is quite different from that of man's deeds, for God does not stand in need of means for the doing of an act, while man does. The writing of God therefore does not stand in need of a tablet or ink or pen, and if a *lauḥ maḥfūz* is spoken of in certain ḥadīth, it stands only for the great and all-comprehensive knowledge of God, before which everything is as clear as a writing before man.

A very great misconception regarding the teachings of the Holy Qur'ān is that it ascribes to God the attribute of leading astray. Nothing could be farther from truth. While *al-Hādī*, or the *One Who guides*, is one of the ninety-nine

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

names of Allāh, as accepted by all Muslims, *al-Mudẓill*, or *the One Who leads astray*, has never been recognized as such. If *leading astray* were an attribute of God, as *guiding* certainly is, the name *al-Mudẓill* should have been included in the list of His names, as *al-Hādī* is. But the Holy Qur'an, which repeatedly says that God's are all the excellent names, could not ascribe to Him what it has plainly ascribed to the Devil, *viz.* the leading astray of men. This fact is conclusive so far as the leading astray of men is concerned, but there are several other considerations which confirm it. The sinners' own confession, as repeatedly mentioned in the Holy Qur'an, is that their great leaders misled them, or that the Devil misled them. Not once do they put forward the excuse that it was God Himself Who misled them :

"When they have all come up with one another into it (the fire), the last of them shall say with regard to the foremost of them, Our Lord ! these led us astray, so give them a double chastisement of the fire " (7 : 38).

"O woe is me ! would that I had not taken such a one for a friend ! Certainly he led me astray from the reminder after it had come to me " (25 : 28-29).

"And none but the guilty led us astray " (26 : 99).

"And they shall say, Our Lord ! surely we obeyed our leaders and our great men, so they led us astray from the path. O our Lord ! give them a double chastisement and curse them with a great curse " (33 : 67, 68).

"And those who disbelieve shall say, Our Lord ! show us those who led us astray from among the jinn and the men that we may trample them under our feet so that they may be of the lowest " (41 : 29).

Now if God had really led men astray, their best excuse on the Day of Judgment would have been that they did not deserve to be punished, because it was God Himself Who led them astray. But not once is that

QADAR OR TAQDİR

excuse advanced, and it is always the guilty leaders, both from among men and jinn, who are denounced by the guilty followers as having misled them. This is another conclusive argument that it is not God Who leads men astray.

In the third place, the Holy Qur'an is full of statements to the effect that God sends His prophets and grants revelation for the guidance of the people. The general rule laid down with regard to Divine dealing with humanity is thus made clear in the very beginning : " Surely there will come to you a guidance from Me, so whoever follows My guidance, no fear shall come upon them, nor shall they grieve." (2 : 38).

It is impossible that God, Who is so solicitous for the guidance of man, should Himself lead him astray. Guiding and leading astray are two contradictions which could not be gathered together in one being. The Holy Qur'an itself draws attention to this point : " And it is not attributable to Allāh that He should lead people astray after He has sent them a guidance ; He even makes clear to them what they should guard against " (9 : 115). The argument is evident. The Being Who sends guidance to a people could not lead them astray ; how, then, could it be ascribed to God that He makes men fall into evil when He sends His messengers to explain to people that they should guard against evil ?

The mistaken idea that God leads people astray arises out of a misconception of the meaning of the word *idzāl* when it is ascribed to God. The word *idzāl* carries a variety of meanings besides *leading astray*. It should be noted that wherever *idzāl* is attributed to God, it is only in connection with the transgressors (2 : 26), the unjust (14 : 27), and the extravagant (40 : 34), not the people generally. *Idzāl* is the causative form of *dzāl*, which

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

means " *swerving from the straight path*, and the word is applied to every swerving from the right path whether it is intentional or unintentional, and whether it is very small or very great ; wherefore it may be used of him who commits any mistake whatever " (R.). According to the same authority, *idzlāl* is of two kinds. The first kind is that in which *dzlāl* (or going astray) is the cause of *idzlāl*. This again may be in two ways : (1) when a thing has itself gone astray from you, as you say, *adzaltu-l-ba'ira*, the meaning of which is, *I lost the camel*, not *I led astray the camel*, which is not true in this case ; and (2) when you judge a person to be in error or going astray ; and in both these cases *going astray* on the part of the object of *idzlāl* is the cause of *idzlāl* or leading astray. The second kind is that in which *idzlāl* or *leading astray* is the cause of the going astray of the object of *idzlāl*, and it is in this way that you embellish evil to a man so that he may fall into it " (R.). The word, as used in the Holy Qur'ān, means *judging* or *finding one to be in error*. This was a recognized use of the word among the Arabs. Thus in a verse of Ṭarfa, the words *adzallanī ṣadiqī* mean *my friend judged me to be in error* (L.A.). And in a ḥadīth it is said that the Holy Prophet came to a people *fa-adzalla-hum*, i.e., *he found them adopting a wrong course, not following the true path* (N.). Ibn Athīr gives further examples showing that *adzalla-hū* means *he found him in error* just as *aḥmadtu-hū* means *I found him in a praiseworthy condition*, and *abkhaltu-hū* means *I found him a niggard* (N.). In fact, this sense of the word is recognized by all lexicologists. Explaining *adzalla-hū*, Lane says : " And *he found him to be erring, straying* like as one says *aḥmada-hū* and *abkhala-hū* " (L.L.), and this explanation is quoted from the *Taj al-'Arūs*.

Hence, since *idzlāl* cannot be applied to God in the

QADAR OR TAQDİR

sense of *leading astray*, and since it is always the transgressors and the extravagant whose *idzāl* by God is spoken of, the only significance that can be attached to that word, in this case, is God's judging them to be in error or finding them in error, or in some cases, God's bringing them to destruction, which is also an approved significance.

Another misconception which must be removed in this connection is that relating to God's sealing of hearts God's setting seals on hearts. The misconception in this case is that it is thought that God has created some men with seals on their hearts, while others have been created with free and open hearts. No trace of any such distinction is met with anywhere either in the Holy Qur'ān or in Ḥadīth. All men are created sinless, all men are created pure, that is the express teaching of Islām. The Holy Qur'ān says: "Then set thy face upright for religion in the right state—the nature (*fiṭra*) made by Allāh in which He has made all men, there is no altering of Allāh's creation; that is the right religion" (30 : 30). According to this verse all men have been created in pure nature, and a ḥadīth of the Holy Prophet, which is really an explanation of this verse, says: "Every child that is born conforms to *fiṭra* (human nature), and it is his parents who make him a Jew or a Christian or a Magian" (Bu. 23 : 80). The idea that some men are born with a seal on their hearts is directly opposed to this teaching. The Holy Qur'ān does speak of God setting seals on some hearts, but it says expressly that seals are set on the hearts of the reprobate, the hardened sinners who pay no heed to the call of the Prophet. In the very beginning of the Holy Qur'ān, it is stated: "Those who disbelieve, it being alike to them whether thou warn them or do not warn

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

them, will not believe. Allāh has set a seal upon their hearts and upon their hearing, and there is a covering over their eyes " (2 : 6, 7). It should be noted that, though the setting of a seal is spoken of here, yet it is in connection with those who have so hardened their hearts that they do not pay any heed to the Prophet's warning. They refuse to open their hearts to receive the truth, and do not lend their ears to listen to it, nor use their eyes to discern the truth from falsehood. As is elsewhere stated : " They have hearts but they do not (try to) understand with them, and they have eyes but they do not (try to) see with them, and they have ears but do not (try to) hear with them; they are as cattle " (7 : 179). And again, they are made to say : " Our hearts are under coverings from that to which thou callest us, and there is a heaviness in our ears, and a veil hangs between us and thee " (41 : 5). It is always the reprobate whose heart is said to be sealed : " Thus does Allāh set a seal over the heart of every proud, haughty one " (40 : 35). The fact that the cause of the seal is the sinner's own act of not heeding the warning, is made clear on another occasion also : " And there are those of them who seek to listen to thee, until when they go forth from thee, they say to those who have been given the knowledge, What was it that he said just now? These are they upon whose hearts Allāh has set a seal and they follow their low desires " (47 : 16).

All these verses show that God sets a seal upon the hearts of certain people as a result of their own actions. They do not listen to the Prophet's call, they give no heed to his warning, they do not try to understand what he says, and the result is that God sets a seal upon their hearts. If a person closes upon himself the doors of his house, he will naturally be in darkness. Just in the same manner, those who themselves close the

QADAR OR TAQDIR

doors of their hearts are visited with the natural consequence of this, the setting of a seal. The seal, therefore, being the consequence of a man's own deeds, has nothing to do with the doctrine of predestination.

I will now take some of the ḥadīth from which predestination is concluded, but I would warn the reader that Ḥadīth must be read subject to the broad principles established in the Holy Qur'ān, and must be so interpreted as not to clash with the Book of God, and that in case of a clash it is the ḥadīth that must be rejected; for the words of a ḥadīth are often the words of narrators, and in such metaphysical subjects there has been a good deal of mixing up of the ideas of the narrators through a long chain of transmitters. There is a great deal of difference between ḥadīth relating to the rules and regulations of daily life, which every man could easily understand and retain in memory, and ḥadīth relating to metaphysical subjects where the ideas of the transmitters would sometimes quite unintentionally and sometimes on account of not clearly understanding the real concept of the words, affect the narration of the ḥadīth, and where the change of a single word may sometimes change the underlying idea entirely.

Bearing this in mind we may consider the ḥadīth narrated in the Book of Qadar in *Bukhārī*, but first I would take a ḥadīth which, though not accredited by the best Ḥadīth authorities, is the stock argument of Western writers regarding predestination in Islām. This ḥadīth occurs in several different forms in *Abū Dawūd*, *Tirmidhī* and *Aḥmad*, and the gist of it is that when God created Adam, He also brought forth the souls of his children. The particular form of this ḥadīth, which appeals to the Western writers, is that occurring in one report of Aḥmad: "He said to the souls on His right hand, To Paradise

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and I do not care; and He said to those on His left hand, To the fire and I do not care" (MM. 1 : 4—*iii*). This ḥadīth discloses such a distorted picture of Divine dealing with man that there should not be the least hesitation in its rejection. The Holy Qur'ān says in plain words that it is for mercy that He created all men (11 : 119); it speaks of the Divine mercy as encompassing all things, like His knowledge (40 : 7); it tells the most obdurate sinner not to despair of His mercy, for "Allāh forgives the sins altogether" (39 : 53); it describes God again and again as the most Merciful of all merciful ones (7 : 151 ; 12 : 64, 92 ; 21 : 83 ; 23 : 109, 118). Ḥadīth draws a similar picture of the indescribable mercy of God. It tells us that God wrote down, when He ordered creation, that "His mercy shall take precedence of His displeasure" (Bu. 59 : 1); it describes God as having divided His mercy into a hundred parts and as having sent into the world only one part, the whole of love finding expression in the created beings, including the love of a mother for her offspring, being a manifestation of that hundredth part, and the other ninety-nine parts finding their expression on the Day of Resurrection, so that if the unbeliever knew of the whole of Divine mercy, he would not despair of going to Paradise (Bu. 81 : 19 ; 78 : 19 ; M. 49 : 4); it draws a picture of the unbounded mercy of God when it speaks of the Holy Prophet seeing a mother pressing her child to her bosom and remarking to his Companions, "Do you think that she can throw this child into the fire?" and on their replying in the negative, added "God is much more merciful to His creatures than this woman to her child" (Bu. 78 : 18). Could God with all this mercy, which is beyond human conception, be in the same breath described as saying : "These to fire and I do not care?" Certainly these cannot be the words of the Holy Prophet. It is the error of some narrator in the long chain of the

transmission of the ḥadīth.

In another form the same ḥadīth occurs as an explanation of a verse of the Holy Qur'ān. This ḥadīth runs as follows: "Allāh created Adam and then He touched his back with His right hand and brought forth from it children, and said, These I have created for Paradise and they will do the works of the inmates of Paradise; again He touched his back and brought forth children and said, These I have created for the fire and they will do the works of the inmates of the fire" (MM. 1 : 4—ii). If we read the verse of which this ḥadīth is said to be an explanation, we shall find that it has nothing in common with the ḥadīth except the idea of bringing forth offspring. The verse in question runs thus: "And when thy Lord brought forth from the children of Adam, from their backs, their descendants, and made them bear witness against their own souls: Am I not your Lord? They said, Yes! we bear witness" (7 : 172). It will be seen that the ḥadīth contains no explanation of the verse at all. And further, it is stated here that God declared the other portion to be for the fire because they would do the works of the inmates of fire. It does not mean that, in creating men, God had decreed one portion for Paradise and another for the fire. It denotes only the all-comprehending knowledge of God. But the verse itself speaks of something quite different, and therefore there is, again, not the least doubt that this ḥadīth is not an explanation of the Quranic verse.

The real explanation of the verse occurs in another ḥadīth, and undoubtedly there was some misunderstanding on the part of some narrator, which misled him into giving an explanation having nothing in common with the original, and indeed quite opposed to the Quranic text, of which it is alleged to be an explanation. Quoting the verse, Ubayy ibn Ka'b explains it thus: "He gathered them

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and made them pairs, gave them forms and made them speak so that they talked. Then He took a promise and agreement from them and made them bear witness against their souls, saying, Am I not your Lord ? They said, Yes. He said, I call to witness against you the seven heavens and the seven earths, and I call to witness against you your father Adam, lest you say on the Day of Resurrection, We did not know this. Know that there is no God but I, and there is no Lord but I, and do not associate anything with Me ; I will surely send to you My apostles who will remind you of this My promise and this My covenant, and I will reveal to you My books. They said, We bear witness that Thou art our Lord and our God ; we have no Lord besides Thee and we have no God besides Thee ” (MM. 1 : 4-*iii*).

If we take the verse itself, we find it so clear that not only does it need no explanation, but it even removes the obscurity of the so-called explanations ; for it speaks plainly of the bringing forth of the children, not from the back of Adam, but from the backs of “ the children of Adam ”. The verse, therefore, clearly refers to every human being as he comes into existence, and the evidence is that which is afforded by human nature itself, that God is its Creator. It thus lays down the principle that every human child is born into this world with the right impress, the impress that it must bow down to God. This is what is said elsewhere too : “ Then set thy face upright for religion in the right state—the nature made by Allāh in which He has made all men ; there is no altering of Allāh’s creation ; that is the right religion, but most people do not know ” (30 : 30). Both these verses announce in clear words that every human child is born into the world in a pure state ; none comes into life with the impress of hell on it. Human nature is so made that it is not compelled to follow the evil course.

It is free from every taint. Even Ḥadīth states that "every child is born in the *fiṭra*" (the right state or the condition of Islām), and that it is his parents who later on "make him a Jew or a Christian or a Magian" (Bu. 23 : 80, 93). So every child is born a Muslim, and if he is initiated into a wrong religion or follows a wrong course, it is the action of his parents or his own action. Thus both the Holy Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth cut at the root of the doctrine of predestination.

It is quite in consonance with this principle that Islām recognizes that all children, whether born of believing or unbelieving parents, go to Paradise if they die before attaining to the age of discretion. Even if this had not been expressly stated, it would have been a foregone conclusion of the principle laid down above on the basis of the Holy Qur'ān and the ḥadīth that every child is born with a pure nature, a Muslim. But there is a clear ḥadīth to that effect. It is related that the Holy Prophet saw in a vision an old man at the foot of a large tree and around him were children, and in the vision he was told that the old man was Abraham and the children that were around him were the children who died '*ala-l-fiṭra, i.e., before attaining to the age of discretion*'. "At this some of the Muslims asked him: And the children of polytheists, too, O Messenger of Allāh!" The Prophet replied: "The children of polytheists as well" (Bu. 91 : 48). Being with Abraham clearly meant being in Paradise; and according to the express words of the Holy Prophet, they included the children of polytheists, to say nothing of the children of the people of the Book. According to another ḥadīth, when the Holy Prophet was questioned about the children of the polytheists, he is reported to have said: "When Allāh created them, He knew what they would do" (Bu. 23 : 93). These words have been variously interpreted,

but it would be wrong to give them a significance contradicting the plain words of the first ḥadīth. At any rate, it does not mean that God knew what they would do after attaining to majority, as it is against facts. The fact is that God knew that they would die before attaining to majority, and this exactly seems to be the meaning of the words, as the *Faḥ al-Bārī* has explained. God knew that they would die in the condition in which they were born, *i.e.*, the condition of Islām, because He knew that they would not attain to the age of discretion, when they would be able to judge between right and wrong and adopt the one course or the other.

It would be difficult to consider here all the ḥadīth relating to qadar. I will therefore take only *Bukhārī*, the most reliable collection of Ḥadīth. In the first place, *Bukhārī* does not relate a single ḥadīth speaking of faith in qadar, and thus the question that faith in qadar is one of the fundamentals of Islām is disposed of, for faith in qadar is unknown both to the Holy Qur'ān and to the most reliable collection of Ḥadīth. Next I would take the various ḥadīth which *Bukhārī* has related in his *Jāmi'* in book 82, called *Qadar*. Not a single ḥadīth related in this chapter lends any support to the theory that a good or an evil course has been chalked out beforehand and is forced upon man. The ḥadīth related here, as well as in other collections, generally speak either of the Divine knowledge of things or of the Divine command prevailing over all. The most well-known ḥadīth from which predestination is concluded is that speaking of an angel being in charge of the embryo. This ḥadīth relates that "an angel is sent to the embryo, and he is commanded with four things; his sustenance and his term of life and whether he is unhappy or happy" (Bu. 82 : 1). The same ḥadīth occurring elsewhere is in the following words : "Then an angel is sent and he is commanded

with four words. It is said to him, Write down his actions and his sustenance and his term of life and whether he is unhappy or happy" (Bu. 59 : 6). In the first place, this ḥadīth cuts at the root of predestination, for if everything has already been written down in a Divine decree, why should an angel be sent at the time of birth to write down these things? As regards the writing of actions in the state of embryo, there seems to be a clear mistake; for the Holy Qur'ān plainly speaks of angels writing down the deeds when they are done, and in this connection not one, but two angels are spoken of: "When the two receivers receive, sitting on the right and the left" (50 : 17); "And surely there are keepers over you, honourable recorders, they know what you do" (82 : 10-12). But that an angel is sent in the state of embryo seems to mean that the Divine knowledge of things is all-comprehensive, so much so that He knows all about a man even in the embryonic state. The angel's record, as already shown, does not mean actually writing down in a book; it is only expressive of Divine knowledge. As the properties of the seed are all in the seed, so even the embryo shows what the man will develop into. No human eye can see these hidden potentialities; but nothing is hidden from God.

Another ḥadīth bearing on the subject is that which speaks of Adam's argument with Moses. Moses is reported to have said to Adam that it was his own fault that caused him to get out of the garden, to which Adam replied: "Dost thou blame me for a matter which had been ordained for me before I was created" (Bu. 60 : 31). It is added in the report that Adam prevailed over Moses in argument. A reference to the Holy Qur'ān will show that Adam's fault was not really the cause of his children living in a particular state, for it is after forgiveness of Adam's fault that mankind are told to live

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

in that particular state, the state of *hubūt* as it is called, which is the state of struggle with the devil. It is not actually a *fall*, though there is in it the liability to fall, but there is also along with it the chance to conquer, and to subjugate the devil and thus rise to perfection. Man could be placed in one of the two conditions. He could be made to live either in a state in which there would be no struggle, but then there would be also no chance to conquer, no hope of rising to great spiritual heights, or he could be placed in a state of struggle in which there is the liability to fall and the chance to conquer and rise to greatness. This latter condition is called the state of *hubūt*¹ in the Holy Qur'ān. Adam was no doubt placed in a garden and he could be spoken of as going forth from it, but his posterity was never placed in that garden and therefore they could not be spoken of as going forth from it. No one can be spoken of as going forth from a condition or a place in which he has never been. Therefore the *hubūt* is quite different from getting out of the garden, and it is after forgiveness even of Adam's fault that the *hubūt* of mankind is spoken of. Thus 2 : 36 speaks of Adam's fault ; 2 : 37 speaks of the fault being forgiven, and 2 : 38 speaks of the *hubūt* of Adam's children. I quote the last two : "And Adam received some words from his Lord, so He turned to him mercifully, for

1. The word *hubūt* has the same meaning as *nuzūl* (T A.) which means *alighting* in a particular place or a particular condition, there being this difference that in *nuzūl* there is the idea of an honourable entry into a state (R.). In the Holy Qur'ān, *hubūt* is used always in connection with Adam and his progeny living in a particular state, except on one occasion where it is used for the Israelites in the simple sense of alighting in a city or living in a settled state and resorting to agriculture. The Israelites asked Moses to pray to God that they may have "what the earth grows," "its herbs and its cucumbers" etc, and the reply is: "Enter (*ahbiṭū* from *habṭ*) a city, so you will have what you ask for" (2 : 61). It will be seen that the word *habṭ* or *hubūt* is used here simply in the sense of entering or alighting in a place or a condition, without any idea of fall or disgrace being attached to it.

QADAR OR TAQDIR

He is the Oft-returning to mercy, the Merciful. We said, Go forth (*ihbiṭu*) from this all, so surely there will come to you a guidance from Me, then whoever follows My guidance, no fear shall come upon them nor shall they grieve " (2 : 37, 38). Elsewhere also : " Then his Lord chose him, so He turned to him mercifully and guided him. He said, Get forth you two therefrom, all (of you), one of you is an enemy to another : So there will surely come to you guidance from Me, then whoever follows My guidance, he shall not go astray, nor be unhappy " (20 : 122, 123). Thus Adam's reply to Moses was that it was not due to his fault that men had to live in a state of struggle with the devil, for such was the Divine scheme even before he was born. '

I will not go into details of the rest of the ḥadīth of Bukhārī. Many of these ḥadīth are wrongly interpreted. For example, in one ḥadīth it is said that when the Holy Prophet was questioned about the children of polytheists who died before attaining to the age of discretion, his reply was : " Allāh knows best what they would do " (Bu. 82 : 3). These words are misinterpreted as meaning that since God knew that after attaining to the age of majority they would be polytheists, therefore they would go to Hell. This significance is contrary to that of the very next ḥadīth, which says that all children who die before attaining majority are in Abraham's lap. The meaning of the words quoted is simply that Allāh, knowing that they would die in infancy and would not be guilty of any sin, would deal with them accordingly. Another ḥadīth tells us of the death of a grandson of the Holy Prophet, and of the Holy Prophet's comforting the child's mother with the words : " Allāh's is what He takes away and Allāh's is what He gives ; every one has a term of life, so let her be patient " (Bu. 82 : 4). This ḥadīth makes no mention at all of any decree of good and

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

evil deeds. It speaks of a term of life, for every person in God's knowledge has a term of life.

Many other ḥadīth of a similar nature are wrongly supposed to lend support to the doctrine of predestination. I will mention only one more. The Holy Prophet is reported to have remarked in a certain company that there was not a man but his place in fire or in Paradise was written down. Thereupon a man said : " Shall we not rely then (and give up the doing of deeds), O Messenger of Allāh ? " The Prophet said : " No : do work, for to every one it is made easy " (Bu. 82 : 4); and then he recited the following verse : " Then as for him who gives in charity and guards against evil and accepts the good word, We will facilitate for him the easy end. And as for him who is niggardly and considers himself free from need (of Allāh) and rejects the good word, We will facilitate for him the difficult end " (92 : 5-10). Now if any conclusion of predestination could be drawn from the words of the ḥadīth, the verses quoted by the Holy Prophet, in support of what he said, negative any such conclusion, for they plainly speak of two different ends for two different kinds of workers. The words of the Holy Prophet himself lead to the same conclusion, for he laid stress on works. Nor do his concluding words—to every one it is made easy—lead to any other conclusion, for the meaning is that to the worker of good, the good end, and to the worker of evil, the evil end, is made easy, as plainly stated in the verses quoted in support of his assertion.

We come now to the real question. It has been shown, firstly that though the Holy Faith in qadar finds no place in the Qur'ān and *Bukhārī*. Qur'ān speaks of qadar or taqdīr, these words by no means carry the significance of predestination or of a decree of good and evil for man; secondly, that the qadar or taqdīr of which the

QADAR OR TAQDİR

Holy Book speaks is of a general nature, a law prevailing in the whole of the universe, a limitation under which the whole of creation is moving onward, and that therefore qadar or taqdīr has nothing to do with the good and evil deeds which are special to man; thirdly, that there is, in the Holy Qur'ān or in the most reliable ḥadīth, no mention at all of imān or faith in qadar or taqdīr; and fourthly, that it is never mentioned as one of the fundamentals of religion like faith in God and His angels and His books and His apostles and a life after death. Qadar or taqdīr is spoken of simply as a Divine law prevailing in the universe, like many other laws, and no question concerning faith in them arises. It must also be clearly understood that the fundamentals of religion are all fully explained in the Holy Qur'ān itself; and a thing cannot be accepted as a fundamental of Islām of which there is no mention in the Holy Book. Ḥadīth is only a secondary source of the religion of Islām and, as a matter of fact, it deals only with secondary matters of religion or its details. The great principles, the basic doctrines, must all be sought from the Holy Qur'ān, which neither mentions qadar among the fundamentals of Islām, nor even speaks of a faith in qadar. It is only in Ḥadīth that we find mention of qadar, and even here the most reliable of all Ḥadīth collections, the *Bukhārī*, does not contain any ḥadīth mentioning faith in qadar as an article of faith. Thus to both the Holy Qur'ān and the *Bukhārī*, faith in qadar is unknown, and therefore to speak of it as a fundamental of Islām is a mistake.

There is indeed one ḥadīth which shows that faith in

Faith in qadar is a qadar is of later growth. In his second doctrine of later growth. book, the book of *Faith*, *Bukhārī* relates the following ḥadīth from Abū Huraira: "The Holy Prophet was one day sitting outside among a number of people when there came to him a man and said, What is

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

faith? The Holy Prophet replied, Faith is this that thou believe in Allāh and His angels and the meeting with Him, and His messengers, and that thou believe in life after death" (Bu. 2 : 37). The ḥadīth is a lengthy one and I have quoted only the first portion which relates to the subject of discussion. Now this same ḥadīth is also related in *Muslim* through three different channels. In the first channel, the four narrators are the same as in *Bukhārī*, and the words of the ḥadīth are also almost the same: "The Holy Prophet was one day sitting outside among a number of people when there came to him a man and said, What is faith, O Messenger of Allāh! The Holy Prophet replied, That thou believe in Allāh and His angels and His book and the meeting with Him, and His messengers, and that thou believe in the life after death" (M. 1 : 1). In his second channel, the first three narrators are again the same as in *Bukhārī*, and the ḥadīth is narrated in the words quoted above. In his third channel, only the first two narrators are the same, the rest being different, and a change is introduced into the words of the ḥadīth, the portion relating to the Prophet's reply now assuming the following form: "That thou believe in Allāh and His angels and His book and the meeting with Him, and His messengers, and that thou believe in the life after death and that thou believe in qadar, in the whole of it" (M. 1 : 1). It will be noticed that when the narrators are the same as in *Bukhārī* (with the exception of the last narrator from whom Muslim took his words), the words of the ḥadīth are almost the same, there being only an addition of the words "and His book." These words have either been added by one of Muslim's narrators, as the natural result of faith in messengers of God, or they have been left out by one of Bukhārī's narrators, as being included in faith in the Divine messengers. Otherwise, the fundamentals of faith

QADAR OR TAQDIR

are exactly the same and so even the words in both narrations. Even when Muslim has only Bukhārī's three top narrators, the words of the ḥadīth are still the same. But in the third channel, where only two top narrators of Bukhārī, Abū Huraira and Abū Zar'a, are retained, the ḥadīth is changed, and quite a new element is introduced into it by the addition of *faith in qadar*, which the original does not contain. This shows beyond the shadow of a doubt that the words "faith in qadar" were added by the third narrator, and that these words were not spoken either by Abū Huraira or even by the next narrator, Abū Zar'a, and thus there remains not the least doubt that the inclusion of faith in qadar among the fundamentals of faith, is an addition of about the end of the first century of Hijra. There is no doubt that discussions about qadar arose later, and it was during these discussions that, through inadvertence or otherwise, some narrator put these words into the mouth of Abū Huraira.

The same ḥadīth has again been narrated by Muslim through quite a different channel, with an introductory note from the last narrator Yaḥyā ibn Ya'mar, as follows: "The first man who held the view of qadar in Baṣra was Ma'bad al Juhanī, so I and Ḥumaid ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān went out on a pilgrimage, and we said that if we meet any Companion of the Holy Prophet, we will question him about what these persons say regarding qadar, and it was granted to us to meet 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Umar entering the mosque" (M. 1 : 1). The note then goes on to say that the narrator asked 'Abd-Allāh "about people who say there is no qadar¹ and that the affair begins just

1. These people are here spoken of as denying qadar, but the name given to them by later theologians is Qadariya which would mean "upholders of qadar." Hence it was that the Mu'tazila, who later on became the upholders of this theory, argued that the name Qadariya could not be applied to them but to the upholders of the doctrine of qadar. The orthodox argument on the other hand was that the Mu'tazila, or their

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

now." Then the same ḥadīth is related in different words, and the part of it under discussion runs thus : "That thou believe in Allāh and His angels and His books and His messengers and the last day and that thou believe in the qadar, the good of it and the evil of it." It will be noticed that the words "meeting with Him (*liqā'i-hī*)" are omitted in this ḥadīth, while to the belief in the qadar of Abū Huraira's ḥadīth are added the words *khairi-hī wa sharri-hī* i.e., *the good of it and the evil of it*. The introductory note is too clear. Discussions were being carried on relating to qadar, and a party had arisen which entirely denied qadar. 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Umar lived till the 73rd year of the Hijra, and on being questioned about the matter, he is alleged not only to have upheld qadar, but also to have related a ḥadīth which mentioned belief in qadar as one of the fundamentals of Islām. Bukhārī has not accepted this ḥadīth, while Muslim, granting the correctness of Bukhārī's ḥadīth, which does not make any mention of qadar, has shown that Ibn 'Umar's ḥadīth cannot be relied upon, and probably anxiety to silence opponents had led to indiscretion on the part of some controversialist.

It is difficult to say what meaning faith in qadar carried. The words occurring in one ḥadīth are "that thou believe in qadar, in the whole of it," and in a second, "that thou believe in qadar, in the good of it and the evil of it." A third version, "that thou believe in qadar in the good of it and the evil of it, being from Allāh," which is ordinarily

predecessors, who questioned the qadar of God, set up a rival qadar of man, inasmuch as they believed that man was the creator of his own deeds. But perhaps the word qadar was used by these disputants in the sense of *qudra*, i. e., *power*, and the two contending parties had gone to two extremes, those who upheld the absolute power of God, refusing that man had any free choice, and those who upheld the theory of the absolute power of man over his deeds. The truth lies midway between these two extreme views.

QADAR OR TARDİR

met with in the books on '*aqāid*, I have not been able to trace to any *ḥadīth*. It is very probable that the latter two additions were even later than the first formulation of belief in qadar. If we take the word qadar in the original sense in which it is used in the Holy Qur'ān, a belief "in qadar, in the whole of it" would only mean that one must believe that everything in this universe is subject to a law and under a limitation, God alone being the Controller of all. If we take the next form, "that thou believe in qadar, in the good of it and the evil of it," "the good and the evil" does not refer to the good or evil deeds of man, but to the good or bad circumstances under which man is placed to work out his destiny. The original words *khair* and *sharr* have been freely used in the Holy Qur'ān in this sense. I give a few examples: "Surely man is created of a hasty temperament, being greatly grieved when evil (*sharr*) afflicts him, and niggardly when good (*khair*) befalls him" (70 : 19-21); "And man prays for evil *sharr* as he ought to pray for good (*khair*) and man is ever hasty" (17 : 11); "And if Allāh should hasten the evil (*sharr*) to men as they desire the hastening on of good (*khair*), their doom should certainly have been decreed for them" (10 : 11); "Every soul must taste of death, and We try you by evil (*sharr*) and good (*khair*) by way of probation" (21 : 35). In fact, *khair* is anything which brings good and its opposite is *sharr* (R.) ; and *khair* or *sharr* means *doing good* or *doing evil* only when a word meaning *doing* is added to it, the equivalent for which is '*amal*. The good of qadar and the evil of qadar, therefore, mean only whatever of good fortune or evil fortune comes to man. The meaning would therefore be that whatever of good or evil fortune comes to man, it must be accepted as coming from God ; in other words a man must completely surrender himself to the Divine

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

will under all circumstances. This, as already shown, is one of the great lessons of life which has been taught to the Muslim.

The first man who formulated the orthodox views which are accepted by the general body of Muslims was Imām Abu-l-
Ash'ari's view.
 Ḥasan Ash'arī, after whom his followers are known as Ashā'ira, and he plainly states this to be the significance of a faith in qadar; for, speaking of the beliefs of Ahl Sunna and the followers of Ḥadīth, he says: "And that good (*khair*) and evil (*sharr*) are by the qadzā' of Allāh and by His qadar, and they believe in the qadzā' of Allāh and His qadar, in the good of it and the evil of it, the sweet of it and the bitter of it, and that they do not control for themselves any profit or any loss" (MI. p. 292). Evidently the words "sweet" and "bitter" (*ḥuluwwi-hī wa murri-hī*) and the words "profit" and "loss" are added to explain that by good and evil, *khair* and *sharr*, are meant good fortune and evil fortune, ease and hardship, not good and evil deeds done by man. This contentment under all conditions is, as already shown, one of the great lessons of life taught to a Muslim, but it is neither a doctrine nor a principle of faith.

This much is certain that belief in qadar does not mean belief in predestination; for predestination, for which the Arabic word is *jabr*, has never been the belief of the Muslim community. The Jabariya, or believers in predestination, have, on the other hand, been recognized as a heretical sect. A strict predestinarian, who believes that man has no control at all over his actions, would deny the very basic principle of religion, that is, the responsibility of man for his actions. The orthodox position has always been the middle one. Man has a free will, but that will is exercised under certain limitations. It is only the Divine will that can be called

QADAR OR TAQDIR

an absolutely free will, a will under no limitations, but everything created, and therefore everything human, is subject to qadar, to a Divine measure of things, to limitations imposed upon it by a Higher controlling Power. Man is the possessor neither of absolute knowledge, nor of absolute power, nor yet of absolute will. All these attributes belong properly to God. Human knowledge, human power and human will are all subject to limitations, and these limitations are placed upon man by the Divine measure which is called qadar. It is only in this sense that a Muslim can be said to have faith in qadar.

THIRD PART

LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF ISLAM

CHAPTER I

PRAYER

Sec. 1.—Value of Prayer

The fundamental religious duties recognized by Islām are five, *viz.*, prayer, zakāt or poor-rate, fasting, pilgrimage and jihād, but while jihād is a national duty, the first four are, more or less, individual duties, though having an important national value. Among these four, prayer undoubtedly occupies the most important position, and is given the greatest prominence in the Holy Qur'ān; poor-rate coming next to it.

The importance of prayer may be judged from the following facts—that it was the first duty enjoined on the Holy Prophet; that, though prayer and zakāt are often mentioned together in the Holy Qur'ān, prayer always takes precedence; and that the keeping up of prayer is the most frequently repeated injunction of the Holy Qur'ān. It has also been generally recognized as the first and foremost duty of a Muslim. There are several reasons why prayer has been given this importance. It is really the first step in the onward progress of man, and yet it is also his *mi'rāj*, his highest spiritual ascent. Prayer keeps man away from evil, and thus enables him to attain to his perfection. It helps him to realize the Divine in him, and that realization not only urges him to do disinterested service for humanity but also makes him attain the highest degree of moral and spiritual perfection. Prayer is also the means of levelling all differences of rank, colour and nationality, and the means of bringing about a cohesion and unity among men which is the necessary basis of a living civilization.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Reference to the beginning of the Holy Qur'ān will

Self-development show what prayer really aims at. through prayer.

There we are told that a Muslim, who would tread the road to self-development, must accept certain principles and carry out certain duties: "This Book, there is no doubt in it, is a guide to those who guard against evil, those who believe in the Unseen and keep up prayer and spend out of what We have given them, and those who believe what has been revealed to thee and what has been revealed before thee; and of the Hereafter they are sure. These are on a right course from their Lord, and these it is that shall attain to full self-development (*muflīḥūn*)" (2:2-5). *Muflīḥūn* is the plural of *muflīḥ* which is derived from the root *falḥ* meaning *cleaving asunder a thing*. *Falāḥ*, the infinitive form of *muflīḥ*, means *success and complete attainment of what is desired* (R.). The same authority says that *falāḥ* is of two kinds, one relating to this life and the other relating to the next. The former stands for the attainment of those good things whereby the life of this world is made good; and these are *baqā* (*existence*), *ghinā* (*freedom from want, i.e., wealth*) and *'izz* (*honour*). The *falāḥ* relating to the next life includes, according to Rāghib, four things, that is to say, life with which there is no death, wealth with which there is no want, honour with which there is no disgrace, and knowledge with which there is no ignorance. Thus *falāḥ*, whether relating to this life or to the next, carries with it the idea of the complete development of the inner faculties of man, the attaining to both material and moral greatness; what, in other words, may be called the full self-development of man. This self-development is reached, according to the Holy Qur'ān, by the acceptance of three principles, the existence of God, His revealing Himself to man, and the Hereafter; and by the exercise

PRAYER

of two duties, the keeping up of prayer or seeking communion with God, and the spending of one's wealth for others or the service of humanity. The place of prayer in the self-development of man is given such a prominence in Islām that in the call to prayer the words "come to prayer" are immediately followed by the words "come to *ḥalāl*," thus showing that self-development is attained through prayer. And on another occasion, the Holy Qur'ān says plainly: "Successful indeed are the believers, who are humble in their prayers" (23:1, 2), where the word used for *being successful* is *aflaḥa*, carrying the signification of attaining to full self-development.

A belief in God is the fundamental principle of every religion; nevertheless the object of religion is not simply to preach the doctrine of the existence of God as a theory; it goes far beyond that. Religion seeks to instil the conviction that *God is*, a living force in the life of man; and prayer is the means by which it is sought to achieve this great end. The real conviction that *God is*, comes to man, not by the belief that there is a God in the outer world, but by the realization of the Divine within himself; and that this realization is attained through prayer is made clear by what is stated in the beginning of the Holy Qur'ān, as quoted above. The three requisites of a true Muslim are there given in their natural order. The first is a belief in the Unseen, which means a belief in God, the great *Unseen* Who cannot be perceived by the physical eye of man. The second, which follows immediately the belief in the Unseen, is the keeping up of prayer, thus showing that belief in the Unseen is turned into a certainty of the Divine existence, a realization of the Divine within the man, by means of prayer; and it is with reference to this realization that

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

we are told, a little further on: "And seek assistance through patience and prayer, and surely it is a hard thing except for the praying ones, who know that they shall meet their Lord and that they shall return to Him" (2 : 45, 46). The third requisite, spending out of what God has given, is the natural sequel of the second, and shows that the realization of the Divine in man leads to the service of humanity. In one of the earliest revealed chapters of the Holy Qur'ān, it is stated that prayer is useless unless it leads to the service of humanity: "So woe to the praying ones, who are unmindful of their prayers, who do good to be seen, and withhold alms" (107 : 4-7).

The universal experience of humanity bears out the

The experience of hu- truth of what the Holy Qur'ān has
manity. said. Though to most people, now-
adays, the existence of God amounts to little more than
a theory, yet in every age and among every nation there
have been men who, through prayer, have realized the
great truth of the Divine existence within their hearts,
and have laid down their lives for the good of humanity.
In their case belief in the existence of God was a moral
force which not only worked an entire change in their
own lives, but also enabled them to transform the lives of
entire nations for centuries and change the histories of
peoples and of countries. Their selflessness and truth-
fulness were beyond reproach, and their testimony,
which is really the testimony of all nations in all ages,
establishes one fact, that belief in the existence of God
becomes a moral force of the first magnitude when once
it is realized in the heart of man through prayer to the
Divine Being ; so great a moral force is it, indeed, that
even the most powerful material forces give way
before it. Is not the experience of those great person-
alities a beacon-light for others, showing them that they
also can make God a moral force in their lives ? The

PRAYER

powers and faculties that are given to one man are also given to another, and through their proper use one man can do what another, before him, has done.

Again, if, apart from the experience of humanity, we

Prayer, a means of attaining to moral greatness. consider the question rationally, prayer to God is the natural sequel of the acceptance, in theory, of the

existence of God. The aspiration to rise to moral greatness is implanted in human nature more deeply than even the aspiration to rise to material greatness; but the only way in which that aspiration can be realized is to be in touch with the All-pervading Spirit, the fountain-head of purity and the source of the highest morality. "All the perfect attributes are Allāh's," says the Holy Qur'ān (7 : 180). But man stands in need of perfect attributes as well; for there is implanted in him the unquenchable desire to rise higher and higher. How can he do so except by being in touch with the Being that possesses the perfect attributes, the Being that is free from all defects? And prayer is but an attempt to be in touch with Him. And the only way to become imbued with Divine morals is to get in touch with the Divine Spirit, to be drawn away from all worldly trammels for a while, and to drink deep at that source, which is prayer to God. In many ḥadīth, prayer is spoken of as *munājāt* or *confidential intercourse* with the Lord (Bu. 8 : 39 ; 9 : 8 ; 21 : 12). In one it is related that man should worship God as if he sees Him (Bu. 2 : 37). Such descriptions of prayer show its real nature to be that of being in actual intercourse with the Divine Being, and intercourse means nothing but becoming imbued with Divine morals.

The right development of human faculties depends

Prayer as the means upon the purification of man's inner of purification of heart. self and the suppression of evil tendencies : " He surely is successful who purifies it" (91 : 9).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Prayer is spoken of as a means of purification for the heart : “ Recite that which has been revealed to thee of the Book and keep up prayer; surely prayer keeps one away from indecency and evil ” (29 : 45). Elsewhere too : “ And keep up prayer in the two parts of the day and in the first hours of the night ; surely good deeds take away evil deeds ” (11 : 114). In a ḥadīth the saying of prayers is compared to washing in a river : “ Abū Huraira says that he heard the Holy Prophet say, If one of you has a river at his door in which he washes himself five times a day, what do you think ? Would it leave any dirt on him ? The Companions said, It would not leave any dirt on him (and he would be perfectly clean). The Prophet said, This is an example of the five prayers, with which Allāh blots off all the evils of a man ” (Bu. 9 : 6). There are many other ḥadīth in which it is stated that prayer is a *kaffāra* ; in other words, it is a means of suppressing the evil tendencies of man. The reason is plain. In 20 : 14, “ the remembrance of Allāh ” is stated to be the object of keeping up prayer, while in 29 : 45, it is stated that “ the remembrance of Allāh is the greatest (restraint) ” upon sin. A little consideration will show that a law generally requires a sanction behind it, and behind all Divine laws which relate to the development of man and to his moral betterment, the only sanction is a belief in the great Author of those laws. The oftener, therefore, a man reverts to prayer, to that state in which, disengaging himself from all worldly attractions, he feels the Divine presence as an actual fact, the greater is his certainty about the existence of God, and the greater the restraint upon the tendency to break that law. Prayer, thus, by checking the evil tendencies of man, purifies his heart of all evil, and sets him on the right road to the development of his inner faculties.

∴ The service of prayer is divided into two parts,

PRAYER

Unification of the human race through Divine service. one to be said in private and the other to be performed in congregation, preferably in a mosque.

While the private part is meant simply for the development of the inner self of man, the public part has other ends as well in view, ends, indeed, that make the Islamic prayer a mighty force in the unification of the human race. In the first place, this gathering of all people living in the same vicinity five times daily in the mosque, is a help to the establishment of healthy social relations. In the daily services these relations are limited to a narrow circle, *i.e.*, only to members of the same neighbourhood, but the circle becomes wider in the weekly Friday service which gathers together all Muslim members of a particular locality, and still wider, in the two great 'Id gatherings. Thus prayer promotes social relations between the different sections of the Muslim community. Far more important than this, however, is the levelling of social differences brought about by means of congregational prayer. Once within the doors of the mosque, every Muslim feels himself in an atmosphere of equality and love. Before their Maker they all stand shoulder to shoulder, the king along with his poorest subject, the rich arrayed in gorgeous robes with the beggar clad in rags, the white man with the black. Nay, the king or rich man standing in a back row will have to lay his head, prostrating himself before God, at the feet of a slave or a beggar standing in the front. There could be no more levelling influence in the world. Differences of rank, wealth and colour vanish within the mosque, and quite a new atmosphere, an atmosphere of brotherhood, equality and love, totally differing from the outside world, prevails within the holy precincts. To be able to breathe, five times daily, in an atmosphere of perfect peace in a world of strife and struggle; of equality where

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

inequality is the order of the day ; and of love amid the petty jealousies and enmities of daily life, is indeed a blessing. But it is more than a blessing ; for it is the great lesson of life. Man has to work amidst inequalities, amidst strife and struggle, amidst scenes of hatred and enmity, and yet he is drawn out of these five times a day, and made to realize that equality, fraternity and love are the real sources of human happiness. The time spent on prayer is not, therefore, wasted even from the point of view of active humanitarianism ; on the contrary, the best use of it is made in learning those great lessons which make life worth living. And these lessons of fraternity, equality and love, when put into practice in daily life, serve as foundations for the unification of the human race and of the lasting civilization of mankind. In fact, the five daily congregational prayers are meant, among other things, to carry into practice the theoretical lessons of equality and fraternity for which Islām stands, and however much Islām may have preached in words the equality of man and the fraternity of the community of Islām, all this would have remained a dead-letter, had it not been translated into the every-day life of man through the institution of five daily congregational prayers.

Prayer, in Islām, thus not only enables man to realize the Divine in him, not only makes him drink deep at the fountain of Divine morals, purifies his heart and sets him on the right road to the development of human faculties ; but it goes a step further and, levelling all differences, brings about love, concord and a true union of humanity. This last object, it can be easily seen, cannot be achieved without a regularly instituted form of prayer, so that all men should gather together in mosques at the stated times and should stand up reverently, bow down

PRAYER

and prostrate themselves before their great Maker as one. But even apart from that consideration, it was necessary that permanence should be given to the institution of prayer by requiring its observance at stated times and in a particular manner. The truth is that the grand idea of holding communion with God or realizing the Divine within man, which is so essential to the moral elevation of man, could not have been kept alive unless there was an outward form to which all people should try to conform. In the first place, no idea can live unless there is an institution to keep it alive. Secondly, the masses in any community, even though it may be educated, can be awakened to the recognition of a truth only through some outward form, which reminds them of the underlying idea. And thirdly, there can be no uniformity without a form, and without uniformity the community or nation, as a whole, cannot make any progress, the end in view being the moral elevation of the community as a whole and not the elevation of particular individuals. It is a fact that Muslims as a nation have a more vital faith in God than the followers of any other religion. It is this faith in God that accounts for the early Muslim conquests, before which the mightiest empires were swept away like a straw; it is this same faith in God that enabled the Muslims to hold their own against the onslaughts of Christian Europe during the Crusades; and it is this faith in God again that enables Muslims to-day to carry on the spiritual contest with Christianity, in spite of the fact that all the material forces in this contest, such as wealth, power and organization, are on the side of Christianity. The Islamic institution of prayer which keeps the spirit of the Muslim in touch with the Divine Spirit is without doubt the basis on which this strong faith in God rests, and the value of prayer in the formation of this noble trait in the Muslim national

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

character is incalculable.

It must, however, be added that prayer in Islām is not so rigid as it is generally thought to be. It is true that all Muslims are required to assemble at particular times in the mosques, and to follow the lead of the Imām, as an army would obey the orders of its general; and such uniformity is essential to enable prayer to serve the double purpose of bringing about the communion of man with God and the union of man with man; but every prayer is divided into two parts, one to be performed in congregation, the other alone. Even in the congregational part there is ample scope for the individual to give expression to the soul's sincerest desire before its Maker, and for an outpouring of the true sentiments of the heart. But in the private part of the prayer, it is not only left to the individual to select the portions of the Qur'ān which he likes, but he can also give vent to his own feelings by making any supplications that he likes and in any language that he chooses in any of the four postures, the posture of standing, bowing, prostration and sitting.

In Islām there is no Sabbath. A day is not set apart for worship, as in Judaism and Christianity. One day of prayer with no business and six days of business with no prayer, is not the Muslim's rule of life. Prayer is made a part of the everyday affairs of man. There is a prayer in the morning before sunrise when a man rises from his bed; another just after mid-day; a third in the afternoon; a fourth at sunset; and a fifth before going to bed. Prayer is thus the first daily work of a Muslim and it is also his last work of the day, and between these two there are other prayers during hours of business or recreation. Thus Islām requires that in all the varying conditions through which man has to pass, his spirit should be in

PRAYER

touch with the Divine Spirit. Even when busiest, he should still be able to disengage himself from all worldly occupations for a short space and resort to prayer. The object in view in this arrangement is clearly that man should feel the Divine presence under all conditions, so that while he is doing his work, God should still be nearest to his heart. It would readily be seen how immensely such arrangement must enhance the value of prayer as a moral force in the transaction of everyday affairs.

The Islamic mode of worship is calculated to
concentrate attention on one object,
the realization of the Divine presence.

Mode of worship.

The ablutions preceding prayer, the reverential attitude in standing, the bowing down, the kneeling with the forehead placed on the ground, and the reverent sitting posture—all help the mind to realize the Divine presence as a fact; and the worshipper, as it were, finds his heart's joy in doing honour to the Great Master, not only with his tongue but with his whole body, adopting a reverent attitude. There is not the least doubt that the spirit of humility in man finds particular expression in the reverential postures which must be adopted in prayer. The whole prayer is a most solemn and serious affair during which the worshipper does not turn his attention to anything else, nor does he indulge in any movement which should distract his attention or disturb his prayerful attitude. The prayer is thus an undisturbed meditation on the Divine, and it is for this reason that in Islām it is not accompanied with music, recitations from the Holy Qur'ān speaking of Divine love, mercy, power and knowledge taking its place. In fact, what is considered ritualism in the Islamic institution of prayer is only a way to feel the Divine presence and ponder over His greatness, glory and love by adopting certain reverential postures,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and it will be seen that the Islamic mode of worship combines in it all the reverential postures that can possibly be adopted, the posture of standing, sitting, bowing down and prostration. The movement among some Muslims, however small their number, that the different postures in prayer should be modernized so as to suit the new conditions of life in the cities of the West, is based on a miscalculation as to the value of prayer. It is, for example, suggested that, instead of standing or sitting on the floor, the worshippers should have the option of sitting on chairs with tables before them, and instead of bowing down and the prostration there should be the option of simply bowing the head a little. Now, as I have said, one of the great objects of prayer is to level down the social and race differences, and if any other postures than those prescribed by the Holy Prophet are adopted, there would begin a divergence which would know no end. If the uniformity of prayer is destroyed, more than half of its usefulness is gone. Let us suppose there is a mosque in which there are some people sitting on chairs and others standing on the ground, some who are bowing down or prostrating themselves before God while others simply bend their heads, prayer will have failed altogether in attaining its great object, that of levelling down differences of rank and bringing about a unification of humanity. If it be urged that mosques in the West may be modelled on the fashion of the churches, then the unity of the world-wide brotherhood of Islām will have gone altogether. And when these Westerners will come to the East, they will not be able to join the congregational prayer of their Eastern brethren, and Islām will have failed to bring about the great object of joining the West with the East and establishing a common brotherhood for all mankind.

Apart from the consideration of uniformity, however,

PRAAYER

there is the fact that the form in which prayer has been inculcated by the Founder himself is calculated to produce in the mind of man a spirit of true humility which is essential if he is to receive the Divine spirit. If prayer is intended to realize the Divine in man and to become in touch with the great Spirit of the universe, that object can be best attained only by adopting the reverent method which the Holy Prophet has taught. It cannot be denied that a particular posture of the body will generate in man feelings of pride and haughtiness while another is suggestive of true humility, and it is only the latter frame of mind that can bring man closer to God. If, therefore, humility is of the essence of prayer, the particular postures of standing, sitting, bowing down and prostration are also essential for creating that spirit in man, and any change would be a change for the worse, a change that might well bring about failure to achieve the very end for which prayer is intended.¹

Naturally a man will unfold his heart before his Maker in the language in which he can most readily express his feelings, and this is fully recognized in Islām. The worshipper is at full liberty to open his heart before the great Maker of all in his own language and in any posture. The Holy Qur'an speaks of the faithful as those "who remember Allāh standing and sitting and lying on their sides" (3 : 190). Not only in private prayer but in the course of the public service as well, the worshipper is at liberty to pray to God in his own tongue, after or during the recitation of

1. It is true that, in cases of sickness, or when a man is on journey, the worshipper is permitted to say his prayers in any posture which he finds convenient, but that is rather under compulsion. In such a case he is willing to humble himself in any position, but since his bodily condition does not allow him to assume the prescribed posture, and the object of all is the creation of a true spirit of humility, a departure from regular procedure in that case does not affect the sincerity of him who prays or the efficacy of his prayer.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

portions of the Holy Qur'ān, in a standing posture, or after utterance of words of Divine glory in that of bowing down or prostration, as taught by the Holy Prophet. In the public service such prayers would undoubtedly be limited, since the worshipper must follow the Imām, but in private prayer they may be of any length.

The question, however, assumes a different aspect when the public service itself is considered, for, unless the public service is conducted in a language which is common to all Muslims, there must again be a failure in achieving the great end for which prayer is instituted. As I have already said, the unification of the Muslims through prayer is as much an end and object of prayer as to bring man into communion with God. It is prayer that gathers together, daily, persons of different callings and different ranks and positions in society, under one roof, and on a perfect status of equality, and these homogeneous units are again united by the more extensive gathering for the Friday prayers, or the still larger assemblies at 'Id prayers, culminating in that mighty assemblage at Makka of all nations and all races on the most perfect status of equality—European, Asian and African, king and beggar, all clad in one dress,—the annual concourse of the pilgrims from the farthest corners of the earth. Now all these various gatherings, from the great gathering of all nations at Makka down to the smallest gathering in a village or *mohalla* mosque, are expressly for Divine worship, and if there were a babel of languages prevailing in these gatherings, the object of the unification of the human race through Divine service—an idea unique to Islām—would fail altogether. The bond of a common language is one of the greatest factors towards unification, and this bond Islām has established by the use of a common language at the Divine service. This language, it is evident, could be none other than Arabic, the language of the Holy

PRAYER

Qur'ān. Any one who realizes the grand object which Islām has set before itself of unifying the human race through Divine service, will at once appreciate the necessity of having that service in Arabic.

It is only shortsightedness, intensified by ignorance of the wider issues of unification and civilization, that makes some men think that Divine service must be held in the language proper to each nation, and that a service held in any other language will not fulfil the purpose of worship. In the first place, the Islamic prayer does not consist of mere words of praise of the Divine glory and majesty, or the mere expression, in words, of the inner feelings of the heart. That no doubt is an important part of prayer but even more important than this is the attitude of mind, the inner feeling itself, of which the words are meant to be an expression. Now this attitude of mind is produced, in the first place, by the atmosphere about the worshipper and by the particular postures of reverence which he adopts. The mood, more than words, generates a true spirit of humility, and the first condition of a prayerful mind is humility, as the Holy Qur'ān itself lays down: "Successful indeed are the believers, who are humble in their prayers" (23 : 1, 2). Suppose there is a man who takes part in a public service without understanding a word of Arabic. It would be entirely wrong to say that prayer does not benefit him, for there are the movements of his body, the raising of the hands to the ears, the standing up with folded hands, the bowing down, the placing of the forehead on the ground, the sitting down in a particular attitude of reverence, which all go a long way towards producing in him humility and consciousness of the Divine presence. He may not understand the language used, but here he is himself giving expression to his inner feelings in the language of his bodily movements. In fact, his whole self is expressive of what the

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

words convey. It will indeed be highly more beneficial if he understands the spoken language also, but it is absurd to say that the language of movements has no meaning for him.

Now let us come to the language of words. The ofttest repeated expressions in the Islamic service are *Allāhu Akbar*, *Subhāna Rabbiy-al-‘Azīm*, *Subhāna Rabbiy-al-A‘lā*, and the opening chapter of the Holy Qur’ān, called *al-Fātiḥa*. Now as regards the first expression there is hardly a Muslim in the world, whatever language he may speak, and whether educated or uneducated, young or old, male or female, who does not understand the meaning of *Allāhu Akbar*. It is with this expression that a man enters into the Divine service and it is with it that he changes one posture of the body to another, so that with the very entrance in prayer, the mind receives an impression of the glory and majesty of God and assumes an attitude of prayerfulness to God and of humbleness before Him, and this impression on the mind is renewed at every change of movement, and thus the contemplation of Divine glory and greatness is the one occupation of mind during the service. Take the second expression, *Subhāna Rabbiy-al-‘Azīm*, the expression repeated when the worshipper is bowing down and *Subhāna Rabbiy-al-A‘lā*, repeated in the state of prostration. Even if a man does not understand their meaning, he does realize, when bowing, that he is bowing before the great God, and does realize when lying down prostrate that he has laid his forehead on the ground before Him Who is the Highest. Yet, even a child would not take more than half an hour to learn these phrases or learn their meaning. And similar is the case with the opening chapter of the Holy Qur’ān, which is so often repeated in prayer. A child, whatever language he may be speaking, can easily learn the seven short

PRAAYER

sentences of the *Fātiḥa* in a week, giving half an hour daily, and perhaps not more than half that time is needed to learn their meaning. Even if one were to conduct Divine service in one's own language, still he would have to spend some time in learning it, and the learning of the significance of the Arabic words is not more than a week's or, at the most, a month's work. And, keeping in view, the grand object of unifying the human race through Divine service, a week or one month's time thus spent represents the most usefully spent period of a man's life.

There are two other considerations which make it

Other advantages of maintaining Arabic in Divine service necessary to maintain the Arabic language in Divine service. The

Holy Qur'ān, parts of which are recited in Divine service, was revealed in the Arabic language, and it is a generally admitted fact that a translation can never fully express the ideas of the original. And when the original is the word of God, and the ideas expressed are those relating to God's majesty and glory, it is still more difficult to convey the full significance in a translation.¹ Again there is a music in the original which no translation can possibly retain. The music of the Holy Qur'ān is not simply in its rhythm but also in its diction. Now music plays an important part in producing an effect on the mind, and the recitation of the Holy Qur'ān thus serves the purpose of communicating grand and beautiful ideas to the accompaniment of music. Hence it is that the Islamic service has never stood in

1 Thus Sale in the Preliminary Discourse to his Translation of the Holy Qur'ān, speaking of the style of the Holy Book, says: "And in many places, especially where the majesty and attributes of God are described, sublime and magnificent; of which the reader cannot but observe several instances, though he must not imagine the translation comes up to the original, notwithstanding my endeavours to do it justice" (p. 48).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

need of the artificial music of the organ, having within itself the true music of the human soul. Now even if a translation could convey something of the grand and rich ideas of the Holy Qur'ān, it could not convey the music which, along with the idea, exercises such a potent influence on the mind of man. Professor Palmer remarks in the Introduction to his Translation of the Holy Qur'ān :

“ The Arabs made use of a rhymed and rhythmical prose, the origin of which it is not difficult to imagine. The Arabic language consists for the most part of trilateral roots, *i.e.*, the single words expressing individual ideas consist generally of three consonants each, and the derivative forms expressing modifications of the original idea are not made by affixes and terminations alone, but also by the insertion of letters in the root A sentence, therefore, consists of a series of words which would each require to be expressed in clauses of several words in other languages, and it is easy to see how a next following sentence, explanatory of or completing the first, would be much more clear and forcible if it consisted of words of a similar shape and implying similar modifications of other ideas. It follows then that the two sentences would be necessarily symmetrical, and the presence of rhythm would not only please the ear but contribute to the better understanding of the sense, while the rhyme would mark the pause in the sense and emphasize the proposition ” (pp. liv., lv).

Mr. Stanley Lane-Poole says in his introduction to *Selections from the Kur'ān* :

“ The language has the ring of poetry, though no part of the Kur-ān complies with the demands of Arab metre. The sentences are short and full of half-restrained energy, yet with a musical cadence. The thought is often only half expressed; one feels the speaker has

PRAAYER

essayed a thing beyond words, and has suddenly discovered the impotence of language, and broken off with the sentence unfinished. There is the fascination of true poetry about these earliest soorahs ; as we read them we understand the enthusiasm of the Prophet's followers, though we cannot fully realise the beauty and the power" (p. civ.).

It will be seen from the above two quotations that even Western writers who have read the Holy Qur'ān in the original recognise that a translation of the Holy Qur'ān can convey neither the elements of music in its recitation, nor yet the full significance of the original. Let us take the opening chapter of the Holy Qur'ān as an example. This chapter, the *Fātiḥa*, is the most essential part of the Islamic prayer. The seven verses of this chapter must be recited in every rak'a of every prayer, whether private or public; while to it is added in certain rak'as any other portion of the Holy Qur'ān. Take the recitation first. A reference to the transliteration and translation, given further on, will show that the translation has in it nothing of the musical cadence of the original, and the effect upon the ear of the mere recitation of words is quite lost in the translation. But even more important than this is the inability of any language to convey the exact significance of the short words of the original, even in long sentences. Take, for instance, the word *Rabb* which occurs here first of all as an attribute of the Divine Being, and is the most frequently repeated of attributes in the whole of the Qur'ān. In English it is generally translated as *Lord*, but that word does not at all convey the real significance of the Arabic word, *Rabb*, which, as I have already pointed out, carries with it the idea of *the fostering of a thing in such a manner as to make it attain one condition after another until it reaches its goal of*

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

completion. It is a word composed of but two letters, *rā* and *bā*, yet the significance which it carries is so vast that even a whole sentence in any other language could not convey it fully. The word *Lord* or *Father* does not express that idea at all. The same is the case with the next following attributes *Rahmān* and *Rahīm*, which are both derived from the same root *rahma* signifying *tenderness requiring the exercise of beneficence*, and are closely related in meaning; the former indicating that quality of love and mercy which comes into operation, even before the creation of man, by providing for him things which are necessary for his life; and the latter that which comes into operation when man makes use of these things and has thus done something to deserve it. No words in any other language can faintly express these great ideas and this fine distinction. Similar is the case with *'ibāda*, used in the middle verse, which is rendered in English by *worshipping*, but which really carries the meaning of *obedience coupled with the utmost submissiveness*.¹ The word *ihdi*, occurring in the fourth verse, is rendered *guide*; but *hidāya*, the root from which it is derived, means *guiding and leading on the right way with kindness until one reaches the goal*.² How could these ideas be expressed in small and simple words, suitable for a prayer, in any other language? Indeed, this petition, which is of the essence of the whole institution of Islamic prayer, would lose its real significance by being translated into any other language.

Thus the *Fātiḥa*, being the only essential portion of Prayer as index of the Holy Qur'ān which must be repeated in every rak'a of a prayer, Muslim mentality. may rightly claim to be the guiding principle of a Muslim's

1. *Al-'ibāda al-tā'a ma'-al-khudzū'i* (TA.).

2. *Al-hidāya al-rashād wa-l-dalāla bi-luṭf-in ilā mā yūṣilu'l-ila-l-maṭlūb* (TA.).

PRAYER

life, the one idea which rules all his other ideas, and therefore a true index of his mentality. The main principles underlying the *Fātiḥa* may be considered briefly here. These are, firstly, the desire to give praise to the Divine Being under all circumstances, for the chapter opens with the words "All praise is due to Allāh." The Muslim has to come to prayer five times a day whatever the circumstances may be. There may be occasions when he is in distress, has suffered a reverse or a defeat, has a friend or near relative in distress, when some one very dear to him has just passed away and he is under the burden of a great bereavement, yet in all these conditions he is required to give praise to God Who brings about all these conditions, just as he would do had he received a blessing or some great benefit from God. The attitude of mind thus produced is to live in perfect peace with one's environment, neither to be carried away by joy, nor give way to dejection or depression. It is an attitude of mind which keeps a man steadfast in pleasure as well as pain, in joy as well as sorrow.

The second and third main ideas which determine a Muslim's mental attitude towards things are contained in the words *Rabbi-l-'ālamīn*, the Nourisher unto perfection of all the worlds or all the nations. The Nourisher unto perfection brings him the comfort of knowing that whatever may happen to him, it is still for his perfection; whether he receives a blessing or faces disaster, he must still be sure that he is being led on to perfection through these different stages. The addition of the words *al-'ālamīn*, all worlds or all nations, opens up his mind and widens the sphere of his love and sympathy towards all men, to whatever nation or creed they may belong, nay even to the whole of God's creation, to the dumb animals as well as to man. The man who recognises that God is the Nourisher unto perfection of all men cannot bear

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

hatred towards them. He must recognize, in fact, that God is much more to all men than is a father to his sons.

The fourth main idea is carried in the words *Rahmān* and *Rahīm*. God is Loving and Beneficent ; He has provided man with everything necessary for his development, physical as well as moral and spiritual ; but still that development depends on the right use of outward things as well as of the inner faculties which are meant for this object. The choice is man's whether he takes advantage of those means and reaches the goal, or rejects or ignores them and suffers the evil consequences thereof.

The fifth and sixth great ideas contained in the *Fātiḥa* are those conveyed in the words *Maliki yaumi-l-dīn* or Master of the Day of Requital. God is here called the *Mālik* or the Master, and not *Malik* or King. The two words are almost alike, but there is this vast difference between a *Mālik* and a *Malik* that the latter is bound to give to each what he deserves, but the former may if he likes forgive an offender altogether. There are some religions that lay so much stress on Divine justice that they refuse to recognize a God who can forgive offenders without having some compensation. Such a narrow view of Divine justice has a corresponding effect on the morals of a man. The word *Mālik* rejects this idea, and shows God to be a Master Who can forgive if He likes, however great the offence may be. The addition of the words *yaumi-l-dīn*, the Day of Requital, is by way of reminder that man must face the consequences of his own deeds. There is no deed, good or bad, that is without a consequence, and if these consequences are not seen by man in this life, there is still a Day of Requital, even after death.

The seventh idea is contained in the words *īyyāka na'budu*, the idea of rendering obedience to God with entire submission. This is meant to create in man the

PRAYER

mentality of obedience to the Divine commandments, even when such are opposed to the commandments of some temporal authority or his own wishes. They do not only create this mentality but also give man the strength to carry out the commandments.

The eighth idea is contained in the words *iiyāka nasta'in* (Thee do we beseech for help). The mental attitude which it is sought to create by these words is that of entire dependence on God and never despairing of the attainment of an object, for even if outward means have failed, there is God, the Controller of all means, Whose help will not fail the man who depends on Him.

The ninth idea is contained in the words *ihdi-nā*. This signifies the soul's inner desire—prayer being nothing but the expression of the soul's inmost desire—of being led on and on to the goal, such being, as has been already shown, the significance of *hidāya*. That the mentality of being content to live in perfect peace with one's environment is not a negation of action, is shown by these words. The Muslim attitude towards the world is not one of inaction or listlessness; on the contrary, it comprises both the desire to remain in peace with his environment, and the desire to move on and on so as to reach the great goal. He gives praise to God at every step, yet his is not a stationary condition; he is not the slave of his environment but for ever struggling and striving to master it; he does not stand for peace without progress, nor yet for progress without peace, but for peace and progress combined.

The tenth idea ruling the Muslim mentality, as disclosed in the *Fātiḥa*, is the longing to walk in the footsteps of those who have received Divine blessings of any kind, temporal, moral or spiritual, and the desire to be able to avoid the errors of those who have been the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

objects of Divine displeasure or those who have gone astray. The latter are the followers of the two extremes, while those who have received the Divine favours are those who keep to the middle path—which is the straight path.

With these ten ideas ruling man's mind (and this is what is aimed at by the frequent repetition of the *Fātiḥa* in prayer), a man is armed with the best weapons both for happiness and success.

It is sometimes said that prayer leads to idleness and indolence, because it causes a man to depend on his supplications for what he wants instead of working for it. This is, in fact, one of the chief arguments of the anti-religious movement. The objection is, of course, due to a complete misconception as to the nature of prayer. Prayer to God does not mean that a man has simply to entreat the Divine Being to grant him this or that favour and do nothing himself towards attaining it. Prayer is, in fact, a search for means and is thus an incentive to action. The *Fātiḥa* is the most important Muslim prayer, yet, as already shown, its central idea is one of action or being led on to action, for here the supplicator does not ask for certain favours but only to be guided on the right path. The prayer is contained in the words *ihdi-na-l-ṣirāṭ-al-mustaqīm*, i. e., *guide us on the right path*, or, as shown with reference to the meaning of *hidāya*, *lead us on to the goal by keeping us on the right path*. Prayer is thus only the means of leading a man onwards and to discovering the path by walking whereon he may attain the goal. It is a search for means to attain to a goal, and a yearning to walk on a certain path. In face of this clear teaching, it is a mistake to suppose that prayer for any object negatives the adoption of human means to gain it. Elsewhere the acceptance of prayer is spoken of as rewarding a man for the hard work

PRAYER

he has done: "So their Lord accepted their prayer, saying, I will not waste the work of a worker among you, whether male or female, the one of you being from the other" (3 : 194).

The rule has been laid down in the Holy Qur'ān in several places that no end can be gained without making a hard struggle for it: "Certainly We have created man to face difficulty" (90 : 4); "And that man shall have nothing but what he strives for; and that his striving shall soon be seen; then shall he be rewarded for it with the fullest reward" (53 : 39-41); "O my people! work in your place, I am a worker" (39 : 39). The question may however be asked, what is the need for prayer if man must work for an end and avail himself of the means to gain it? Here, again, is a misconception as to the capabilities of man. It often happens that, notwithstanding the hardest struggle, a man is unable to gain an end, and finds himself quite helpless. In such a case prayer is a help, a source of strength, to the worker. He does not lose heart nor does he despair, because he believes that, though the means at his disposal have failed, though all around there are difficulties and darkness, though his own strength is failing, yet there is a Higher Power with Whom nothing is impossible, Who can still bring a ray of light to dispel the darkness and Who remains a perpetual source of strength for him in his helplessness, and that by praying to Him he can still achieve what seems otherwise quite unattainable. That is the function of prayer, and it is thus one of the means to gain an end when all other means have failed, and a source of strength to man in moments of utter weakness and despair.

That such is the true function of prayer and that it is only a source of greater energy and greater strength to enable man to face difficulties and achieve an end, is shown by the early history of Islām. The Holy Prophet

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Muḥammad and his Companions were undoubtedly the greatest believers in prayer—they are spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān as spending two-thirds of the night, half the night or one-third of the night in prayer (73 : 20) —, and yet this was the very band of men whose love for work knew no bounds, whose energy was inexhaustible and who faced the most embarrassing difficulties with an iron determination. Surely the men who in ten years conquered two of the most powerful empires of the world, who with but the scantiest of resources faced armies double and treble and, on occasions, ten times the size of their own, whatever other charges may be brought against them, cannot be said to have been idle and inefficient. And it is a fact of history that, whenever the great Muslim conquerors were faced with the most critical situations, they fell down in prostration before God, seeking strength from the Source of real strength. Prayer, in fact, transformed the neglected race of the Arabs into the most distinguished nation which history can show, turned an idle and inefficient people into the most zealous and untiring workers for the progress of humanity, in all phases of its advancement. Truly prayer is meant to awaken, and does awaken, the latent energies of the human soul.

Sec. 2.—*The Mosque*

In a discussion on prayer, it is necessary to speak of the mosque. The Arabic word for mosque is *masjid*, which means *a place where one prostrates oneself*, or *a place of worship*. It should be borne in mind, in the first place, that prayer can be performed anywhere. No particularly consecrated place is necessary for the holding of Divine service. To this effect there is an express saying of the Holy Prophet, who, speaking of some of his peculiarities, is reported to have said : “ The whole of the earth has

PRAAYER

been made a mosque for me" (Bu. 7 : 1). A Muslim may, therefore, say his prayers anywhere he likes. The mere fact that he does so elsewhere than in a mosque, detracts in no way from the efficacy of the prayer ; nor does a building when constructed for the express purpose of prayer stand in need of consecration. All that is required is that the builder should declare his intention to have that building used as a place of prayer.

But, in spite of what has been stated above, the mosque plays a more important part in Islām than does any other house of worship in any other religion. Where the Holy Qur'ān speaks of the Muslims' duty to defend and protect all houses of worship, to whatever religion they may belong, it speaks of the mosque last of all, but it mentions its distinctive characteristic, namely that the name of God is remembered there most of all : " And had there not been Allāh's repelling some people by others, certainly there would have been pulled down cloisters and churches and synagogues and mosques in which Allāh's name is much remembered " (22 : 40). The concluding words of the verse—mosques in which Allāh's name is much remembered—are significant. All religious buildings are resorted to generally once a week, but the mosque is visited five times a day for the remembrance of God's name. In fact, if any house on earth can be called God's house, on account of its association with the Divine name, that house is the mosque which pre-eminently deserves the name, all other religious houses seeming neglected in comparison with it. The whole atmosphere of the mosque is charged with the electricity of the Divine name ; there is the call to prayer five times a day, which rends the air with cries of the greatness and unity of God—*Allāhu Akbar*, *Allāhu Akbar* and *lā ilāha ill-Allāh* ; there is the individual

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

service, carried on in silence, but with God's name on the lips of every individual worshipper; there is the public service in which the Imām recites aloud portions of the Holy Qur'an, that tell of Divine grandeur and glory, with the refrain of *Allāhu Akbar* repeated at every change of movement; and when the prayer is finished, there is again a chorus of voices speaking of Divine greatness, making the mosque echo and re-echo with the remembrance of God. It is true that God does not dwell in the mosque, but surely one feels His presence there as a hard fact of life. It will thus be seen that the mosque is the centre of Muslim religious life. It is not a place to which a man may resort once a week to be inspired with a spiritual idea, which he will in all likelihood forget during the six days to follow; nay, it is a place which sends forth, as it were, the blood of spiritual life, hour after hour, into the veins of the Muslim, and thus keeps his mind imbued with higher thoughts, and his heart alive in a real sense.

Being a meeting-place of all Muslims five times daily, besides special occasions, the mosque serves as a training ground where the doctrine of the equality and fraternity of mankind is put into practical working. It is undoubtedly true that every religion is based on the two fundamental principles of the Fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of man, but it is equally true that no religion has been so successful in establishing a living brotherhood of man as has Islām, and the secret of this unparalleled success lies in the mosque. The mosque enables Muslims to meet five times a day on terms of perfect equality and in a spirit of true brotherhood, all standing in a row before their great Maker, knowing no difference of colour or rank, all following the lead of one man. All differences and distinctions are, for the time being,

PRAYER

obliterated. The doctrine of the brotherhood of man is brought into practice five times a day in the mosque, which thus becomes the training ground of equality and fraternity. Without the mosque, the mere teaching of the brotherhood of man would have remained a dead letter as it is in so many other religions.

Besides being its religious centre, the mosque is also the cultural centre of the Muslim community. Here the Muslim community is educated on all questions of its welfare. The Friday sermon is a regular weekly lecture on all such questions, but besides that, whenever in the time of the Holy Prophet and his early successors it became necessary to inform the Muslim community on any matter of importance, a sermon or a lecture was delivered in the mosque. Even during his last illness the Holy Prophet came out into the mosque and delivered a sermon to the people.

In addition to this mass education in the Holy Prophet's mosque, there were also arrangements for the education of those who wanted to acquire learning. Men who had to be trained as missionaries for the spread of light and learning in distant parts of the country, not only received their education in the mosque but also lodged in a place, called the *Ṣuffa*, attached to the mosque. The *Ṣuffa* was situated in the northern part of the mosque, covered with a roof but with open sides, from which those students received the name of *ahl al-Ṣuffa* or *aṣḥāb al-Ṣuffa*, i.e., the dwellers of the *Ṣuffa*. It is a mistake to think that homeless people were lodged in it, for among those mentioned as having lived there are men like Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ, while there were many poor immigrants who never lived there. The fact is that those who wanted to acquire knowledge of the Holy Qur'ān and the religion of Islām were lodged there, and their number is said to have reached four

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

hundred at times. It was out of these that missionaries were sent sometimes in batches of ten or twelve, and once, even, in a batch of seventy, to educate the people in the country. Almost every mosque to this day has, to some extent, arrangements for the education of students, the *maktab*, or the *madrisa* (the school), being a necessary adjunct to the mosque. Many important mosques have also some *waqf* property attached to them, their income going towards the upkeep of the students and their teachers. In later times, quite in accordance with the earlier spirit, libraries were also kept in parts of the mosque, and some mosques had very large libraries attached to them, containing upwards of 100,000 volumes.

But this is not all. In the time of the Holy Prophet and his early successors, the mosque was the only centre of all kinds of Muslim activities. Here all important national questions were settled. When the Muslim community was forced to take up arms in self-defence, it was in the mosque that measures of defence and expeditions were concerted. It was, again, to the mosque that the people were asked to repair when there was news of importance to be communicated, and the mosque also served as the council-hall of the Muslims. In the time of 'Umar, when two councils were appointed to advise the Caliph, it was in the mosque that these councils met. Deputations from Muslim as well as non-Muslim tribes were received in the mosque, and some of the more important deputations were also lodged there, as in the case of the famous Christian deputation from Najrān, and the deputation of Thaqīf, a polytheist tribe; and for this purpose tents were set up in the yard of the mosque¹. Indeed, once

1. In the Holy Qur'ān it is said: "The idolators have no right to frequent the mosques of Allāh while bearing witness to unbelief against themselves" (9: 17). This verse does not mean that a non-Muslim cannot be allowed to pay a visit to a mosque. By "the mosques of

PRAYER

on an occasion of a festival, the Holy Prophet even allowed certain Abyssinians to give a display with shield and lance in the mosque (Bu. 8 : 69). Ḥassān ibn Thābit used to recite his verses in defence of the Holy Prophet against the abuse of his enemies, in the mosque (Bu. 8 : 68). Juridical affairs were also settled in the mosque (Bu. 8 : 44 ; 93 : 18), and it was used in a number of other ways. For example, a tent was set up for Sa'd ibn Mu'ādh in the yard of the mosque when he received fatal wounds in the battle of the Ditch (Bu. 8 : 77), and it was in this tent that he died. A freed handmaid had also a tent in the mosque where she resided (Bu. 8 : 57). The mosque was thus not only the spiritual centre of the Muslims, but also their political, educational and social centre. It was, indeed, their national centre in the truest and most comprehensive sense of the word.

The fact, however, that the mosque may be used for other objects than the saying of prayer, does not in any way detract from its sacred character. It is primarily a place for Divine worship and must be treated as such. Nor are any proceedings allowed in the mosque, except such as relate to the welfare of the Muslim community or have a national importance. The carrying on of any business or trade in the mosque is expressly forbidden (AD. 2 : 213). Due respect must be shown to the house of God ; thus even the raising of loud voices is denounced (Bu. 8 : 83), and spitting is expressly prohibited (Bu. 8 : 37), though the floor of the mosque was, in the Holy Prophet's

Allāh," here in fact is meant the *Masjid al-Ḥarām*, the Sacred Mosque of the Ka'ba, which is really a centre of all the mosques of the world ; and as the words of the verse show, the polytheists who had long been in possession of the Ka'ba were told that they had now no right to frequent that mosque, as it had been cleared of all traces of polytheism. Moreover, for the non-Muslims to have a *right* to pay visits to mosques is quite different from the Muslims' allowing them to come into the mosques.

LIFE AFTER DEATH

time, simply of gravel. Saying prayers, with the shoes on, is permitted (Bu. 8 : 24), but the shoes must be clean and not dirty. The practice has, however, grown of removing the shoes at the door of the mosque as a mark of respect to the mosque. Keeping the mosque clean and neat is an act of great merit (Bu. 8 : 72).

The Ka'ba or the Sacred Mosque of Makka is, according to the Holy Qur'ān, the first house of the worship of God that was ever built on this earth: "Surely the first house appointed for men is the one at Bakka, blessed, and a guidance for nations" (3 : 95). An account of its building by Abraham and Ishmael is given in the Holy Qur'ān in 2 : 127, but that it was only a reconstruction of a fallen building is shown by 2 : 125, where the purification of the house of the idols that had been placed in it, is mentioned before its construction in 2 : 127. Even Muir ascribes "an extremely remote age" to the Ka'ba. The Ka'ba, being thus the first mosque on earth, all mosques are built facing it. Thus a mosque to the east of Makka will be built facing westward; one to the west will be built facing eastward; and similarly, mosques to the north of Makka must face southwards and those to the south must face northwards. This practice is based on an express injunction contained in the Holy Qur'ān. The first injunction relating thereto appears in connection with Abraham: "And when We made the House (the Ka'ba) a resort for men and a place of security; and make the place of Abraham (the Ka'ba) a place of prayer"¹ (2 : 125). And, further on,

I. Ḥasan is reported to have said that by *muṣallā* (lit, a place of prayer) is meant *qibla* (Rz), or the direction facing which prayer is to be said. This verse was revealed about sixteen months after the Hīra. Up to that time prayers were said facing Jerusalem, the qibla of the Israelite prophets. It is noteworthy that so long as the Holy Prophet was in Makka, where there were no Jews or Christians, he said his prayers

more expressly : “ And from whatsoever place thou comest forth, turn thy face towards the Sacred Mosque ; and wherever you are, turn your faces towards it ” (2 : 150). This order that all places of worship should converge towards the Ka’ba had an underlying purpose which is hinted at in the Holy Qur’ān in connection with the subject of the qibla : “ And every one has a direction to which he turns himself, therefore hasten to do good works ; wherever you are, Allāh will bring you all together ” (2 : 148). The *bringing of all together* clearly means *the making of all as one people*, so that, beneath the ostensible unity of direction, lies the real unity of purpose. Just as they have all one centre to turn to, they must set one goal before themselves. Thus the unity of the Qibla among Muslims stands for their unity of purpose, and forms the basis on which rests the brotherhood of Islām. Hence the Prophet’s saying : “ Do not call your ahl Qibla (those who follow your Qibla) kāfirs ” (N. art. *Kufr*).

It should be further borne in mind that as the clear object of one qibla is to bring about a unity of purpose, all that is needed is a rough calculation of the direction of the Ka’ba. For instance, roughly, India lies to the east of Arabia but it is a vast country, and therefore, while some of its central tracts are situated exactly to the east of Makka, the northern parts are a little inclined to the north, and the southern parts to the south. For the purposes of the qibla, however, it is sufficient that mosques should be built facing exactly westward. The idea of unity in direction is still there, and the convergence of

facing Jerusalem, for he had not received any revelation on the point and naturally followed the qibla of the Israelite prophets. But when he came to Madina, where the Jewish element of the population was very strong, he was ordered not to face Jerusalem any more, as the Ka’ba was to be the future qibla of the Muslims.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

all mosques to the west answers the purpose well enough. In a country like America, on the other side of the world, mosques may be built facing either eastward or westward, but the best course would be to take the coast which lies nearest to Makka, and follow the same direction throughout the whole country.

The only requirement of the law of Islām regarding Building of the mos. the building of a mosque is, that it should face the Ka'ba. Ḥadīth, however, further recommends that the building should be as simple as possible. All adornments are generally avoided, in accordance with a saying of the Holy Prophet: "I have not been commanded to raise the mosques high" (AD. 2: 11). To this Ibn 'Abbās adds: "You will surely adorn them as the Jews and the Christians adorn (their places of worship)." According to another ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "The hour of doom (*al-sā'a*)¹ will not come till people vie with one another in (the building of) mosques" (AD. 2: 11). The mosque built by the Holy Prophet himself at Madīna, called the Prophet's Mosque, was a simple structure in a vast courtyard in which tents could be pitched in time of need. The building was made of bricks baked in the sun, and the roofed portion, resting on columns consisting of the stems of palm-trees, was covered with palm-leaves and clay. Both Abū Bakr and 'Umar rebuilt it with the same material, though the latter extended it considerably (AD. 2: 12). The great mosques of Islām erected in the time of 'Umar, the second Caliph, in Baṣra, Kūfa and Fuṣṭāṭ, the new towns built by the Muslims, or in old towns such as Madā'in, Damascus and Jerusalem, were all simple structures like the Prophet's Mosque at Madīna, built either of reeds or bricks baked

1. As shown elsewhere, *al-sā'a* or *the hour* in this case means *the doom* or *the time of the fall of a nation*.

PRAYER

in the sun, with vast court-yards, large enough to accommodate congregations of even 40,000 men, the floors being generally strewn with pebbles. These mosques were built by the Government and had the Government House attached to them, the Governors themselves leading the prayers. Quite in accordance with the simplicity of their structure, the mosques were unfurnished except for mats or carpets and a pulpit from which the sermon was delivered on Fridays. 'Uthmān, the third Caliph after the Holy Prophet, rebuilt the Prophet's Mosque at Madīna with hewn stone and mortar (AD. 2 : 12). The custom of building mosques with domes and having one or more minarets grew up later, but even these are, notwithstanding their grandeur, monuments of simplicity, their chief adornment being the writing on their walls, in mosaic, of verses from the Holy Qur'ān.

Every Muslim is free to build a mosque, and so Tribal and sectarian people living in different quarters of mosques. a town may build mosques for themselves. Abū Bakr had erected a mosque in the courtyard of his house while still at Makka, at a very early period (Bu. 46 : 22). Another Companion, 'Itbān ibn Mālīk, once invited the Holy Prophet to say prayers in a particular part of his house which he might use as a mosque, since he was unable to reach the mosque of his people in the rainy season (Bu. 8 : 46). A mosque was built at Qubā, in the suburbs of Madīna, for the people of that locality, the tribe of 'Amr ibn 'Auf, and this the Holy Prophet used to visit once a week (Bu. 20 : 2). Another mosque at Madīna is spoken of as the mosque of Banī Zuraiq (Bu. 8 : 41). And Bukhārī has the following heading for this chapter : "Can a mosque be called 'the mosque of so and so ?'" Thus a name may be given to any mosque, either that of the founder or of the people who resort to it, or any other name. In later times, Muslims belonging

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

to different sects had their own mosques, the Ka'ba, the Central Mosque, gathering all together at the time of pilgrimage. But when a mosque has once been built, it is open to Muslims of all persuasions and no one has the right to prohibit Muslims of a certain persuasion or sect from entering any mosque. This is a point on which the Holy Qur'an contains a clear injunction : "And who is more unjust than he who prevents men from the mosques of Allāh, that His name should be remembered in them, and strives to ruin them ? " (2 : 114).

The present *parda* conditions of the Muslim world raise the question as to whether women may go to the mosques. Admission of women to mosques. There was no such question in the Holy Prophet's time, when women freely took part in religious services. There is indeed a ḥadīth which tells us that on a certain night the Holy Prophet was very late in coming out to lead the night prayers, when the people had assembled in the mosque; and he came only on hearing 'Umar call out : "The women and the children are going to sleep" (Bu. 9 : 22). This shows that women were in the mosque even at such a late hour. According to another ḥadīth narrated by 'Ā'ishā, women used to be present at the morning prayer, which was said at an hour so early that they returned to their houses while it was still dark (Bu. 8 : 13). Yet another ḥadīth shows that even women who had children to suckle would come to the mosque, and that when the Prophet heard a baby crying, he would shorten his prayer lest the mother should feel inconvenienced (Bu. 10 : 65); while in one ḥadīth it is stated that when the Holy Prophet had finished his prayers, he used to stay a little and did not rise until the women had left the mosque (Bu. 10 : 152). All these ḥadīth afford overwhelming evidence of the fact that women, just in the same way as men, used to frequent the mosques and

that there was not the least restriction in this matter. There are other ḥadīth which show that the Holy Prophet had given orders not to prohibit women from going to the mosque. For instance, there is one which quotes the Holy Prophet as saying: "Do not prohibit the handmaids of Allāh from going to the mosques of Allāh" (Bu. 11 : 12). According to another, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said that if a woman wanted to go to the mosque at night, she should not be prohibited from doing so (Bu. 10 : 162). The words of a third ḥadīth are more general: "When the wife of one of you asks permission to go out, she should not be prohibited from doing so" (Bu. 10 : 166). There was an express injunction that on the occasion of the 'Id festival women should go out to the place where prayers were said; even women in a state of menstruation were to be present, though they would not join the prayers (Bu. 13 : 15, 20). The practice for women to be present in the mosques at the time of prayer seems to have continued long enough after the Holy Prophet's time. Within the mosque they were not separated from men by any screen or curtain; only they formed into a line behind the men (Bu. 10 : 164); and though they were covered decently with an over-garment, they did not wear a veil. On the occasion of the great gathering of the pilgrimage a woman is expressly forbidden to wear a veil (Bu. 25 : 23). Many ḥadīth show that they formed themselves into a back row and the men retained their seats until the women went out of the mosque (M. 4 : 28). This practice seems to have existed for a very long time. Thus we read of women calling out *Allāhu Akbar* along with men in the mosque during the three days following 'Īd al adḥā so late as the time of 'Umar ibn 'Abd al-'Azīz, the Umayyad Caliph, who ruled about the end of the first century (Bu. 13 : 12). In the year 256 A. H., the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Governor of Makka is said to have tied ropes between the columns to make a separate place for women (En. Is. art. *Masjid*). Later on, the practice grew up of erecting a wooden barrier in the mosque to form a separate place for women, but by and by the *parda* conception grew so strong that women were altogether shut out from the mosques.

Another question connected with this subject relates to the entrance of women into mosques during their menstruation. It must be borne in mind, in the first place, that in Islām a state of menstruation or confinement is not looked upon as a state of impurity, as in many other religions. All that the Holy Qur'ān says about menstruation is that conjugal relations should be discontinued during the state of menstruation: "And they ask thee about menstruation. Say, It is harmful; so keep aloof from women during the menstrual discharge" (2 : 222).¹ According to Ḥadīth, a woman is exempted from saying her prayers, or keeping the fast, so long as menstruation lasts. As regards pilgrimage, she may perform all obligations except *ṭawāf* (making the circuits of the Ka'ba), but there is no idea of impurity attaching to her in this condition. There is a very large number of ḥadīth showing that all kinds of social relations with women in this condition were permitted, that the husband and wife could occupy the same bed, that the Holy Prophet used to recite the Holy Qur'ān when sitting in close contact with his wife who had her courses on, and that a woman in this condition was allowed to handle the Holy Qur'ān (Bu. 6 : 2, 3, 5, 6, 7). There is, however, a ḥadīth from which the conclusion is drawn that a woman

1. Keeping aloof in this condition relates only to conjugal relations, not to social relations, as the words, that follow, show: "Then when they have cleansed themselves, go in to them as Allāh has commanded you" (2 : 222). The cleansing spoken of here signifies having a bath when the menstrual discharge is over.

PRAYER

should not enter the mosque during the menstrual discharge, but evidently there is some misunderstanding here, for if she could handle the Holy Qur'ān why could she not enter the mosque? The ḥadīth runs thus: " 'Ā'isha says that the Holy Prophet said to her, Hand me over the mat from the mosque. I said, I am in a state of menstruation. The Holy Prophet said, Thy menstruation is not in thy hands " (AD. 1 : 104). Apparently the Holy Prophet wanted a mat which was in the mosque and he asked 'Ā'isha to hand it over to him. Now the general opinion concerning a menstruating woman, before Islām, was that she was defiled, and 'Ā'isha's reply seems to have been given under that impression. The Holy Prophet's reply, on the other hand, clearly shows this conception to have been a mistaken one : " Thy menstruation is not in thy hands." The reply shows clearly that menstruation did not defile a woman ; it was quite a different thing that she be required to abstain from saying her prayers on account of this trouble. Even if it be supposed that she could get hold of the mat simply by stretching her hand into the mosque, how could there be a difference between the hand and the foot in this respect ? If the menstruation was not in her hand, as the Holy Prophet said, neither was it in her foot. The hands and the feet were equally free from any taint of impurity. In fact, the whole body was undefiled. There is, however, another ḥadīth which represents the Holy Prophet as saying: " I do not make the mosque lawful for a menstruating woman or for a person who is under an obligation to perform a total ablution " (AD. 1 : 91). But this ḥadīth has been called weak and cannot therefore be relied on. Or, the mosque here simply represents the prayer service from which such persons are exempted. As the ḥadīth quoted above show, there is not the least idea of defilement in a

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

menstruating woman. Similarly there are ḥadīth showing that what a menstruating woman touches with her mouth is not defiled (AD. 1 : 100). Nay, the very clothes which she wears need not be washed if they are not actually defiled (Bu. 6 : 11). The ḥadīth mentioned above is therefore no bar against a woman's entrance into the mosque when she is menstruating, but as she is to abstain from prayer, she has no need to go there.

Every mosque will ordinarily have a *mutawallī*, Office-bearers of the (lit. *guardian*) who is charged with mosque its management by those who have built it. The *mutawallī* has the right to appoint the Imām, or the man who leads the prayers, but he has no right to prohibit Muslims, on account of sectarian differences, from entering the mosque. Every mosque has also generally a *mu'adhdhin* who gives the call for prayers. The *mu'adhdhin* may also look after the mosque. But the most important man in the mosque is the Imām, the man who leads the prayers and delivers the sermon (*khutba*) on Friday. The honour of leading the prayers was, in the time of the Holy Prophet, and also for a long time after that, given to the best man in the community. Bukhārī has the following heading for one of his chapters : "Those who are well-grounded in knowledge and possess the greatest excellence are most entitled to *imāma* or the leadership of prayer" (Bu. 10 : 46). Under this heading, he quotes ḥadīth in which it is narrated that when the Holy Prophet was on his death-bed, he appointed Abū Bakr to lead the prayers in his place, and when he was requested to appoint 'Umar instead, as Abū Bakr was too tender-hearted, he refused to do so. Abū Dāwūd narrates sayings of the Holy Prophet requiring the honour of *imāma* to be conferred on the man who was most learned in the Holy Qur'ān, or in a case where two men were equal in that respect, other considerations were to be

PRAYER

applied. The Holy Prophet himself was the Imām in the central mosque at Madīna, and after him his successors, the respective *khalīfas*, Abū Bakr, ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān. When a governor was appointed to a province, he was also appointed as Imām to lead the prayers, and this practice continued for a long time. In fact, the honour of *imāma* in Islām was as great as the honour of kingship, and the two offices, the office of the spiritual leader and that of the temporal leader, were combined in one person for a long time. As the ruler himself was the Imām at the centre, so were his governors the Imāms in the different provincial headquarters. The priest and the present-day *mullā* had no place in early Islām. Nor does the Imām, like the mosque, stand in need of consecration, because every one is consecrated by entering into the fold of Islām. Any one can lead the prayers in the absence of the Imām, and any one may act as Imām when several people are gathered together. The present practice of having paid Imāms, whose only duty is to lead the prayers, is to a very large extent responsible for the degeneration of the Muslims. These people have generally no sense of the dignity of Islām and its institutions, nor have they the light, learning and general experience which should entitle them to claim to lead the Muslims spiritually. A woman is also spoken of as acting as an Imām, while men followed her, though it was in her own house (AD. 2 : 58).

Section 3—Purification.

Prayer, according to the Holy Qur’ān and Ḥadīth, as a prelude to prayer. Outward purification is the means for the purification of soul, and of the body and the garments a man wears, which is declared to be necessary as a preparation for prayer. By a consensus of opinion, the 74th chapter of the Holy Qur’ān is the second revelation which the Holy Prophet received after the first five verses

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

of the 96th chapter, and the first five verses of this chapter may here be quoted to show the importance of outward cleanliness in the religion of Islām: "O thou that art clothed ! arise and warn, and thy Lord do magnify, and thy garments do purify, and uncleanness do shun" (74 : 1-5). Thus warning the people, magnifying the Lord which is done through prayer, and purifying the garments and the body, are laid down here as three fundamental duties. The two ideas, the purification of the body and the soul, are very often mentioned together in the Holy Qur'an. I quote one more example : " Surely Allāh loves those who turn much to Him, and He loves those who purify themselves" (2 : 222). Ḥadīth also lays special stress on outward purification. According to one ḥadīth, " purification is the key of prayer" (Tr. 1 : 3) ; according to another, " religion is built on cleanliness ;" and in a third ḥadīth it is stated that " purification is one-half of faith" (IM. 1 : 5). The implication is clear. Inward purity is the real aim, but outward purity is a necessary preparation. A pure mind in a pure body is the watchword of Islām.

The making of outward purification as a necessary preparation for prayer is not intended only to direct attention to the real aim which is the purity of the soul, but also to ensure constant purification of the body which is in itself a great necessity of life, for the man who purifies himself five times a day would undoubtedly be in a constant state of physical purity. The Holy Qur'an recommends good clothing generally : " Say, Who has prohibited the embellishment of Allāh which He has brought forth for His servants and the good foods?" (7 : 32). Here clothing is called an embellishment, to show that good clothes add to beauty; and elsewhere it is stated that they are meant as a covering and also as a beauty : " O children of Adam !

PRAYER

We have indeed sent down to you clothing to cover your shame and clothing for beauty " (7 : 26). And in the case of prayer, it is further stated: " O children of Adam ! attend to your embellishments at every time (or place) of prayer " (7 : 31). This shows that when assembling in mosques for prayer, attention must be paid to outward appearance and purity as well. One reason for this direction is that an assemblage of people in prayer, dirty in clothing or person, would undoubtedly be offensive to others. Hence it is specially laid down that, in the larger gatherings on Fridays, every one must take a bath before coming to prayer, and use scent if possible.

The first condition of bodily purification is wudzū' or partial ablution. The word wudzū' Wudzū' is derived from wadza' which means *husn* or beauty (N.), and in the terminology of Islamic law means the washing of certain parts of the body before prayers. The necessary details of wudzū' are given in the Holy Qur'ān in one of the latest sūras,¹ though in practice it is traceable to the very time when prayer was made obligatory. To this practice which the Holy Prophet undoubtedly instituted by Divine light, or inner revelation (*wahy khafiy*), sanction is given in the following words : " O you who believe ! when you rise up to prayer, wash your faces and your hands as far as

1. In Ḥadīth, certain precautions are recommended in the case of natural evacuations, so that no part of the excrement or filth should remain on the body or defile the clothes. These consist in the use of pebbles—whose place may, if necessary, be taken by toilet paper,—and water, after the passing of urine or stools, or simply water. These are apparently very minor details of life but they play an important part in the preservation of cleanliness and health. Similarly removal of superfluous hair, i.e., hair under the arm-pit or that of *regi pubis*, is enjoined for the same purpose, that is to say, for the sake of cleanliness and health. The practice of circumcision, or the removal of superfluous flesh, which, according to the Bible, dates back to Abraham, has its origin in the same idea. That circumcision is also a remedy for many kinds of diseases is now generally recognized by medical opinion.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

the elbows, and wipe your heads, and (wash)¹ your feet to the ankles" (5 : 6).

The practice of the Holy Prophet, as recorded in Ḥadīth, contains substantially the same details. Briefly they may be summed up as follows :—

1. The hands are first washed up to the wrists.
2. The mouth is then cleaned with water, or by rinsing with a tooth-brush and by gargling if necessary.
3. The nostrils are then cleaned by snuffing a little water into them and blowing the nose if necessary.²
4. The face is then washed from the forehead to the chin and from one ear to the other.
5. Then the right hand, and after that the left, is washed from the wrist to the elbow.
6. The head is then wiped over with wet hands, three fingers of both hands, between the little finger and and the thumb, being joined together, and the inner side of the ears wiped with fore-fingers and its outer side with thumbs.
7. The feet are then washed up to the ankles, the right foot being washed first.

If socks or stockings are being worn, and they have been put on after performing an ablution, it is not necessary to take them off; the three fingers of the wet hand may be passed over them. The same practice may be resorted to in the case of boots. If the socks or the

1. The Shi'as hold that the feet are simply to be wiped like the head, but in the received text of the Holy Qur'ān, the words used are *arjula-kum*, where *arjul* (feet) having a *naṣab* over it, is in the objective case and is governed by the verb *aghṣilū* which means *wash*, the meaning thus being "wash your feet." If *arjul* had been governed by *imsaḥū bi* meaning "wipe your feet," the words would have read *arjuli-kum*, not *arjula-kum*.

2. It will be seen that the Holy Qur'ān, in speaking of *wuḍū'*, begins with the washing of the face, without speaking of the first three stages. The reason is that the washing of the face includes these three things, the washing of the hands to wrists as a preliminary to, and cleansing the mouth and the nose as part of, the washing of the face. The Ḥadīth only gives greater details.

PRAYER

boots are then taken off, the wudzū' remains. It is however necessary that the feet should be washed once in every twenty-four hours¹.

Wudzū' may be performed before every prayer, but the necessity for it arises only when there has been a natural evacuation,² or when a man has been fast asleep.

It will be seen that, besides the religious object which is to remind man of the necessity for inner purification, the great aim in wudzū' is to foster habits of cleanliness. Such parts of the body as are generally exposed are washed, in wudzū', time after time, so that dust or uncleanness of any kind may be removed and they may be clean at all times. To wash and water the extremities of the body is also beneficial for health. That health and cleanliness are two of the great purposes which wudzū' serves, in addition to its spiritual meaning, is shown by the stress which is laid on rinsing the mouth with the aid of a *miswāk* or tooth-brush. A very large number of diseases find their way into the human system through a dirty mouth, and a mouth and teeth that are

1. Wudzū', as described here, is taken from the most authentic Ḥadīth collections, and is a very simple process, the object of which is to cleanse the parts which are generally exposed. Later theologians have added a large number of unnecessary details. Everybody knows best how to clean a particular part of the body and whether to wash it once or twice or thrice. As regards the particular *adhkār* to be repeated at the washing of particular parts, authoritative opinion is that all these *adhkār* are fabrications, with the exception of saying *bismillāh* at the beginning and repeating the *kalima* at the end, adding the words, *Allāh-umma-j'al-nī min al-tawwābīn wa-j'al-nī min al-mutaṭahhīrīn*, "O Allāh! make me of those who turn to Thee again and again and make me of those who purify themselves" (ZM. I, p. 50).

2. Natural evacuation includes the passing of urine, stools, and wind. The Holy Qur'ān speaks of natural evacuation as *coming from ghā'it* (4 : 43), the last word meaning *low land* to which people generally resorted for a privy. The use of this word indicates that anything which offends others should be done in a lonely place, and the mosque, where other people are assembled, is not such a place.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

kept clean not only improve the general cleanliness of the body but also exclude a large number of diseases. The Holy Prophet thought so highly of the tooth-brush that he never neglected it under any conditions; even on his death-bed he asked for a tooth-brush, and expired only a few minutes afterwards (Bu. 64 : 83). It was his custom to arise for the Tahajjud prayers soon after midnight, and even at that hour he used first to clean his mouth and teeth with a tooth-brush (Bu. 4 : 73; M. 2 : 13). He attached such importance to the cleansing of the mouth that he used repeatedly to say that the only thing which prevented him from declaring the use of the tooth-brush obligatory at every prayer (*i.e.*, at least five times daily) was the fear that it might be a burden on his community. (Bu. 19 : 9 ; 30 : 27 ; 94 : 9). On another occasion he is reported to have said that the tooth-brush cleans the mouth and brings about the pleasure of God (*mardzāt-un li-l-Rabb*) (Bu. 30 : 27). Similarly gargling is recommended to keep the throat clean, which is also beneficial to health.

The taking of a bath is rendered necessary in certain

cases.¹ It should be noted in this connection that it is a mistake to call

1. These cases are : (1) *pollutio nocturna* or *ihṭilām*, (2) *coitus* or sexual intercourse: and in the case of women especially, (3) menses or *ḥaidz* and (4) *puerpurium* or *nifās*. During the period of menses and *puerpurium* a woman is exempted from prayer. Menstruation generally lasts from three to ten days, and a bath should be taken, when the flow stops, after a minimum period of three days, the maximum limit being ten days, after which bath should be necessarily taken. In the first two conditions a man is called *junub*, from *janb* meaning *a side*. To call this a state of pollution or defilement is not correct, and no lexicologist supports it. On a certain occasion when one such person, a *junub*, described himself as *najs* (*polluted* or *defiled*) in the presence of the Holy Prophet, he corrected him by saying that a Muslim does not become defiled (Bu. 5 : 23). It is a technical term and means *one who is under an obligation to perform a total ablution or bathing* (LL.). The connection with the root-meaning is that such a person is *on a side* or *remote* from prayer (R.).

PRAYER

the state in which a man is under an obligation to perform a wuḍzū or take a bath, a state of defilement. It is simply a first step towards going to prayer, and undoubtedly promotes habits of cleanliness and is conducive to health. The direction is contained in the Holy Qur'ān itself: "And if you are under an obligation to perform a total ablution (*junub*), then wash yourselves" (5 : 6). Bathing is also enjoined in Ḥadīth on occasions of great gatherings, such as the Friday prayers and the 'Id prayers, when clean clothes must also be put on, and scent must be used if available. These directions have thus both a religious and a hygienic value. They serve as a kind of preparation for going before a higher Presence, and help to wrest the attention of man from lower objects and divert it to the higher, and they also make the atmosphere in which gatherings of men take place, purer and healthier.

That there is in wuḍzū and the bath a religious purpose besides the hygienic one is shown by the fact that when water is not available, still it is necessary to perform an act which diverts attention from bodily purification to the purity of the soul, which is the aim of prayer. The direction is thus laid down in the Holy Qur'ān: "And if you are sick or on a journey or one of you come from the privy, or you have touched the women, and you cannot find water, betake yourselves (*tayammamū*) to pure earth and wipe your faces and your hands therewith. Allāh does not desire to put on you any difficulty, but He wishes to purify you and that He may complete His favour on you, so that you may be thankful" (5 : 6). Thus when a man is unable to find water, or when the use of water or the taking of a bath is harmful, he is enjoined to avail himself of pure earth, and this use of earth, instead of water, is stated to be a means of purification.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Now though earth may, under certain conditions, be a purifier, it is clear that the wiping of the face and the hands with it does not serve the purpose of bodily purification; yet it is plainly called a means of purification, and therefore it is the purification of the soul which is intended here. By the order of tayammum, attention is thus drawn to the inner purpose underlying wudzū' and the bath. The word tayammum is derived from *amma* meaning *he repaired to a thing*, and tayammum therefore means, originally, simply *betaking oneself to a thing*, and since the word is used here in connection with betaking oneself to pure earth, tayammum has come technically to mean this particular practice. As stated in the Holy Qur'ān, and amplified in Ḥadīth, tayammum consists in striking both hands on pure earth or anything containing pure dust, then blowing off the excess of dust from the hands, and passing the hands over the face and the backs of the two hands, the left over the right and the right over the left¹ (Bu. 7 : 4, 5).

Sec. 4—The *Adhān*.

The word *adhān* is derived from *idhn* which means originally *anything that is heard* (The origin of *adhān*. *udhun* meaning *the ear*), and hence it comes to mean *knowledge* or *giving knowledge* that a thing is permitted (R.), and *adhān* or *ta'dhīn* means *a making known* or *an announcement* or *announcement of prayer* and *of the time thereof*—the *call to prayer*, (LL.). The words *adhān* (9 : 3) and *adhdhana*, the perfect form of *ta'dhīn*, and *mu'adhhdhin*, the nominative

1. There are certain ḥadīth which speak of passing the hands over the parts of the hand which are washed in wudzū', but Bukhārī gives no credit to these reports, and heads the fifth chapter of his book of Tayammum with the express words: "Tayammum is only for *wajh* (the face) and *kaffain* (the two hands)."

PRAYER

form (7 : 44 ; 12 : 70), have been used in the Holy Qur'an in the general sense of *making an announcement*, while the call to prayer is expressed by the word *nidā* (5 : 58 ; 62 : 9), which means *calling out*, with the addition of the words *li-l-ṣalā*, or *for prayer*. Regarding the origin of adhān, Bukhārī tells us that when the Muslims came to Madīna, they used, at first, to have a time appointed for prayer, at which they all gathered together, but that this arrangement being unsatisfactory, a consultation was held at which suggestions for ringing a bell or blowing a horn having been rejected, 'Umar proposed that a man should be appointed who should call out for prayer, at which the Holy Prophet ordered Bilāl to call out for prayers in the words of adhān as we now have it (Bu. 10 : 1, 2).¹ The need for adhān became felt after the emigration of the Muslims to Madīna, because at Makka the unbelievers did not allow the Muslims to say their prayers openly.

The adhān is delivered in every mosque, or wherever

The delivery of a adhān. there is a gathering for congregational prayer (Bu. 10 : 18), five times a day. The call is given out from a minaret or some raised platform, in as loud a voice as possible, so that it may reach the ears of the greatest possible number of men. The man giving the call stands with his face to the Qibla, *i.e.*, towards Makka, with both hands raised to the ears, chanting the following sentences in the order given:—

اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ Allāhu Akbar, Allāhu Allāh is the Greatest (re-
 اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ Akbar, Allāhu Akbar peated four times).
 اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ Allāhu Akbar.

1. There are other ḥadīth which speak of the visions of ‘Abd-Allāh ibn Zaid and ‘Umar who saw a man calling out for prayer in the words ordered by the Holy Prophet, but it appears also from Ḥadīth that the Holy Prophet gave orders before these visions were related to him, and it was Divine inspiration which guided him to the adhān.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

أَشْهَدُ أَنْ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ	Ashhadu an lā ilāha ill- Allāh, Ashhadu an lā ilāha ill-Allāh.	I bear witness that noth- ing deserves to be wor- shipped except Allāh (repeated twice).
أَشْهَدُ أَنَّ مُحَمَّدًا رَسُولُ اللَّهِ	Ashhadu anna Muḥam- mad-an Rasūlu-llāh,	I bear witness that
أَشْهَدُ أَنَّ مُحَمَّدًا رَسُولُ اللَّهِ	Ashhadu anna Muḥam- mad-an Rasūlu-llāh.	Muḥammad is the Apo- stle of Allāh (repeated twice).
حَيَّ عَلَى الصَّلَاةِ	Ḥayya 'ala-ṣ-ṣalā	Come to prayer (repeated
حَيَّ عَلَى الصَّلَاةِ	Ḥayya 'ala-ṣ-ṣalā.	twice, turning the face to the right).
حَيَّ عَلَى الْفَلَاحِ	Ḥayya 'ala-l-falāḥ, Ḥayya	Come to success (repeat-
حَيَّ عَلَى الْفَلَاحِ	'ala-l-falāḥ.	ed twice, turning the face to the left).
اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ	Allāhu Akbar, Allāhu	Allāh is the Greatest (re-
اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ اللَّهُ أَكْبَرُ	Akbar.	peated twice).
لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ	Lā ilāha ill-Allāh.	Nothing deserves to be worshipped except Allāh.

The following sentence is added in the call to morning prayer after *Ḥayya 'ala-l-falāḥ* :

الصَّلَاةُ خَيْرٌ مِنَ النَّوْمِ	Aṣ-ṣalātu <u>khair</u> -un min- n naum. Aṣ-ṣalātu <u>khair</u> -	Prayer is better than sleep
الصَّلَاةُ خَيْرٌ مِنَ النَّوْمِ	un mina n-naum.	(repeated twice).

When the call to prayer is finished, the crier as well as the hearers make a petition in the following words :—

اللَّهُمَّ رَبِّ هَذِهِ الدَّعْوَةُ التَّامَّةُ	Allāh-umma Rabba	O Allāh ! the Lord of this
وَالصَّلَاةُ الْقَائِمَةُ أَتَى مُحَمَّدًا	hādhi-hi-d-da'wati-t- tāmmati wa-ṣ-ṣalāti-l- qā'imati ātī Muḥam-	perfect call and ever- living prayer, grant to
الْوَسِيلَةَ وَالْفَضِيلَةَ وَابْعَثْهُ	mada-ni-l-wasīlata wa- l-faḍḍilata wa-b'ath-hu	Muḥammad nearness and excellence and raise
مَقَامًا مَحْبُودًا ۝ الَّذِي وَعَدْتَهُ	maqām-an maḥmūda-ni -lladhī wa'adta-hū.	him to the position of glory which Thou hast promised him.

The *adhān* is not only an announcement of the time of prayer, but also of the great principles of the faith of Islām and

Significance of *adhān*. of the significance underlying them. It is an announce-
ment, made five times daily, from hundreds of thousands

PRAYER

of minarets, of the Unity of God and of the prophethood of Muḥammad, which are the two fundamental principles of Islām. But this announcement goes further and carries also the significance of the Unity of God which is contained in the words Allāhu-Akbar or Allāh is the Greatest, so that man must bow only before Him, while he can rule over all besides. And what in one word is the message of religion, the realization of the Divine in man, is declared with equal force—"Come to prayer." And the result is announced as well—"Come to success;" coming to prayer is the attainment of success in life, because it is only through the realization of the Divine in man that complete self-development (*falāḥ*) is attained. What a noble idea! The meaningless ringing of the bell or the blowing of the trumpet is replaced by an announcement of the principles of Islām and their significance, by a declaration made five times daily, that any one may attain to success in life through the door of the mosque. No more effective propaganda can be thought of. No one need remain in doubt as to what Islām is and what its message is. No one need read books to get at the principles of Islām; no one need listen to a philosophical dissertation as to the significance of those principles; no one need have a doubt as to the end in view in accepting these principles. To every one's door, nay, to his very ears, is carried the message every morning, every noon, every afternoon, every evening and at the time of going to bed, that the Unity of God and the apostleship of Muḥammad are the fundamental principles of Islām, that man must not bow before anything but God, that any one can attain to complete self-development, which is success in life, through the realization of the Divine in him, which is brought about by prayer to God.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Sec. 5—Times of Prayer.

The institution of prayer in Islām is a perfectly regularized institution, and it is the first lesson which a Muslim learns in the organization of things. Without divesting the individual of his liberty to pray to God at whatsoever place and time and in whatsoever manner he likes, Islām has thoroughly organized the institution of prayer. As I have said already, prayer has not only a value for the individual but a far greater value in the organization of society. It brings about not only the development of the individual but also a perfect development of society, being a means for the unification of humanity. This latter object cannot be attained without the organization of the institution of prayer, without having a fixed place and fixed times and a uniform method, so that through it individuals may be brought together. Hence it is that the Holy Qur'ān requires prayer to be said at appointed times: "Surely prayer is a timed ordinance for the believers" (4 : 103).

The Holy Qur'ān does not explicitly state that prayer should be said at such and such times, but on different occasions it gives indications of the different times of prayer. This is seen, for example, in a very early revelation in the words: "Keep up prayer from the declining of the sun till the darkness of the night and the morning recitation; surely the morning recitation is witnessed. And during a part of the night, forsake sleep by it, beyond what is incumbent on thee; maybe thy Lord will raise thee to a position of great glory" (17 : 78, 79). Now, as the Sunna of the Prophet shows, there are four prayers which follow one another successively, the beginning of the one that follows synchronizing with the end of the time of the previous prayer, these being the

PRAYER

two afternoon prayers and the two evening prayers ; while the morning prayer is separated from the prayers that precede and follow by a long interval. Hence the morning prayer is here spoken of separately from the other four which are spoken of together in the words "from the declining of the sun till the darkness of the night." As would be shown later on, the time of each of these prayers extends till the time of the next following prayer, with the exception of a very short interval, when the sun is actually setting, between the late afternoon and the sunset prayer. It is clear from this that excluding the morning prayer, the prayers begin with the declining of the sun, which is therefore the time for the first of these prayers, and end when the night has become dark, which is the time for the last prayer of the day. Thus, besides the morning prayer, the times of two other prayers, the *Zuhr* and the '*Ishā*, are clearly given here. In addition to the obligatory prayers, the night prayer called *Tahajjud*, which is stated to be *nāfila* (voluntary), is spoken of in this verse. Elsewhere, at the same early period, these times are more explicitly spoken of : "And glorify thy Lord by the praising of Him before the rising of the sun and before its setting, and during hours of the night do also glorify Him and during parts of the day, that thou mayest be well-pleased " (20 : 130). That the glorification here referred to is prayer, is shown by the context, since 20 : 132 says : " And enjoin prayer on thy followers and adhere steadily to it." Here the morning prayer and the late afternoon prayer are indicated, while the two evening prayers are spoken of together. A third and a later Makkan verse throws further light on the times of the prayers : " And keep up prayer in the two parts of the day and in the first hours of the night " (11 : 114). The addition of the words "first hours" of the night here makes it clear that, apart from a prayer in the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

darkness of the night, which is spoken of in 17 : 78, there is also a prayer in the "first hours," that is to say, immediately after sunset. Thus the morning prayer, the early afternoon and the night prayer are referred to in 17 : 78, the late afternoon prayer in 20 : 130, and the sunset prayer in 11 : 114.

The five times of prayer are thus mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān, not in one place but in many, as if by way of reference to something which already existed. As a matter of fact, the Holy Qur'ān only enjoins the *iqāma*, or organization, of prayer and the details of that organization were given by the Holy Prophet guided by the Holy Spirit (Bu. 9 : 1) or inner revelation (*wahy khafiyy*). The following are the times of the five obligatory prayers, and their names, as met with in the Sunna of the Holy Prophet :

1. Fajr, or the morning prayer, is said after dawn and before sunrise. It is mentioned by name in the Holy Qur'ān in 17 : 78 and 24 : 58. This prayer is to be said when a man rises from his sleep in the morning. A Muslim must therefore habituate himself to rising before sunrise, but if he gets up late sometimes, then the prayer may still be said when he gets up, even though the sun may have risen (Bu. 9 : 35).

2. Zuhr, or the early afternoon prayer, is said when the sun begins to decline, and its time extends until the next prayer. In the hot season it is better to delay it till the severity of the sun is mitigated a little (Bu. 9 : 9).

3. 'Aṣr, or the late afternoon prayer, is said when the sun is about midway on its course to setting, and its time extends till the sun begins to set. But it is better to say it when the sun is yet high (Bu. 9 : 11).

PRAYER

4. Mağhrib, or the sunset prayer, is said immediately after the sun sets, and its time extends till the red glow in the west disappears.

5. 'Ishā, or the night prayer, is said when the red glow in the west disappears, and its time extends till midnight. It is mentioned by name in the Holy Qur'ān in 24 : 58. This prayer must be said at the time of going to bed, so that it is the last act of the day, just as the morning prayer is the first act of the day.

The two afternoon prayers, Zuhr and 'Aṣr, may be combined when one is on a journey, and so may the two night prayers, Mağhrib and 'Ishā (Bu. 18 : 13, 14, 15). Such a combination is also allowed when it is raining, and according to one ḥadīth this combination may be effected even when there is neither journey nor rain. Thus Ibn 'Abbās says : " The Holy Prophet, may peace and the blessings of Allāh be upon him, combined the Zuhr and 'Aṣr prayers, eight rak'as, and the Mağhrib and 'Ishā prayers, seven rak'as, and this was in Madīna. Ayyūb said, It may have been on a raining night. He replied, Maybe " (Bu. 9 : 12). The Holy Prophet was in this case in Madīna, and therefore not journeying, and as to rain, the narrator was not sure. Muslim is clearer on this point. Ibn 'Abbās' report, there, is in the following words : " The Holy Prophet combined the Zuhr and 'Aṣr prayers and the Mağhrib and 'Ishā prayers when there was neither journey nor fear," and being asked why he did it, the reply was, " so that his followers may not be in difficulty " (M. 6 : 5). According to another report, the words are that combination was effected in Madīna when there was neither fear nor rain (M. 6 : 5). This combination is called *jam' bain al-ṣalātain* or the combination of two prayers. In the case of such

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

combination, both prayers may be said at the time of the earlier prayer, which is called *jam' taqdim*, or an early combination, or at the time of the latter prayer, which is called *jam' tākhīr*, or a late combination.

The only voluntary prayer spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān is Tahajjud ; see 17 : 79, Voluntary prayers quoted above. This night prayer finds frequent mention in the Holy Qur'ān and, while it is voluntary for the Muslims generally, the Holy Prophet, in one of the earliest revelations, was commanded to observe it : " O thou who hast wrapped up thyself ! rise to pray during night except a little, half of it, or lessen it a little, or add to it, and recite the Qur'ān well-arranged " (73 : 1-5). And in the same chapter, we are told further on, that this prayer was regularly observed by the Holy Prophet and even by his Companions : " Surely thy Lord knows that thou passest in prayer nearly two-thirds of the night and sometimes half of it, and sometimes a third of it, and also a party of those with thee " (73 : 20). Still as 17 : 79 shows, it is called *nāfila* or a voluntary prayer. It is said after midnight when one has had some sleep. *Tahajjud* is derived from *hajada* meaning *he was wakeful in the night* (LL.). In some ḥadīth, another voluntary prayer, the *Dzuḥā*, is mentioned. *Dzuḥā* is the time before noon, when the sun is high on the horizon, and the prayer said at this time is called *Dzuḥā*.

Sec. 6—The Service.

The Arabic word for prayer is *ṣalā*, which originally means *praying* or *the making of a prayer*. The form of the *supplication*, and was employed in this sense before Islām. In the Holy Qur'ān, the word is used both in the technical sense of Divine service as

PRAAYER

established by Islām, and in a general sense. In the latter, it means simply *praying* or *making a supplication*, as in the following verse: "Take alms out of their property, thou wouldst cleanse them and purify them thereby, and pray for them; surely thy prayer (*ṣalā*) is a relief to them" (9:103). In the technical sense it is almost always used with one of the derivatives of the word *iqāma* as *yuqīmūn al-ṣalā*, *aqīmu-l-ṣalā*, *aqīmi-l-ṣalā*, *muqīmi-l-ṣalā*, etc. *Aqāma* means *he kept a thing* or *an affair in a right state* (LL.). Hence the *iqāma* of *salā* would mean the *keeping of the prayer in a right state*, which includes both the proper observance of the outward form and maintaining its true spirit. The purification before prayer, the mosque, the fixing of times and finally the settling of the form, are all parts of the outward organization, without which the spirit could not have been kept alive. To keep alive the spirit, an outward form is essential, for the spirit cannot live without a body. This is as true of institutions as of life. To maintain the spirit of law and order is the object of every good government, yet this spirit can not be maintained without an external form. If therefore the object of religion is to enable man to seek and maintain a relationship with the Divine Spirit, that object cannot be attained without a form. In fact, as already stated, the great end in view, to bring about the unity of the human race through Divine service, could not have been attained without a regularity in form and without a uniformity prevailing throughout the whole of the Muslim world. Hence a form has been fixed for the institution of the Islamic prayer, the individual having, in addition, liberty to pray to God in accordance with the desire of his own soul, when and where and as he likes. Like the times of prayer, the form was revealed to the Holy Prophet by the Holy Spirit or Gabriel.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The outward form is not however the end ; it is only a help, a means to gain the end which is the maintaining of a true relationship with the Divine Spirit and purification of all evil inclinations. Thus in the Holy Qur'an, the observance of the form of the prayer is spoken of as being meant to free man from evil : " And keep up prayer in the two parts of the day and in the first hours of the night, for good deeds take away the evil ones ; this is a reminder to the mindful " (11 : 114). And only they are said to attain self-development or success, who are true to the spirit of the prayer : " Successful indeed are the believers, who are humble in their prayers " (23 : 1, 2). And the mere form without the spirit is condemned in one of the earliest revelations : " So woe to the praying ones, who are unmindful of (the spirit of) their prayer " (107 : 4, 5). It is a mistake to think that Islām only requires the form to be observed ; it no doubt enjoins a form but only a form with the spirit in it.

The Divine service, as already shown, is held five times a day, and each service has two parts, the congregational, which is called *fardz* (obligatory), and the individual, which is called *sunna* (the Prophet's practice). Each is made up of a number of rak'as varying from two to four. The word *rak'a* is derived from *raka'a* meaning *he bowed down*, and literally the rak'a is an act of bowing down before God. But technically it indicates one complete act of devotion which includes standing, bowing down, prostration and sitting reverentially, and is thus a kind of unit in the Divine service as inculcated by Islām. The order in which these different postures are adopted is a natural order. The worshipper first stands reverentially, and offers certain prayers ; then he bows

PRAYER

down and glorifies God; then he stands up again praising God; then falls prostrate placing his forehead on the ground and glorifying God; then he sits down in a reverential position and makes a petition; then again falls down in prostration. The congregational parts which are called fardz (obligatory) contain the following number of rak'as.

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Fajr, or morning prayer | ... 2 rak'as. |
| 2. Zuhr, or early afternoon prayer | ... 4 rak'as. |
| 3. 'Aṣr, or late afternoon prayer | ... 4 rak'as. |
| 4. Maghrib, or sunset prayer | ... 3 rak'as. |
| 5. 'Ishā, or night prayer | ... 4 rak'as. |

The individual parts which are called sunna (Prophet's practice) contain the following number of rak'as :

1. Fajr, 2 rak'as said before the congregational prayer.
2. Zuhr, 4 rak'as before the congregational prayer and 2 after it.
3. Maghrib, 2 rak'as after the congregational prayer.
4. 'Ishā, 2 rak'as after the congregational prayer, followed by three rak'as called witr (lit. *odd number*). The three rak'as of witr are really part of the voluntary prayer called Tahajjud, which consists of two rak'as said successively four times, followed by three rak'as.

Every rak'a consists of four parts. The first of these is the qiyām or the standing position. It is with this position that the prayer is started, the worshipper turning his face towards the Ka'ba, the Central Mosque of the world, and, raising both hands to his ears, utters the words Allāhu Akbar or Allāh is the Greatest. The utterance of the words Allāhu Akbar is called takbīr which means *magnifying* or *extolling the greatness* (of

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

God). The utterance of the same words at the opening of prayers is called *takbīr tahrīma* or *takbīrat al-ihrām*, the words *tahrīm* and *ihrām* meaning *prohibition*. This particular name is given to this takbīr because with its utterance, attention to everything but prayer is prohibited. As a sign of reverence for the Holy Presence, before Whom the worshipper stands, the hands are folded on the breast, the wrist of the right hand being just over the wrist of the left. That is the preferable position, but they may as well be folded lower below the navel, the palm of the right hand being over the left. Or they may be left quite free in the natural position. According to Imām Abū Ḥanīfa the hands are folded below the navel, and according to Shāfi'ī over the breast, while Imām Mālik is reported as leaving the hands free (H. *Kitāb al-ṣalā*), the Shī'as doing the same. But there is a report from Imām Mālik that the hands must be folded (Ma. 8 : 2).¹ These are small matters in which if people differ according to their tastes, there is no harm. The essential factor is that the worshipper should stand in a reverential position, having the feeling that he is standing before the Holy and Majestic Presence. In this position of qiyām, the Divine Being is praised and prayers are addressed to Him and certain portions of the Holy Qur'ān are recited, as will be explained later on.

The qiyām is followed by the rukū' which means *bowing down*. With the two exceptions stated in the next paragraph, every change from one posture to another is marked by takbīr, and so is the change from qiyām to rukū'. In the rukū', the worshipper while standing bows his head forward, placing both his hands on his knees, so that the back and

1 The heading of this chapter is *wadz' al-yadain ihdā-humā 'alā-l-ukhrā*, meaning *the placing of hands one over the other*.

PRAYER

the head are in a straight line. In this position words declaring Divine glory are uttered.

After the rukū' comes the sajda, or prostration, but before falling down in sajda the worshipper rises up from the rukū', so that he again assumes the standing position with both hands hanging down freely, and along with the assumption of this position the following words are uttered: *Sami' Allāhu li-man ḥamidah*, meaning, Allāh listens to him who praises Him. And, with this, words of Divine praise are uttered, *Rabba-nā wa la-k-al-ḥamd*, that is, "Our Lord! all praise is due to Thee." This is one of the exceptions to the utterance of takbīr on a change of posture, the other being the final act of finishing the prayer which is by means of a salutation instead of takbīr. After thus standing up, the worshipper falls down in sajda with "Allāhu Akbar" on his lips. In the state of sajda, the toes and fingers of both feet, both knees, both hands and the forehead touch the ground, and thus a posture of the utmost humility is assumed, while words declaring the Divine glory and Divine greatness are on the lips of the worshipper. This posture is assumed twice, the worshipper raising his head with the takbīr on his lips, and sitting in the position of jalsa as described in the next paragraph, uttering a short prayer, and again assuming the position of sajda while uttering takbīr, and repeating words of Divine glory and greatness.

The qa'da, meaning *sitting*, follows every two rak'as, the short sitting between two sajdas being called jalsa, which also means *sitting*. If it is a first rak'a, then after performing the second sajda the worshipper stands up while uttering the takbīr, and the second rak'a is performed in exactly the same manner as the first rak'a,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

so far as the different postures and their arrangement are concerned, there being only a slight difference in recitals, which will be noted in its place. When the second sajda of the second rak'a has been performed, the position of qa'da is assumed by the worshipper with the takbīr on his lips. In the sitting posture, the right foot remains in a standing position, as in the sajda, the tips of the toes touching the ground, while the left foot is spread with its back in contact with the ground, and the open hands placed on the knees.¹ This position is kept so long as the necessary recitals are made. If the prayer consists of three rak'as, then the standing position is again assumed by the worshipper with the takbīr on his lips, and the third rak'a is performed in the same manner as the second, and the position of qa'da is assumed again after the third rak'a. If it consists of four rak'as, then the standing position is assumed after the third rak'a, and the rak'a performed in the same manner. The last position, with which the prayer comes to an end, is in all cases the qa'da, and the prayer concludes with taslīm, *i.e.*, the utterance of the words *al-salāmu 'alāi-kum wa rahmatu-llāh*, or "Peace be on you and the mercy of Allāh."

It may be added here that the four positions, qiyām, rukū', sajda and qa'da, are all the possible positions which a man can assume to show reverence, and, so far as the physical position of the worshipper is concerned, the form adopted is the most perfect possible and leaves nothing to be desired. The different postures, even if unaccompanied by any prayers to or praise of the Divine

1. If a man by reason of any difficulty cannot assume this position with ease, he may adopt any reverential sitting posture which he finds easy.

PRAYER

Being, with silent contemplation of the Divine Presence, are sufficient to inspire the heart of the worshipper with true awe of the Divine Being, and to bring before his mind a picture of the great majesty and glory of God, as he stands up, then bows down, then places his forehead on the ground. The law allows certain modifications in certain cases; as, for instance, when a person is sick, he may say his prayers in a sitting position, or if unable to sit down, he may say them while lying down, dispensing with even the rukū' and the sajda if necessary. So, on a journey, a man is allowed to say his prayers while riding a horse or a camel (Bu. 18 : 7, 8, 19), and though this is expressly allowed only in the case of voluntary prayers, the obligatory or fardz prayer, in a railway carriage or on a boat, would follow the same rule, and the postures adopted in all such cases must be subject to the exigencies of the situation. Even the direction may not be towards Qibla. But when there is no exigency, a departure from the form prescribed by the Holy Prophet under the guidance of the Holy Spirit may not be made.

The question is asked sometimes if these positions are essential, and if an alteration in them would, in any way, affect the value of the prayer. Suppose a man simply kneels down and bows his head a little; another man on a chair bows his forehead on to a table placed before him; would it not answer the purpose? Another suggestion is that there should be arrangements in mosques similar to those in churches. These are undoubtedly the suggestions of great persons who do not want to mix with their humbler brethren, and the next step would be to have separate seats for distinguished men, so that they may be able to hold their heads above others proudly even in the House of God. The result would be a death-blow to the very object at

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

which Islām aims through the institution of prayer—that is, the creating of a spirit of humility and the levelling of differences between man and man. The spiritual experience of the man who lays his forehead on the ground as a sign of the utmost humility must be altogether different from that of him who sits in a chair; for it cannot be denied that the different postures of the body have a corresponding effect on the mind, and Islām seeks to make the spiritual experience of the Muslim perfect by making him assume one position of reverential humility after another, so that he may pass from one experience to another. And what after all is the idea at the bottom of all these suggestions? Only that such a man thinks himself too important to place his forehead on the ground before his Maker. Surely such a man will fail to acquire any spiritual experience of the true humility, and prayer for him will have no value.

Corresponding to the different postures of humility which the worshipper assumes in saying his prayers, he is enjoined to give expression to the praise and glory of God, to His great attributes of love, mercy, forgiveness, etc., to confess his own weakness, to pray for Divine help to support him in his weakness and for Divine guidance to lead him aright and make him achieve the goal of his existence. All such expressions are known in Arabic by the one name dhikr, which is generally translated as *remembrance*. The whole of prayer is called in the Holy Qur'ān dhikr-Allāh, or the remembrance of Allāh, as in 29 : 45 ; 62 : 9 ; 63 : 9, etc. The Holy Qur'ān is also frequently referred to as Dhikr. Hence whether a portion of the Holy Qur'ān is recited in prayer, or whether words giving expression to Divine glory and greatness are uttered, as taught by the Prophet, all goes by the name of dhikr.

PRAYER

The *qiyām* starts with the *takbīr* or the utterance of
Dhikr in *qiyām*. "Allāhu Akbar," whether it be the
 first rak'a or a subsequent one.

Nothing besides the *takbīr* must be said to open the prayers. To announce that the worshipper intends to say so many rak'as, *fardz* or *sunna*, having his face towards the *Qibla*, and so on, is simply absurd, as no trace of it is met with in the *Sunna* of the Holy Prophet or in the practice or sayings of the Companions or the *Tābi'in* or the four Imāms (ZM. I, p. 51).

Between the *takbīrat al-ihrām* and the recital of the Opening chapter of the Holy Qur'ān, which is the most essential factor in the whole of prayer, several kinds of *dhikr* are reported from the Holy Prophet. This *dhikr* is called *istiiftāh*, and the best-known *istiiftāh*, which the second Caliph 'Umar used to recite, is met with in a *ḥadīth* of the *Sunan* (ZM. I, p. 52). It is as follows :

سُبْحَانَكَ اللَّهُمَّ وَبِحَمْدِكَ وَ
 تَبَارَكَ اسْمُكَ وَتَعَالَى
 جَدُّكَ وَلَا إِلَهَ غَيْرُكَ

Subhāna-k-Allāh-umma
 wa bi-ḥamdi-ka wa
 tabāraka-smu-ka wa ta-
 'ālā jaddu-ka wa lā ilāha
 ḡhairu-ka (A.D. 2 : 120).

Glory to Thee, O Allāh,
 and Thine is the praise,
 and blessed is Thy
 name, and exalted is
 Thy majesty, and there
 is none to be served
 besides Thee.

This *dhikr* is uttered in a low voice not heard by others. Bukhārī however relates a *ḥadīth* from Abū Huraira, according to which the Holy Prophet addressed the following prayer after the *takbīrat al-ihrām* :

اللَّهُمَّ بَاعِدْ بَيْنِي وَبَيْنَ خَطَايَايَ
 كَمَا بَاعَدْتَ بَيْنَ الْمَشْرِقِ وَالْمَغْرِبِ
 اللَّهُمَّ نَقِّهِمْ مِنْ خَطَايَا كَمَا يَنْقَى
 الثَّوبُ الْأَبْيَضُ مِنَ الدَّنَسِ اللَّهُمَّ
 اغْسِلْ خَطَايَايَ بِالْمَاءِ وَالْثَّلَجِ وَالْبَرَدِ

Allāh-umma bā'id baini
 wa baina khaṭāyā-ya
 kamā bā'adta bain-al-
 mashriqi wa-l-maghribi;
 Allāh-umma naqqini
 min-al-khaṭāyā kamā
 yunaqqa-th-thaub-ul-
 abyadzu min-ad-danasi;
 Allāh-umma aghsil
 khaṭāyā-ya bi-l-mā'i
 wa-th-thalji wa-l-baradi
 (Bu. 10 : 89).

O Allāh ! keep faults
 as distant from me as
 the east is distant from
 the west ; O Allāh !
 cleanse me of all faults
 as a white cloth is
 cleansed of dirt ; O
 Allāh ! wash away my
 faults with water and
 snow and hail.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Still another form mentioned in other ḥadīth is as follows :

اِنِّیْ رُحِمْتُ وَنَجِیْتُ لِلّٰہِ فَاَتَرُ
الْاَرْضَ حَنِیْفًا وَاَنَا
مِنَ الْمُشْرِکِیْنَ اِنَّ صَلَاتِیْ وَنُسُکِیْ
وَحَیَاۃَیْ وَمَمَاتِیْ لِلّٰہِ رَبِّ الْعٰلَمِیْنَ
لَا شَرِکَ لَہٗ وَبِذٰلِکَ اُبْرِتُ وَاَنَا
مِنَ الْمُسْلِمِیْنَ اَللّٰهُمَّ اَنْتَ الْمَلِکُ
لَا اِلٰہَ اِلَّا اَنْتَ اَنْتَ رَبِّیْ وَاَنَا
عَبْدُکَ ظَلَمْتُ نَفْسِیْ اَعْرِضْ عَنْیْ
فَاَغْفِرْ لِدُنُوْبِیْ جَمِیْعًا لَا یَغْفِرُ
الدُّنُوْبَ اِلَّا اَنْتَ وَاَهْدِنِیْ اِلَیْ
الْاِحْلَاقِ لَا یَهْدِیْ اِلَّا حَسْبُہَا اِلَّا
اَنْتَ وَاَصْرِفْ عَنِیْ سَبِّہَا لَا یَصْرِفُ
سَبِّہَا اِلَّا اَنْتَ

Inn-i wajjahtu wajhiya li-
lladhī faṭar-as-samāwātī
wa-l-ardza ḥanīf-an
wa mā ana min-al-
mushrikīn. Inna ṣalātī
wa nusukī wa maḥyā-ya
wa mamātī li-llāhī
Rabbī-l-ālamīn lā
sharika la-hū wa
bi-dhālika umirtu wa
ana min-al-Muslimīn.
Allāh-umma anta-l-
Maliku lā ilāha illā anta,
anta Rabbi wa ana
'abdu-ka, ḡalamtu nafsi
wa-'taraftu bi-dhanbi
fa-ghfir-li dhunūbī
jamī'an lā
yaghfiru-dh-dhunūba
illā anta ; wa-hdi-ni
li-aḡsani-l-akh-lāqī
lā yahdi li-aḡsani-hā
illā anta wa-ṣrif 'annī
sayyi'a-hā lā yaṣrifu
sayyi'a-hā illā anta.

(AD. 2 : 119).

Surely I have turned my-
self, being upright,
wholly to Him Who
originated the heavens
and the earth and I am
not of the polytheists.
Surely my prayer and
my sacrifice and my
life and my death are
for Allāh, the Lord of
the worlds, no associate
has He ; and this I am
commanded and I am
one of those who sub-
mit. O Allāh ! Thou art
the King, none is to be
served but Thee ; Thou
art my Lord and I am
Thy servant ; I have
been unjust to myself
and I confess my short-
comings, so forgive
Thou all my shortcom-
ings, for none forgives
the shortcomings but
Thou. O Allāh ! guide
me to the best of morals,
none guides to the best
of them but Thou, and
turn away from me bad
morals, none can turn
away bad morals but
Thou.

Some other forms are also given in Ḥadīth, which shows that if the worshipper offers any other prayer or utters any other words glorifying the Divine Being it is quite permissible to him to do so.

The above dhikr is followed by the words :

اَعُوْذُ بِاللّٰہِ مِنَ الشَّیْطٰنِ الرَّجِیْمِ

A'ūdhu bi-llāhī mina-
sh-shaiṭāni-r-rajīm.

I seek the refuge of
Allāh from the accursed
devil.

PRAYER

The *dhikr* mentioned above is peculiar to the opening rak'a of a prayer. It is actually with the *Fātiḥa* that the prayer opens, for it is this short sūra that is recited in every rak'a. The *Fātiḥa*, the salient points of which have already been given, runs thus :

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ	Bi-smi-llāhi-r-Raḥmāni	In the name of Allāh, the
الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ	-r-Raḥīm.	Beneficent, the Merciful.
الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ	1. Al-ḥamdu li-llāhi	1. All praise is due to
الَّذِينَ إِلَيْكَ نَعْبُدُ وَإِلَيْكَ	Rabbi-l-'ālamīn.	Allāh, the Lord of the
نَسْتَعِينُ	2. Ar-Raḥmāni-r-Raḥīm	worlds.
الْمُسْتَقِيمِ	3. Māliki yaumi-d-dīn.	2. The Beneficent, the
أَنْعَمْتَ عَلَيْهِمْ	4. Iyyā-ka na'budu wa	Merciful.
الْمُضِلِّينَ	1yyā-ka nasta' in.	3. Master of the Day of
	5. Ihdi-na-ṣ-ṣirāṭ-al-	Requital.
	mustaqīm.	4. Thee do we serve and
	6. Ṣirāṭ-alladhina	Thee do we beseech for
	an'amta 'alai-him.	help.
	7. Ghairi-l-maghdzūbi	5. Guide us on the right
	'alai-him wa la-	path.
	dz-dzāllīn.	6. The path of those on
		whom Thou hast bes-
		towed favours.
		7. Not those upon whom
		wrath is brought down,
		nor those that go astray.

At the close of the above is said "Āmīn," which means "Be it so."

The Holy Prophet used to pause a little after the recital of the *Fātiḥa* (Bu. 10 : 89 ; ZM. I, p. 53) ; perhaps he used this pause to offer some prayer to the Divine Being on his own behalf or on behalf of his community.

The recital of the *Fātiḥa* is followed by any other portion of the Holy Qur'ān ; it may be a short or a long sūra, or it may be one or more verses selected from anywhere, the *qirā'a*, as it is called. I give below a short sūra, *al-Ikhlāṣ* or Unity, the 112th chapter of the Holy Qur'ān, which in its four very short verses contains the doctrine of the Unity of the Divine Being in its perfection :

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ	Bi-smi-llāhi-r-	In the name of Allāh, the
قُلْ هُوَ اللَّهُ أَحَدٌ	Raḥmāni-r-Raḥīm.	Beneficent, the Merciful.
اللَّهُ	1. Qul huw-Allāhu Aḥad.	1. Say, He, Allāh, is One.
الصَّمَدُ	2. Allāhu-s-Ṣamad.	2. Allāh is He on Whom
لَمْ يَلِدْ وَلَمْ يُولَدْ	3. Lam yalid wa lam yūlad.	all depend.
لَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا أَحَدٌ	4. Wa lam yakun la-hū kufuw-an aḥad ¹	3. He begets not nor is He begotten.
		4. And none is like Him.

It may be noted here that while the *Fātiḥa* is essential to prayer and must be recited in every rak'a of prayer, the addition thereto of any other portion of the Holy Qur'ān is dispensed with in certain cases, as in the third or fourth rak'a in case of a congregational prayer. A little pause was also generally observed by the Holy Prophet after *qirā'a* before going to rukū'.

The dhikr in the state of sajda differs but slightly from that in rukū'. Of the following, the first is the best-known dhikr for rukū', the second for sajda, while the third is an alternative form for either.

سُبْحَانَ رَبِّيَ الْعَظِيمِ	1. Subḥāna Rabbi-al-A'ẓim (AD. 2 : 149).	Glory to my Lord, the Great.
سُبْحَانَ رَبِّيَ الْأَعْلَى	2. Subḥāna Rabbi-al-A'lā (<i>Ibid</i>).	Glory to my Lord, the most High.
سُبْحَانَكَ اللَّهُمَّ رَبَّنَا	3. Subḥāna-k-Allāh-umma Rabba-nā wa bi-ḥamdi-ka Allāh-umma-ghfir-li (<i>Ibid</i>).	Glory to Thee, O Allāh, our Lord! and Thine is the praise; O Allāh! grant me protection.

This dhikr is repeated thrice, while to it may be added any other dhikr or prayer. The sajda, in parti-

1. The first verse declares the One-ness of God and thus denies any sort of plurality in the Divine Being, such as the Christian doctrine of the Trinity or the Magian doctrine of duality or the Hindū doctrine of polytheism. The second verse declares that nothing is independent of God, and thus denies the independent existence of matter and soul, a doctrine held by a Hindū sect of recent growth, the Ārya Samāj. The third verse is plain enough, God cannot be described either as a Father or as a Son as the Christians hold, nor has He any daughters as some idolaters said. The fourth verse declares that none is like God, and thus deals a death-blow to such doctrines as those of Incarnation and Manifestation, the latter being the basic doctrine of the Bahā'ī religion.

PRAYER

cular, is the most fitting position for addressing any prayer in any language to the Almighty. There are reports showing that the Holy Prophet used to pray in the *sajda* in both forms, in the form of glorifying and praising the Divine Being and in the form of petition or asking the Divine Being for His favours (ZM. I, p. 60). Numerous forms of these prayers are given in *Ḥadīth*, and all of them show an outpouring of the soul in a state of true submission, which is what, in fact, every worshipper needs, and therefore he is free to express the yearning of his soul before his great Maker in any way that he likes.

There are two sitting postures, the first being the *Ḍikr* in the sitting *jalsa*, the short sitting between the posture. two *sajdas*. The prayer addressed in this position is as follows :

اَللّٰهُمَّ اغْفِرْ لِيْ وَارْحَمْنِيْ وَاهْدِنِيْ
وَعَافِنِيْ وَارْزُقْنِيْ
وَاجْبُرْنِيْ وَارْقُضْنِيْ (ابن ماجه)

Allāh-umma-ghfir-li wa-
rḥam-nī wa-hdi-nī wa-
'āfi-nī wa-rzuq-nī (AD.
2 : 143), wa-jbur-nī
wa-rfa'nī (IM. 4 : 24).

O Allāh! grant me protection and have mercy on me and grant me security and guide me and grant me sustenance and set right my affairs and exalt me.

The second sitting position, the *qa'da*, is assumed, after two *rak'as* have been finished. And the following prayer, called *al-tashshahud*, is offered :

الشَّحَادَاتُ لِلّٰهِ وَالصَّلٰوةُ وَ
الطَّيِّبَاتُ السَّلَامُ عَلَيْكَ اَيُّهَا
النَّبِيُّ وَرَحْمَةُ اللّٰهِ وَبَرَكَاتُهُ السَّلَامُ
عَلَيْكَ وَعَلَىٰ عِبَادِ اللّٰهِ الصَّالِحِينَ
أَشْهَدُ اَنْ لَا اِلٰهَ اِلَّا اللّٰهُ وَأَشْهَدُ
اَنَّ مُحَمَّدًا عَبْدُهُ وَرَسُولُهُ

At-tahīyyātu li-llāhi wa-
ṣ-ṣalawātu wa-ṭ-ṭayyibātu ; as-salāmu 'alai-ka
ayyuha-n-nabiyyu wa
raḥmatu-llāhi wa
barakātu-hū, as-salāmu
'alai-nā wa 'alā 'ibādī-
llāhi-ṣ-ṣāliḥīn. Ashhadu
an lā ilāha ill-Allāhu
wa ashhadu anna
Muḥammad-an 'abdu-
hū wa rasūluh. (Tr.
2 : 100).

All services rendered by words and bodily actions and sacrifice of wealth are due to Allāh. Peace be on thee, O Prophet! and the mercy of Allāh and His blessings. Peace be on us and on the righteous servants of Allāh. I bear witness that none deserves to be worshipped but Allāh, and I bear witness that Muḥammad is His servant and His Apostle.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

If this is only the intermediate sitting in a prayer of three or four rak'as, the worshipper stands up after the above-mentioned dhikr, but if it is the final sitting, whether in a prayer of two or three or four rak'as, the following dhikr, called *al-ṣalā 'ala-l-Nabiyy*, is added :

اللَّهُمَّ صَلِّ عَلَى مُحَمَّدٍ وَعَلَى آلِ
مُحَمَّدٍ كَمَا صَلَّيْتَ عَلَى إِبْرَاهِيمَ وَ
عَلَى آلِ إِبْرَاهِيمَ إِنَّكَ حَمِيدٌ مَجِيدٌ
اللَّهُمَّ بَارِكْ عَلَى مُحَمَّدٍ وَعَلَى
آلِ مُحَمَّدٍ كَمَا بَارَكْتَ عَلَى
إِبْرَاهِيمَ وَعَلَى آلِ إِبْرَاهِيمَ
إِنَّكَ حَمِيدٌ مَجِيدٌ

Allāh-umma salli 'alā
Muḥammad-in wa 'alā
āli Muḥammad-in kamā
sallaita 'alā Ibrāhima
wa 'alā āli Ibrāhima
inna-ka Ḥ a m i d - u n
Majid.

Allāh-umma bārīk 'alā
Muḥammad-in wa 'alā
āli Muḥammad-in kamā
bārakta 'alā Ibrāhima
wa 'alā āli Ibrāhima
inna-ka Ḥamid-un
Majid (AD. 2 : 181).

O Allāh! exalt Muḥam-
mad and the true follo-
wers of Muḥammad as
Thou didst exalt Abra-
ham and the true follo-
wers of Abraham; sure-
ly Thou art Praised,
Magnified O Allāh!
bless Muḥammad and
the true followers of
Muḥammad as Thou
didst bless Abraham
and the true followers
of Abraham; surely
Thou art Praised, Mag-
nified.

The following prayer is then added :

رَبِّ اجْعَلْنِي مَقِيمَ الصَّلَاةِ وَمِنْ
ذُرِّيَّتِي رَبَّنَا وَتَقَبَّلْ دُعَاءِ
رَبَّنَا اغْفِرْ لِي وَلِوَالِدَيْ
لِلْمُؤْمِنِينَ يَوْمَ يَقُومُ الْحِسَابُ

Rabbi-j'al-ni muqīma-ṣ-
ṣalāti wa min dhurriyyati
Rabba-nā wa taqabbal
du'ā'i; Rabba-na-ghfir-
li wa li-wālidayya wa
li-l-mu'minina yauma
yaqūmu-l-ḥisāb.

My Lord! make me and
my offspring keep up
prayer; our Lord! and
accept my prayer; our
Lord! grant protection
to me and my parents
and to the believers on
the day when the reckon-
ing will take place.

This may be followed by any other prayer which the worshipper desires. That suitable for almost every man, occurs in a ḥadīth :

اللَّهُمَّ إِنِّي أَعُوذُ بِكَ مِنَ الْهَمِّ
وَالْحُزْنِ وَأَعُوذُ بِكَ مِنَ الْعَجْزِ
وَالْكَسَلِ وَأَعُوذُ بِكَ مِنَ الْجُبْنِ وَالْبُخْلِ

Allāh-umma inni a'ūdhu
bi-ka min-al-hamm wa-l-
ḥuzni wa a'ūdhu bi-ka
min-al-'ajzi wa-l-kasali
wa a'ūdhu bi-ka min-al-
jubni wa-l-bukhli

O Allāh! I seek Thy
refuge from anxiety and
grief, and I seek Thy
refuge from lack of
strength and laziness,
and I seek Thy refuge

PRAYER

وَأَعُوذُ بِكَ مِنْ غَلَبَةِ الدَّيْنِ
وَقَهْرِ الرِّجَالِ اللَّهُمَّ اكْفِنِي
بِعَلَاكَ عَنْ حَرَامِكَ وَأَغْنِنِي
بِقُضْلِكَ عَنْ مَنْ سِوَاكَ

wa a'ūdhu bi-ka min
ghalabatī-d-daini wa
qāhri-r-rijāl; Allāh-
umma-kfi-ni bi-ḥalālī-
ka 'an ḥarāmī-ka wa-
ghni-ni bi-faḍlī-ka 'an
man siwā ka.

from cowardice and
niggardliness, and I seek
Thy refuge from being
overpowered by debt
and the oppression of
men; O Allāh! suffice
Thou me with what is
lawful to keep me away
from what is prohibited,
and with Thy grace
make me free from want
of what is besides Thee.

The concluding *dhikr* in the sitting posture is *taslīm*,
or the utterance of the following words :

السَّلَامُ عَلَيْكُمْ وَرَحْمَةُ اللَّهِ

As-salāmu 'alai-kum wa
rahmatu-llāh

Peace be on you and the
mercy of Allāh.

These words are uttered first turning to the right
and then turning to the left.

Qunūt comes from *qanāṭa* which means *he was
humble in obedience* to God, and
The qunūt.

qunūt is really *a prayer of humility*,
but it also means *standing long in prayer*. There are
two kinds of *qunūt* spoken of in *ḥadīth*. One of these
was the prayer which was specially addressed to the
Divine Being on the occasion of some great tribulation,
as happened when seventy Muslim missionaries were
treacherously murdered by the tribes of Ra'ī, *Dhakwān*,
etc. (Bu. 14 : 7 ; 56 : 19). It was a prayer calling for
Divine punishment on the tyrants who butchered
absolutely innocent people, and this prayer was offered
after rising from *rukū'* in the morning and evening
congregational prayers. It was on this occasion that
the Prophet received a revelation (3 : 127), not to pray
for the punishment of a people, but still the Sunna of
offering *qunūt* at the time of great disaster or imminent
danger was acted upon by his Companions, as by Abū
Bakr before the battle with Musailma (ZM. I, p. 75). The

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

qunūt in this case was a prayer to God to avert a calamity.

The more well-known qunūt is, however, that offered in the witr prayer in the third rak'a. It is based on a ḥadīth related in the *Sunan* and the *Musnad* of Aḥmad, and the words of this prayer, as reported by Ḥasan, son of 'Alī, are as follows :

اللَّهُمَّ اهْدِنِي فِيمَنْ هَدَيْتَ وَعَافِنِي
فِيمَنْ عَافَيْتَ وَتَوَلَّنِي فِيمَنْ تَوَلَّيْتَ
وَبَارِكْ لِي فِي مَا آعَظَيْتَ وَفِي مَا
فَضَّلْتَ إِنَّكَ تَقْضِي وَلَا يُفْضَلُ
عَلَيْكَ إِنَّهُ لَا يَدُلُّ مَنْ وَالَيْتَ
بِتَارِكِكَ رَبَّنَا وَتَعَالَيْتَ

Allāh-umma hdi-nī fi man
hadaita wa 'āfi-nī fi man
'āfaita wa tawalla-nī fi
man tawallaita wa bārik
lī fi mā a'aita wa qi-ni
sharra mā qadzaita
inna-ka taqdzī wa lā
yuqdzā 'alai-ka, inna-hū
la yaḍhillu man wālaita
tabārakta Rabba-nā wa
ta'ālaita (ZM. I, p. 70).

O Allāh ! guide me among
those whom Thou hast
guided, and preserve me
among those whom
Thou hast preserved,
and befriend me among
those whom Thou hast
befriended, and bless me
in what Thou hast gran-
ted, and save me
from the evil of what
Thou hast ordered, for
Thou dost order and
no order is given against
Thy order; surely he is
not disgraced whom
Thou befriendest; bless-
ed art Thou, our Lord !
and highly exalted

Another form of qunūt is the following :

اللَّهُمَّ إِنَّا نَسْتَغْفِرُكَ وَنَسْتَغْفِرُكَ
وَنُؤْمِنُ بِكَ وَنُتَوَكَّلُ عَلَيْكَ وَ
نُثْنِي عَلَيْكَ الْحَمْدَ وَنُشْكُرُكَ وَلَا
نُكْفِرُكَ وَنُحْلِمُ وَنُتْرِكُ مَنْ يُكْفِرُكَ
اللَّهُمَّ إِنَّا نَعْبُدُكَ وَنُحِبُّكَ وَ
نُحِبُّكَ وَإِلَيْكَ نُسَبِّحُ

Allāh-umma innā nasta-
'inu-ka wa nastaghfiru-
ka wa nu'minu bi-ka wa
natawakkalu 'alai-ka
wa nuthni 'alaik-al-
khaira wa nashkuru-ka
wa lā nakfuru-ka wa
nakhlā'u wa natruku
man yafjuru-ka Allāh-
umma iyyā-ka na'budu
wa la-ka nuṣalli wa
nasjudu wa ilai-ka nas'ā

O Allāh ! we beseech Thee
for help, and seek Thy
protection and believe
in Thee and rely on
Thee and extol Thee
and are thankful to
Thee and are not un-
grateful to Thee and
we declare ourselves
clear of, and forsake, him
who disobeys Thee.

O Allāh ! Thee do we
serve and for Thee do
we pray and prostrate
ourselves and to Thee
do we betake ourselves

PRAYER

وَنُحْفِدُ وَرَجُوا رَحْمَتَكَ رَهْنًا
عَذَابَكَ إِنَّ عَذَابَكَ بِالْكَافِرِ
مُلْحِقٌ

wa naḥfidu wa narjū
raḥmata-ka wa nakḥshā
'adhāba-ka inna 'adhāba-
ka bi-l-kuffār mulḥiq.

and to obey Thee we
are quick, and Thy
mercy do we hope for,
and Thy punishment do
we fear, for Thy punish-
ment overtakes the un-
believers.

There is no reference in any ḥadīth to the Holy
Dhikr after finishing Prophet raising up the hands for
prayer. supplication after finishing prayers,
as is the general practice, but some kinds of dhikr are
recommended :

اَسْتَغْفِرُ اللَّهَ رَبِّي مِنْ كُلِّ
ذَنْبٍ وَ اَتُوبُ اِلَيْهِ

Astaghfiru-llāha Rabbi
min kulli dhanb-in wa
atūbu ilai-hi.

I seek the protection of
Allāh, my Lord, from
every fault and turn to
Him.

اَللّٰهُمَّ اَنْتَ التَّوَكَّلُ عَلَيْكَ الْكَامِلُ
تَبَارَكَتْ يَا ذَا الْجَلَالِ وَالْاِكْرَامِ

Allāh-umma anta-s-Salāmu
wa min-ka-s-salāmu,
tabārakta yā dha-l-jalāli
wa-l-ikrām.

O Allāh ! Thou art the
Author of peace, and
from Thee comes peace,
blessed art Thou, O
Lord of Glory and
Honour.

لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ وَحْدَهُ لَا شَرِيكَ
لَهُ لَهُ الْمُلْكُ وَالْحَمْدُ وَهُوَ عَلَى
كُلِّ شَيْءٍ قَدِيرٌ
اَللّٰهُمَّ لَا مَانِعَ لِمَا اَعْطَيْتَ وَلَا
مُعْطَى لِمَا مَنَعْتَ وَلَا يَنْفَعُ دَا
اَبَدًا مِنْكَ اَجَدُّ

Lā ilāha ill-Allāhu waḥda-
hū lā sharika la-hū, la-
hu-l-mulku wa-l-ḥamdu
wa huwa 'alā kulli shai'-
in qadīr; Allāh-umma
lā māni'a li-mā a'ṭaita
wa lā mu'ṭiya li-mā
mana'ta wa lā yanfa'u
dha-l-jaddi min-ka-l-
jaddu.

Nothing deserves to be
worshipped except
Allāh, He is One and
has no associate; His
is the kingdom and for
Him is praise, and He
has power over all
things. O Allāh ! there
is none who can with-
hold what Thou grant-
est, and there is none
who can give what
Thou withholdest, and
greatness does not be-
nefit any possessor of
greatness as against
Thee.

In addition to these adhkār, (pl. of dhikr), the recital
of the *āyat al-kursiyy* (2 : 255) is also recommended; as
well as that of *subḥan-Allah*, (glory be to Allāh),

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

al-ḥamdu li-llāh (all praise is due to Allāh), and *Allāhu Akbar* (Allāh is the Greatest), thirty-three times each.

As already noted, the essential part of the obligatory prayers called *ḥaḍḡ* is said in congregation. The very form of the different *adhkār* to be recited in prayer shows that Islām has laid special stress on prayer in congregation. The whole Muslim body that can assemble in one place, both men and women, must gather at the appointed time, praise and glorify God, and address their petitions to Him in a body. All people stand shoulder to shoulder in a row, or in several rows, as the case may be, their feet being in one line; and one person, chosen from among them and called the *Imām* which means *leader*, leads the prayer and stands in front of all. If, however, there are women in the congregation, they form a row by themselves at the back of the rows of men; and after the congregational prayer is finished, the men are not allowed to leave their places until the women have gone out. The distance between the *Imām* and the first row, or between the different rows, is such that the back rows may be able to go down in *sajda* or offer prostration, so that their heads may be almost at the feet of the front rows. This space would generally be 4 feet. The smallest number of people that can form a congregation is two, one leading the prayer and the other following, and these two stand together, the *Imām* a little ahead, say about six inches, and standing to the left while the follower stands on the right. If a third person joins while the prayer is thus being led, either the *Imām* moves forward or the person following moves backward, so that the two who follow form a row. The people who stand behind are called *muqtadūn* or *followers*, and the discipline is so perfect that the followers are bound to obey the *Imām*, even though he may make a mistake, though they

PRAYER

have the right to point out the mistake, by pronouncing the words *subhān-Allāh* (glory be to Allāh). This amounts to a hint that God alone is free from all defects, such being the meaning of the word *subhāna*. It is however the judgment of the Imām which is the decisive factor, and the followers, after giving the hint, must still obey him.

To announce that the congregational prayer is ready, the iqāma (which means *causing to stand*) is pronounced in a loud voice, though not so loud as the *adhān*. The sentences of the *adhān* are also the sentences which form the iqāma, but with two differences. Those in *adhān* are, with the exception of the concluding *lā ilāha ill-Allāh* repeated twice, the starting *Allāhu Akbar* alone being pronounced four times; but in the iqāma all these sentences may be uttered only once. The second difference is that after *hayya 'ala-l-falāh*, the following sentence is uttered twice:

قَدْ قَامَتِ الصَّلَاةُ

Qad qāmati-ṣ-ṣalā

Prayer is ready.

The additional words of the morning *adhān* do not find a place in the iqāma, which is generally recited by the person who calls out the *adhān*, though in his absence, any one else standing behind the Imām may do so.

When the iqāma has been called out, the *muqtadīs* (followers) do not begin the prayer until the Imām starts it by saying "Allāhu Akbar" in a loud voice. With the utterance of these words by the Imām, the whole congregation, like the Imām, raises hands to ears while uttering the same words in a low voice. Both the Imām and the followers then recite, still in a low voice, some introductory *dhikr*, as stated above, after which the Imām recites the *Fātiḥa* in a loud voice, stopping at every verse, so that during

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the interval the followers may slowly repeat each sentence. The Ḥanafīs, however, hold that the Imām's recitation is sufficient, and that the followers need not recite the sentences of the *Fātiḥa*.

After the Imām has finished the *Fātiḥa*, the whole congregation says "Āmīn," either in a loud or a low voice, the former, no doubt, having the greater effect. After this, the Imām recites in a loud voice any portion of the Holy Qur'ān, the followers listening in silence, occasionally glorifying God or praising Him or addressing some petition to Him, in accordance with the subject-matter of the verses that are being recited. This procedure is followed in the case of the morning prayer, which consists of only two rak'as of fardz, and of the first two rak'as of the evening and early night prayers. In the case of the first two rak'as of the early afternoon and the late afternoon, prayer is more in the nature of a meditation than a recital, like the private prayer, the Imām reciting the *Fātiḥa* and a portion of the Qur'ān inaudibly and the followers reciting the *Fātiḥa* alone. In the last two rak'as of both the afternoon prayers and the early night prayer, as well as in the last rak'a of the sunset prayer, the *Fātiḥa* alone is recited in a low voice. All the takbīrs uttered at the changes of posture, and the final taslīm ending the prayer, are, however, uttered by the Imām in a loud voice in all congregational prayers, and so is the *dhikr sami'-Allāhu li-man ḥamida-hū*, recited on rising from rukū', while the followers in this last case say in a low voice, *Rabba-nā wa la-k-al-ḥamd*. The *dhikr* in rukū' and sajda and the sitting posture is repeated in a low voice by the Imām, as well as those who follow him.

If a mistake is made in prayer, or the worshipper is
doubtful about the number of rak'as,
Sajda sahw. he adds, what is called, *sajda sahw*,

PRAYER

(*sahw* meaning *mistake*) at the close of prayer, just before the *taslīm*. The *sajda sahwa* consists in performing a double prostration like the ordinary prostration in prayer. If the *Imām* has made a similar mistake, he, along with the whole congregation, adds a *sajda sahwa*.

A person who comes late and joins the congregational service when it has already started, must, if he has missed one or more rak'as, complete the number after the *Imām* has finished. A rak'a is deemed to have been completed when a person joins the *rukū'*, though he may have missed the *qiyām*.

In the case of one who is on a journey,¹ the prayers are shortened. The sunnas are all dropped with the exception of the two of the morning prayer, while the number of *fardz* rak'as in the *Zuhr*, '*Aṣr* and '*Ishā* prayers, which is four ordinarily, is reduced to two, while the three rak'as of the *Maḡrib* prayer remain like the two *fardz* rak'as of the morning prayer. In addition to this, the man who is journeying is allowed to combine the *Zuhr* and '*Aṣr* prayers and also the *Maḡrib* and '*Ishā* prayers. The wit of the '*Ishā* prayer are also retained. If a man who is on a journey is chosen to lead the prayers, he shortens the prayers, while such of the followers who are not on a journey shall complete the number of rak'as; but if the *Imām* is not on a journey, while some or all of the followers are, the latter shall not shorten the prayer.

Such importance is given by the Holy Qur'ān to the holding of Divine service that, even when facing the enemy, it must be

1. There is some difference of opinion as to whether it is necessary that the journey should extend over a certain specified distance or a certain specified time. But the best judge on this matter is the person concerned. A time-limit of a day and a night as the minimum is favoured.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

held just the same. But this service is much shortened, and its holding is thus expressly mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān :

“And when you journey in the earth, there is no blame on you if you shorten the prayer, if you fear that those who disbelieve will cause you distress, for the disbelievers are your open enemies. And when thou art among them and keepest up the prayer for them, let a party of them stand up with thee and let them take their arms ; then when they have prostrated themselves let them go to your rear, and let the other party who have not prayed come forward and pray with thee ” (4 : 101, 102).

It appears from this that in case when there is fear of the enemy's attack, the congregation is divided into two parties, each party saying only one rak'a of prayer with the Imām, while the Imām says only two rak'as. This is called *ṣalāt al-khauf* (or *prayer when there is fear*) (Bu. 12 : 1). In case of still greater fear, it is permitted to say prayers whether on foot or riding (Bu. 12 : 2), as the Holy Qur'ān says : “But if you are in danger, then say your prayers on foot or on horseback ” (2 : 239). This, it is explained, is the saying of prayer by *imā*, i.e., simply by nodding of the head (Bu. 12 : 5).

Sec. 7—The Friday Service.

There is no sabbath in Islām, and the number of prayers on Friday is the same as on any other day, with this difference, that the specially ordained Friday service takes the place of the Zuhr prayer. It is the greater congregation of the Muslims at which the people of a place must all gather together, as its very name *yaum al-jumu'a* (lit. *the day of gathering*) indicates. Though all prayers are equally obligatory,

Friday service specially ordained.

PRAAYER

yet the Holy Qur'ān has specially ordained the Friday service, and thereat it enjoins all Muslims to gather together: "O you who believe! when the call is made for prayer on Friday, then hasten to the remembrance of Allāh and leave off all business; that is better for you if you know" (62 : 9). Any other prayer may be said singly under special circumstances but not so the Friday service which is essentially a congregational service. For the holding of the Friday service, later jurists have laid down certain conditions which are not met with either in the Holy Qur'ān or in Ḥadīth. The call to prayer, which is mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān, may be made from any mosque whether it be situated in a village or in a town or in a certain quarter of a town, or it may even be given, when necessary, from a place where there is no mosque. Bukhārī has a special chapter devoted to this subject headed "Friday service in villages and towns," and he cites the case of Ruzaiq who was manager of a farm and whom Ibn Shahāb enjoined to hold the Friday service on his land (Bu. 11 : 11). It is, however, true that the practice has been for all Muslims, who can do so, to gather together at a central mosque, because the underlying idea is, undoubtedly, to enable the Muslims to meet together once a week in as large a number as possible.

The importance of the occasion and the greater number of the persons assembled
Preparations for the Friday service. have made it necessary to issue further instructions regarding cleanliness in preparation for the Friday service. For example, it is recommended that a bath be taken before attending (Bu. 11 : 2); that scent be used (Bu. 11 : 3), and the best clothes available be worn (Bu. 11 : 7); also that the mouth

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

be well-cleaned with a tooth-brush (Bu. 11 : 8). These instructions are intended both to foster habits of cleanliness and to make the great concourse of people in Divine service on Friday, as little offensive as possible.

A special feature of the Friday service is the khutba (lit. an *address*), or a sermon by the Imām, before the prayer service is held. After the people have assembled in the mosque, the mu'adh^{dh}in makes a call for prayer while the Imām is sitting. When the adhān is finished, the Imām stands up facing the audience and delivers the khutba. He begins with the *kalima shahāda*;¹ or words speaking of the praise and glory of God, and then goes on to recite a Quranic text² which he expounds to the audience, who are specially enjoined to remain sitting and silent during the sermon (Bu. 11 : 29). This is delivered in two parts, the Imām taking a little rest by assuming the sitting posture in the middle of the sermon, and then continuing. Any subject relating to the welfare of the community may be dealt with in the khutba. The Holy Prophet is reported to have once prayed for rain during the sermon after somebody had directed his attention to the fact that the cattle and the people were in severe hardship on account of a drought (Bu. 11 : 35). According to another report, a certain person came to the Holy Prophet when he was delivering a khutba, and questioned him about faith, and the Holy Prophet explained to him what faith was and then resumed the

1. *Ashhadu an lā ilāha ill-Allāhu wa ashhadu anna Muḥammad-an 'abdu-hū wa rasūlu-hū*. Between the *kalima shahāda* and the recital of a Quranic text occur the words *ammā ba'du*, which mean *after this*.

2. There is a ḥadīth in Muslim, according to which a certain woman learnt the chapter entitled *Qāf* (ch. 50) from the Prophet's recital of it on the pulpit (M. 7 : 13).

PRAYER

sermon (M. 7 : 13). As regards the 'Id khutbas, it is expressly stated that the Holy Prophet used to order the raising of an army, if necessary, in the khutba, or give any other orders which he deemed necessary, in addition to admonitions of a general nature (ZM. I, p. 125). All these facts show that the khutba is for the education of the masses, to awaken them to a general sense of duty, to lead them into the ways of their welfare and prosperity and warn them against that which is a source of loss or ruin to them. Therefore the khutba must be delivered in a language which the people understand, and there is no sense in delivering it in Arabic to an audience which does not know that language. Divine service is quite a different thing from the sermon. The sermon is meant to exhort the people, to give them information as to what to do under certain circumstances and what not to do ; it is meant, in fact, to throw light on all questions of life ; and to understand a sermon in a foreign language requires an extensive, almost an exhaustive, knowledge of that language. Not so in the case of Divine service, which consists of a number of stated sentences and the meaning of which can be fully understood even by a child, in one month. Moreover, in Divine service the different postures of the body are in themselves expressive of Divine praise and glory, even if the worshipper does not understand the significance of the words. It is, therefore, of the utmost importance that the masses should know what the preacher is saying. In fact, the Friday sermon is the best means of education for the masses and for maintaining the vitality of the Muslim community as a whole.

After the sermon is finished, the iqāma is pronounced and a congregational service of two rak'as is held, in which the

The Friday service.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Imām recites the Fātiḥa and a portion of the Qur'ān in a loud voice, as he does in the morning and evening prayers. This is the only obligatory service, but two rak'as sunna are said as soon as a man enters the mosque; even if he comes late and the Imām has already started the sermon, the late-comer must still perform these two rak'as (Bu. 11 : 33). Two rak'as sunna are also said after the service has been finished (Bu. 11 : 39). There is not the least authority for saying *Zuḥr* prayers ¹ after the Friday service, which in fact takes the place of *Zuḥr* prayers.

As already stated there is, in Islām, no sabbath, or seventh day for Divine worship. Hence the Holy Qur'ān plainly speaks of daily business being done before the Friday service, leaving it only for the sake of the service, and again it speaks of business being done after the service has been held: "And when the prayer is ended then disperse abroad in the land and seek of Allāh's grace" (62 : 10). But as a seventh day is necessary for rest from work, Friday may be chosen, if the choice lies with the Muslims. At any rate, Muslims have a religious right to attend the Friday service even when they are under non-Muslim rule.

Sec. 8—The 'Īd Prayers.

There are, in Islām, two great festivals having a religious sanction, and in connection with both of them a congregational service of two rak'as is held, followed by a *khutba*, or

Festivals of Islām.

1. The origin of this practice is in the wrong impression that Friday service can only be held in a city or under the Muslim rule. As a matter of fact, as already shown, it may be held in a city or in a village or anywhere else. So also the condition that it can only be held under Muslim rule is simply absurd. The Qur'ān and the *Ḥadīth* place no such limitation on the Friday service or on any other service.

PRAYER

sermon. Both these festivals go under the name of 'Id which means *a recurring happiness*, being derived from 'aud meaning *to return*. The first of these is called the 'Id al-Fiṭr, the word *fiṭr* meaning *to begin*, from which is also derived *fiṭra* meaning *nature*. *Iftār* means *the breaking of the fast*, as if the faster had returned to a natural course or fulfilled the demand of nature, and it is from this that the name 'Id al-Fiṭr seems to have been taken, because it follows the month of fasts and takes place on the first of Shawwāl. The other is 'Id al-Adzhā, *adzhā* being the plural of *adzhāt* meaning *a sacrifice*. It is thus clear that both these festivals are connected with the performance of some duty, in the first case the duty of fasting, and in the second the duty of sacrifice. A day of happiness following the performance of duty is intended to show that true happiness lies in the performance of duty. One characteristic of the Islamic festivals, therefore, is that they have, underlying them, a deep spiritual meaning. But they have another characteristic as well. In the moment of their greatest joy, the Muslims gather in as vast a congregation as possible and fall prostrate before their great Maker, giving thanks to Him that He has enabled them to perform their duty or to make a sacrifice. The spiritual significance of both festivals is thus brought out in the Divine service which is the chief feature of the day of festival.

The preparation for 'Id is similar to the preparation
for the Friday service. One must
Gathering for the 'Id. take a bath, put on one's best
clothes, use scent, and do every thing possible to appear
neat and tidy. The gathering in the 'Id should prefer-
ably be in an open place, but, if necessary, a mosque may
also be used for holding the Divine service. An open
space is preferable on account of the size of the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

congregation, which a mosque might not be able to hold. No *adhān* is called out for the 'Id prayers, nor an *iqāma* for the arranging of the lines (Bu. 13 : 7).¹ Though women take part in all the prayers and in the Friday service, they are specially enjoined to be present at the 'Id gatherings, for the Holy Prophet is reported to have said that " the young girls and those that have taken to seclusion and those that have their menses on, should all go out (for the 'Id) and be present at the prayers of the Muslims " (Bu. 13 : 15 ; 6 : 23). The time of 'Id prayers is any time after sunrise and before noon.

The 'Id service consists only of two rak'as in congregation. The Imām recites the *Fātiḥa* and a portion of the Holy Qur'ān in a loud voice, as in the Friday service. As already noted, there is neither *adhān* nor *iqāma* for the 'Id prayer, but there is a number of takbīrs in addition to those that are meant to indicate the changes of position. On the best authority, the number of these additional takbīrs is seven in the first rak'a and five in the second, before the recital of the *Fātiḥa* in both rak'as (Tr. 5 : 5).² The takbīrs are uttered aloud by the Imām one after another as he raises both hands to the ears and then leaves them free in the natural position. Those who stand behind him raise and lower their hands similarly.

1. Some think that the sentence *al-ṣalātu jāmi'at-un* should be called aloud but such a practice is not traceable to the Prophet (ZM. I, p. 124).

2. As stated above, the number of additional takbīrs given here is on the best authority available. A difference of opinion does however exist on this point. But much importance should not be attached to these matters. Some people say four additional takbīrs in the first rak'a and three in the second, in the latter case before going to rukū'. The ḥadīth however on which this is based is not reliable (ZM. I, p. 124).

PRAYER

The 'Id sermon is delivered after Divine service is over. As regards the manner and the subjects dealt with, it is similar to the Friday sermon, except that it is not necessary to break it up into two parts by assuming the sitting posture in the middle of it. It was the Holy Prophet's practice to address the women separately, who were all required to be present whether they joined in the service or not.

The 'Id charity. While celebrating the great 'Id festivals, a Muslim not only remembers God (by attending Divine service) but he is also enjoined to remember his poorer brethren. The institution of a charitable fund is associated with both 'Ids. On the occasion of the 'Id al-Fiṭr, every Muslim is required to give *sadaqa Fiṭr* (lit., the *Fiṭr charity*) which amounts to three or four seers of wheat, barley, rice or any other staple food of the country per head of the family, including the old as well as the youngest members, males as well as females (Bu. 24:70). In India, it generally comes to between 3as. and 4as. per head. The payment is to be made before the service is held, and it is obligatory (*farḍz*). Like zakāt, the Fiṭr charity was an organized institution, as expressly mentioned in a ḥadīth: "They gave this charity to be gathered together, and it was not given away to beggars" (Bu. 24 : 77). According to another ḥadīth, Abū Huraira said that "the Holy Prophet gave him charge of the zakāt of Ramadẓān" (Bu. 40 : 10). The principle of gathering the Fiṭr charity, so clearly laid down in these ḥadīth, has now been abandoned by the Muslims, and the result is that a most beneficial institution of Islām for the upliftment of the poor and needy has been thrown into neglect, and lacs of rupees which could strengthen national funds thrown away.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

‘Id al-Adẓhā also furnishes an occasion for the exercise of charity. The sacrifice of an animal on that day (for which see the next paragraph), not only makes the poorest members of the community enjoy the festival with a good feast of meat, but national funds for the amelioration of the poor or the welfare of the community, can be considerably strengthened if the skins of the sacrificed animals are devoted to this purpose. In addition to this, in places where the number of sacrificed animals is in excess of the needs of the population, the surplus may be dried and sold, and the proceeds thereof used for some charitable object. It is to be regretted that the surplus meat in Makka is buried away instead of being turned to any useful purpose. Islām does not allow the wastage of the natural resources of man, and it has organized all its charities in such a manner that they can be turned to the best use.

Besides these obligatory charities at the two ‘Ids, the Holy Prophet used to exhort people in his khuṭba, on the occasion of the ‘Id, to contribute whatever they could, voluntarily, for the national cause; and there is mention of women giving away their jewelry at such times (Bu. 13 : 7). The two ‘Id festivals of Islām can thus be made great occasions for strengthening the national funds and for the relief of the poor, if the directions of the Holy Prophet be followed faithfully.

At the ‘Id al-Adẓhā, every Muslim who can afford to
The sacrifice. to do so sacrifices an animal. In
the case of a goat or a sheep, one
animal suffices for one household (Tr. 17 : 8). In the
case of a cow or a camel, seven men may be partners
(Tr. 17 : 7). The animal is sacrificed after the ‘Id
prayers are over. It may be sacrificed on the day of
‘Id or during the two or three days that follow, called
the *tashrīq* days, the time during which pilgrims stop in

PRAYER

Minā (MM. 4 : 49-iii). The two days' limit is preferable, because the pilgrims are allowed to leave after two days: "Then whoever hastens off in two days, there is no blame on him, and whoever remains behind there is no blame on him" (2 : 203). The animal sacrificed must be free from apparent physical defects, and full-grown (*musinna*). The goat or sheep should be a year old, the cow two years and the camel five (H. ch. *al-Adzhiya*). As regards the meat of the slaughtered animals, the Holy Qur'ān says: "Eat of them and feed the poor man who is contented and the beggar" (22 : 36). There is no harm if it is dried and sold and the proceeds used for the feeding of the poor. The idea that the meat of the sacrifices should not be stored or eaten for more than three days, is contradicted by a saying of the Holy Prophet: "Jābir ibn 'Abd-Allāh says, We did not use to eat the flesh of our sacrifices for more than the three days of Minā; so the Holy Prophet gave us permission and said, Eat and take it as a provision for the way; so we ate and made it provision for the way" (Bu. 25 : 124). The giving of one-third, or more, or less, to the poor is simply optional. No hard and fast rules have been laid down. The skin of the animal must, however, be disposed of in charity (Bu. 25 : 121).

To the ordinary mind, the idea underlying sacrifice

<p>Can sacrifice be replaced by charity?</p>	<p>seems no more than charity, and the question has often been asked, May not a Muslim, instead of sacrificing an animal, give away its price in charity? The answer to this question, in the light of the Islamic law, is in the negative. The sacrifice by the Muslims throughout the world on the 'Id day is intended to make Muslim hearts, throughout the world, beat in unison with the hearts of the unparalleled assemblage at Makka, the centre of Islām. Hundreds of</p>
--	--

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

thousands of people assemble there from all quarters of the world, people who have sacrificed all comforts of life for no object except to develop the idea of sacrifice, a sacrifice selfless beyond all measure, because it has no personal or even national end in view, a sacrifice for the sake of God alone. However grand that idea, it receives a greater grandeur from the fact that the people who have not been able to make that sacrifice actually, are made to share the same desire and show their willingness to make the same sacrifice by the ostensible act of the sacrifice of an animal, which is the final act of the pilgrimage. One desire moves the hearts of the whole Muslim world from one end to the other at one moment, and this is made possible only by the institution of sacrifice, the red letters of which can be read by the ignorant and the learned alike. That this institution should also serve the purpose of charity is quite a different thing. Islām does not allow its rich members to forget their poorer brethren in the hour of their joy at a time of festival, but charity is not the idea underlying the sacrifice on the occasion of the 'Id or the pilgrimage, and therefore no mere charity can take the place of sacrifice.

That sacrifice does not consist in the act of shedding

The idea underlying the blood of an animal or feeding on sacrifice.

its meat is made plain by the Holy Qur'ān: "There does not reach Allāh their flesh nor their blood, but to Him is acceptable righteousness on your part" (22:37). The underlying significance is made clearer still in another verse: "And to every nation We appointed acts of sacrifice that they may mention the name of Allāh on what He has given them of the cattle quadrupeds; so your God is one God, therefore to Him should you submit, and give good news to the humble ones, whose hearts tremble when Allāh is mentioned, and

PRAYER

those who are patient under that which afflicts them ” (22 : 34, 35). The act of the sacrifice of an animal is thus in some way connected with righteousness, with submission to One God, with humbleness of heart, with patience under sufferings ; and the sacrifice of the animal is plainly regarded as affecting the heart, as making it tremble at the mention of Allāh’s name. Here we are told that it is not an empty mention of a word that they make, but the meaning, underlying, is that their hearts should thrill at the mention of that name. Taking away the life of an animal and shedding its blood, does not make them ferocious but, instead of the sterner feeling, creates humbleness in their hearts. Why? Because they realize that if they have sacrificed an animal over which they hold control, it is their duty to lay down their own lives in the way of Allāh, Who is not only their Master but also their Creator and Sustainer, and Who therefore exercises a far greater authority over them than they do over the animals. Hence, in the midst of verses speaking of sacrifice, is introduced a verse which requires the faithful to be patient under trials and hardships, in the way of Allāh. In the sacrifice of animals, the Holy Qur’ān thus gives to its followers the lesson of laying down their own lives in the cause of truth ; and the lesson is made clearer still when this section on sacrifice, verses of which have been quoted above, is straightway followed by a section which requires believers to lay down their lives in the defence of truth, the very first verse of that section running thus : “ Permission to fight is given to those upon whom war is made because they are oppressed ” (22 : 39).

It will thus be seen that Islām has invested the principle of sacrifice with a new meaning. The institution of sacrifice has been accepted in one form or another by all the nations of the world. Like all other

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

religious principles which are universally recognized, the principle of sacrifice finds a deeper meaning in Islām. The outward act is still there as of old, but it no longer conveys the meaning attached to it in some ancient religions, namely, that of appeasing an offended deity or serving as an atonement for sin. In Islām it signifies the sacrifice of the sacrificer himself, and becomes thus an outward symbol of his readiness to lay down his life, and to sacrifice all his interests and desires in the cause of truth. The animal that is sacrificed really stands for the animal in man, so that the outward sacrifice of the animal reminds man of the necessity for the sacrifice of the animal within him. And one day, and one particular moment on that day, is chosen so that all Muslim hearts from one end of the world to the other may pulsate with one idea at a particular moment, and thus lead to the development of the idea of self-sacrifice in the community as a whole.

Sec. 9—Service on the Dead.

A Divine service is held over the dead body of every Muslim, young or old, even of infants who have lived only for a few minutes or seconds. It is called *ṣalāt al-janā'iz*. *Janā'iz* is the plural of *jināza* or *janāza* meaning *the dead body lying on a bier* or *the bier*, from *janaza* meaning *he concealed* or *hid* (a thing). According to some *jināza* means the *bier*, and *janāza* the *dead body*, or *vice versa* (LL.). When a person dies, the body is washed with soap or some other disinfectant and cleansed of all impurities which may be due to disease. In washing the dead body, the parts which are washed in *wuḏū'* are taken first, and then the whole body is washed (Bu. 23 : 8, 9, 11). It is then wrapped in one or more white sheets (Bu. 23 : 19, 20, 27) and scent is also added (Bu. 23 : 21). In the case of martyrs, or persons slain in

PRAAYER

battle, the washing and wrapping in white cloth is dispensed with (Bu. 23 : 73). The dead body is then placed on a bier or, if necessary, in a coffin, and carried on the shoulders to its last resting-place as a mark of respect; though the carrying of the body by any other means is not prohibited.¹ The Holy Prophet stood up when he saw the bier of a Jew pass by. This he did to show respect to the dead, and then enjoined his followers to stand up as a mark of respect when a bier passed by, whether it was that of a Muslim or a non-Muslim (Bu. 23 : 50).

Following the dead body to the grave and taking part in the Divine service held over it is regarded as a duty which a Muslim owes to a Muslim, and so is also the visiting of the sick (Bu. 23 : 2). Technically, taking part in Divine service is called *ḥardz kifāya*, which means that it is sufficient that some Muslims should take part in it. Women are not prohibited from going with the bier, though their presence is not considered desirable, because being more tender-hearted than men they may break down by reason of their grief. The service may be held anywhere, in a mosque or in an open space or even in the grave-yard if sufficient ground be available there. All those who take part in the service must perform *wudzū'*. The bier is placed in front; the Imām stands facing the middle of the bier whether the body be of a male or a female (Bu. 23 : 64),² and the people form themselves into lines

1. There is a difference of opinion as to the legality of driving or riding when following a bier (AD. 20 : 48). But if the dead body itself is being borne on a carriage, there is no harm in driving when following it; nor so when there is an excuse.

2. According to one ḥadīth, Anas ibn Mālīk, when leading the *janāza* prayer, took up a position in the middle of the bier in the case of a woman and a position nearer the head in the case of a man, and on being questioned said that such was the practice of the Holy Prophet (AD. 20 : 54).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

according to the number of those who take part, facing the Qibla. The general practice is to have three lines at least, but Bukhārī is expressly of opinion that there may be two lines or three or more (Bu. 23 : 54). If the number of people is very small, there is no harm if they form only a single line. The service starts with the takbīr, with the pronouncement of which hands are raised to the ears and placed in the same position as in prayer. Four takbīrs in all are pronounced (Bu. 23 : 65). After the first takbīr, the same dhikr relating to the praise and glory of God is repeated in a low voice by the Imām as well as those who follow, as in the first rak'a of the daily service, after *takbīrat al-ihrām*, i.e., the dhikr called *istiftāḥ* (see p. 419) and sūra *Fātiḥa* (see p. 421), without adding any portion of the Holy Qur'ān (Bu. 23 : 66). The second takbīr is then pronounced without raising the hands to the ears, and the dhikr known as *al-ṣalā 'ala-l-Nabiyy* is recited in a low voice as in the qa'da (see p. 424). The third and fourth takbīrs are pronounced in a manner similar to the second takbīr. After the third takbīr, a prayer for the forgiveness of the deceased is addressed to God. Different forms of this prayer are reported as having been offered by the Holy Prophet, and it seems that prayer in any form is permissible. The following are the most well-known :

اللَّهُمَّ اغْفِرْ لِحَيِّنَا وَمَيِّتِنَا
وَشَاهِدِنَا وَأَعْيَابِنَا وَصَغِيرِنَا
وَكَبِيرِنَا وَذَكَرِنَا وَأُنثَانَا
اللَّهُمَّ مَنْ أَحْيَيْتَهُ

Allāh-umma-ghfir
li-ḥayyi-nā wa mayyiti-
nā wa shāhidi-nā wa
ghā'ibi-nā wa ṣaghīri-nā
wa kabīri-nā wa
dhakari-nā wa unthā-nā;
Allāh-umma man
aḥyaita-hū

O Allāh ! grant protection
to our living and to our
dead and to those of us
who are present and those
who are absent, and to
our young and our old
folk and to our males
and our females ; O
Allāh ! whomsoever
Thou grantest to live

PRAYER

مِنَّا كَاحِيَةٍ عَلَى الْإِسْلَامِ وَمَنْ
تَوَدَّتْهُ مِنَّا فَوَدَّ عَلَى الْإِيمَانِ
اللَّهُمَّ لَا تَحْرِمْنَا جَزَاءَهُ وَلَا تَقْتُلْنَا بَعْدَهُ

minnā fa-aḥyi-hi 'ala-l-
Islāmī wa man tawaffai-
ta-hū minnā fa-twaffa-hū
'ala-l-īmānī ; Allāh-
umma lā taḥrim-nā
ajra-hū wa lā taftinnā
ba'da-hū (Tr. 8 : 37 ;
MM. 5 : 5-11).

from among us, cause
him to live in Islām (sub-
mission) and whomso-
ever of us Thou causest
to die, make him die in
faith ; O Allāh ! do not
deprive us of his reward
and do not make us fall
into a trial after him.

Another prayer runs thus :

اللَّهُمَّ اغْفِرْ لَهُ وَارْحَمْهُ وَعَافِهِ وَاعْفُ
عَنْهُ وَاکْرِمْ نَزْلَهُ وَوَسِّعْ مَدْخَلَهُ
وَاعْسِلْهُ بِالْمَاءِ وَالثَّلْجِ وَالْبَرَدِ وَنَقِّهِ
مِنَ الْخَطَايَا كَمَا نَقَّيْتَ الثَّوْبَ
الْأَبْيَضَ مِنَ الدَّنَسِ

Allāh-umma-ghfir la-hū
wa ṛham-hu wa 'āfi-hi
wa-'fu 'an-hu wa akrim
nuzula-hū wa wassi'
madkhala-hū wa-ghsil-
hu bi-l-mā'i wa-th-
thalji wa-l-baradi wa
naqqi-hi min al-khaṭāyā
kamā naqqaita-th-
thaub al-abyadza mina-
d danasī (M. 11 : 27).

O Allāh ! grant him pro-
tection, and have mercy
on him, and keep him
in good condition, and
pardon him, and make
his entertainment hono-
urable, and expand his
place of entering, and
wash him with water
and snow and hail
and clean him of faults
as the white cloth is
cleaned of dross.

After the fourth takbīr, the taslim is pronounced as at the close of prayer (see p. 425). A similar Divine service may be held in the case of a dead man when the dead body is not present. A funeral service was held by the Prophet himself in Madīna when news of the death of the Negus of Abyssinia reached him (Bu. 23 : 4). When the service is finished, the bier is taken to the grave and buried. The grave is dug in such a manner that the dead body may be laid in it facing Makka. It is generally between four and six feet deep, and an oblong excavation is made on one side, wherein the dead body is to be placed. This is called the *lahd*. The dead body is made to rest in the *lahd* facing the Qibla. If the dead body is contained in a coffin, the *lahd* may be dispensed with. The following words are reported in a ḥadīth as having been uttered by the Holy Prophet when placing a dead body in the grave :

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ وَاللَّهُ وَعَلَى سُنَّةِ
رَسُولِ اللَّهِ

Bi-smi-llāhi wa bi-llāhi
wa 'alā sunnati Rasūli-
llāh (Tr. 8 : 53).

In the name of Allāh
and with Allāh and
according to the sunna
of the Messenger of
Allāh.

The grave is then filled in and a prayer is again offered for the dead one and the people then depart (AD. 20 : 67). The funeral service of a child¹ is similar to that of one who has reached the age of discretion, except that the prayer after the third takbīr is different :

اللَّهُمَّ اجْعَلْهُ لَنَا فَرْطًا وَ
سَفَاءً وَاجْرًا

Allāh-umma-j'al-hu la-nā
faraṭ-an wa salaf-an wa
dhukhr-an wa ajr-an
(Bu. 23 : 66).²

O Allāh ! make him for
us a cause of recom-
pense in the world to
come and as one going
before and a treasure
and a reward.

It will be seen that the funeral service for children is not a prayer for their forgiveness but a prayer that the young ones who have gone before may be a means of recompense and reward for the parents. There are other ḥadīth which speak of one afflicted with the death of young children as being made to enter Paradise : "A person, three of whose children die before reaching the age of discretion, is saved from the fire, or goes to Paradise" (Bu. 23 : 92). In the heading of his chapter, Bukhārī limits this to the offspring of Muslims, though there are no such words in the ḥadīth itself, and then adds a long ḥadīth in which the Holy Prophet relates a vision in which he saw "the children of all people," *i.e.*, Muslims as well as non-Muslims, around Abraham (Bu. 23 : 93). The words of this latter ḥadīth are thus

1. Funeral service is held over a child that is born alive and then dies; according to one ḥadīth, however, funeral service may be held also over the *siqt*, *i.e.*, a child that is born dead but has the form developed (AD. 20 : 49).

2. The words *wa dhukhr-an*, *i.e.*, "and a treasure" are not in *Bukhārī*.

PRAYER

explained in another version of it: "As regards the children around Abraham, they are the children who die '*ala-l-fiṭra*, (lit. *in the state in which they are born*, that being called expressly the state of Islām), or before attaining the age of discretion. Some of the Muslims said: O Messenger of Allāh! the children of the polytheists are also there? He said: Yes, the children of polytheists also" (Bu. 91 : 48). Thus all children go to Paradise; more than this, the death of young children is an affliction which becomes the means of taking one to Paradise, perhaps because it brings about a change in the hearts of the parents.

It may also be added in this connection that Islām forbids indulgence in intemperate grief for the dead. It requires that all affliction be borne patiently, as the Holy Qur'ān says: "And We will most certainly try you with somewhat of fear and hunger and loss of property and lives and fruits; and give good news to the patient, who, when a misfortune befalls them, say, Surely we are Allāh's and to Him we shall surely return" (2 : 155, 156). On hearing of the death of a relative or a friend or of any other affliction, a Muslim is enjoined to say, *Innā li-llāhi wa innā ilai-hi rāji'un*: "We are Allāh's and to Him we shall return." These words are a source of unlimited solace and comfort in bereavement. Allāh has taken away His own; all of us come from God and must return to Him. Hence it is forbidden that one should utter wailing or smite one's cheeks or tear one's clothes or indulge in regular mourning. When visiting a cemetery, the following words are recommended:

السَّلَامُ عَلَيْكُمْ أَهْلَ الدِّيَارِ
مِنَ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ وَالْمُسْلِمِينَ وَ
إِنَّا بِإِشَاءِ اللَّهِ يُكْتَظَرُونَ

As-salāmu 'alai-kum ahl-
ad-diyāri min al-mu'minī-
na wa-l-Muslimīna wa
innā inshā Allāhu bi-kum
la-lāhiqūn;

Peace be on you, O resi-
dents of this world
from among the faithful
and the Muslims, and
we will surely join you,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

نَسْأَلُ اللَّهَ لَنَا وَلِكُلِّ عَائِلَةٍ

nas'alu-llāha la-nā wa la- if it please Allāh. We
kum al-'āfiya (M 11 . 35) pray to Allāh for security
for you and for oursel-
ves.

With small variations this prayer is repeated in several ḥadīth.

A very large number of innovations has grown up about what may be done for the benefit of the dead. There is no mention in any ḥadīth of distributing charity at the grave, or of making a gift of the Qur'ān, or having the Holy Qur'ān recited at the grave or elsewhere for the benefit of the dead. There are ḥadīth speaking of the Holy Qur'ān being read to the dying person (AD. 20 : 21); but there is no mention at all of its being read over the dead body or over the grave. Neither is there any mention of saying the *Fātiḥa*, or a prayer for the dead, when people come to console the relatives of the departed. The Holy Prophet is, however, reported as having prayed for the dead when visiting their graves; nor is the simple act of asking forgiveness for the deceased forbidden. Similarly the preparing of food on the third or tenth or fortieth day after death is an innovation. There is no mention of it in any ḥadīth. Instead of the family of the deceased preparing food for others, it is recommended that food should be prepared and sent to the family of the deceased by others (Bu. 70 : 24 ; AD. 20 : 25). Alms may however be given on behalf of the deceased, and doing deeds of charity is the only thing allowed. It is stated in a ḥadīth that "a man came to the Holy Prophet and said that his mother had died suddenly, and he was sure that if she could speak, she would give something in charity, and enquired whether she would get any reward if he gave charity on her behalf." The Holy Prophet is reported to have replied in the affirmative (Bu. 23 : 95). In

PRAYER

another ḥadīth it is related that Sa'd ibn 'Ubāda asked the Prophet if it would benefit his deceased mother who had died while he was away, if he gave something in charity on her behalf, and the Holy Prophet, in this case also, replied in the affirmative (Bu. 55 : 15).

Sec. 10—Tahajjud and Tarāwih

The word *tahajjud* is derived from *hujūd* which means Tahajjud prayer is *sleep*, and *tahajjud* literally signifies voluntary. the *giving up of sleep* (R.). The Tahajjud prayer is so called because it is said after one has had some sleep, and sleep is then given up for the sake of prayer. It is specially mentioned, even enjoined, in the Holy Qur'ān itself in the very earliest revelations, but it is expressly stated to be voluntary. The following verses may be noted in this connection :

“O thou who hast wrapped up thyself! rise to pray in the night except a little, half of it, or lessen it a little or add to it, and recite the Qur'ān well arranged ... Surely the rising by night is the firmest way to tread and most impressive in speech ” (73 : 1-6).

“Surely thy Lord knows that thou passest in prayer nearly two-thirds of the night, and (sometimes) half of it, and (sometimes) a third of it, and also a party of those with thee ” (73 : 20).

“And during a part of the night, forsake sleep by it, beyond what is incumbent on thee ; maybe thy Lord will raise thee to a position of great glory ” (17 : 79).

As the above quotation from the 73rd chapter The Holy Prophet's shows, the Holy Prophet used to pass Tahajjud. half or even two-thirds of the night in prayer. His practice was to go to sleep immediately after the 'Ishā prayers, and then he generally woke

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

up after midnight and passed almost all this latter half of the night in Tahajjud prayers, sometimes taking a short nap, which would give him a little rest, just before the morning prayer. This practice he kept up to the last. While in the congregational prayers the recitation of the Holy Qur'ān was generally short, owing to the audience among whom were children and women and aged people, the recitation in the Tahajjud prayer was generally long, and it is related in Ḥadīth that he used to stand so long reciting the Holy Qur'ān that his feet would get swollen¹ (Bu. 19 : 6).

The Tahajjud prayer consists of eight rak'as divided into a service of two at a time, followed by three rak'as of witr. The Tahajjud prayer. To make it easier for the common people the witr prayer, which is really a part of Tahajjud, has been made a part of the 'Ishā or night prayer, and therefore, if the witr prayer has been said with 'Ishā, Tahajjud would consist of only eight rak'as. But if there is not sufficient time, one may stop after any two rak'as (Bu. 19 : 10). The Holy Prophet laid special stress on Tahajjud in the month of Ramadzān, and it was the Tahajjud prayer that ultimately took the form of Tarāwīḥ in that month. He is reported to have said that whoever keeps awake at night to offer prayer in the month of Ramadzān,

1. It is nothing but a distortion of facts to call such a person a voluptuary, simply because he took certain widows under the shelter of his roof and made them share the honour of partnership with him in matrimonial life. The man who passed half and even two-thirds of the night in prayer and who strenuously worked during the day-time for the all-round welfare of a whole nation, could not possibly have time for the indulgence of his passions. It is also a noteworthy fact that the only thing which kept him awake was a recitation of the Holy Qur'ān, or the glorification of the Divine Being, and it is impossible to fathom the depth of his love for God and his love for the word of God, when it is borne in mind that only the greatest attraction could keep a man awake during the night and enable him to conquer sleep.

PRAYER

having faith and seeking only the Divine pleasure, his faults are covered (Bu. 2 : 27) ; and there are ḥadīth showing that he used to awaken his wives to say prayers (Bu. 14 : 3). He is also said to have gone to the house of his daughter Fāṭima at night to awaken her and her husband 'Alī for Tahajjud prayers (Bu. 19 : 5). Owing to the emphasis laid by the Holy Prophet on this prayer and the injunctions of the Holy Qur'ān quoted above, the Companions of the Holy Prophet were very particular about Tahajjud prayer, though they knew that it was not obligatory, and some of them used to come to the mosque during the latter part of the night to say their Tahajjud prayers. It is reported that the Holy Prophet had a small closet made for himself in the mosque and furnished with a mat as a place of seclusion wherein to say his Tahajjud prayers during the month of Ramadzān, and on a certain night, when he rose up to say his Tahajjud prayers, some people who were in the mosque saw him and followed him in prayer, thus making a congregation. On the following night, this congregation increased, and swelled to still larger numbers on the third. On the fourth night the Holy Prophet did not come out, saying he feared lest it be made obligatory, and that it was preferable to say the Tahajjud prayers in one's own house (Bu. 10 : 80, 81). Tahajjud, except for these three days, thus remained an individual prayer during the lifetime of the Holy Prophet, the caliphate of Abū Bakr, and the early part of the caliphate of 'Umar (Bu. 31 : 1). But later on, 'Umar introduced a change whereby this prayer became a congregational prayer during the early part of the night, and was said after the 'Ishā prayer. He himself is reported to have said that it was an innovation and that the latter part of night during which people kept on sleeping was better than the early part in which they

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

said this prayer (Bu. 31:1). But doubtless he had had this suggestion from the example of the Holy Prophet himself, who had said the Tahajjud prayer in congregation for three nights, and allowed the witr, which was also a part of Tahajjud, to be adjoined to the 'Ishā prayer. And though for the average man the change introduced by 'Umar is doubtless welcome, nevertheless in Ramadzān, Tahajjud in the latter part of the night and as an individual prayer is preferable.

Tarāwīḥ is the plural of *Tarwīḥa* which is derived from *rāḥa* and means *the act of taking rest*. The name *Tarāwīḥ* seems to have been given to this prayer because the worshippers take a brief rest after every two rak'as. It is now the practice that the whole of the Holy Qur'ān is recited in the *Tarāwīḥ* prayers in the month of Ramadzān. But to recite it in a single night is against the express injunctions of the Holy Prophet (Bu. 30:58). The number of rak'as in the *Tarāwīḥ* prayers seems, at first, to have been eleven, being exactly the number of rak'as in the Tahajjud prayers (including of course three witr rak'as). It is stated that 'Umar at first ordered eleven rak'as, but later on the number seems to have been increased to twenty rak'as of *Tarāwīḥ* and three rak'as of witr, making a total of twenty-three (Ma. ch. 6—*Targhīb fi-l-ṣalāt fi Ramadzān*). And this practice is now generally maintained throughout the Muslim world, the Ahl Ḥadīth and the Aḥmadīs being almost the only exception, it being customary for the Imām to recite the whole of the Qur'ān in *Tarāwīḥ*, whether the number of rak'as be eight or twenty.

Sec. 11—Miscellaneous services.

It is reported that on a certain occasion when there had been a long drought, some one requested the Holy Prophet, while

Service for rain.

PRAYER

he was delivering the Friday sermon in the mosque, to pray for rain, as both men and cattle were suffering severely, and in response, the Prophet raised his hands and prayed to God for rain (Bu. 11 : 35). Similarly he is reported to have prayed to God when there was excess of rain (Bu. 11 : 35). On another occasion, however, he is said to have gone out into the open with the congregation, and to have prayed for rain and then performed two rak'as of prayer in congregation, reading the *Fātiḥa* in a loud voice, as in the Friday service (Bu. 15 : 1, 16).¹

A prayer service of two rak'as was held by the Holy

Prophet during an eclipse of the sun.

Service during eclipse.

This eclipse occurred on the day of the death of Ibrāhīm, the Holy Prophet's eighteen months old son. The service differed from the ordinary prayer service in that there were two qiyāms and two rukū's in each rak'a. After the first qiyām there was a rukū' as in the ordinary service, though of a longer duration, and then a qiyām followed again in which a portion of the Holy Qur'ān was recited ; this was followed by a second rukū' after rising from which, the sajda was performed as in the ordinary service ; the recitation being in a loud voice, as in the Friday and 'Id prayers (Bu. 16 : 2, 19). There is also mention of a *khuṭba* (sermon) having been delivered after the service (Bu. 19 : 4). In this sermon, the Holy Prophet, while enjoining charity and *istighfār*, referred particularly to Ibrāhīm's death. When the people saw that the sun had darkened, they began to say among themselves that this

1. In some ḥadīth it is stated that before prayer, the Holy Prophet turned over his *ridā'* (a loose wrapper worn over the shoulders). It seems, however, to have been only accidental, or it may have been simply a precautionary measure lest the *ridā'* should fall down in the raising up of the hands (FB. II. pp. 414, 415.)

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

was due to Ibrāhīm's death. The Holy Prophet rebutted this idea in his sermon, saying that "the sun and the moon do not suffer eclipse for any one's death or life" (Bu. 16 : 13). This was the only occasion of an eclipse on which a service was held by the Holy Prophet (ZM. I. p. 129).

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

Charity towards man, in its widest sense, is laid

Charity as one of the two principal duties. down in the Holy Qur'ān as the second great pillar on which the structure of Islām stands. This is made plain in the very beginning of the Holy Book : " Those who believe in the Unseen and keep up prayer and spend benevolently out of what We have given them ; and who believe in that which has been revealed to thee and that which was revealed before thee, and of the Hereafter they are sure. These are on a right course from their Lord, and these it is that shall be successful " (2:3-5). The main principles of Islām, as laid down here, are five, three theoretical and two practical. The three theoretical essentials are belief in God, in Divine revelation and in the Hereafter ; and the two practical are, keeping up prayer and spending out of what God has given to man. The first of these, which has already been discussed in the last chapter, *i. e.* prayer, is the means of the realization of the Divine in man, while the second, or spending out of whatever has been given to man, stands for charity in a broad sense, *i. e.*, for all acts of benevolence and doing good to humanity in general. For, what God has given to man is not only the wealth which he possesses, but the very faculties and powers with which he has been gifted.

That benevolence, or the doing of good to man, is one of the two mainstays of religion, is a constant theme of the Holy Qur'ān, but I will quote only one verse more. Speaking of the Jewish and Christian claims to salvation, on the basis of certain dogmas, the Holy Book says :

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

“ And they say, None shall enter Paradise except he who is a Jew or the Christians. These are their vain desires. Say, Bring your proof if you are truthful. Yea ! whoever submits himself entirely to Allāh and he is the doer of good to others, he has his reward from his Lord, and there is no fear for them nor shall they grieve ” (2 : 111, 112). In this verse submission to Allāh takes the place of keeping up prayer, and the doing of good to humanity that of spending out of what has been given to man. Thus, theoretically, Islām means a belief in God, in Divine revelation and in the Hereafter, and practically it means the realization of the Divine in man by prayer, or entire submission to God, and the service of humanity. The numerous ordinances relating to various aspects of life, whether contained in the Holy Qur’ān or in the Sunna of the Holy Prophet, are only offshoots of these two practical essentials of religion.

The relation in which prayer stands to charity is

Prayer is useless if it does not lead to charity. made clear by the order in which the two are mentioned. It should be noted that when prayer and charity are spoken of together, and this combination is of frequent occurrence in the Holy Qur’ān, prayer always takes precedence of charity. Does that mean that greater importance is attached to prayer? In this sense only that prayer prepares a man for the service of humanity. To discover the underlying idea we will return to the verse which speaks of the five basic principles of Islām. It will be seen that a belief in the Unseen is immediately followed by an injunction to keep up prayer, and this again by another to do acts of benevolence. This is to show the natural order. Belief in the Unseen is the starting point of man’s spiritual progress. But this would lead to no good if the next step, the seeking of communion with the Unseen through prayer, does not follow. And

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

this again is meaningless if it does not lead to acts of benevolence. Prayer, therefore, is the first step because it leads to the second, that is, charity. This is elsewhere made plain: "Woe to the praying ones, who are unmindful of their prayers, who make a show (of prayers) and withhold acts of charity" (107 : 4-7).

The most frequently recurring words for charity are *infāq*¹ which means *spending benevolently*, *iḥsān* which means *the doing of good*, *zakāt* which means *growth* or *purification*, and *ṣadaqa* which is derived from the root *ṣidq*, meaning *truth*, and comes to signify a *charitable deed*. The very words used to denote charitable deeds are an indication of the broadness of its conception. The Holy Qur'ān not only lays stress on such great deeds of charity as the emancipation of slaves (90 : 13 ; 2 : 177), the feeding of the poor (69 : 34 ; 90 : 11-16 ; 107 : 1-3), taking care of orphans (17 : 34 ; 76 : 8 ; 89 : 17 ; 90 : 15 ; 93 : 9 ; 107 : 2) and doing good to humanity in general, but gives equal emphasis to smaller acts of benevolence. It is for this reason that the withholding of *mā'ūn* (107 : 7), which specially indicates *small acts of charity*, is stated to be against the spirit of prayer. And in a similar strain, the speaking of a kind word to parents is referred to as *iḥsān* in 17 : 23, and generally the use of kind words is recommended as in itself a charitable deed in 2 : 83, 4 : 8 and other places.

Ḥadīth is much more explicit. To remove from the road anything which may cause hurt is called a *ṣadaqa* or a charitable deed (Bu. 46 : 24). According to another ḥadīth, "there is a *ṣadaqa* (charity) on every limb with every new sun, and to do justice among people is

1. The words *fī sabīl Allāh* (meaning *in the way of Allāh*) are sometimes added to the derivatives of *infāq* in the Holy Qur'ān, but the significance is the same, even when the word is used without this addition.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

also a charity" (Bu. 53: 11). Another gives yet more detail: "On every limb there is a *ṣadaqa* (charity) every day; a man helps another to ride his animal, it is a charity; or he helps him to load his animal, this is also a charity; and so is a good word; and every step, which a man takes in going to pray, is a charity; and to show the way is a charity" (Bu. 56: 72, 128). Examples of other charitable deeds are, "your salutation to people," "your enjoining what is right and forbidding what is wrong" (Ah. II, p. 329), "refraining from doing evil to any one" (Ah. IV, p. 395), and so on. The circle of those towards whom an act of charity may be done is equally wide. To give food to one's wife or one's children is called a charitable deed, while to maintain even one's self is not excluded from the category of charitable deeds: "The Holy Prophet said, Whatever you feed yourself with is a charity, and whatever you feed your children with is a charity, and whatever you feed your wife with is a charity, and whatever you feed your servant with is a charity (Ah. IV, p. 131). The doing of good to the dumb creation is also called a charity: "Whoever tills a field and birds and beasts eat of it, it is a charity" (Ah. IV, p. 55). The Holy Qur'ān also speaks of extending charity not only to all men including believers and unbelievers (2: 272), but also to the dumb creation (51: 19).

Charity, in the sense of giving away one's wealth, is of two kinds, voluntary and obligatory. Voluntary charity is generally mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān as *infāq* or *iḥsān* or *ṣadaqa*, and though the Holy Qur'ān is full of injunctions on this subject, and hardly a leaf is turned which does not bring to the mind the grand object of the service of humanity as the goal of man's life, it is specially dealt with in the 36th and 37th sections of the second chapter. The reward of charity is first spoken of :

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

“ The parable of those who spend their wealth in the way of Allāh is as the parable of a grain growing seven ears with a hundred grains in every ear ; and Allāh multiplies it for whom He pleases ” (2 : 261).

A charitable deed must be done as a duty which man owes to man, so that it conveys no idea of the superiority of the giver or the inferiority of the receiver :

“ As for those who spend their wealth in the way of Allāh, then do not follow up what they have spent with reproach or injury, they shall have their reward from their Lord.. ...

“ Kind speech and forgiveness is better than charity followed by injury.....

“ O you who believe ! do not make your charity worthless by reproach and injury ” (2 : 262-264).

Love of God should be the motive in all charitable deeds, so that the very doing of them fosters the feeling that all mankind is but a single family :

“ And they give food out of love for Him to the poor and the orphan and the captive ” (76 : 8).

“ And give away wealth out of love for Him to the near of kin and the orphans and the needy and the wayfarer and the beggars and for the emancipation of captives ” (2 : 177).

“ And the parable of those who spend their wealth to seek the pleasure of Allāh and for the certainty of their souls, is as the parable of a garden on an elevated ground ” (2 : 265).

Only good things and well-earned wealth should be given in charity:

“ O you who believe ! give in charity of the good things that you earn and of what We have brought forth for you out of the earth, and do not aim at giving what is bad, in charity ” (2 : 267).

Charitable deeds may be done openly or secretly :

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

“ If you give alms openly, it is well, and if you hide it and give it to the poor, it is better for you ” (2 : 271).

Those who do not beg should be the first to receive charity :

“ For the poor who are confined in the way of Allāh, they cannot go about in the land ; the ignorant man thinks them to be rich on account of their abstaining from begging ” (2 : 273).

Obligatory charity is generally mentioned under the name *zakā* or *zakāt*, but it is sometimes called a *ṣadaqa*, specially in Ḥadīth. The word *zakāt* is derived from *zakā*, which means *it* (a plant) *grew*. The other derivatives of this word, as used in the Holy Qur’ān, carry the sense of *purification from sins*. The Holy Prophet is again and again spoken of as *purifying* those who would follow him (*yuzakkī-him*, or *yuzakkī-kum*) (2 : 129, 151 ; 3 : 163 ; 9 : 103 ; 62 : 2), and the purification of the soul is repeatedly mentioned as being real success in life (91 : 9 ; 92 : 18). The word *zakāt* is also used in the sense of purity from sin. Thus of John it is said : “ And We granted him wisdom while yet a child, and tenderness from Us and purity (*zakāt*) ” (19 : 12, 13). And on another occasion, one child is spoken of as being “ better in purity (*zakāt*) ” than another (18 : 81). The idea of purity, and that of the growth of human faculties and success in life, are thus connected together. According to Rāghib, *zakāt* is wealth which is taken from the rich and given to the poor, being so called because it makes wealth grow, or because the giving away of wealth is a source of purification. In fact both these reasons hold true. The giving away of wealth to the poorer members of the community, while, no doubt, a source of blessing to the individual, also increases the wealth of the community as a whole, and at the same time it purifies the giver’s heart of the

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

inordinate love of wealth which brings numerous sins in its train. The Holy Prophet himself has described zakāt as wealth “which is taken from the rich and returned to the poor” (Bu. 24 : 1)

The two commandments, to keep up prayer and to give zakāt, often go together, and this combination of the two is met with in the earliest chapters of the Holy Qur’ān, as well as in those which were revealed towards the end of the Holy Prophet’s life. Thus in ch. 73, which is undoubtedly one of the very earliest revelations, we have : “ And keep up prayer and pay the zakāt and offer to Allāh a goodly gift ” (73 : 20). And in the ninth chapter, which is the latest in revelation, we have : “ Only he shall visit the mosques of Allāh who believes in Allāh and the last day and keeps up prayer and pays the zakāt and fears none but Allāh ” (9 : 18). Not only are ṣalāt and zakāt mentioned together in a large number of passages,¹ but these two are also treated as being the basic ordinances of the religion of Islām, and their carrying into practice is often mentioned as being sufficient indication that one is a believer in the religion of Islām. The two verses quoted above point to the same conclusion, and I add a few more :

“ And they are not enjoined anything except that they should serve Allāh, being sincere to Him in obedience, upright, and keep up prayer and pay the zakāt, and that is the right religion ” (98 : 5).

“ These are verses of the Book of wisdom, a guidance and a mercy for the doers of good, those who keep up prayer and pay the zakāt and they are certain of

1. Klein says : “ It is mentioned in eighty-two passages of the Qur’ān in close connection with prayer ” (RI. p.156, f.n.). I have not been able to trace the combination of ṣalāt and zakāt in more than 27 passages. But there are a few more passages in which prayer to God and the idea of charity in general are mentioned together.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the Hereafter " (31 : 2-4).

" But if they repent and keep up prayer and pay the zakāt, they are your brethren in faith " (9 : 11).

Ṣalāt and zakāt are also spoken of together as the basic ordinances of the religion of every prophet. Thus of Abraham and his posterity, it is said : " And We made them leaders who guided people by Our command, and We revealed to them the doing of good and the keeping up of prayer and the giving of zakāt " (21 : 73). The Israelite law is also said to have contained a similar commandment : " And Allāh said, Surely I am with you ; if you keep up prayer and pay the zakāt and believe in My apostles and assist them and offer to Allāh a goodly gift, I will certainly cover your evil deeds, and I will certainly cause you to enter gardens in which rivers flow " (5 : 12). Ishmael is also spoken of as giving the same commandment to his followers : " And he enjoined on his followers prayer and zakāt, and he was one in whom his Lord was well-pleased " (19 : 55). Even Jesus is said to have received a similar Divine commandment : " And He has enjoined on me prayer and zakāt so long as I live " (19 : 31).¹

This view of religion shows that, according to the Holy Qur'ān, the service of humanity and the amelioration of the condition of the poor has always been among the principal aims and objects of religion. It is however true that the same stress has not been laid on this principle in the previous religions, and, moreover, the institution of zakāt, like every other principle of religion,

1. The words, *so long as I live*, establish conclusively that Jesus is dead, because zakāt can only be given by one who is in possession of worldly wealth, and of Jesus it could not be said that he was in possession of wealth in heaven, and even if it were so there was none there to receive the zakāt.

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

has been brought to perfection, along with the perfection of religion, in Islām.

One of the greatest problems facing humanity is undoubtedly the problem of the distribution of wealth, with which is also bound up the question of political power. The system of capitalism which is the foundation-stone, so to say, of the materialistic civilization of modern Europe, has led to the concentration of wealth in fewer and fewer hands and to the growing impoverishment of the masses. Political power has followed in the wake of wealth, and at the bidding of the capitalist the politician has to declare peace and war. The insatiable thirst for wealth on the part of the capitalists, who are the real controllers of political power, has reduced many nations of the world to a state of slavery, and regular plunder has been legalized under different high-sounding phrases such as colonization, occupation, mandate, sphere of influence, and so on. The Great Powers are only great capitalists on a national scale. They can afford to reduce other nations to the beggary to which the masses in their own countries are reduced.

The reaction against capitalism set in towards the middle of the nineteenth century. It came under the name of Socialism and gradually developed into what is now known as Bolshevism. It holds Russia in its grip, perhaps as severely as capitalism still holds other European countries. Whether, in Russia, it has come to stay is a question which only the future can decide. But there is one thing that strikes one as very strange. Bolshevism, which had come in to liberate the people, is as much of a bondage as capitalism. The autocracy of Czardom has only given place to the autocracy of the Soviet. Government by proletariat differs but little from the democratic government of the capitalist countries.

The question before us however is, has Bolshevism,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

by state-ownership of Industry, finally solved the great problem of the distribution of wealth? Five, nay, ten years are but as one moment or even less in world-history. To say that because the five years plan has accelerated production to an extent which could hardly be imagined, and that therefore the state-ownership of Industry is the solution of the problem, is to show over-hastiness in drawing a conclusion. Who knows that the people entrusted with the carrying out of the scheme, the state-agents, may not tomorrow degenerate into an oligarchy similar to the oligarchy of capitalism? Human nature is too prone to these tendencies, and Bolshevism offers hardly any remedy to check such tendencies. But there is more than this. Bolshevism which came as the friend of labour defeats its own end by denying to labour its fruits. The rigid system of doling out the necessities of life to all alike, to the indolent and the hard worker, the stupid and the intelligent, will undoubtedly foster conditions which must soon become unbearable for humanity; for it is going directly against nature and nature's recognized laws. But its evil results cannot be seen in a day.

To Islām is due the credit of not only solving the Islām's solution of wealth problem but, at the same time, developing the higher sentiments and building up character, on which alone can be laid the foundations of a lasting civilization for the human race. The rigid laws of Bolshevism, which give the body sufficient to live on, are killing the higher sentiments of human sympathy and love, qualities which not only make life worth living but lacking which humanity must degenerate into the worst barbarism. Islām accomplishes both objects by its state institution of charity, which goes under the name of zakāt or poor-rate. Every possessor of wealth in the Islamic commonwealth

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

is required to contribute annually one-fortieth of his wealth to a common fund, which is managed by the state, or by the Muslim community where there is no Muslim state, and this fund is utilized by the state or community for the amelioration of the condition of the poor. Zakāt, therefore, acts not only as a levelling influence, but also as a means of developing the higher sentiments of man, the sentiments of love and sympathy towards his fellow-man; while the rigid system of state-ownership and equality of distribution helps to kill man's higher instincts. By this means, too, wealth is made to circulate in the body-politic of Islām, just as blood circulates in a living organism, a fixed portion of the wealth of the richer members being drawn to the centre, whence it is sent forth to those parts of the body-politic which need it most. The institution of zakāt thus becomes not only a levelling influence but also means for the upliftment of the nation as a whole.

It should be borne in mind that zakāt is not simply Zakāt is a state insti- obligatory charity. It is a state
tution. institution or where there is no Muslim state, a national institution. The individual is not at liberty to calculate and spend his zakāt as he likes. It must be collected by the state or on a national basis, and spent by the state or community. Where the Holy Qur'ān describes the main heads of the expenditure of zakāt, it mentions an item of expenditure on officials appointed to collect and distribute the same, which shows clearly that, by the institution of zakāt, it contemplated either a department of the state or at least a public fund managed entirely by a public body. The donor is not required to give a certain portion of his savings to deserving persons, but to contribute the same to a fund which must be used for the upliftment of the community. It was in this sense that the Holy Prophet

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

understood it, and when he assumed control of the government, he made zakāt a state institution, appointing officials to collect it and directing his governors to do the same in distant provinces, as in the case of Mu'adh who was appointed Governor of Yaman (Bu. 24 : 1). Abū Bakr followed in the footsteps of the Holy Prophet when he declared war against some of the tribes which had refused to send their zakāt to the state treasury, adding: "Zakāt is the right (of the state or community) in the wealth (acquired by an individual), and by Allāh, if they refuse to make over even one lamb which they used to make over to the Holy Prophet, I will fight with them" (Bu. 24 : 1).

Though injunctions relating to zakāt are met with Property on which zakāt is payable. in very early revelations, the details were given only after Islām was established at Madīna. Silver and gold are the two commodities which man has always loved to hoard, and besides this they are the two precious metals which are the basis of the currencies of the world. These two therefore find special mention as being articles on which zakāt must be paid. Ornaments made of silver or gold were treated as silver or gold. And cash, whether in the form of coins or notes or bank deposits, would follow the same rule. Precious stones were excepted from zakāt, because in taking a part, in this case, the whole would have to be destroyed or deteriorated. Articles of merchandise were also considered as being subject to zakāt to whatever class they may belong.¹ Animals used for trade purposes were subject

1. There is almost a consensus of opinion on this matter. Bukhārī mentions no ḥadīth on this point, but the heading of one of his chapters, the 29th, in the book of Zakāt is as follows: "The *ṣadaqa* (zakāt) of *kaṣb* (what is earned) and *tijāra* (merchandise)" (Bu. 24 : 29). He is unable, however, to find a ḥadīth supporting it, and contents himself with quoting the following verse of the Holy Qur'ān: "O you who

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

to zakāt only if they were kept on pastures belonging to the state. There is no mention of immovable property, such as agricultural lands and house property, among the things on which zakāt was levied, but the produce of land, whether cereals or fruits, was subject to a tax called '*uṣṣr*', literally, the tenth part. It has been treated as zakāt; actually, however, it falls within the category of land revenue. Vegetables are excepted from zakāt (Tr. 5 : 13). Since zakāt is a tax on property, therefore it is realizable though the property may belong to a minor. According to a ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "Whoever is the guardian of an orphan, he should do trading by his property, and should not allow it to lie idle so that it may come to an end by the payment of zakāt" (Tr. 5 : 15).

Zakāt was an annual charge on property which remained in the possession of a person for a whole year, when its value reached a certain limit, called the niṣāb. Niṣāb differed with different kinds of property, the most important being 200 dirhams or $52\frac{1}{2}$ tolas (nearly 21 oz.)

believe! give in charity of the good things that you earn and of what We have brought forth for you out of the earth" (2 : 267). It may, however, be noted that this verse refers to voluntary gifts. Abū Dāwūd mentions a ḥadīth from Sumra ibn Jundub: "The Holy Prophet used to command us that we should pay zakāt out of what we had for sale" (AD. 9 : 31). Some have questioned the authenticity of this ḥadīth, but it is supported by other ḥadīth. For instance, there is a ḥadīth reported by Dār Quṭnī and Ḥākam, according to which the Holy Prophet mentioned *bazz* (cloth for sale) as being one of the things on which zakāt was payable. According to another, also reported by Dār Quṭnī, the Caliph 'Umar ordered a certain man who was carrying on trade in skins, to pay the zakāt by having the price estimated. There is also a ḥadīth in *Baiḥaqī*, according to which Ibn 'Umar said that in '*urūd*' (commodities other than gold and silver), there was no zakāt unless they were meant for trade (AM-AD. II, p. 4). The last-mentioned ḥadīth is also reported by Abū Dharr (Ah. V, p. 199).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

in the case of silver, and twenty mithqāls or $7\frac{1}{2}$ tolas (nearly 3 oz.) in the case of gold. The niṣāb of cash was the same as that of silver or gold, according as the cash was held in silver or gold. In the case of merchandise of all kinds, the value was calculated on the basis of, and the niṣāb was judged by, the silver standard. In the case of ornaments, the niṣāb was that of silver if the ornaments were made of silver, and that of gold if they were made of gold. But jewels and the like would be excepted, and only the weight of silver or gold would be considered in determining the niṣāb. In the case of animals, the niṣāb was, for camels five, for bulls or cows thirty, for goats forty. In the case of horses, no particular niṣāb is mentioned, but as zakāt in this case was judged by the price, the niṣāb must also be judged by the same standard. In the case of cereals, the niṣāb was five *wasaq* which, according to two different calculations, comes to twenty-six maunds and ten seers, or eighteen maunds and thirty-five and a half seers, or nearly a ton in the first case, and about two-thirds of a ton in the second.¹

With the exception of animals, zakāt was levied at
 Rate at which zakāt almost a uniform rate, being $2\frac{1}{2}$
 must be paid. per cent. of the accumulated wealth.
 In the case of animals, specially of camels and sheep, detailed rules were laid down, and animals of a particular age were taken as zakāt when the herd reached a specified number.² A perusal of the rates given in the

1. The difference arises from the measure of ṣā' which, according to the people of 'Irāq, is eight *raṭl* in weight, and according to the people of Hījāz, five and one-third *raṭl*.

2. In the case of camels, the rule laid down was as follows: "One goat for five camels, and after that, one for each additional five or part of five, up to 24. When the number reached 25, a young she-camel, one year old, sufficed up to 34. For 35 to 45, the age was raised to two years; for 46 to 60, to three years; for 61 to 75, to four years. For 76 to 90, two young she-camels of the age of two years were given as

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

foot-note would show that, though there is a slight variation, yet in the main, the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. seems to have been kept in view. The case of one full-grown cow out of every forty cows, one she-camel, two years old, out of every forty camels, and one goat out of forty goats, makes this clear.

The case of treasure trove, out of which one-fifth was taken, is quite a different matter, and can hardly fall within the category of zakāt, since it cannot be said to be a thing which has remained in the full possession of the owner for one year. In such circumstances, where any other government would take the whole treasure, the Muslim state takes only a fifth. The case of 'ushr', as already stated, is not technically zakāt; it is really land revenue. The state takes only one-tenth of the produce of agricultural land when it is grown with the aid of rain water or natural springs, and one-twentieth when irrigated by wells or other artificial means in which labour is engaged by the owner of the land (IM. 8 : 17). Considering the high rates of land revenue and canal irrigation prevalent in this country, the agriculturist pays a very small sum in the form of 'ushr' under the Muslim government.

It will thus be seen that zakāt proper is only a
 Zakāt under modern conditions. charge on accumulated wealth, and is intended to do away with the

zakāt; for 91 to 124, two of the age of three years, and after that one she-camel of the age of two years for every forty camels, or one of the age of three years for every fifty camels, was to be added. In the case of goats and sheep, the zakāt was one goat or sheep for 40 to 120, two for 121 to 200, three for 201 to 300, and after that one for each hundred or part of hundred (Bu. 24 : 38.) In the case of cows, one year old calf for every thirty cows, and a two year old one for every forty, is the rule laid down in a ḥadīth (Tr. 5 : 5). According to Bukhārī (Bu. 24 : 45), horses are exempt from zakāt. The reason appears to be that they were needed in time of war. Later jurists however consider horses to be taxable according to their value at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ p. c. (H. I, p. 173).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

inequalities of capitalism. Wealth has a tendency to accumulate, and zakāt aims at its partial re-distribution in such a manner that the community, as a whole, may derive advantage from it. A part of the amassed wealth or capital of every individual is taken away annually and distributed among the poor and the needy. Zakāt would therefore be payable on all cash hoardings, or hoardings in gold or silver, as well as on any form of capital, whether in shape of cash or kind. Precious stones, as already stated, are excepted, because the payment of zakāt on them would necessitate their sale. Machinery employed in industry must follow the same rule. It should, in fact, be regarded in the same light as the implements of an artisan, and its earnings become taxable when the necessary conditions as to the assessment of zakāt are fulfilled. Stock in trade should be treated in a similar manner; that is to say, only the yearly profit should be taxable, not the stock itself. In the case of all things on which zakāt is payable, whether cereals, live-stock or other articles of merchandise, their value should be determined, and zakāt levied at the universal rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. As most of the Muslims are living under non-Muslim governments, and the collection and disbursement of zakāt cannot be undertaken by these governments, the duty devolves on the Muslim community as a whole, and the institution of zakāt must take the shape of a national Muslim institution in every country where there is a Muslim population.

How zakāt should be spent.

The items of the expenditure of zakāt are thus expressly stated in

the Holy Qur'ān :—

“ Alms (*ṣadaqāt*) are only for the poor (*fuqarā'*), and the needy (*masākīn*), and the officials appointed over them, and those whose hearts are made to incline

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

to truth (*al-mu'allafati qulūbu-hum*), and captives, and those in debt, and in the way of Allāh, and the wayfarer : an ordinance (*farīdza*) from Allāh, and Allāh is Knowing, Wise " (9 : 60). As already noted, zakāt is sometimes mentioned under the name of *ṣadaqa*. That this is the significance of *ṣadaqāt* here is made clear by the concluding words of the verse, where it is called a *farīdza*, or an obligatory duty, which word is applicable to zakāt only. The eight heads of expenditure spoken of here may be divided into three classes. The first relates to those who stand in need of help, including the poor, the needy, those whose hearts are made to incline to truth, captives, debtors and the wayfarer. Secondly, there are the officials appointed for collection and disbursement of the fund. And thirdly, a part of the zakāt is required to be spent in the way of Allāh. A few words of explanation may be added as regards each class.

It will be seen that six kinds of people fall under the first head. The first are *fuqarā'*, pl. of *faqīr*, derived from *faqr* which means *the breaking of the vertebrae of the back*, and *faqīr* therefore means literally *a man who has the vertebrae of his back broken* or *one afflicted by a calamity* (LL.). Apparently it refers to disabled people who, on account of some defect, are unable to earn their living. The second are *masākīn*, pl. of *miskīn*, which is derived from *sakana* meaning *it became still* or *motionless*. *Miskīn* therefore signifies *one caused by poverty to have little power of motion* (LL.). There exists a good deal of difference as to the real distinction between the two words *faqīr* and *miskīn* ; but, keeping the literal significance in view, the real distinction appears to be that *faqīr* is one who is disabled from earning on account of some physical disability, while *miskīn* is one who, though fit to earn sufficient, is unable to do so on account of poverty or lack of resources.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The *miskin* is the needy man who if given a little help can earn livelihood for himself. The unemployed would fall in this category.

These are the two chief classes for whose benefit the institution of zakāt is maintained, and hence they are separated from others by a mention of the establishment. The other groups falling in this class are also of persons who stand in need of help for some sufficient reason. There are *al-mu'allafati qulūbu-hum*, those whose hearts are made to incline to truth, that is, people who are in search of truth but unable to find means to have access to it on account of poverty. In this category would also fall new converts to Islām who are deprived of the means of their subsistence because of their conversion. Then there are the captives, or those who have been deprived of their liberty, and are unable to regain it by their own exertion. The freeing of slaves falls in this category. Then there are the debtors who are unable to pay their own debts, and, lastly, there are the travellers who are stranded in a foreign country or in a distant place, and are unable to reach their homes.

There are two other heads of expenditure of zakāt, the first of which is the maintenance of an establishment and office for the collection of zakāt. This shows that zakāt was meant to be collected at some central place, and then distributed, and the maintenance of people who did this work was a charge under this head. The Holy Qur'ān, therefore, does not allow the giving away or spending of zakāt according to the individual's choice.¹

1. There is a ḥadīth which states that the Holy Prophet allowed one-third of the zakāt to be spent by an individual for those whom he thought fit to receive the zakāt. He is reported to have said : " When you estimate, leave one-third ; if you do not leave one-third, then leave one-fourth " (AD. 9 : 14). Explaining this ḥadīth, Imām Shāfi'ī says that the one-third or one-fourth was to be left, so that the person from whom the zakāt

ZAKĀT OR CHARITY

The collection of zakāt, in spite of the remuneration paid for it, is regarded as an act of merit, and according to one ḥadīth, the collector of zakāt is equal in merit to one who takes part in jihād or in a war to defend religion (AD. 19 : 6 ; Tr. 5 : 18).

The establishment charge being a corollary of the nationalization of the institution of zakāt, the only item of expenditure besides the help of those in need, for one reason or another, is, what is called, *fī sabīli-llāh*, or *in the way of Allāh*, which is accepted generally as meaning *warriors defending the faith* (IJ-C. X, p. 100). While such warriors are undoubtedly the most important national need of a community, it is equally true that they are an exception and not the rule, and hence the significance of the words *fī sabīli-llāh* cannot be limited to them. But there is another paramount need of the Muslim community which is called *jihād kabīr*, or the *great jihād*, in the Holy Qur'ān : “ And if We had pleased, We would have raised a warner in every town. So do not follow the unbelievers, and strive against them a mighty striving (*jihād-an kabīr-an*) with it ” (25 : 51-52). The personal pronoun *it*, as the context clearly shows, refers to the Holy Qur'ān, and therefore striving with the Holy Qur'ān, or taking the message of the Holy Qur'ān to distant corners of the world, is the greatest jihād of Islām. And the item of expenditure *fī sabīli-llāh* therefore refers to both these paramount needs of national existence, that is, wars to defend religion and the propagation of Islām, the latter being the greatest need of this age. Hence it will be seen that the institution of zakāt, while chiefly aiming at the amelioration of the condition of the poor, and redressing

was taken should spend the portion left on his relatives or neighbours as he desired (AM-AD. 9 : 15).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the wrongs inflicted by capitalism, has also in view the defence and advancement of the Muslim community as a whole.

Zakāt, though the most important, is not, however, the only, national institution of charity built up by Islām. There are two others of a similar nature, both connected with the 'Id festivals; whereby into every Muslim heart is instilled the idea that even when in his happiest mood, he must never forget the distress of his poorer brethren. The first of these institutions is the *ṣadaqa al-Fiṭr* or *zakāt al-Fiṭr*, i.e., charity connected with the 'Id al-Fiṭr. Every Muslim on that occasion is required to give away in charity a certain measure of food, whose value generally varies between 3 to 4 annas. This sum must be collected by every Muslim community and then distributed among those who deserve it.¹ The second institution is connected with the 'Id al-Adḡā, on which occasion not only are the poorer members of the community fed with the meat of the sacrificed animals, but the skins of those animals (and also dried meat, in case the supply is greater than the demand) are sold, and the sum thus realized spent on some charitable object of national value, such as the propagation of Islām.

1. It has already been shown, in the chapter on 'Id prayers, that the Fiṭr charity was collected and then distributed; and here too the choice was not with the individual but with the community.

ŞAUM OR FASTING

The primary signification of *şaum* is *abstaining*, in an absolute sense (*al-imsāku 'ani-l-fi'l*), and includes abstaining from eating or speaking or moving about; thus a horse that abstains from moving about, or from fodder, is said to be *şa'im*, and wind is said to be *şaum* when it abates, and the day when it reaches the mid point (R.). In the sense of abstaining from speech, the word is used in the Holy Qur'an in an early Makka revelation: "Say, I have vowed a fast to the Beneficent God, so I shall not speak to any man to-day" (19 : 26). In the technical language of the Islamic law, *şaum* and *şiyām* signify *fasting* or abstaining from food and drink and sexual intercourse from dawn till sunset.

The institution of fasting in Islām came after the institution of fasting institution of prayer. It was in Madīna in Islām. in the second year of Hijra that fasting was made obligatory, and the month of *Ramadzān* was set apart for this purpose. Before that the Holy Prophet used to fast, as an optional devotion, on the tenth day of *Muḥarram*, and he also ordered his followers to fast on that day, it being a fasting day for the *Quraish* as well, according to 'Ā'isha (Bu. 30 : 1). The origin of fasting in Islām may thus be traced to the time when the Holy Prophet was still at Makka; but, according to Ibn 'Abbās, it was after his flight to Madīna that he saw the Jews fasting on the tenth day of *Muḥarram*; and being told that Moses had kept a fast on that day in commemoration of the delivery of the Israelites from Pharaoh, he remarked that they (the Muslims) were nearer to Moses

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

than the Jews and ordered that day to be observed as a day of fasting (Bu. 30 : 69).

In the Holy Qur'ān, the subject of fasting is dealt with only in one place, that is, in the 23rd section of the second chapter; though there is mention on other occasions of fasting by way of expiation, or *fidya*, in certain cases. This section opens with the remark that the institution of fasting is a universal one. "O you who believe! fasting is prescribed for you as it was prescribed for those before you, so that you may guard against evil" (2 : 183). The truth of the statement made here—that fasting "was prescribed for those before you"—is borne out by a reference to religious history. The practice of fasting has been recognized well-nigh universally in all the higher, revealed, religions, though the same stress is not laid on it in all, and the forms and motives vary. "Its modes and motives vary considerably according to climate, race, civilization and other circumstances; but it would be difficult to name any religious system of any description in which it is wholly unrecognized" (En. Br. art. *Fasting*). Confucianism is, according to the writer in the *Encyclopædia Britannica*, the only exception. Zoroastrianism, which is sometimes mentioned as another exception, is stated as enjoining, "upon the priesthood at least, no fewer than five yearly fasts." Present-day Christianity may not attach much value to religious devotions of this sort, but not only did the Founder of Christianity himself keep a fast for forty days and observe fasting on the Day of Atonement like a true Jew, but also commended fasting to his disciples: "Moreover when ye fast, be not as the hypocrites, of a sad countenance But thou, when thou fastest, anoint thine head, and wash thy face" (Mt. 6 : 16, 17). It appears that his disciples did fast, but not as often as did those of the Baptist, and when

ŞAUM OR FASTING

questioned on that point, his reply was that they would fast more frequently when he was taken away (Lk. 5 : 33—35). The early Christians also are spoken of as fasting (Acts 13 : 2, 3 ; 14 : 23). Even St. Paul fasted (II. Cor. 6 : 5 ; 11 : 27).

Cruden's remark in his *Bible Concordance* that fast-
New meaning intro- ing in all nations was resorted to
duced by Islām. "in times of mourning, sorrow and
afflictions" is borne out by facts. Among the Jews generally, fasting was observed as a sign of grief or mourning. Thus, David is mentioned as fasting for seven days during the illness of his infant son (II Sam. 12 : 16, 18); and, as a sign of mourning, fasting is mentioned in I Sam. 31 : 13 and elsewhere. Besides the Day of Atonement, which was prescribed by the Mosaic law as a day of fasting (Lev. 16 : 29)—the people being required to "afflict" their souls while the priest made an atonement for them to cleanse them of their sins—, various other fast-days came into vogue after the Exile "in sorrowful commemoration of the various sad events which had issued in the downfall of the kingdom of Judah" (En. Br.). Four of these became regular fasting-days, "commemorating the beginning of the siege of Jerusalem, the capture of the city, the destruction of the temple and the assassination of Gedaliah" (*Ibid*). Thus it was generally some trouble or sad event of which the memory was kept up by a fast. Moses' fasting for forty days—which example was later followed by Jesus Christ—seems to be the only exception, and the fast, in this case, was kept preparatory to receiving a revelation. Christianity did not introduce any new meaning into the fast ; Christ's words, that his disciples would fast oftener when he was taken away from their midst, only lend support to the Jewish conception of the fast, as connected with national grief or mourning.

The idea underlying this voluntary suffering in the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

form of a fast in times of sorrow and affliction seems to have been to propitiate an angry Deity and excite compassion in Him. The idea that fasting was an act of penitence seems gradually to have developed from this, as an affliction or calamity was considered to be due to sin, and fasting thus became an outward expression of the change of heart brought about by repentance. It was in Islām that the practice received a highly developed significance. It rejected *in toto* the idea of appeasing Divine wrath or exciting Divine compassion through voluntary suffering and introduced in its place regular and continuous fasting, irrespective of the condition of the individual or the nation, as a means, like prayer, to the development of the inner faculties of man. Though the Holy Qur'ān speaks of expiatory or compensatory fasts in certain cases of violation of the Divine law, yet these are quite distinct from the obligatory fasting in the month of Ramadzān, and are mentioned only as an alternative to an act of charity, such as the feeding of the poor or freeing of a slave. Fasting, as an institution, is here made a spiritual, moral and physical discipline of the highest order, and this is made clear by changing both the form and the motive. By making the institution permanent, all ideas of distress, affliction and sin are dissociated from it, while its true object is made plain, which is "that you may guard (*tattaqūn*)."
The word *ittiqā*, from which *tattaqūn* is derived, means *the guarding of a thing from what harms or injures it*, or *the guarding of self against that of which the evil consequences may be feared* (R.). But besides this, the word has been freely used in the Holy Qur'ān in the sense of fulfilment of duties, as in 4:1 where *arḥām* (ties of relationship) occurs as an object of *ittaqū*, or, as generally in *ittaqu-llāh* where Allāh is the object of *ittāqū*, and therefore the significance of *ittiqā* in all these cases is a

ṢAUM OR FASTING

fulfilment of obligations. In fact, in the language of the Holy Qur'ān, to be a *muttaqī* is to attain to the highest stage of spiritual development. "Allāh is the friend of the *muttaqīn*" (45 : 19) ; "Allāh loves the *muttaqīn*" (3 : 75 ; 9 : 4, 7) ; "Allāh is with the *muttaqīn*" (2 : 194 ; 9 : 36, 123) ; "The good end is for the *muttaqīn*" (7 : 128 ; 11 : 49 ; 28 : 83) ; "For the *muttaqīn* is an excellent resort" (38 : 49)—these and numerous similar passages show clearly that the *muttaqī*, according to the Holy Qur'ān, is the man who has attained to the highest stage of spiritual development. And as the object of fasting is to be a *muttaqī*, the conclusion is evident that the Holy Qur'ān enjoins fasting with the object of making man ascend the spiritual heights.

Fasting, according to Islām, is primarily a spiritual discipline. On two occasions in the Holy Qur'ān (9 : 112 ; 66 : 5), those who fast are called *sā'ih* (from *sāḥa* meaning *he travelled*) or spiritual wayfarers ; and according to one authority, when a person refrains, not only from food and drink, but from all kinds of evil, he is called a *sā'ih* (R.). In speaking of *Ramadzān*, the Holy Qur'ān specially refers to nearness to God, as if its attainment were an aim in fasting, and then adds : "So they should answer My call (by fasting) and believe in Me, so that they may find the way (to Me)" (2 : 186). In *Ḥadīth* too, special stress is laid on the fact that the seeking of Divine pleasure should be the ultimate object in fasting : "Whoever fasts during *Ramadzān*, having faith in Me and seeking My pleasure" (Bu. 2 : 28). "The Prophet said, Fasting is a shield, so the faster should not indulge in foul speech and surely the breath of a fasting man is pleasanter to Allāh than the odour of musk ; he refrains from food and drink and other desires to seek My pleasure : fasting is for Me only" (Bu. 30 : 2),

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

No temptation is greater than the temptation of satisfying one's thirst and hunger when drink and food are in one's possession, yet this temptation is overcome, not once or twice, as if it were by chance, but day after day regularly for a whole month, with a set purpose of drawing closer and closer to the Divine Being. A man can avail himself of the best diet, yet he prefers to remain hungry; he has the cool drink in his possession, yet he is parching with thirst; he touches neither food nor drink, simply because he thinks that it is the commandment of God that he should not do so. In the inner recesses of his house there is none to see him if he pours down his dry and burning throat a glass of delicious drink, yet there has developed in him the sense of the nearness to God to such an extent that he would not put a drop of it on his tongue. Whenever a new temptation comes before him, he overcomes it, because, just at the critical moment, there is an inner voice, "God is with me," "God sees me." Not the deepest devotion can, of itself, develop that sense of the nearness to God and of His presence everywhere, which fasting day after day for a whole month does. The Divine presence, which may be a matter of faith to others, becomes a reality for him, and this is made possible by the spiritual discipline underlying fasting. A new consciousness of a higher life, a life above that which is maintained by eating and drinking, has been awakened in him, and this is the life spiritual.

There is also a moral discipline underlying fasting, for it is the training ground where
A moral discipline. man is taught the greatest moral lesson of his life—the lesson that he should be prepared to suffer the greatest privation and undergo the hardest trial rather than indulge in that which is not permitted to him. That lesson is repeated from day to day for a whole month, and just as physical exercise strengthens

ṢAUM OR FASTING

man physically, moral exercise through fasting, the exercise of abstaining from everything that is not allowed, strengthens the moral side of his life. The idea that everything unlawful must be eschewed and that evil must be hated is thus developed through fasting. Another aspect of the moral development of man by this means is that he is thus taught to conquer his physical desires. He takes his food at regular intervals and that is no doubt a desirable rule of life, but fasting for one month in the year teaches him the higher lesson that, instead of being the slave of his appetites and desires, he should be their master, being able to change the course of his life if he so wills it. The man who is able to rule his desires, to make them work as he likes, in whom will-power is so developed that he can command himself, is the man who has attained to true moral greatness.

In addition to its spiritual and moral values, fasting
Social value of as prescribed in the Holy Qur'ān has
fasting. also a social value, more effective
than that which is realized through prayer. Rich and poor, great and small, residents of the same vicinity are brought together five times daily in the mosque on terms of perfect equality, and thus healthy social relations are established through prayer. But the appearance of the moon of Ramadẓān is a signal for a mass movement towards equality which is not limited to one vicinity or even one country but affects the whole Muslim world. The rich and the poor may stand shoulder to shoulder in one row in the mosque, but in their homes they live in different environments. The rich sit down on tables laden with dainties and with these they load their stomachs four, even six, times daily; while the poor cannot find sufficient with which to satisfy their hunger even twice a day. The latter often feel the pangs of hunger to which the former are utter strangers; how can

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the one feel for the other and sympathize with him? A great social barrier thus exists between the two classes in their homes, and this barrier is removed only when the rich are made to feel the pangs of hunger like their poorer brethren and go without food for a day, and this experience has to be gone through, not for a day or two, but for a whole month. The rich and the poor are thus, throughout the Muslim world, brought on the same level in that they are both allowed only two meals a day, and though these meals may not be exactly the same, the rich have perforce to shorten their menu and to adopt a simpler fare and thus come closer to their poorer brethren. This course undoubtedly awakens sympathy for the poor in the hearts of the rich, and it is for this reason that the helping of the poor is especially enjoined in the month of Ramadzān.

Paradoxical as it may sound, refraining from food during stated intervals only increases the appetite. The rest given to the digestive organs for a whole month only gives them additional strength, like fallow ground which, by rest, becomes more productive, as all organs of the body are so made that rest only increases their capacity for work, and the better the capacity of the digestive organs, the healthier is the physical growth of man.

But fasting has yet another, and a more important, physical value. The man who cannot face the hardships of life, who is not able to live, at times, without his usual comforts, cannot be said to be even physically fit for life on this earth. The moment such a man is involved in difficulty or distress, as he must be every now and again, his strength is liable to give way. Fasting accustoms him to face the hardships of life, being in itself a practical lesson to that end, and increases his powers of resistance.

ṢAUM OR FASTING

With some exceptions, which will be mentioned later on, Muslims are required to fast for 29 or 30 days of the month of Ramadzān. The exact number depends on the appearance of the moon which may be after 29 or 30 days. Fasting commences with the new moon of Ramadzān and ends on the appearance of the new moon of Shawwāl. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "We are a people who neither write nor do we keep account; the month is thus and thus, showing (by his fingers) once twenty-nine and again thirty" (Bu. 30 : 13). Another ḥadīth says: "The Holy Prophet mentioned Ramadzān and said, Do not fast until you see the new moon and do not break fasting until you see it (again), and if it is cloudy, calculate its appearance" (Bu. 30 : 11; M. 13 : 2). Another says that if it is cloudy, thirty days should be completed (Bu. 30 : 11). To begin and end by the actual appearance of the new moon¹ was the easier method for a "people who did not know writing, and did not keep account," and it is still the easier method for the vast masses living in villages and distant places, but the ḥadīth quoted above also allows that the appearance of the moon may be judged by computation. There is however an express prohibition against fasting when the appearance of the moon is doubtful (*yaum al-shakk*) (AD. 14 : 10).

The injunction laid down in the Holy Qur'ān, relating to fasting in the month of Ramadzān, runs as follows: "The month of Ramadzān is that in which the Qur'ān was

1. The actual appearance of the moon may be established by the evidence of a single man if he be trustworthy. It is related that on a certain occasion the people of Madīna were doubtful about the appearance of the new moon of Ramadzān and they had decided not to fast, when a man came from the desert and gave evidence that he had seen the new moon. And the Holy Prophet accepted his evidence and directed the people to fast (AD. 14 : 14).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

revealed, a guidance to men and clear proofs of guidance and the distinction; therefore whoever of you witnesses the coming of this month, he shall fast therein" (2 : 185). It will be seen from the words of the injunction that the choice of this particular month for fasting is not without a reason. It has been chosen because it is the month in which the Holy Qur'ān was revealed. It is well-known that the Holy Qur'ān was revealed piecemeal during a period of twenty-three years; therefore by its revelation in the month of Ramadzān is meant that its revelation first began in that month. And this is historically true. The first revelation came to the Holy Prophet on the 24th night of the month of Ramadzān when he was in the cave of Hīrā (IJ-C. 2 : 185). It was therefore in Ramadzān that the first ray of Divine light fell on the Prophet's mind, and the angel Gabriel made his appearance with the great Divine message. The month which witnessed the greatest spiritual experience of the Holy Prophet was thus considered to be the most suitable month for the spiritual discipline of the Muslim community, which was to be effected through fasting.

There are evident reasons for choosing a lunar month. The advantages and disadvantages of the particular season in which it falls are shared by the whole world. A solar month would have given the advantages of shorter days and cooler weather to one part of the world, and burdened the other with the disadvantages of longer days and hotter weather. The lunar month is more in consonance with the universal nature of the teachings of Islām, and all people have the advantages and disadvantages equally distributed. On the other hand, if a particular time had not been specified, the discipline would have lost all its value. It is due to the choice of a particular month, that with its advent the whole Muslim world is, as it were, moved by one current

ṢAUM OR FASTING

from one end to the other. The movement effected by the advent of Ramadẓān in the Muslim world is the greatest mass movement on the face of the earth. The rich and the poor, the high and the low, the master and the servant, the ruler and the ruled, the black and the white, the Eastern and the Western, from one end of the earth to the other, suddenly change the course of their lives when they witness the tiny crescent of Ramadẓān making its appearance on the western horizon. There is no other example of a mass movement on this scale on the face of the earth, and this is due to the specification of a particular month.

The injunction to fast is laid down only for those Persons who may not witness the coming of the month, *man shahida min-kum al-shahra*. The verb *shahida* is from the infinitive *shahāda*, which means *the bearing of witness*; so the injunction to fast is laid upon those only who witness the coming of the month. Evidently all people who live in places where the division into twelve months does not exist, are excluded from the purview of the injunction. Fasting is not compulsory in their case.

People who are exempted are specially mentioned either in the Holy Qur'ān or in the Ḥadīth. The Holy Qur'ān mentions the sick and those on journey in the following words: "But whoever among you is sick or upon a journey, (he shall fast) a like number of other days, and those who find it hard to do so¹ may effect a

1. The Arabic word is *yufīqūna-hū*, which is generally interpreted as meaning *those who are able to do it*. If this interpretation be adopted, the significance would be that invalids and travellers may either fast afterwards when they are not under such disability, or they may effect a redemption by feeding a poor man for every day of fasting. But I prefer the other interpretation which some commentators have accepted, *viz.*, that *yufīqūna-hū* means *those who find it hard to keep* the fast even afterwards; only such persons are allowed to effect a redemption by feeding a poor man. This interpretation is supported by a different reading *yufayyaqūna-hū* which

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

redemption by feeding a poor man" (2 : 184). There is not absolute exemption for the sick man and the traveller; they are required to fast afterwards, when the sickness has gone or when the journey ends, but there may be cases of protracted illness or constant journeying, and such people are allowed to effect a redemption by feeding a poor man for every fast missed. Ḥadīth makes a further extension and gives relaxation to certain classes of people who, on account of some physical disability, are not able to fast. It is related of Anas that he used to feed a poor man when he grew too old to fast (Bu. 65, sūra 2, ch. 22), and Ibn 'Abbās is reported to have held that the words "those who find it hard to do so may effect a redemption" relate to the old man and the old woman and the pregnant woman and the woman that suckles a child, and that all of them are allowed to break the fast,—the latter two, only if they fear for the child—and feed a poor man instead (AD. 14 : 3). This view was also held by Ḥasan and Ibrāhīm (Bu. 65, sūra 2, ch. 22). It will be seen that the underlying idea is that a burden should not be placed on any one, which he is unable to bear. The case of old people who have become enfeebled by age is very clear, while in the case of pregnant and the nursing women, the permission to effect a redemption is due to the fact that fasting may cause harm to the unborn baby, or the baby that is being nursed, as well as to the woman herself; and as she is likely to remain in this condition for a sufficiently long time, she is given the benefit of the relaxation. Sickly people and those who are too weak to bear the burden would be dealt with as sick. Ibn Taimiya further extends the principle that the fast may

means those on whom a hard task is imposed. Ibn 'Abbās' reading *yufaṭṭawwāqūna-hū* (Bu. 65 : sūra 2, ch. 22) carries a similar significance, and he interprets these words as relating to very old people who are unable to fast.

ŞAUM OR FASTING

be deferred in cases of hardship, and holds that those engaged in war may not fast, though they may not be journeying, for, he adds, the hardships of war are greater than the hardships of travel (ZM. I, pp. 165, 166). From this it may be argued that in unavoidable cases of very hard labour, as in gathering the harvest, the choice of postponing the fast may be given to those who are engaged in such labour.

To define the limits of sickness or travel is rather difficult. 'Aṭā was of opinion that whatever the ailment, great or small, it entitled a person to the benefit of the exception (Bu. 65, sūra 2, ch. 25). But generally it has been held that only such sickness as is likely to cause harm comes under the exception. As regards travel, there is nothing on record from the Holy Prophet as to its limit (ZM. I, p. 166). A certain Companion, Diḥya, is reported to have travelled to a village which was about three miles distant from his own place and to have broken the fast, and some people followed his example but others did not (AD. 14 : 48). But it has been held that the proposed journey must be one that extends over more than a day, *i.e.*, twenty-four hours; according to others, it must extend over two days; and others still think it necessary that it should extend over three days at least. But when the journey is actually started, the fast may be broken, whatever the distance travelled over may be. Thus of Abū Başra Ghifārī, a Companion of the Holy Prophet, it is related that he took a boat from Fuṣṭāṭ to Alexandria, and broke the fast while yet the buildings of Fuṣṭāṭ had not disappeared (AD. 14 : 45). I would interpret the exception relating to sickness and travel as meaning a sickness or journey which causes inconvenience to the subject of it, as the exception is followed by the words, "Allāh desires ease for you, and He does not desire for you difficulty" (2 : 185).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The permission to break the fast for sickness or journey is meant for the convenience of the person who is under an obligation to keep the fast, as the words quoted above show. There is, however, a strong opinion that the permission granted by God must be made use of, just as in the case of prayer the traveller must shorten his prayer. The case of prayer and fasting do not, however, stand on a par, because, if the fasts are broken, the number of days must be completed afterwards, while in the case of prayer, there remains no obligation upon the traveller when the journey is over. The sick person and the traveller have therefore the option of keeping the fast if they do not find it hard, or of availing themselves of the permission and breaking the fast. The permissive nature of the words of the Holy Qur'ān is reflected in many of the most reliable ḥadīth. There are ḥadīth showing that the Holy Prophet himself kept a fast while on a journey (Bu. 30 : 33). In one ḥadīth it is stated that on a certain journey on a very hot day, only the Holy Prophet and Ibn Rawāḥa kept the fast (Bu. 30 : 35). There are other ḥadīth showing that when a certain person questioned the Holy Prophet whether he should or should not break the fast when on a journey, his own inclination being for fasting, the Holy Prophet replied : " Keep the fast if thou likest, and break it if thou likest " (Bu. 30 : 33). Anas relates that they used to travel with the Holy Prophet, and those who kept the fast did not find fault with those who broke it, nor did those who broke the fast find fault with those who kept it (Bu. 30 : 37). There is no doubt a saying of the Holy Prophet to the effect that " it is not a virtue to fast when journeying," but these words were spoken to a person who was in severe distress on account of the fast, and around whom people had gathered

ṢAUM OR FASTING

to provide shade for him (Bu. 30:36). Bukhārī's heading of this chapter is significant: "The Holy Prophet's saying to him who was protected with a shade and the heat was severe, It is not a virtue to fast when journeying," the meaning evidently being that one should not fast when one finds it hard. There is a very large number of ḥadīth on this subject, and some of these seem to contradict others, but the weight of evidence lies on the side that one is given the option of keeping the fast or breaking it.

The commandments of the Holy Qur'ān are meant for those who are full-grown, and so is the injunction relating to fasts. According to Imām Mālik, minors should not fast, but the Caliph 'Umar is quoted as saying: "Even our children are fasting" (Bu. 30:47). Probably this may have been done when the weather was not too hot, and the object may have been to habituate the children to fasting. From what has been stated above, it would further appear that only such people are bound to fast as are physically fit. The jurists lay down three conditions, viz., that of being *baligh* (one who has reached the age of majority), *qādir* (physically fit) and *'āqil* (sane). Women are bound to fast if they are free from menstruation (Bu. 30:41). But while the woman who has the menstruation on is freed from the obligation of prayer completely, she is bound to make good the fasts that she has broken and complete the number of days after *Ramadzān*, being treated in this respect like a sick person. The bleeding of child-birth is considered as menstruation with this difference, that if the mother is nursing the baby, she can effect a redemption by feeding a poor man. In all cases in which fasts have to be recovered, whether it is the case of a sick person or a traveller or a menstruating woman, a person is at liberty

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

to do it when he or she likes, before the coming of the next Ramadzān (Bu. 30 : 39).

In all the four principal ordinances of Islām, prayer, charity, fasting and pilgrimage, there is an obligatory part (*fardz*) and a voluntary part (*nafl*). But there are some restrictions imposed on voluntary fasting, for, if carried to an extreme, it would weaken the constitution. The following ḥadīth is illustrative of how far voluntary fasting may be resorted to : "Ibn 'Umar says that the Holy Prophet was informed of my resolve to fast in the day and keep awake in the night so long as I lived. (On being questioned) I admitted that I had said so. The Holy Prophet said, Thou canst not bear this, therefore keep the fast and break it and keep awake and have sleep, and keep (voluntary) fast for three days in the month, for virtue has a tenfold reward, and this would be like your fasting every day. I said, I can bear more than this. The Prophet said, Then fast for one day and break the fast for two days. I said, I can bear more than this. He said, Then keep the fast for one day and break it for one day, and such was the fasting of David, on whom be peace, and this is the best of voluntary fasts. I said, I can bear more than this. The Prophet said, There is nothing better than this " (Bu. 30 : 56). This ḥadīth shows that what the Holy Prophet really recommended was voluntary fasting for three days in the month, but on no account should the voluntary fast be continuous. There are ḥadīth in which it is stated that the Holy Prophet especially recommended for voluntary fasting the last days of *Shā'bān* (Bu. 30 : 62 ; AD. 14 : 56), or the *ayyām al-bidz*, that is the 13th, 14th and 15th of the lunar month (Bu. 30 : 60 ; Ah. IV, p. 165), or Monday and Thursday (AD. 14 : 59), or the 'Arafa day, that is,

ṢAUM OR FASTING

one day before the 'Id al-Adḡhā¹ (Tr. 6 : 45), or the first six days of Shawwāl (AD. 14 : 57), or Muḡarram (AD. 14 : 55), or the Tashrīq days, that is, 11th, 12th and 13th of Dhu-l-Hijja (Bu. 30 : 68), or the 'Āshūra', that is, 10th Muḡarram² (Bu. 30 : 69); but his own practice was that he never specified any particular day or days for voluntary fasting, as the following ḡadīth shows: " 'Ā'isha was asked, Did the Holy Prophet, peace and blessings of Allāh be on him, specify any days (for fasting). She said, No " (Bu. 30 : 64).

Voluntary fasting is particularly prohibited on the two 'Id days (Bu. 30 : 66). It is also forbidden that Friday should be specially chosen for voluntary fasting (Bu. 30 : 63). Nor should a day or two before Ramadzān be specially selected (Bu. 30 : 14). Other restrictions are that it should not be resorted to if it is likely to interfere with other duties. There is no asceticism in Islām, and no one is allowed to go to the length of neglecting his worldly duties for the sake of religious exercises. Religion is meant to enable a man to live a better life, and voluntary fasting should be undertaken only if the aim is to enable a man so to do. This is made clear in the story of Abū Dardā' and Salmān, between whom brotherhood had been established by the Holy Prophet. Salmān paid a visit to Abū Dardā' and saw his wife in a neglected condition (*mutabaddhila*). Being asked the reason she replied that Abū Dardā' had become an ascetic. When Abū Dardā' came home and the meals were served, Abū Dardā' refused to eat

1. There is a ḡadīth showing that a cup of milk was sent to the Holy Prophet on the 'Arafa day by Umm al-Faḡl to settle the question, and the Prophet drank it (Bu. 30 : 65).

2. The tenth of Muḡarram was particularly observed as a fasting day before the fasting of Ramadzān was made obligatory, but afterwards it was voluntary (Bu. 30 : 1).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

because he was fasting. Salmān said that he would not take any food until Abū Dardā' took it, so he ate (and broke the fast). When the night came and Abū Dardā' woke up after a little rest, Salmān asked him to remain sleeping, and when it was the latter part of the night, they both said their Tahajjud prayers. Then Salmān said to Abū Dardā': "Verily thou owest a duty to thy Lord, and thou owest a duty to thyself, and thou owest a duty to thy wife and children." When this was mentioned to the Holy Prophet, he approved of what Salmān had said and done (Bu. 30 : 51). Here therefore the husband was forbidden to fast, for the sake of the wife. Similarly the wife should not resort to voluntary fasting without the permission of her husband (Bu. 67 : 85). And as the host in the instance cited above broke the fast on account of his guest, there is a ḥadīth stating that the guest should not undertake a voluntary fast, without the permission of the host (Tr. 6 : 69).

Fasts are also recommended as an expiation for
 breaking certain commandments.

Expiatory fasts.

The expiatory fasts mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān are, (1) two months' successive fasting when a Muslim has killed a Muslim by mistake and the killer has not the means sufficient to free a slave (4 : 92); (2) two months' successive fasting when the husband resorts to the practice called *zihār* (putting away of the wife by saying, Thou art to me as the back of my mother), and he has not the means to free a slave (58 : 3,4); (3) three days' fasting as an expiation for taking an oath by which one deprives himself of something lawful when one is unable to free a slave or feed ten poor men (5 : 89); (4) fasting as decided on by two judges, as an expiation for killing game while one is on pilgrimage as an alternative to feeding the poor (5 : 95).

ṢAUM OR FASTING

Ḥadīth mentions two months' successive fasting by way of expiation when a fast during Ramadzān is broken intentionally (Bu. 30 : 30). This was the case of a man who had sexual intercourse with his wife while fasting in Ramadzān, and the Holy Prophet told him to free a slave. On being told that he was too poor for that, he was asked if he could fast for two months successively, and he replied in the negative. Then he was asked if he could feed sixty poor men, and he again said, No. Thereupon the Holy Prophet waited till there came a sack of dates to be given in charity, and the Holy Prophet gave this away to the breaker of the fast, telling him to give it in charity. He said that there was no one in Madīna poorer than himself, upon which the Holy Prophet laughed heartily and allowed him to take away the sack of dates for his own use. This would show that the keeping of expiatory fasts for two months was only meant to make the violater feel contrite for his offence. Abū Huraira was, however, of opinion that the act of not fasting for one day in Ramadzān cannot be expiated, even if the man fasts his whole life long; others (Shā'ibī, Ibn Jubair, Qatāda, etc.,) have held that the expiation for not fasting for one day is simply one day's fast to be kept afterwards (Bu. 30 : 29).

Fasting is also mentioned as being resorted to by way of effecting redemption (*fidya*),
Compensatory fasts. that is to say, as a compensation for not being able to do some act. Thus in the case of pilgrims who, for some reason, cannot observe fully the requirements of *iḥrām*, compensatory fasting (for three days) is mentioned as an alternative to giving away something in charity and sacrificing an animal (2 : 196); and in the case of pilgrims who may in combining 'umra with *ḥajj* (*tamattu'*) get out of the condition of *iḥrām*

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

in the interval between the two, three days' fasting during the pilgrimage and seven days' after returning from the pilgrimage (2 : 196).

An instance of a vow to take a fast is mentioned in the Holy Qur'ān where Mary the mother of Jesus says: "Surely I have vowed a fast to the Beneficent God, so I shall not speak to any man to-day" (19 : 26). This however appears to be only a fast to keep silent and not to talk with any person; a similar fast of silence is spoken of in the case of Zacharias: "Thy sign is that thou shouldst not speak to men for three days except by signs, and remember thy Lord much and glorify Him in the evening and the morning" (3 : 40). The case of Zacharias shows that the object of the fast of silence was the remembrance of God. From certain ḥadīth it appears that if one has vowed to keep a fast, the vow must be fulfilled (Bu. 30 : 42), while in one report it is stated that a woman came to the Holy Prophet and spoke of her mother who died; and she had taken a vow to fast for a certain number of days and the Prophet told her to fulfil the vow (*Ibid*). But there is no ḥadīth recommending the taking of such vows.

The limits of a fast are clearly laid down in the Holy Qur'ān: "And eat and drink until the whiteness of the day becomes distinct from the blackness of the night at dawn (*al-fajr*), then complete the fast till night (*al-lail*) (2 : 187). *Lail* (night) begins when the sun sets, and hence the fast in the terminology of Islām is kept from the first appearance of dawn, which is generally about an hour and a half before sunrise, till sunset. *Wiṣāl* (lit. *joining together*) in fasting, or continuing the fast throughout the night and then the next day so that there is no break, is definitely prohibited (Bu. 30 : 48, 49).

ṢAUM OR FASTING

But one ḥadīth permits continuity of fast till day-break (Bu. 30 : 50). This would mean that a man may not, if he chooses, break the fast at sunset but must take the morning meal for fasting for the next day; in other words, he must take a meal once in twenty-four hours at least. *Wiṣāl* was prohibited lest people should, in trying continuous fast, impair their health or make themselves unfit for worldly work, for it appears that the Holy Prophet himself sometimes kept a continuous fast (Bu. 30 : 48, 49 ; 94 : 9 ; 96 : 6); but for how many days, does not definitely appear. Only on one occasion, when some of the Companions joined with the Holy Prophet in keeping a continuous fast, it was continued for three successive days, and being the close of the month, the moon appeared on the evening of the third day, the Holy Prophet adding that if the moon had not appeared he would have continued the fast. When some one asked him, why he forbade *wiṣāl* to others, when he himself kept continuous fasts, he replied: "I pass the night while my Lord gives me food and makes me drink" (Bu. 30 : 49). He referred of course to the spiritual food which sometimes makes a man bear hunger and thirst in an extraordinary way, thus, in a sense, taking the place of food and drink. But all men had not the same spiritual sustenance, and, moreover, continuity of fast, if allowed generally, would have given rise to ascetic practices which Islām does not encourage. It should be noted in this connection that fasting, according to the Holy Qur'ān, meant abstaining from food as well as from drink, and three days' continual suffering of hunger and thirst, in a hot country like Arabia, shows the extraordinary power of endurance which the Companions of the Holy Prophet had developed, while his own power of endurance was much greater. This endurance was no doubt due to

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

extraordinary spiritual powers.

In this connection it may be further noted that, though the taking of a morning meal is not made obligatory, yet special stress is laid on it, and it is said to be a source of blessing, because it enables a man the better to cope with the hardship of the fast. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "Take the morning meal, for there is blessing in the morning meal (*suhūr*)" (Bu. 30 : 20). This meal was taken very near the break of dawn. One Companion relates that, after taking the morning meal, he hastened to the mosque so that he might be able to join the morning prayer. Another says that the interval between the finishing of the morning meal and the beginning of prayer in congregation was such that hardly fifty verses could be recited in it (Bu. 9 : 27). It is even recommended that the morning meal should be taken as near the break of dawn as possible (Ah. V, p. 147). In one ḥadīth it is stated that the adhān of Bilāl should not lead you to give up the morning meal, for, it is added, he utters the adhān while yet it is night, so that the man who is saying his Tahajjud prayers may finish his prayers and the one who is sleeping may get up from his sleep (Bu. 10 : 13). And according to another, the morning meal was to be continued till Ibn Umm Maktūm gave the call to prayer, for he was a blind man and he did not give the call till (dawn became so clear and well-established that) people called out to him, The dawn has broken, the dawn has broken (Bu. 10 : 11). And even if the adhān is called out when the dawn has fully appeared, and a man has a cup in his hand ready to drink, he need not put it away and may drink it up (AD. 14 : 18). And as it is recommended in the case of the morning meal that it should be as late as possible, it is recommended that the breaking of the fast should be as early as possible. The Holy Prophet is reported to

ṢAUM OR FASTING

have said that when the sun is set, the fast should be broken (Bu. 30 : 45). And according to another ḥadīth : " People will have the good so long as they hasten in breaking the fast " (Bu. 30 : 45). Some wait to break the fast till they see the stars, thinking that the night does not set in till darkness is spread, but there is no authority for this.

A good deal of misunderstanding prevails on the question of *niyya* in the observance of fasts. The *niyya* really means *intention, aim* or *purpose* in the doing of a thing ; but it is wrongly supposed that the *niyya* consists in the repetition of certain words stating that one intends to do so and so. Bukhārī shows the true significance of *niyya* when he gives as the heading to one of his chapters " He who fasts during Ramadẓān having faith (in God) (*īmān-an*) and seeking His pleasure (*iḥtisāb-an*) and having an aim or purpose (*niyyat-an*) " (Bu. 30 : 6). And he adds a portion of a ḥadīth reported by 'Ā'ishā in which it is stated that " people will be raised up (on the Judgment Day) according to their aims (*'alā niyyāti-him*). " The very first ḥadīth with which Bukhārī opens his book is an example of what *niyya* means : "(Good) actions shall be judged only by their aims¹—*innama-l-a'mālu bi-l-niyyāt*. " Hence if a good action is done with a bad aim, it shall not benefit the doer. Exactly the same object is in view in the statement that there must be a *niyya* in fasting, as Bukhārī says ; that is, the man who fasts must

1. I have translated the word *a'māl* as meaning *good actions*. A reference to what follows in the ḥadīth makes it clear, for the example of actions given there is *hijra*, the flight of a man for the sake of his principles which is an action of the highest value, but as the ḥadīth tells us, if the *hijra* is undertaken with a bad aim in view, to attain worldly wealth or for the love of a woman, it loses all its value. That there can be no question of a good aim in evil actions is self-evident, and hence by *a'māl* in this ḥadīth are meant *good actions*.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

have an aim or purpose before him. The aim or purpose of fasting has already been stated, being, according to the Holy Qur'ān, the attainment of *taqwā*, to make the fast a spiritual discipline to attain nearness to God and to seek His pleasure in all one's actions, and to make it a moral discipline to shun all evil. It is in this sense alone that the *niyya* is of the essence of fasting, as it is in fact of the essence of all good actions.

“Formulating the *niyya*,” or the expression of one's intention in set words, is unknown to the Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth, and is in fact meaningless, for a man will not fast unless he intends to do it. Only in the case of voluntary fasting, it is stated in a ḥadīth, that the Holy Prophet sent a crier to inform the people on the day of 'Āshūrā', in daytime, that people who had not eaten anything up to that time may fast. And of Abū Dardā' it is related that he used to ask his wife if there was any food, and if none was found, he used to keep the fast (Bu. 30 : 21). According to 'Ā'ishā, the Holy Prophet used to ask if there was any food in the house, and when none was found he would fast (AD. 14 : 70). In the case of voluntary fasts one can understand the making up of mind in daytime, but there is no question of such intention in the month of Ramadzān, when everybody knows that he must fast.

The word for breaking the fast is *iftār*, from *fatr* meaning *to cleave* or *split* a thing *lengthwise* (R.), and the things which break a fast are called *muftirāt*, pl. of *muftir*. The three things which one should abstain from in fasting being eating, drinking and having sexual intercourse, these three, if resorted to of free will¹ and intentionally, between

1. Therefore anything done under compulsion or involuntarily does not break the fast.

ṢAUM OR FASTING

day-break and sunset, would break the fast, but if done through forgetfulness or inadvertently, the fast remains and must be completed (Bu. 30 : 26). Rinsing the mouth with water or with a tooth-brush, gargling or sniffing the water into the nostrils, even if a little water passes into the throat unintentionally, does not break the fast (Bu. 30 : 25, 26, 27, 28). Nor does taking a bath or keeping a wet cloth on the head (Bu. 30 : 25), or pouring water on the head (MM. 7 : 4-ii) break the fast, even though done intentionally to relieve the severity of thirst. Cupping and vomiting also do not break the fast, for as Ibn 'Abbās and 'Ikrama say, a fast is broken by that which goes into the body, not by that which comes out¹ (Bu. 30 : 32). It is related that the Holy Prophet would kiss his wife when fasting (Bu. 30 : 23). There is a difference of opinion regarding the punishment for breaking a fast intentionally before its time, as shown under the heading "Expiatory fasts." The Holy Qur'ān is silent on this point, while the Ḥadīth only shows that it is sufficient that the violator should be sincerely repentant. If fast is broken on a cloudy day, under the impression that the sun has set, and the sun then appears, then the fast should be completed (Bu. 30 : 46). If a man is fasting and then undertakes a journey, the fast may be broken (Bu. 30 : 34). The same rule may be followed in the case of sickness. In the case of voluntary fast, a man is at liberty to break the fast on account of a guest or the persistence of a friend (Bu. 30 : 51).

What has been said hitherto relates only to the external side of the fast but, as stated in the beginning, the essence of the fast is its moral and spiritual value, and the Holy Qur'ān and Ḥadīth have laid special stress on this. "Whoever

1. There is a difference of opinion on some of these minor points, but what has been said here is based on weightier authority.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

does not give up," says one ḥadīth, "lying and acting falsely, Allāh does not stand in need of his giving up food and drink" (Bu. 30: 8). This is true of all the Islamic injunctions. A man who says his prayers and does not keep in view their inner meaning, the object of prayer, is condemned in clear words: "Woe to the praying ones, who are unmindful of (the object of) their prayers" (107: 4, 5). In another ḥadīth, the ethical side of the fast is shown in the following words: "Fasting is a shield, so let the man who fasts not indulge in any foul speech or do any evil deed (*lā yajhal*), and if any one fights or quarrels with him or abuses him, he should say, I am fasting. By Him Who holds my soul in His hand, the breath of the faster is pleasanter with Allāh than the scent of musk" (Bu. 30: 2). It is not refraining from food that makes the breath of the faster so sweet; it is refraining from foul speech and abuse and evil words and deeds of all kinds, so much so that he does not even utter an offensive word by way of retaliation. Thus the faster undergoes not only a physical discipline by curbing his carnal desires, the craving for food and drink, and the sex appetite, but he is actually required to undergo a direct moral discipline by avoiding all kinds of evil words and evil deeds. It is not only a training on the physical side, which has a moral value; it is a direct training on the spiritual side as well. In the sight of God, as plainly stated in these ḥadīth, the fast loses its value not only by taking food or drink but also by telling a lie, using foul language, acting unfaithfully, or doing an evil deed.

The moral value of the fasting discipline is further enhanced by laying stress on the doing of good to humanity in the month of Ramadzān. The example of the Holy Prophet is quoted in this connection in a ḥadīth: "The Holy Prophet, may peace and the blessings of Allāh be upon him, was the most bountiful of all people,

ṢAUM OR FASTING

and he exceeded his own bounty in the month of Ramadzān (Bu. 30 : 7). In another ḥadīth it is stated that "the Holy Prophet used, on the arrival of Ramadzān, to free every captive and to give alms to every beggar." A third describes the month of Ramadzān as "a month in which the sufferings of the poor and the hungry must be attended to " (MM. 7 : 1-iii).

These injunctions make clear the significance of the ḥadīth which says that when the month of Ramadzān arrives, "the doors of Heaven are opened and the doors of Hell are closed and the devils are put into chains" (Bu. 30 : 5). This is true of the man who keeps the fast, both physically and morally. The devils are chained in his case because he curbs and conquers the lower passions by exciting which the devil makes a man fall into evil. The doors of Hell are closed on him because he shuns all evil which is man's hell. The doors of Heaven are opened for him because he rises above physical desires and devotes himself to the service of humanity. In one ḥadīth, fasting is described as bringing about a forgiveness of sins "for him who fasts having faith (in God) and to seek His pleasure and having an aim or purpose" (Bu. 2 : 28 ; 30 : 6). There is not the least doubt that fasting as qualified here, that is, when it is kept having true faith in God and when the faster resorts to it as a discipline for seeking the pleasure of God, and with a good aim, is practical repentance of the highest value ; and when a man sincerely repents of sins, his previous sins are forgiven, because the course of his life has been changed.

There is, however, yet another sense in which the doors of Heaven are opened for the faster in the month of Ramadzān. It is specially suited for spiritual advancement, for attaining nearness to God. Speaking of Ramadzān, the Holy Qur'ān says : "And when My

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

servants ask thee concerning Me, then surely I am very near; I accept the prayer of the suppliant when he calls on Me" (2 : 186). The ways of attaining nearness to God are here spoken of as being specially opened in Ramadzān, and this nearness is to be sought through prayer. It is for this reason that the Holy Prophet used to have special regard for Tahajjud prayers in the month of Ramadzān. And he also recommended that his followers should, during this month, awake at night for prayers (Bu. 2 : 27).

I'tikāf is derived from *'akafa 'alai-hi*, meaning *he kept, or clave, to it constantly or perseveringly* (LL.), and i'tikāf means literally to stay in a place; technically it is staying in a mosque for a certain number of days, especially the last ten days of the month of Ramadzān. Bukhārī has devoted a whole book to i'tikāf (book 33), showing the practice of the Holy Prophet in this connection. During these days, the mu'takif, the man who enters the state of i'tikāf, dissociates himself from all wordly affairs, and he does not leave the mosque unless there is necessity (*ḥāja*), such as evacuation, or having a bath, etc. (Bu. 33 : 3, 4). Usually a tent was pitched for the Holy Prophet in the yard of the mosque (Bu. 33 : 7). Women are also allowed to enter a state of i'tikāf (Bu. 33 : 6). The mu'takif may be visited by other people or by his wife (Bu. 33 : 11). According to one ḥadīth, he may visit a sick person¹ (AD. 14 : 78). An i'tikāf may be performed in other days (AD. 14 : 75), but the last ten days of Ramadzān are specially mentioned in Ḥadīth, and i'tikāf is spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān in connection with Ramadzān.

1. There are other ḥadīth showing that he should not visit the sick, nor assist at a burial, but evidently such deeds fall within the meaning of ḥāja.

ṢAUM OR FASTING

One of the last ten nights of the month of Ramadzān is called lailat al-Qadr. The word Lailat al-Qadr. *lail* or *laila* means *night* and *qadr* means originally *measuring*. But lailat al-Qadr is also translated as meaning *the night of grandeur* or *majesty*. In the Holy Qur'ān, it is spoken of in two places. In ch. 97, it is mentioned thrice as lailat al-Qadr: "Surely We revealed it on lailat al-Qadr. And what will make thee comprehend what lailat al-Qadr is? Lailat al-Qadr is better than a thousand months. The angels and the inspiration (*al-rūḥ*) descend in it by the permission of their Lord for every commandment (*amr*). Peace! it is till the break of the morning." Here this night is spoken of as the night in which the Holy Qur'ān was revealed, and it is further stated that it is the night on which angels and inspiration descend. It is also mentioned in ch. 44 where it is called *laila mubāraka*: "Consider the Book that makes manifest (the truth): We revealed it on a blessed night—surely We are ever warning—therein every wise commandment (*amr*) is made distinct, a command (*amr*) from Us" (44 : 2—5). It will be seen that, in both places, the Holy Qur'ān is spoken of as having been revealed on this night, and elsewhere it is stated that the Holy Qur'ān was revealed in the month of Ramadzān, which shows that this night occurs in the month of Ramadzān. The revelation of the Holy Qur'ān on this night means that its revelation began on that night; in other words, the first revelation came to the Holy Prophet on this night. It is called the night of measure because on it was laid the basis of a new revelation to the world which contains every commandment (*amr*) full of wisdom and knowledge (*ḥakīm*); for the same reason, it is called a blessed night or the grand night. The lailat al-Qadr is, therefore, as it were, the anniversary of the revelation of the Holy Qur'ān.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

As shown above, the last ten days of Ramadzān are specially observed as days of devotion, so much so that, though Islām discourages asceticism, yet in these ten days, a Muslim is allowed to lead an ascetic life, by keeping himself to the mosque and giving up all worldly affairs. There are various ḥadīth showing that the Muslims should look for this night as one of the odd nights in the last ten nights of Ramadzān (Bu. 32 : 3) or in the last seven nights (Bu. 32 : 2). According to some ḥadīth, it is the twenty-fifth or twenty-seventh or twenty-ninth night of Ramadzān. One ḥadīth says that some of the Companions of the Holy Prophet were shown lailat al-Qadr in their dreams in the last seven nights (MM. 7 : 9—ii). It should be borne in mind that lailat al-Qadr is a spiritual experience, as it was the spiritual, not the physical experience of the Holy Prophet, and as the last-quoted ḥadīth shows, it was the spiritual experience of the Companions, and therefore it is an error to think that it can be beheld as a physical experience, or that any physical change is witnessed on that night. It is the spiritual experience of the man who exerts himself in Ramadzān to seek nearness to the Divine Being.

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

The word *hajj* means, literally, *repairing to a thing for the sake of a visit (al-qashd li-l-ziyāra)* (R.), and in the technicality of law *the repairing to Bait-Allāh* (the House of Allāh) to observe the necessary devotions (*iqāmat-an li-l-nusuk*) (R.). Bait-Allāh is one of the names by which the Ka'ba is known; and *nusuk* means '*ibāda* (worship or devotion), or *ṭā'a* (obedience); it is also the plural of *nasika* meaning *dhabiha* (the animal that is sacrificed) (N.). From the same root and carrying the significance of '*ibāda*, is *mansik*, and its plural *manāsik* is particularly used to signify the acts of devotion prescribed in *hajj*. It is generally under the head *manāsik* that injunctions relating to *hajj* are mentioned in *Hadīth* collections.

As an institution *hajj* existed, before the advent of Islām, from a very remote antiquity. European views on Islām, adoption of *hajj* by Modern European criticism takes the Islām. view that its adoption by Islām, with certain reforms, of course, was due to several causes which sprang up after the Prophet's flight to Madīna. Chief among these causes are said to be the victory won by Islām at Badr which, it is opined, made the Prophet look forward to the conquest of Makka, and the final rupture with the Jews, whom the Prophet had, at first, hopes of winning over to his cause. Hughes advances this theory in his *Dictionary of Islām* under the heading "Ka'bah":

"When Muḥammad found himself established in al-Madīnah, with a very good prospect of his obtaining possession of Makkah, and its historic associations, he

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

seems to have withdrawn his thoughts from Jerusalem and its Sacred Rock and to fix them on the house at Bakkah as the home founded for mankind.The Jews proving obdurate and there being little chance of his succeeding in establishing his claim as their prophet, spoken of by Moses, he changes the qiblah, or direction for prayer, from Jerusalem to Makkah. The house at Makkah is made 'a place of resort unto men and a sanctuary.' "

Other European writers have advanced the same theory, and recently A. J. Wensinck has incorporated it into the *Encyclopædia of Islām*. Writing under "Ḥadjdj" he says:

"Muḥammad's interest in the Ḥadjdj was first aroused in al-Medīna. Several causes contributed to this, as Snouck Hurgronje has shown in his *Mekkaansche Feest*. The brilliant success of the battle of Badr had aroused in him thoughts of a conquest of Mecca. The preparations for such a step would naturally be more successful if the secular as well as the religious interests of his companions were aroused. Muḥammad had been deceived in his expectations regarding the Jewish community in Medīna and the disagreements with the Jews had made a religious breach with them inevitable. To this period belongs the origin of the doctrine of the religion of Abraham, the alleged original type of Judaism and Islām. The Ka'ba now gradually advances into the centre of religious worship; the father of monotheism built it with his son Ismā'il and it was to be a 'place of assembly for mankind.'In this period also the Ka'ba was made a kibla.... This is the position of affairs in the year 2 of the Hidjra."

On the face of it, it appears to be a very plausible theory but it is in flat contradiction to historical facts. The battle of Badr was fought in the month of

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

Ramadzān, in the second year of Hijra, and the final rupture with the Jews came in the third year after the battle of Uḥud; while the Ka'ba was made a qibla sixteen months after the Hijra (Bu. 8 : 31), that is to say, about three months before the battle of Badr. The structure which, according to Hughes, Wensinck and Hurgronje, was built on the victory of Badr and the rupture with the Jews, the idea of formulating a doctrine of the religion of Abraham, the father of monotheism, as a prototype of Islām, Judaism and Christianity; of the sacredness of the Ka'ba and its connection with the names of Abraham and Ishmael; of the Ka'ba being made a qibla and of the institution of ḥajj with prospects of conquering Makka; all this existed not only long before the battle of Badr but even before the Prophet's flight to Madīna. The religion of Abraham as pure monotheism is mentioned in a sūra belonging to the middle Makka period, where Abraham is also called a *ḥanīf*: "Abraham was an exemplar, obedient to Allāh, upright (*ḥanīf*) Then we revealed to thee: Follow the faith (*milla*) of Abraham, the upright one (*ḥanīf*), and he was not of the polytheists" (16 : 120-123). And again in a sūra belonging to the last Makka period: "My Lord has guided me to the right path, to a most right religion, the faith (*milla*) of Abraham, the upright one (*ḥanīf*), and he was not of the polytheists" (6 : 162). It is surprising to find Orientalists so learned ignoring such broad facts of history for the sake of a pet theory.

Similarly, the sacredness of Makka and its connection with the names of Abraham and Ishmael, finds clear mention in the early Makka revelations. In one of the earliest sūras, Makka is described as "this city made secure" (95 : 3). In another equally early revelation,

Sacredness of Makka and the Ka'ba recognized in earliest revelations.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

it is referred to as the "City": "Nay! I call to witness this City—and thou shalt be made free from obligation in this City—and the begetter and whom he begot" (90 : 1-3); where, in the last words, Abraham and Ishmael are referred to. The Ka'ba is called *al-Bait al-ma'mūr*, or *the House that is visited*, in a revelation of the same period (52 : 4), while another revelation of the early Makka period speaks of *al-Masjid al-Harām* or the Sacred Mosque (17 : 1). The sacredness of Makka is spoken of in still clearer words in revelations belonging to the middle Makka period : "I am commanded only that I shall serve the Lord of this City, Who has made it sacred, and His are all things" (27 : 91). The names of Abraham and Ishmael in connection with Makka, its sacredness and the fact of its being a place of resort for men, also find mention in the middle Makka revelations : "And when Abraham said : My Lord ! make this City secure and save me and my sons from worshipping idols ... O our Lord ! I have settled a part of my offspring in a valley unproductive of fruit near Thy Sacred House, our Lord ! that they may ~~stand~~ ^{set up} prayer ; therefore make the hearts of some people yearn towards them and provide them with fruits " (14 : 35-37).

The theory thus built up by European savants has
 Why Ka'ba was not no foundation whatever. The sac-
 made qibla earlier ? redness of Makka and its great
 Mosque, the connection therewith of the names of
 Abraham and Ishmael, and the fact of Makka being
 made a resort for men, are all themes of the earliest as
 well as the later revelations. It is true that the various
 commandments and prohibitions were revealed gradually,
 and that the command to make the Ka'ba a qibla,
 was revealed at Madīna, but even this happened before
 the battle of Badr. Notwithstanding all that was said in
 the Holy Qur'an with regard to the sacredness of Makka

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

and of the Ka'ba, notwithstanding the fact that pilgrimage to Makka had been ordained as a duty of the Muslims towards the close of the Prophet's stay at Makka, as shown later, notwithstanding even the fact that it was the Holy Prophet's own desire that the Ka'ba should be made his qibla (Bu. 2 : 30 ; 8 : 31 ; 65, sūra 2, ch. 18), he continued to follow the qibla of the last prophet that had passed away before him, that is, Jerusalem, and awaited the Divine direction. The Holy Qur'ān recognized the truth of all the prophets, including the prophets of Israel, and as Jesus was the last of those prophets and his qibla the same as that of the Israelite prophets,¹ namely, the temple at Jerusalem, which place was honoured by the Holy Qur'ān (17 : 1) as *al-Masjid al-Aqṣā* (lit., *the Remote Mosque*), he retained it as his qibla until he received an express revelation to turn towards the Sacred Mosque. Moreover, he did not receive that commandment when he was at Makka among the polytheists when it might have been said that he was scheming to win over the Arabs ; but it was after his coming to Madīna, at a time when relations with the Jews were still friendly, when the prospects of winning over the Arabs were as distant as ever, and when war with the Quraish at Makka had become inevitable, that the Prophet received a revelation to turn to the Ka'ba as the future qibla of the Muslim world. For sixteen long months at Madīna, he had continued to pray with his back to Makka, the avowedly sacred territory, because he would not do anything of his own desire. As soon as he came to Madīna, he felt the difficulty that he could no more, as at Makka, turn his face to both places, to the Holy temple at Jerusalem and to the Sacred Mosque at Makka ; he realized that in turning his face to one

1. It should be noted that the Christians themselves ceased to follow the qibla of Christ.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

he must turn his back on the other; and however much he desired that the Sacred Mosque at Makka should be his qibla, still he would not turn his back to the qibla of the last prophet before him, until he received a Divine commandment to that effect.

The ḥajj was a recognized institution in the first and second years of Hijra before the commencement of the war with the Quraish. The second chapter which was, in the main, revealed in the first and second years of Hijra, is full of directions relating to ḥajj, the context whereof shows clearly that fighting had not actually taken place, though prospects of a war were in sight. The months in which ḥajj is to be performed are thus spoken of: "They ask thee concerning the new moons; say, They are times appointed for the benefit of men and for the pilgrimage" (2 : 189). And again: "The pilgrimage is performed in well-known months" (2 : 197). Between these two verses, which speak of the months of ḥajj, occur the verses by which the Muslims were permitted to take up the sword to defend themselves: "And fight in the way of Allāh with those who fight with you" (2 : 190); from which it will be seen that the details of ḥajj were being given when fighting was as yet only permitted, and it was after that, that the actual fighting began. The details of ḥajj were, therefore, revealed before the battle of Badr. The rules of conduct to be observed when proceeding on ḥajj are also stated in the same context: "Whoever determines the performance of the pilgrimage therein, there shall be no foul speech, nor abusing, nor disputing in the pilgrimage" (2 : 197). The sa'y (running) between Ṣafā and Marwa is spoken of in still earlier verses: "The Ṣafā and the Marwa are among the signs of Allāh, so whoever makes a pilgrimage to the House or pays a visit to it, there is

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

no blame on him if he goes round them both" (2 : 158). This was said because there were at the time two idols on the Ṣafā and the Marwa. The going to 'Arafāt and Muzdalifa is also spoken of: "So when you hasten on from 'Arafāt, remember Allāh near the Holy Monument" (2 : 198); and there is a clear injunction to accomplish the ḥajj: "And accomplish the ḥajj and the 'umra for Allāh" (2 : 196).

The mention of these details of ḥajj is a proof that the institution of ḥajj had already been recognized as part of the laws of Islām. In fact, we find a Muslim, here and there, performing the ḥajj, when, on account of some alliance, he deemed himself secure, it being impossible, of course, for the generality of the Muslims. Thus it is related of Sa'd ibn Mu'ādh that on account of his friendship with Umayya ibn Khalf, a Quraish chief, he went to Makka to perform an 'umra after the Hijra and before the battle of Badr—that is, in the first year of Hijra—and had an altercation with Abū Jahl, whom he threatened with cutting off the Quraish trade with Syria (Bu. 64 : 2). He would not have done so unless the institution of ḥajj had been adopted by Islām. Hence it is clear that ḥajj was a recognized institution of Islām in the first year of Hijra. In fact, ḥajj was instituted before the Hijra took place, and while the Holy Prophet was still in Makka. The chapter entitled *al-Ḥajj* was revealed towards the close of the Holy Prophet's career at Makka¹, and it was in this chapter

1. Rodwell is certainly wrong in placing this chapter among the latest Madina revelations. The best authorities are agreed that it was revealed at Makka, though some are of opinion that some of its verses were revealed in the early days at Madina, but even this view is untenable. The verses relating to ḥajj, however, are not placed in this category. Muir puts this chapter at the close of the Makka sūras of the fifth period, and internal as well as external evidence shows this to be correct. A recent writer concludes his discussion as to the date of

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

that ḥajj was proclaimed to be an institution of Islām : “And proclaim among men the ḥajj¹: they will come to thee on foot and on every lean camel, coming from every remote path, that they may witness advantages for them and mention the name of Allāh during stated days over what He has given them of the cattle quadruped, then eat of them and feed the distressed one, the needy. Then let them accomplish their needful acts of shaving and cleansing, and let them fulfil their vows and let them go round the Ancient House” (22 : 27-29). These verses leave not the least doubt that ḥajj was ordained as an Islamic institution before the Hijra.

As the chief features of the ḥajj centre round the Description of the Ka'ba, something must be said about Ka'ba. this building and its name. The root-word *ka'aba* means *it swelled* or *became prominent* (LL.), or *it became high* and *exalted* (*'alā wa-rtafa'a*) (N.); and the Sacred House is called Ka'ba on account of its glory and exaltation (N.). The Ka'ba is a rectangular building, almost in the centre of the Masjid al-Ḥarām, whereof the front and back walls (north-east and south-west) are each 40 feet in length, and the two side-walls 35 feet each, the height being 50 feet,

revelation of this chapter with the following words : “To conclude : Sūra 22 is thoroughly homogeneous, containing *no* elements from the Medina period. And (as was said a moment ago) much stronger evidence than has thus far been offered must be produced before it can be maintained that Mekkan Sūras were freely interpolated after the Hijra.” (*The Jewish Foundation of Islam*, by C. C. Torrey, p. 100).

1. This verse is preceded by one in which Abraham is spoken of : “And when We assigned to Abraham the place of the House, saying, Do not set up aught with Me, and purify My House for those who make the circuit and who stand to pray and who bow and prostrate themselves.” The words “Proclaim among men the ḥajj” are, therefore, generally understood to have been addressed to Abraham. Even if this view is accepted, it is equally an address to the Holy Prophet, for as the context shows, the mention of Abraham is only by way of parenthesis; and inasmuch as the pilgrimage is an ordinance common to both the Abrahamite and the Islamic faiths, the address is equally to both prophets.

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

the four walls running north-west, north-east, south-west and south-east.

The four corners of the building are known by four different names, the north corner as *al-rukn al-'Irāqī* (after 'Irāq or Mesopotamia), the south corner as *al-rukn al-Yamānī* (after Yaman), the west corner as *al-rukn al-Shāmī* (after Shām or Syria) and the east corner as *al-rukn al-Aswad* (after the Ḥajar al-Aswad, or the Black Stone). The four walls of the Ka'ba are covered with a black curtain called Kiswa, lit., *clothing*. The door of the Ka'ba is in the north-east wall, about seven feet from the ground, not in the middle of the wall but nearer the Black Stone. When the Ka'ba is opened, a stair-case is placed in front of it to enable the visitors to reach the entrance. Outside the building is an open space, called al-Ḥijr (lit., *prohibited*), marked by a semi-circular wall three feet high, running opposite the north-west wall of the Ka'ba, the two ends of this wall being about six feet distant from the north and west corners of the Ka'ba, and the central part about 37 feet from the wall. This part is also called al-Ḥaṭīm (from *ḥaṭama* meaning *it crushed*), though Ibn 'Abbās is reported as saying that it should not be called by that name, as this name was given to it in the days of Ignorance and carried with it the superstitious association of throwing there one's whip or shoe at the time of taking an oath (Bu. 63 : 27). For the purpose of making circuits, the Ḥijr is included in the building. There are ḥadīth showing that the Ḥijr was considered by the Holy Prophet to be part of the building of the Ka'ba (Bu. 25 : 43 ; M. 15 : 66). It was for this reason that 'Abd-Allāh ibn Zubair included it in the building proper, but it was again left an open space when the Ka'ba was rebuilt after him, by Ḥajjāj.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

In the east corner at the height of about five feet is the Ḥajar al-Aswad (lit., *the Black Stone*) built into the wall. It is of a reddish black colour about eight inches in diameter, and is now broken into pieces held together by a silver band. The Maqām Ibrāhīm must also be mentioned in connection with the Ka'ba. It means "the place of Abraham," and the name is given to a very small building within the Sacred Mosque, about five feet square, supported on six columns eight feet high. This name, handed down from antiquity from one generation to another, is a decided proof of the connection of Abraham with the Ka'ba, and attention is drawn to this in the Holy Qur'ān in 3 : 96. But as used in 2 : 125, Maqām Ibrāhīm means the Sacred House itself.

The Ka'ba is stated in the Holy Qur'ān to be "the first House (of Divine worship) appointed for men" (3 : 95). In one place it is called *al-Bait al-'Atiq* or the Ancient House (22 : 29). It is also called *al-Bait al-Ḥarām* (5 : 97), or *al-Muḥarram* (14 : 37) which carries the same significance as *al-Ḥarām*, both meaning originally *al-mamnū' min-hu* or *that which is forbidden*; in other words, a place whereof the sanctity must not be violated. There is nothing in the Holy Qur'ān, or the Ḥadīth, to show when and by whom the Ka'ba was first built; but it is said to have been rebuilt by Abraham and Ishmael: "And when Abraham and Ishmael raised the foundations of the House, Our Lord! accept from us" (2 : 127). An earlier revelation makes it clear that the Ka'ba was already there when Abraham left Ishmael in the wilderness of Arabia: "O our Lord! I have settled a part of my offspring in a valley unproductive of fruit near Thy Sacred House" (14 : 37). It appears from this that Ishmael had been purposely left near the Sacred House; it was, in

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

fact, under a Divine commandment that Abraham took this step (Bu. 60 : 9). It would seem that the Ka'ba was then in a demolished condition and was afterwards, when Ishmael grew to manhood, rebuilt by Abraham and Ishmael as stated in 2 : 127. In a long ḥadīth of Ibn 'Abbās, speaking of Abraham leaving Ishmael and his mother near the Ka'ba, it is said : " And the House was then rising above the surface of the earth like a mound, the flood waters passing to its right and to its left " (Bu. 60 : 9). The ḥadīth then goes on to narrate how long after this, when Ishmael had grown to manhood and was a married man, Abraham came to pay a visit to him and told him that Almighty God had commanded him to build a house at the place where the mound was, and how the father and the son built the Ka'ba. Besides being in a ruined condition, it seems to have had idols placed in it and Abraham was required to purify it of these : " And We enjoined Abraham and Ishmael, saying, Purify My House for those who visit it and those who abide in it for devotion and those who bow down and those who prostrate themselves " (2 : 125). Nearly the same words occur in an earlier revelation ; see 22 : 26.

The Ka'ba was again rebuilt by the Quraish when the Holy Prophet was a young man, and he personally took part in its building, carrying stones on his shoulders. During the construction a dispute arose as to who should place the Black Stone in its place. Every tribe was desirous of having this honour accorded to its representative. Finally a settlement was arrived at, namely that the decision of the man who made his appearance first in the Ka'ba should be accepted by all. Fortunately, the man who appeared first was Muḥammad, and there was an outcry that al-Amīn (the Faithful one) had come. The Holy Prophet decided this dispute with his usual sagacity, placing the stone in a cloth with his own hands,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and then asking a representative of each of the tribes to hold a corner of that cloth and lift the stone to its position, the Prophet himself fixing it in position. The Ka'ba remained as it was built by the Quraish until the time of 'Abd-Allāh ibn Zubair, when the building having been damaged by the Umayyad army which had besieged Makka, 'Abd-Allāh decided to rebuild it, instead of repairing it, including the Hījr in the building proper. But after the fall of 'Abd-Allāh, Ḥajjāj again rebuilt it on the foundations of the structure enacted by the Quraish. And the building to-day rests on the same foundations.

The Ka'ba stands in the centre of a parallelogram whose dimensions, as given in the *Encyclopædia of Islām*, are as follows: N. W. side 545 ft., S. E. side 553 ft., N. E. side 360 ft., S. W. side 364 ft. This area is known as al-Masjid al-Ḥarām or the Sacred Mosque, the famous mosque of Makka. The name is met with in pre-Islamic literature (En. Is.). In the Holy Qur'ān this name occurs in revelations of the early Makka period, as in 17 : 1. The area of the Sacred Mosque contains, besides the Ka'ba, the Maqām Ibrāhīm and the Zamzam buildings. The Sacred Mosque was the centre of all administrative activities before Islām, as within it was situated the Dār al-Nadwa (the Makkan Council Hall), where all important matters regarding the weal or woe of the people were settled. After the advent of Islām, the Sacred Mosque has been the pivot of the intellectual activities of Makka, and the whole Muslim world looks upon it as its central point.

The Holy Qur'ān claims the Ka'ba as the first house of Divine worship on earth, and all available historical evidence upholds this claim. It is sufficient to quote Muir :

“ A very high antiquity must be assigned to the main

HĀJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

features of the religion of MeccaDiodorus Siculus, writing about half a century before our era, says of Arabia washed by the Red Sea, 'there is, in this country, a temple greatly revered by the Arabs.' These words must refer to the Holy house of Mecca, for we know of no other which ever commanded such universal homageTradition represents the Kaaba as from time immemorial the scene of pilgrimage from *all* quarters of Arabia:—from Yemen and Hadhramaut, from the shores of the Persian Gulf, the deserts of Syria, and the distant environs of Hira and Mesopotamia, men yearly flocked to Mecca. So extensive a homage must have had its beginnings in an extremely remote age" (*Life of Mahomet*, p. xc.).

Not only does Muir recognize "a very high anti-Abrahamic origin of quity" for the Ka'ba, but also for chief features of ḥajj. "the main features of the religion of Mecca," that is to say for the main features of ḥajj. In fact, as he says, the sacredness of the territory around Makka and the fact of its being a centre of pilgrimage, can only have come down from time immemorial, for there is no tradition or record showing that it was introduced at any time within historical memory. Some of the ceremonial is undoubtedly due to Abraham, as for instance the sa'y (running between Ṣafā and Marwa), which is in commemoration of Hagar's running to and fro to seek water for the baby Ishmael, or the sacrifice which is in commemoration of Abraham's endeavour to obey the Divine commandment which, he thought, meant the sacrifice of Ishmael. The ṭawāf (circumambulation) of the Ka'ba, however, must have existed before Abraham. But all the main features of the ḥajj, as existing at the advent of the Holy Prophet Muḥammad, were undoubtedly based on the authority of Abraham. Such at any rate was the tradition, and such is the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

statement of the Holy Qur'an, for the order was given to Abraham and Ishmael: "And when We assigned to Abraham the place of the House, saying, Do not set up aught with Me, and purify My House for those who make the circuit and who stand to pray and who bow and prostrate themselves. And proclaim among men the pilgrimage (ḥajj)" (22 : 26, 27). Thus Abraham not only rebuilt the Ka'ba and purified it of all traces of idolatry, but he also enjoined ḥajj with its main features which were therefore based on Divine revelation. Elsewhere, Abraham and Ishmael are spoken of as praying to God: "And show us our ways of devotion" (2 : 128). The Arabic word for *ways of devotion* is *manāsik*, the very word which is, throughout Ḥadīth collections, adopted for the devotional acts of ḥajj. And it was by Divine revelation that the Holy Prophet Muḥammad was led to adopt them.

The only change introduced into the features of ḥajj, after Abraham, seems to have been the placing of idols in the Ka'ba and other important places of the ḥajj. Thus two idols, the Usāf and the Nā'ila, were placed on the Ṣafā and the Marwa, respectively (IJ-C. II, pp. 26, 27). The Ka'ba itself had within it 360 idols, all of which were thrown out by the Holy Prophet, at the conquest of Makka. Some other minor changes were introduced. For instance, the Quraysh and the Kanāna, who styled themselves the Ḥums, as a mark of their strength and vehemence, used to stay at Muzdalifa, thinking it beneath their dignity to join other pilgrims in going forth to the plain of 'Arafāt. This distinction was evidently an innovation on the part of the more powerful tribes; and as Islām tolerated no distinctions, they were ordered to go forth to 'Arafāt along with the others. Another change was the prohibition to go naked,

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

while making circuits round the Ka'ba (Bu. 25 : 66). Another ḥadīth shows that before Islām people did not leave Muzdalifa, where the night was passed, until they saw the sun shining. The Holy Prophet abolished this practice and ordered the march from Muzdalifa to begin before sunrise. It may be that the polytheists of Arabia connected it in some way with the worship of the sun, and the change may have been ordered to destroy "a solar rite;" but evidently it was to facilitate matters for the pilgrims to enable them to start immediately after saying their morning prayers, that time being more suitable for moving from one place to another as the heat of the sun was avoided. This also seems to be the reason why the march from 'Arafāt was deferred till after sunset.

Islām discourages asceticism in all its aspects. It

Asceticism combined with secularism. condemns monkery outright, and speaking of the Christian practice,

the Holy Qur'ān says: "And as for monkery, they innovated it—We did not prescribe it to them" (57 : 27). Yet Islām lays the greatest stress upon the spiritual development of man, and in its four main institutions, prayer, zakāt, fasting and ḥajj, introduces workable ascetic formulæ into the daily life of man—an asceticism which is quite in keeping with the secular side of life. The five daily prayers require the sacrifice of a small part of his time, and without in any way interfering with his everyday life, enable him to realize the Divine that is within him. The institution of zakāt demands the giving up of a small portion of his wealth without interfering with his right to property. Fasting requires the giving up of food and drink but not in such a manner as to make him unfit for carrying on his regular work or business. It is only in ḥajj that asceticism assumes a marked form, for the pilgrim is required not

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

only to give up his regular work for a number of days, for the sake of the journey to Makka, but he must, in addition, give up many other amenities of life, and live, more or less, the life of an ascetic. The ḥajj is, however, a function which generally comes only once in a lifetime, and, therefore, while leading a man through the highest spiritual experience, it does not interfere in any appreciable degree with the regular course of his life. Thus does Islām make a man pass through an ascetic course of life without neglecting his secular duties.

No other institution in the world has the wonderful levelling influence of the ḥajj in levelling of ḥajj. influence of the ḥajj in levelling all distinctions of race, colour and rank. Not only do people of all races and all countries meet together before the Holy House of God as His servants, as members of one Divine family, but they are all clad in one dress—in two white sheets—and there remains nothing to distinguish the high from the low. There is a vast concourse of human beings, all clad in one dress, all moving in one way, all having but one word to speak, *labbaika Allāh-umma labbaika* meaning *here are we, O Allāh ! here are we in Thy presence*. It is ḥajj alone that brings into the domain of practicality what would otherwise seem impossible, namely that all people to whatever class or country they belong should speak one language and wear one dress. Thus is every Muslim made to pass once in his life through that narrow gate of equality which leads to broad brotherhood. All men are equal in birth and death ; they come into life in one way and they pass out of it in one way, but ḥajj is the only occasion on which they are taught how to live in one way, how to act in one way and how to feel in one way.

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

The description of hajj by European writers takes

A higher spiritual experience. notice only of its outward actions and has never tried to discover their real significance and inner value. The details of hajj will be discussed later on, but looking broadly at the scene at Makka during the hajj days, one is struck in the first place by the unity which is achieved among the discordant elements of humanity. Deeper than that, however, lies another value of hajj, and this is the higher spiritual experience which is made possible by this unique assemblage of men, the experience of drawing nearer and nearer to God till man feels that all those veils which keep him away from God are entirely removed and he is standing in the Divine presence. It is true that God does not live in Makka, nor is the Ka'ba the House of God in a material sense; true too that a Muslim is taught to hold communion with God in a remote corner, in solitude, in the dead of nights, and thus all alone he goes through the experience of drawing nearer to God; but there is yet a higher spiritual experience to which he can attain in that vast concourse of men assembled in the plain of 'Arafāt. Every member of this great assemblage sets out from his home with that object in view. He discards all those comforts of life which act as a veil against the inner sight. He is required to put on the simplest dress, to avoid all talk of an amorous nature and all kinds of disputes, and to undergo all the privations entailed by a journey to a barren land like Arabia, so that he may be able to concentrate all his meditation on the Divine Being. The comforts of life are undoubtedly a veil which shut out the other world from human sight, and sufferings and privations as certainly make a man turn to God. To concentrate all one's ideas on God, not in solitude but in the company of others, is thus the object of hajj. A man may have the company of his

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

wife and yet he must not have amorous talk with her ; he may be in the company of his adversary, yet he is not allowed to have any quarrel with him ; and all this that he may have a higher spiritual experience, the spiritual experience not of the hermit who is cut off from the world, not of the devotee holding communion with God in the corner of solitude, but of the man living in the world, in the company of his wife, his friends and his foes.

The higher significance of a man's spiritual experience in an assemblage is evident from another point of view as well. That there is a mysterious way from one heart to another is an undeniable truth ; it is recognized even by the materialist. Therefore the company of a man who is inspired by similar feelings and who is undergoing a similar experience would undoubtedly give additional force to the spiritual experience of each one of such companions. Now, take the case of thousands of men, even hundreds of thousands, all inspired by the one idea of feeling the presence of the Divine Being, all concentrating their minds on the One Supreme Being Who for the time is their sole object. And add to this the mighty effect of the outward unity of them all, all being clad in the same two sheets, all crying in one language what is understood by all, *labbaika Allāh-umma labbaika*—“ Here we are, O Allāh ! here are we in Thy august presence.” Their appearance, as well as the words which are on their lips, show that they are standing in the Divine presence, and are so engrossed in the contemplation of the Divine Being that they have lost all ideas of self. Europeans who have observed this wonderful scene but who have yet not gone deep enough into its inner significance have wondered that in this vast concourse of humanity, there are sobbings on every side, there are tears flowing from every eye, but perhaps

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

they have never given a thought to the inner change which thus affects them outwardly. So engrossing is the Divine presence in which they feel themselves to be that they quite forget that they are in the midst of an assemblage; they forget even themselves, and the Divine presence is all in all to them. God is surely not in Makka to the exclusion of other places, yet that vast assemblage at Makka sees Him and feels His presence as if He is actually there in their very midst. Such is the higher spiritual experience of the pilgrims to Makka, the experience not of the hermit shut up in his closet, cut off from the world, but the experience of a mighty concourse gathered together in one place.

Hajj is obligatory on every adult, only once in his life, and its performance oftener is voluntary (AD. 11 : 1). The obligation to perform the hajj is further subject to the condition that one is able to undertake a journey to Makka : "And pilgrimage to the House is incumbent upon men for the sake of Allāh, upon every one who is able to undertake the journey to it" (3 : 96). The ability to undertake the journey depends on various circumstances. There may be a physical disability, such as renders a man unable to bear the hardships of the long journey. For instance, a very aged man was deemed to be exempt from the obligation (Bu. 25 : 1). Or, the disability may be due to financial reasons, as when a man has not got sufficient provision for the journey as well as for the dependents whom he leaves behind. The condition of taking sufficient provisions for the journey is laid down in the Holy Qur'ān : "And make provision, for the benefit of the provision is the guarding of oneself" (2 : 197). It is related that people from Yaman used to come for pilgrimage without any provisions with them, saying that they were *mutawakkil* (people trusting in God), and

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

when they came to Makka, they resorted to begging (Bu. 25 : 6).

There is also an express prohibition against vowing to go for pilgrimage on foot. When the Holy Prophet saw such a man performing the journey in distress, and was told that he had vowed to make the pilgrimage on foot, he said, Allāh does not need that this man should punish himself thus, and ordered him to get on the back of an animal (Bu. 28 : 27). Similarly a vow to walk bare-footed to Makka was annulled by the Holy Prophet (AD. 21 : 19). This shows that a man must have sufficient provision to reach Makka comfortably. Danger to life may also be a reason for freeing a man from the obligation of ḥajj. The Holy Prophet himself and many of his Companions could not perform a pilgrimage after the flight to Madīna, because their lives would not have been safe at Makka. And when ultimately the Prophet undertook a pilgrimage ('umra) with about 1,400 Companions in the sixth year of Hijra, he was not allowed to proceed beyond Ḥudaibiya which was outside the limits of the Ḥaram, and had to come back without performing a pilgrimage.

The word 'umra is derived from 'amara meaning *he inhabited a place or paid a visit* to it, and in the terminology of Islām 'umra means a visit to the Ka'ba. It differs from ḥajj in two respects. In the first place, ḥajj cannot be performed except at the fixed time, while 'umra may be performed at any time; Shawwāl, Dhī-qa'd and ten days of Dhī-l-Hijja are particularly spoken of as months of ḥajj (2 : 197; Bu. 25 : 34), so that a man can enter into the state of iḥrām for ḥajj only in these months, while the actual devotions of ḥajj are limited from the 8th to the 13th Dhī-l-Hijja. Secondly, the going to 'Arafāt and the assembling there is dispensed

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

with in the case of 'umra, while it is an essential part of ḥajj. Another difference is that the sacrifice of an animal as the concluding act is essential to ḥajj but not so in the case of 'umra. The 'umra may be performed separately, or along with ḥajj, when it is like a parallel devotion to the latter. Though ḥajj is spoken of oftener in the Holy Qur'ān, yet there is an express injunction to accomplish both: "And accomplish the ḥajj and the 'umra for Allāh" (2 : 196). Ḥadīth also speaks of *wujūb al-'umra*, or the obligatory nature of the 'umra, and Ibn 'Umar is quoted as saying: "There is no man but on him rests the obligation of the ḥajj and the 'umra," while Ibn 'Abbās said that the 'umra is the companion of ḥajj in the Book of Allāh (Bu. 26 : 1). In one ḥadīth it is said that 'umra in Ramadẓān is equivalent to ḥajj (Bu. 26 : 4). According to another, 'umra is not obligatory (Tr. 7 : 38). But any one who performs the ḥajj can easily perform the 'umra.

There are two ways in which ḥajj may be combined with 'umra, *tamattu'* and *qirān*. *Tamattu'* (lit. *profiting*) consists in combining the ḥajj and the 'umra in such a manner that the pilgrim should enter a state of iḥrām in the months of ḥajj with the intention of performing an 'umra, and get out of that state after the performance of the 'umra, again entering into a state of iḥrām in the days of ḥajj. Thus between the 'umra and the ḥajj, the pilgrim profits by living in his ordinary condition and is not bound by the strict rules of iḥrām, and for this he is required to make a sacrifice, or fast for three days in the ḥajj and seven days after returning from ḥajj (2 : 196)¹. The *qirān* (lit. *uniting together*) consists in

1. Snouck Hurgronje's theory regarding *tamattu'* has been incorporated into the *Encyclopædia of Islām* by A. J. Wensinck under the heading *Iḥrām*: "According to Snouck Hurgronje's suggestion.....the restrictions which were imposed by the *iḥrām* became too severe for Muḥammad, so that during his stay in Mecca before the *ḥadjj* he

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

entering into a state of *iḥrām* in the months of ḥajj with the intention of performing both ḥajj and 'umra, and not getting out of that state until both have been performed, or entering into a state of *iḥrām* in the months of ḥajj with the intention of performing an 'umra, and remaining in the same state until the ḥajj is also performed. Thus the difference between *tamattu'* and *qirān* is that in *tamattu'* there is a break in the state of *iḥrām*, while in *qirān* that state is continuous. When ḥajj alone is performed, it is called *ifrād* (lit., *to isolate a thing*). With the two differences pointed out above, whatever is said below concerning ḥajj, applies also to 'umra.

conducted himself in a secular fashion. As his followers looked askance at him for this, the revelation in Sūra 2 : 192 is said to have been given." The authority referred to in the concluding words is not stated, but as a matter of fact there is no such early authority. It must have been some other critic of the same type. The Holy Prophet performed ḥajj, after coming to Madina, only once, and this was also his last ḥajj, and it was only about eighty days after this that he died. There is not the flimsiest ground for supposing that the verse speaking of *tamattu'* was revealed on that occasion. On the other hand, there is the clearest evidence that this verse had been revealed prior to the battle of Badr, more than eight years before the Farewell Pilgrimage.

There is also evidence to show that the Holy Prophet did not on this occasion break the continuity of the *iḥrām*. Thus the long *ḥadīth* which speaks of the Holy Prophet having entered into a state of *iḥrām* for 'umra and ḥajj, says, after speaking of the performances of his 'umra: "Then nothing which was forbidden to him became lawful to him until he performed his ḥajj and sacrificed his offering (*hady*, or the animal brought for sacrifice) on the day of sacrifices, then he returned and made circuits of the House, then every thing which was forbidden to him became lawful to him, and the people who had brought their offerings with them as the Prophet had done, did the same as was done by the Prophet" (Bu. 25 : 104). The restrictions of *iḥrām* becoming too severe for the Prophet, the looking askance of his Companions and the revelation of 2 : 192 (2 : 196, according to our computation) on this occasion, are all inventions of an ingenious brain, which, instead of being exposed by Wensinck have been gladly incorporated into a standard work like the *Encyclopædia of Islām*, and yet the same learned writer in his index of *Ḥadīth*, *Handbook of Tradition*, admits, under the heading *Iḥrām*, that the Holy Prophet did not give up the state of *iḥrām* in combining ḥajj and 'umra: "Muḥammad makes use of *tamattu'* but does not abandon the sacred state at Makka."

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

The state into which the pilgrim is required to put himself on the occasion of ḥajj is *iḥrām*. called *iḥrām* (from *ḥaram* meaning *prevention* or *forbidding*), or entering upon a state in which a particular dress is put on and certain acts, ordinarily lawful, are forbidden. When the Holy Prophet was asked as to what dress the *muḥrim* (the man entering into a state of *iḥrām*) should put on, he replied: "He should not put on a shirt or a turban or trousers or a cap, nor a dress coloured by *wars* (red) or saffron (yellow); and if he does not find shoes, let him put on leather stockings (*khuffain*)" (Bu. 3 : 53). Another ḥadīth describes his own dress in the state of *iḥrām* as follows: "He wore his unsewed waist-wrapper (*izār*) and his unsewed outer garment covering the upper part of the body (*ridā'*)" (Bu. 25 : 23). The *iḥrām* dress, therefore, consists of two seamless sheets, a sheet reaching from the navel to below the knees and a sheet which covers the upper part of the body. Both these sheets must be, preferably, white. As regards women, they can wear their ordinary clothes, and 'Ā'ishā held that there was no harm if a woman pilgrim wore cloth dyed black or red or wore boots (*khuff*). She further held that a woman should not cover her face or wear a veil in *iḥrām* (Bu. 25 : 23). Change of clothes during *iḥrām* is not forbidden, according to one authority (*Ibid*). But even women must wear simple dress. The object is to remove all distinctions of rank, and this is done, in the case of men, by making them all wear two seamless sheets, and in the case of women by requiring them to give up the veil, which was a sign of rank. Probably the *iḥrām* dress of two seamless sheets, dates back from Abraham, and the simple patriarchal dress has been preserved in ḥajj to give men a practical lesson in simple living.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Before donning the iḥrām dress, the pilgrim must take a bath and utter *talbiya*, facing the Qibla. The practice is also to say two rak'as of prayer, but all that is related of the Holy Prophet is that he entered a state of iḥrām after saying two rak'as of the early afternoon prayer. During the state of iḥrām, and even before that, from the beginning of the journey to Makka, no amorous discourse is allowed and sexual intercourse is therefore also forbidden: "So whoever determines the performance of the pilgrimage therein, there shall be no amorous speech, nor abusing nor disputing in ḥajj" (2 : 197); nor is the use of scent allowed in the state of iḥrām, nor shaving, nor the paring of nails. The cares of the body are sacrificed for a few days to devote greater attention to the cares of the soul, and this is a practical lesson which serves a useful purpose on many occasions in one's life.

The state of iḥrām, as described above, may be entered upon at any time during the months of ḥajj, after the journey is undertaken; but as it would be too inconvenient to remain in this state for a long time, the law has fixed certain places on the different routes to Makka, on reaching which the pilgrims enter upon a state of iḥrām. Such a place is called *mīqāt* (from *waqt* meaning *time*) meaning *an appointed time, or a place in which a certain action is appointed to be performed*. The *mīqāt* is also called a *muhill* (from *ahalla* meaning *he raised his voice*), which signifies *the place of raising voices with talbiya*. The *talbiya* consists in saying aloud *labbaika Allāh-umma labbaika*, meaning "Here am I, O Allāh! here am I in Thy august presence."¹ As soon as the state

1. The full *talbiya* runs thus: *Labbaika Allāh-umma labbaika, lā sharīka la-ka labbaika; inn-al-ḥamda w-al-ni'mata la-ka w-al-mulka la-ka lā sharīka la-ka*, which means "Here am I, O Allāh! here am I in Thy

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

of iḥrām is entered upon, with the determination to devote as little attention to the cares of the body as possible, the spiritual aspect of ḥajj is brought to mind by all the pilgrims crying aloud that they are in the august Divine presence. The place where iḥrām is entered upon is, therefore, also the place where voices are raised aloud for the remembrance of God, and the mīqāt is, for that reason, also called the muhill. The several places appointed for iḥrām are: Dhū-l-Ḥulaifa for pilgrims coming from the direction of Madīna, Juhfa for those coming from Syria and Egypt, Qarn al-Manāzil for those from Najd, Yalamlam for those from Yaman (among which are included all pilgrims from India, Java and other countries, proceeding by boats *via* 'Adan) and Dhāt 'Irq for those from 'Irāq (Bu. 25 : 7-13). For all places within these limits, the mīqāt is the place from which the pilgrim starts, and for the people of Makka, the mīqāt is Makka itself (Bu. 25 : 7).

The word *ṭawāf* is derived from *ṭāfa* (*he went round* a thing), and in the technical language of Islām it means *making circumambulation of the Ka'ba*. The command to perform the ṭawāf of the house is contained in the Holy Qur'an in a Makka revelation : " And let them go round the Ancient House " (22 : 29). In the devotional acts of ḥajj, ṭawāf occupies the most important place, being the first act of the pilgrim on his arrival at Makka and his last act when he leaves the holy place. Bukhārī heads one of his chapters as follows : " He who makes circumambulations of the House on his arrival in Makka before he goes to his abode, then offers two rak'as of prayer,

presence ; there is no associate with Thee, here am I ; surely all praise is Thine and all favours are Thine and the kingdom is Thine, there is no associate with Thee " (Bu. 25 : 26).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

then goes out to Ṣafā " (Bu. 25 : 62). Under this heading he reports the ḥadīth of Ibn 'Umar, which says " that the Holy Prophet made circumambulations on his first arrival in ḥajj and 'umra, then offered two rak'as, then went to and fro (*tāfa*) between Ṣafā and Marwa." The pavement on which the ṭawāf is made is called the maṭāf. The ṭawāf is performed by going round the Ka'ba, as near the walls of the sacred building as possible, but on the north-western side, keeping close to the small semi-circular wall, as the Ḥijr is included in the maṭāf. Before the ṭawāf, it is necessary to make ablutions (Bu. 25 : 77), if possible to take a bath. Men and women perform ṭawāf together, the women keeping apart from the men, but women are not allowed to go inside the Ka'ba until it is emptied of men (Bu. 25 : 63). Before Islām, some people used to make ṭawāf naked ; but Islām forbade it (Bu. 25 : 66). The ṭawāf made on arrival is called *ṭawāf al-quḍūm* (the ṭawāf of arrival), the ṭawāf made on departure is called *ṭawāf al-wadā'* (the ṭawāf of departure), and the ṭawāf on the day of sacrifices (*yaum al-naḥr*, or the tenth of Dhi-l-Ḥijja) is called *ṭawāf al-ziyāra* (the ṭawāf of visit), this last being one of the necessary devotional acts of ḥajj (Bu. 25 : 129), while the first two are not obligatory though they are generally resorted to.

The ṭawāf begins at the Ḥajar al-Aswad (the Black Stone) which is kissed (Bu. 25 : 55), but even the making of a sign over it is sufficient (Bu. 25 : 59, 60). The Holy Prophet used to kiss both the rukn al-yamānī and the Ḥajar al-Aswad, but many Companions are reported as kissing all the four corners of the Ka'ba (Bu. 25 : 58). In going round, the Ka'ba is kept to the right, and seven rounds are made in all. The first three rounds are made at a fast pace (*raml*), and the remaining four at an ordinary pace (Bu. 25 : 62). But if necessary, the ṭawāf

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

may be performed while one is riding on the back of an animal. The Holy Prophet performed the ṭawāf in his Farewell Pilgrimage on the back of a camel, and allowed Umm Salma to do the same on account of her illness (Bu. 25 : 73). The doing of an act or speaking if there is necessity for it, is not forbidden in ṭawāf (Bu. 25 : 64, 65). Prayers or supplications may be addressed to God in the course of ṭawāf. The Holy Prophet is reported to have prayed thus : “ Our Lord ! grant us good in this life and good in the Hereafter and save us from the punishment of the fire ”¹ (AD. 11 : 50). Menstruating women should postpone the ṭawāf, and the sa’y between Ṣafā and Marwa, until they are purified. For those who enter upon iḥrām for both ḥajj and ‘umra at the same time (*ḥajj qārin*), the first ṭawāf (*ṭawāf al-quḍūm*) is sufficient (Bu. 64 : 77 ; AD. 11 : 52). But in the case of *tamattu’*, a second ṭawāf must be performed when the iḥrām for ḥajj is entered upon.

Reference has already been made to the Ḥajar al-Aswad (lit., *black stone*), in the history of Ka’ba, where its description is given, and under the heading “ Ṭawāf,” where it is stated that it is kissed by the pilgrims as they pass by it in their circumambulations. There is not the least indication to show where this stone came from and when it was placed there, but as it was there before the advent of Islām and was even kissed, it must have been there at least from the time of Abraham, as the main features of the ḥajj are traceable to that patriarch. Yet it is remarkable that though the Ka’ba had 360 idols within its walls before the coming of Islām, the Black Stone was never regarded as an idol by the pre-Islamic Arabs, nor was it ever worshipped by them like the idols of the Ka’ba.

1. *Rabba-nā āti-nā fi-l-dunyā ḥasanat-an wa fi-l-ākhirati ḥasanat-an wa qi-nā ‘adhāba-l-nār.*

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The fact that the practice of kissing it in the course of circumambulations has been retained, has been turned by Western critics of Islām into an argument that Islām retains remnants of pre-Islamic idolatry. There are even critics who are of opinion that the ṭawāf of the Ka'ba itself is an idolatrous practice. But a cursory glance at facts is enough to show the absurdity of this view. Among the innumerable objects which were taken for gods by the pre-Islamic Arabs, the Ka'ba and the Black Stone are the only two which are conspicuous by their absence, notwithstanding the reverence which the Arab mind had for them before Islām. The Ka'ba was known by the name *Bait Allāh* or House of God, and there was a belief prevalent among them that no enemy could destroy it. It was due to this belief that when Abraha attacked Makka, the people of Makka took to the surrounding hills, offering no resistance, and when Abraha asked 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib why he did not ask him to spare the Ka'ba, his reply was that the Ka'ba was the House of God and He would take care of it. Yet notwithstanding all this reverence, the Ka'ba was never worshipped. It, no doubt, contained idols, yet it was the idols that were worshipped, and not the Ka'ba ; and the same is true of the Black Stone. It was kissed but it was never taken for a god, though the Arabs worshipped even unhewn stones, trees and heaps of sand.

And the Muslims, to say nothing of the Holy Prophet, were so averse to idolatry that when they saw two idols, the Usāf and the Nā'ila, on the Ṣafā and the Marwa respectively, they refused to make the sa'y between these two mountains, until a verse was revealed : " Surely the Ṣafā and the Marwa are among the signs appointed by Allāh, so whoever makes pilgrimage to the House or pays a visit to it, there is no blame on him if he goes round them both " (2 : 158). The words used here " there

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

is no blame on him" clearly show that the Muslims thought that there was a *sin* in going round places wherein idols had been set. Evidently they had not the same scruples about the Ka'ba, as the idols in the Ka'ba were shut up in the building, while those on the Şafā and the Marwa were not only exposed to view but even touched by the pilgrims. The Muslims so hated idolatry that they could not brook the thought of idols being connected in any way with their religious practices. How could they think of worshipping the Ka'ba and the Black Stone, which even the idolaters had never worshipped. Had the idea of idolatry been connected in the least with the circuits round the Ka'ba and the kissing of the Black Stone, the Muslims would never have resorted to those practices. Nay, they had no hesitation in turning their backs to the Ka'ba when on reaching Madīna they were required to take Jerusalem for their qibla of prayer. And it has just been shown that the Holy Prophet made circuits of the Ka'ba on the back of a camel; he also touched the Black Stone with the rod in his hand; all of which goes to show that the Muslims never entertained the idea of the worship of these things, nor was their attitude towards them at any time that of the worshipper towards the object of his worship. Nor was the Black Stone alone kissed; the Holy Prophet kissed both the Black Stone, which is in the Eastern corner, and the Yaman corner, while some of the Companions kissed all the four corners of the Ka'ba.

To say that ṭawāf of the Ka'ba is a remnant
 Significance underlying of idolatry is to force a meaning on
 ṭawāf of the Ka'ba. idolatry which it has never borne.
 Circumambulation of an object which is considered sacred
 is met with in the history of the Israelites, "where the
 altar is circumambulated once on the first six days and
 sometimes on the seventh" (En. Is., art. *Tawaf*), yet

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

no critic has ever asserted that the altar was worshipped by the Israelites. And, of all men, the Muslim would be farthest from the idea of idol-worship in his ṭawāf of the Ka'ba, when he feels himself in the presence of the One God, crying aloud, *labbaika Allāh-umma labbaika, la sharika la-ka labbaika*, "Here am I, O Allāh! here am I in Thy presence, there is no associate with Thee, here am I." From the time when he is still at a distance of several miles from Makka, to the time when he leaves the Holy City, there is but one phrase on his tongue, one idea in his heart, *there is no associate with God*. How could he at the same time entertain the idea of idol-worship? And what is ṭawāf itself? It is going round about the House which is an emblem of Divine Unity, the place from which sprang the idea of Divine Unity, the place which would always be the centre for all believers in Divine Unity. All ideas of the pilgrim at that time are concentrated upon one theme, the theme of Divine Unity. The pilgrim forgets everything and remembers only the One God. He forgets even his own presence, and to him the august Divine presence is all in all. That is the ṭawāf.

That the Ka'ba was rebuilt by Abraham is an historical fact. That the Black Stone has been there ever since the Ka'ba has been known to exist, there is not the least reason to doubt. That it was a stone sent down from Paradise, or that it was originally white and became black on account of the sins of men, there is no reliable ḥadīth to indicate. The Black Stone is, in fact, the corner-stone of the Ka'ba, and stands there only as an emblem, a token that that part of the progeny of Abraham which was rejected by the Israelites was to become the corner-stone of the Kingdom of God. The

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

Psalms contain a clear reference to it : " The stone which the builders refused is become the head-stone of the corner " (Ps. 118 : 22). Ishmael was looked upon as rejected and the Divine covenant was considered to have been made with the children of Isaac only. That was the Jewish view, and it was due to the fact that Ishmael was placed by Abraham near the Ka'ba. And again while prophet after prophet appeared among the Israelites, no prophet appeared of the progeny of Ishmael, and hence the Jewish belief that Ishmael was rejected became stronger. Yet it was from the progeny of Ishmael that the Last Prophet, " the head-stone of the corner " in the words of the Psalmist, was to arise, and the Black Stone, whencesoever brought, was placed as the corner-stone of the Ka'ba, as a sign that the rejected Ishmaelites were the real inheritors of the Divine Kingdom. And while David referred to it as " the stone which the builders refused," Jesus spoke of it more plainly in the parable of the husbandman, telling the Israelites that the vineyard, which in the parable stands for the Kingdom of God, would be taken away from them and given to " other husbandmen ; " that is, to a non-Israelite people : " Did ye never read in the Scriptures, The stone which the builders rejected, the same is become the head of the corner ? " (Mt. 21 : 42) ; " The Kingdom of God shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof " (Mt. 21 : 43). That by the rejected stone in the prophecy was meant a rejected nation is made clear by Jesus Christ. That that rejected nation was no other than the Ishmaelites is borne out by history. And in the whole world there is only this unhewn stone, the stone " cut out of the mountain without hands " (Dan. 2 : 45), that is the corner-stone of a building which in point of importance stands unique in the world.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Sa'y means *running*, and in the Islamic terminology it signifies the running of the pilgrims between the two little hills situated near Makka, called the Ṣafā and the Marwa. In the devotional acts of ḥajj, it occupies a place next to the ṭawāf. In fact, in the case of 'umra, the minor pilgrimage as it is called, ṭawāf and sa'y are the only functions of importance, and the 'umra therefore ends with the sa'y, unless of course there is an animal to be sacrificed when 'umra alone is to be performed. The sa'y is spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān: "Surely the Ṣafā and the Marwa are among the signs appointed by Allāh, so whoever makes a ḥajj to the House or an 'umra, there is no blame on him if he goes round about them" (2 : 158). The word used in the Holy Qur'ān is not sa'y but a derivative of ṭawāf (*yattawwafa*). These two hills were the scene of Hagar's running to and fro in quest of water for her baby Ishmael, when she was left there by Abraham (Bu. 60 : 9). They have thus become monuments of patience under the hardest trials, and it is in connection with the teaching of patience that the ṭawāf of Ṣafā and Marwa is spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān, as the context of 2 : 158 would show. Between these two hills there is now a street with houses and shops on both sides.

Ṭawāf and sa'y are the individual acts of every pilgrim when he first arrives at Makka, whether he intends to perform the 'umra or the ḥajj, or unites ḥajj with 'umra (*igrān*) or combines the two (*tamattu'*). In case it is simply an 'umra or in case of *tamattu'*, the pilgrim emerges from the state of *iḥrām* after performing the 'umra, the ḥajj proper beginning on the 8th of *Dhi-l-Hijja* when the whole body of pilgrims moves together. It is called the *yaum al-tarwiya* (lit., *the day of watering or satisfying the*

HAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

thirst), because on that day the pilgrims provide themselves with water for the following days (N.), or because the commencement of the ḥajj proper means the satisfaction of spiritual thirst. The pilgrims who have got out of the state of iḥrām on account of *tamattu'*, again enter into iḥrām on the morning of the 8th, and so also do the residents of Makka who wish to perform the pilgrimage (Bu. 25 : 81). The whole body of pilgrims then moves to Minā, a plain which is midway between 'Arafāt and Makka, about four miles distant from the Holy City. The way into this plain which is about a mile long goes over a hill which is called the 'Aqaba, famous in the history of Islām because of the two pledges taken there by the Holy Prophet from the Madīna Muslims. To the north side rises Mount Thabīr. During the ḥajj proper, the pilgrims' longest, and in fact only, stay, is in Minā. Minā must be reached before noon, so that the early afternoon prayer, *Ẓuhr*, may be said there. The night is also passed in Minā, and next day, the 9th Dhi-l-Hijja, at midday the pilgrims move to the plain of 'Arafāt.

'Arafa or 'Arafāt is the name of the plain which is situated to the east of Makka at a distance of about nine miles. It is derived from *'arf* or *ma'rifa*, which means *knowledge* of a thing, and *ma'rifa* especially means *the knowledge of God*. The name given to this plain seems to be based on the fact that here men assembled together, as equals in all respects, are best able to *know* their God. This plain is bounded on the east by the lofty mountains of Tā'if, while northward rises a small hill of the same name, 'Arafāt, about 200 feet above the level of the plain. The *Jabal al-Rahma* (lit., *the mountain of mercy*), on which is the pulpit from which the sermon is delivered, is situated to the east, sixty steps of stone leading to the top.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Leaving Minā at noon on the ninth Dhi-l-Ḥijja, the pilgrims reach 'Arafāt in time to say the Zuhr and 'Aṣr prayers combined, after which the Imām delivers a khuṭba (sermon) from the pulpit on the *Jabal al-Raḥma*. The pilgrims' stay in 'Arafāt lasts only from afternoon till sunset and is known as *wuqūf* (lit., *halting* or *standing still*), but so important is the place it occupies in the devotional acts of ḥajj, that ḥajj is considered to have been performed if the pilgrim reaches 'Arafāt in time on the 9th Dhi-l-Ḥijja, but if he is unable to join in the *wuqūf*, the ḥajj is not performed. The whole time of the pilgrims, from afternoon till sunset, is passed in glorifying God and crying aloud *labbaika Allāh-umma labbaika*. Before the advent of Islām, the Quraish and certain other tribes, who claimed superiority over the other Arab tribes, did not go to 'Arafāt, hence the injunction in the Holy Qur'ān levelling down this distinction: "Then go forth from the place from which people go forth" (2 : 199). The Holy Prophet ordered people to go forth calmly (Bu. 25 : 94).

After sunset the pilgrims leave 'Arafāt, and stop at Muzdalifa. Muzdalifa (from *zalf* meaning *nearness*), which is so called because by staying there nearness to God is sought (N.). In the Holy Qur'ān it is called *al-Mash'ar al-Ḥarām* (lit., *the Sacred Monument*), and the remembrance of God at that place is specially enjoined: "Then when you hasten on from 'Arafāt, remember Allāh near the Holy Monument, and remember Him as He has guided you, though before that you were certainly of the erring ones" (2 : 198). It has also received the name of *al-Jam'* (lit., *the place of gathering together*). On reaching Muzdalifa, the pilgrims say their Maghrib and 'Ishā prayers, combining the two (Bu. 25 : 96). There the night is passed, and then after saying the morning prayer at an early

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

hour the pilgrims leave for Minā. Those who are infirm are allowed to leave even before the morning prayer (Bu. 25 : 98). Before Islām the pilgrims did not leave until the sun shone on the Mount Thabīr (Bu. 25 : 99). It may be that the idea of sun-worship was in some way connected with this custom.

Thus the pilgrims again reach Minā on the morning of 10th Dhi-l-Ḥijja, which is called *Yaum al-naḥr* in Minā. *yaum al-naḥr* (lit. the day of sacrifices), being the day which is celebrated as the 'Id al-Adḡhā all over the Muslim world. After saying the 'Id prayers in Minā, the animals are sacrificed,¹ the pilgrims then return and perform the ṭawāf of the Ka'ba. This is called the ṭawāf al-ifāḡza, and with it, the pilgrim emerges from the state of iḥrām, by having his head shaven or his hair clipped. But before the sacrifice there is another small act of devotion called the *ramy* or *ramy al-jimār*, which I will describe presently. Though the pilgrim leaves the state of iḥrām after the ṭawāf al-ifāḡza, yet he must return to Minā again, for it is in Minā that the ḡajj ends.

The pilgrims are required to stay in Minā for three or at least two days after the *yaum al-naḥr*, that is, on the 11th, 12th and 13th Dhi-l-Ḥijja. This stay is required by an express injunction of the Holy Qur'ān, where the ending of the devotional acts of ḡajj is thus spoken of :—

“And laud Allāh during the numbered days, then whoever hastens off in two days, there is no blame on him, and whoever remains behind, there is no blame on him, for him who guards against evil, and be careful of your duty to Allāh, and know that you shall be gathered together to Him ” (2 : 203).

1. The subject of sacrifices has been fully dealt with in the chapter on Prayer, Section 8.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The "numbered days" referred to here are the two or three days that are spent in Minā after the *yaum al-nahr*, and they are known by the name of *ayyām al-tashrīq* or the days of *tashrīq*. The word *tashrīq* is derived from *sharq* signifying *east*; but, according to some, these three days of pilgrimage are given the name *tashrīq* because one of its meanings is spreading out flesh in sun for the purpose of drying it, and the flesh of the sacrificed animals was dried during these days to form part of provision for the journey (N.). Another explanation is that they were so named because the animals were sacrificed after the rising of the sun, which is also one of the meanings of *tashrīq* (N.). But, again, *tashrīq* also means *going east* (LL.), and Minā lies to the east of Makka; or it may have a deeper spiritual significance in that it also means *being beautiful and shining in the face* (LL.). From the quotations given above it will be further seen that in pre-Islamic days, after the performance of ḥajj, men used to boast of the greatness of their fathers when they gathered together in 'Ukāẓ and other markets. Islām discontinued this and set apart these days for the glorification of God.

During the last day of ḥajj, the 10th *Dhi-l-Hijja* and the three *tashrīq* days, the pilgrims are required to cast stones at certain fixed places. This is known as *ramy al-jimār* (*ramy* meaning *throwing*, and *jimār*, pl. of *jamra*, meaning *small stones*). Each of the three places in Minā, where stones are thrown, is also called Jamra, because of the *throwing* or the *collection of stones* there. Of the three Jamras, that nearest to Makka is called Jamra 'Aqaba being situated on the 'Aqaba; the second Jamra *wuṣṭā* or the middle Jamra, is near the Mosque of Minā; and a little further on is the third, the Jamra *ṣuġhrā*, or the smallest Jamra. The practice of the

ḤAJJ OR PILGRIMAGE

Holy Prophet is thus described. On the *yaum al-naḥr* he threw stones in the forenoon, and in *tashrīq* days in the afternoon (Bu. 25 : 134). Again, while a start was made with Jamra 'Aqaba on the *yaum al-naḥr*, the order was reversed in the *tashrīq* days. The number of stones thrown at each Jamra was seven, and every stone thrown was accompanied with takbīr (Bu. 25 : 138). It is also related that after throwing stones at the first Jamra he proceeded a little further, and then stood for a long time raising both hands for prayer and facing the Qibla; then he went to the second Jamra and after throwing stones there again proceeded a little further, then stood for a long time raising both hands for prayer facing the Qibla, and last of all he came to the last Jamra and departed after throwing stones there (Bu. 25 : 142). It is true that in the ḥajj, many pre-Islamic practices were retained, but as has been shown above, the origin of these practices is traceable to Abraham, and every one of them carries with it a spiritual significance. The whole atmosphere of ḥajj is a demonstration of the greatness of God and the equality of man. The ḥajj is, as it were, the final stage in man's spiritual progress. Yet in spiritual advancement the temptations of real life must not be forgotten, and the throwing of stones draws attention to the temptations of the Evil one. To live in perfect peace, that is the message of Islām, but there is no peace of mind for the man who makes peace with evil. The throwing of stones teaches the lesson that man must learn to hate evil and that the Evil one should be kept distant a stone's throw. The nearer a man gets to temptations, the more likely he is to yield, and the best way of avoiding them is to keep them at a distance. The throwing of stones is, moreover, a reminder of the spiritual fight which man must wage against evil.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Though ḥajj is meant to bring about an ascetic experience in man's practical life, yet so closely combined are the ascetic and secular experiences in Islām, that the utilizing of the pilgrimage to Makka for secular purposes is not excluded. The Holy Qur'ān, while enjoining the making of sufficient provision for the ḥajj journey, adds : " There is no blame on you for seeking bounty from your Lord " (2 : 198). The seeking of bounty is accepted here by all commentators as meaning the seeking of increase in one's wealth by means of trade in the pilgrimage season. Explaining this verse, Ibn 'Abbās says that Dhu-l-Majāz and 'Ukāz were markets for trade in the pre-Islamic times. The Muslims however did not like the idea of mixing up the spiritual lessons of pilgrimage with material advantages, until this verse was revealed which allowed the carrying on of trade in the pilgrimage season (Bu. 25 : 150). These markets were held in or near 'Arafāt, from the beginning of Dhī-Qa'd till the 8th Dhī-l-Hijja, when pilgrimage began. The Holy Qur'ān thus not only allows the carrying on of trade in the pilgrimage season, but in a way recommends it by calling it a " bounty from your Lord ; " and it is easy to see that, even if trading is allowed in the pilgrimage season, this great assemblage of Muslims from all quarters of the world may also be made the occasion of other advantages of a material or cultural nature, and it should serve the purpose of unifying the Muslim world and removing misunderstandings between nation and nation. Conferences have indeed been held sometimes, and this should, in the new conditions of the world, be a regular feature of the ḥajj, and the best minds among the various nations should on this occasion discuss all problems affecting the Muslim world, not the least important of which is the advancement of Islām itself.

JIHĀD

A very great misconception prevails with regard to the duty of jihād in Islām, and that is that the word *jihād* is supposed to be synonymous with *war*; and even the greatest research scholars of Europe have not taken the pains to consult any dictionary of the Arabic language, or to refer to the Holy Qur'ān, to find out the true meaning of the word. So wide-spread is the misunderstanding that a scholar of the fame of A. J. Wensinck, when preparing his concordance of Ḥadīth, *A Handbook of Early Muhammadan Tradition*, gives not a single reference under the word *jihād*, referring the reader to the word *war*, as if the two were synonymous terms. The *Encyclopædia of Islām* goes even further, beginning the article on *Djihād* thus: "The spread of Islām by arms is a religious duty upon Muslims in general;" as if jihād meant not only *war* but *war undertaken for the propagation of Islām*. Klein in *The Religion of Islām* makes a similar statement: "Jihād.—The fighting against unbelievers with the object of either winning them over to Islām, or subduing and exterminating them in case they refuse to become Muslims, and the causing Islām to spread and triumph over all religions is considered a sacred duty of the Muslim nation." If any of these learned scholars had taken the trouble to consult an ordinary dictionary of the Arabic language, he could never have made such a glaring mis-statement. The word *jihād* is derived from *jahd* or *juhd* meaning *ability*, *exertion* or *power*, and *jihād* and *mujāhida* mean *the exerting of one's power in repelling the enemy* (R.). The same authority then goes on to say: "Jihād is of three kinds; viz., the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

carrying on of a struggle : 1. against a visible enemy, 2. against the devil, and 3. against self (*nafs*).” According to another authority, *jihād* means *fighting with unbelievers, and that is an intensive form (mubālagha), and exerting one’s self to the extent of one’s ability and power whether it is by word (qaul) or deed (fi’l)* (N.). A third authority gives the following significance : “Jihād, inf. n. of *jāhada*, properly signifies *the using or exerting of one’s utmost power, efforts, endeavours or ability, in contending with an object of disapprobation*; and this is of three kinds, namely, a visible enemy, the devil, and one’s self ; all of which are included in the term as used in the Kur. xxii. 77” (LL.). Jihād is therefore far from being synonymous with *war*, while the meaning of “war undertaken for the propagation of Islām,” which is supposed by European writers to be the significance of jihād, is unknown equally to the Arabic language and the teachings of the Holy Qur’ān.

Equally, or even more important is the consideration of the sense in which the word is used in the Holy Qur’ān. It is an admitted fact that permission to fight was given to the Muslims when they had moved to Madīna, or, at the earliest, when they were on the eve of leaving Makka. But the injunction relating to jihād is contained in the earlier as well as in the later Makka revelations. Thus, the ‘*Ankabūt*, the 29th chapter of the Holy Qur’ān, is one of a group which was undoubtedly revealed in the fifth and sixth years of the Call of the Prophet, yet there the word *jihād* is freely used in the sense of *exerting one’s power and ability*, without implying any war. In one place it is said : “And those who strive hard (*jāhadū*) for Us, We will certainly guide them in Our ways, and Allāh is surely with the doers of good” (29 : 69). The Arabic word *jāhadū* is derived from *jihād* or *mujāhida*, and the

JIHAD

addition of *fī-nā* (for Us) shows, if anything further is needed to show it, that the *jihād*, in this case, is the spiritual striving to attain nearness to God, and the result of this *jihād* is stated to be God's guiding those striving in His ways. The word is used precisely in the same sense twice in a previous verse in the same chapter: "And whoever strives hard (*jāhada*), he strives (*yujāhidu*) only for his own soul," that is, for his own benefit, "for Allāh is Self-sufficient, above need of the worlds" (29 : 6). In the same chapter, the word is used in the sense of a contention carried on in words: "And We have enjoined on man goodness to his parents, and if they contend (*jāhadā*) with thee that thou shouldst associate others with Me, of which thou hast no knowledge, do not obey them" (29 : 8).

Among the later revelations may be mentioned *al-Nahl*, the 16th chapter, where it is said, towards the close: "Yet thy Lord, with respect to those who fly, after they are persecuted, then they strive hard (*jāhadū*) and are patient (*ṣabarū*), thy Lord after that is surely Forgiving, Merciful" (16 : 110). There is another very prevalent misconception, namely, that at Makka the Holy Qur'ān enjoined patience (*ṣabr*) and at Madīna it enjoined *jihād*, as if patience and *jihād* were two contradictory things. The error of this view is shown by the verse here quoted, since it enjoins *jihād* and patience in one breath.

I may quote two more examples of the use of the word *jihād* in the Makka revelations. In one place it is said: "And strive hard (*jāhidu*) for Allāh, such a striving (*jihad*) as is due to Him" (22 : 78). And in the other: "So do not follow the unbelievers and strive hard (*jāhid*) against them a mighty striving (*jihad-an*) with it" (25 : 52), where the personal pronoun *it* refers clearly to the Holy Qur'ān, as the context shows. Now in both

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

these cases, the carrying on of a jihād is clearly enjoined, but in the first case it is a jihād to attain nearness to God, and in the second it is a jihād which is to be carried on against the unbelievers, but a jihād not of the sword but of the Holy Qur'ān. The struggle made to attain nearness to God and to subdue one's passions, and the struggle made to win over the unbelievers, not with the sword but with the Qur'ān, is, therefore, a jihād in the terminology of the Qur'ān, and the injunctions to carry on these two kinds of jihād were given long before the command to take up the sword in self-defence.

A struggle for national existence was forced on the Muslims when they reached Madīna, and they had to take up the sword in self-defence. This struggle went also, and rightly, under the name of jihād; but even in the Madīna sūras the word is used in the wider sense of a struggle carried on by words or deeds of any kind. As a very clear example of this use, the following verse may be quoted which occurs twice: "O Prophet! strive hard (*jāhid* from *jihād*) against the unbelievers and the hypocrites, and remain firm against them; and their abode is Hell; and evil is the destination" (9 : 73 ; 66 : 9). Here the Prophet is bidden to carry on a jihād against both unbelievers and hypocrites. The hypocrites were those who were outwardly Muslims and lived among, and were treated like Muslims in all respects. They came to the mosque and prayed with the Muslims. They even paid the zakāt. A war against them was unthinkable and none was ever undertaken. On the other hand, they sometimes fought along with the Muslims against the unbelievers. Therefore the injunction to carry on a jihād against both unbelievers and hypocrites could not mean the waging of war against them. It was a jihād in the same sense in which the word is used in Makka

JIHĀD

revelations, a jihād carried on by means of the Holy Qur'ān as expressly stated in 25 : 52, a striving hard to win them over to Islām. In fact, on other occasions as well, it is a mistake to think that jihād means only fighting; the word is almost always used in the general sense of striving hard, including fighting where the context so requires. "Those who believe and those who fly from their homes and strive hard in the way of Allāh" (2 : 218; 8 : 74), is a description which applies as much to the fighters as to those who carry on the struggle against unbelief and evil in other ways. And the *ṣābirīn* (those who are patient), and the *mujāhidīn* (those who struggle hard), are again spoken of together in a Madīna revelation as they are in a Makka revelation: "Do you think that you will enter the garden while Allāh has not yet marked out those who strive hard from among you and He has not marked out the patient" (3 : 141).

Even in Ḥadīth literature, the word jihād is not used exclusively for fighting. For example, ḥajj is called a jihād: "The Holy Prophet said, The ḥajj is the most excellent of all jihāds" (Bu. 25 : 4). Of all the collections of Ḥadīth, *Bukhārī* is most explicit on this point. In *I'tisām bi-l-Kitāb wa-l-Sunna*, the 4th chapter is thus headed: "The saying of the Holy Prophet, A party of my community shall not cease to be triumphant being upholders of Truth," to which are added the words, "And these are the men of learning (*ahl al-'ilm*)" (Bu. 96 : 11). The Prophet's actual saying, as reported in other ḥadīth, contains the additional word *yūqātilūn*, as in AD. 15 : 4. Thus *Bukhārī*'s view is that the triumphant party of the Prophet's community does not consist of fighters, but of the men of learning who disseminate the truth and are engaged in the propagation

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

of Islām. Again, in his book of Jihād, Bukhārī has several chapters speaking of simple invitation to Islām. For instance, the heading of 56 : 99 is : " May the Muslim guide the followers of the Book to a right course, or may he teach them the Book ;" that of 56 : 100 : " To pray for the guidance of the polytheists so as to develop relations of friendship with them ;" that of 56 : 102 : " The invitation (of the unbelievers) by the Holy Prophet to Islām and prophethood, and that they may not take for gods others besides Allāh ;" that of 56 : 143 : " The excellence of him at whose hands another man accepts Islām ;" that of 56 : 145 : " The excellence of him who accepts Islām from among the followers of the Book ;" and that of 56 : 178 : " How should Islām be presented to a child."

These headings go to show that up to the time of Bukhārī, the word jihād was used in the wider sense in which it is used in the Holy Qur'ān, invitation to Islām being looked upon as jihād. Other Ḥadīth literature contains similar references. Thus Abū Dāwūd (AD. 15 : 4) quotes under the heading " The continuity of jihād " a ḥadīth to the effect that " a party of my community shall not cease fighting for truth and it shall be triumphant over its opponents," which words are thus explained in the '*Aun al-Ma'būd*, a commentary of Abū Dāwūd, on the authority of Nawawī : " This party consists of different classes of the faithful, of them being the brave fighters, and the *faqīhs* (jurists), and the *muḥaddithūn* (collectors of Ḥadīth), and the *zāhids* (those who abstain from worldly pleasures and devote themselves to the service of God), and those who command the doing of good and prohibit evil, and a variety of other people who do other good deeds." This shows that jihād in Ḥadīth includes the service of Islām in any form.

JIHĀD

It is only among the jurists that the word jihād
use of the word jihād lost its original wider significance
by jurists. and began to be used in the
narrower sense of *qitāl* (fighting). The reason is not
far to seek. The books of fiqh codified the Muslim
law, and in the classification of the various subjects with
which the law dealt, *qitāl* (fighting) found a necessary
place, but invitation to Islām, though a primary meaning
of the word jihād, being a matter of free individual
choice, did not form part of the law. The jurists who
had to deal with *qitāl*, therefore, used the word jihād
as synonymous with *qitāl*, and, by and by, the wider
significance of jihād was lost sight of, though the
commentators on the Holy Qur'ān accepted this signi-
ficance when dealing with verses such as 25 : 52. But
that was not the only misuse of the word. Together
with this narrowing of the significance of jihād, the
further idea was developed that the Muslims were to
carry on a war against unbelieving nations and countries,
whether they were attacked or not, an idea quite foreign
to the Holy Qur'ān.

The propagation of Islām is no doubt a religious
The spread of Islām duty of every true Muslim, who
by force. must follow the example of the
Holy Prophet, but "the spread of Islām by force," is
a thing of which no trace can be found in the Holy
Qur'ān. Nay, the Holy Book lays down the opposite
doctrine in clear words: "There is no compulsion in
religion," and the reason is added: "The right way
is clearly distinct from error" (2 : 256). This verse
was revealed after the permission for war had been
given, and it is therefore certain that the permission to
fight had no connection with the preaching of religion.
That the Holy Qur'ān never taught such a doctrine, nor
did the Holy Prophet ever think of it, is a fact which is

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

now being gradually appreciated by the Western mind. After beginning his article on *Djihād* with the statement that "the spread of Islām by arms is a religious duty upon Muslims in general," D. B. Macdonald, the writer of the article in the *Encyclopædia of Islām*, in a way questions the correctness of his own allegation, by adding that there is nothing in the Holy Qur'ān to corroborate it, and that the idea was not present even to the mind of the Prophet :

"In the Meccan Sūras of the Qur'ān patience under attack is taught; no other attitude was possible. But at Medina, the right to repel attack appears, and gradually it became a prescribed duty to fight against and subdue the hostile Meccans. Whether Muḥammad himself recognized that his position implied steady and unprovoked war against the unbelieving world until it was subdued to Islām may be in doubt. Traditions are explicit on the point;* but the Qur'ānic passages speak always of the unbelievers who are to be subdued as dangerous or faithless."

Here is a plain confession that the Qur'ān does not enjoin the waging of war against all unbelievers so as to subdue them to Islām, nor was the idea present to the mind of the Holy Prophet. The logical consequence of this confession is that genuine ḥadīth cannot inculcate such a doctrine, for Ḥadīth is the saying of the Prophet. And if the Qur'ān and the Prophet never taught such a doctrine, how could it be said to be the religious duty of the Muslims? There is obviously a struggle here in the writer's mind between preconceived ideas and an actual knowledge of facts.

It is a mis-statement of facts to say that patience

* It will be shown later on that even Ḥadīth does not teach propagation of Islām by force.

Circumstances under which war was permitted. under attack was taught at Makka, because there was no other alternative, and that the right to repel attack came at Madīna. The attitude was no doubt changed but that change was due to the change of circumstances. At Makka there was individual persecution and patience was taught. If the conditions had remained the same at Madīna, the Muslim attitude would have been the same. But individual persecution could no more be resorted to by the Quraiṣh, as the Muslims were living out of their reach. This very circumstance fanned the fire of their wrath, and they now planned the extinction of the Muslims as a nation. The sword was taken up to annihilate the Muslim community or to compel it to return to unbelief. That was the challenge thrown at them, and the Prophet had to meet it. The Holy Qur'ān bears the clearest testimony to it. The earliest permission to repel attack is conveyed in words which show that the enemy had already taken up the sword or decided to do so : " Permission to fight is given to those upon whom war is made because they are oppressed and Allāh is well able to assist them, those who have been expelled from their homes without a just cause except that they say, Our Lord is Allāh. And had there not been Allāh's repelling some people by others, there would have been pulled down cloisters and churches and synagogues and mosques in which Allāh's name is much remembered ; and Allāh will help him who helps His cause " (22 : 39, 40). The very words of this verse show that it is the earliest on the subject of fighting, as it speaks of a permission being given now which evidently had not been given up to this time. This permission was given to a people upon whom war was made by their enemies (*yugataluna*); and it was not a permission to make war with people in general but only

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

with the people who made war on them, and the reason is stated plainly "because they are oppressed" and "have been expelled from their homes without a just cause." It was clearly an aggressive war on the part of the enemies of Islām who thus sought to exterminate the Muslims or to compel them to forsake their religion: "And they will not cease fighting with you until they turn you back from your religion if they can" (2 : 217). It was a holy war in the truest sense because, as stated further on, if war had not been allowed under these circumstances, there would be no peace on earth, no religious liberty, and all houses for the worship of God would be destroyed. Indeed there could be no holier war than the one which was needed as much for the religious liberty of the Muslims as for that of other people, as much to save the mosques as to save the cloisters and the synagogues and churches. If there had ever been just cause for war in this world, it was for the war that had been permitted to the Muslims. And undoubtedly war with such pure motives was a jihād, a struggle carried on simply with the object that truth may prosper and that freedom of conscience may be maintained.

The second verse giving to the Muslims permission to fight runs as follows: "And fight in the way of Allāh with those who fight with you, and be not aggressive; surely Allāh does not love the aggressors" (2 : 190). Here again the condition is plainly laid down that the Muslims shall not be the first to attack; they had to fight—it had now become a duty—but only with those who fought with them; aggression was expressly prohibited. And this fighting in self-defence is called fighting *in the way of Allāh (fī sabili-llāh)*, because fighting in defence is the noblest and justest of all causes. It was the cause Divine, because if the Muslims had not fought they would have been swept out of existence, and there

would have been none to establish Divine Unity on earth. These were the very words in which the Holy Prophet prayed in the field of Badr : " O Allāh ! I beseech Thee to fulfil Thy covenant and Thy promise ; O Allāh ! if Thou wilt (otherwise), Thou wilt not be worshipped any more " (Bu. 56 : 89). The words *fi sabili-llāh* are misinterpreted by most European writers as meaning the *propagation of Islām*. Nothing could be farther from the truth. The Muslims were not fighting to force Islām on others ; rather were they being fought to force them to renounce Islām, as shown by 2 : 217 quoted above. What a travesty of facts to say that war was undertaken by the Muslims for the propagation of Islām !

It is sometimes asserted that these injunctions, relating to defensive fighting, were abrogated by a later revelation in ch. 9. Yet any one who reads that chapter cannot fail to note that it does not make the slightest change in the principles earlier laid down. Fighting with idolaters is enjoined in the ninth chapter, but not with all of them. In the very first verse of that chapter, the declaration of immunity is directed towards only " those of the idolaters with whom you made an agreement "—not all the idolaters—and even in their case an exception is made : " Except those of the idolaters with whom you made an agreement, then they have not failed you in anything and have not backed up anyone against you, so fulfil their agreement to the end of their term ; for Allāh loves those who are careful of their duty " (9 : 4). This shows that there were idolatrous tribes on friendly terms with the Muslims, and the Muslims were not allowed to fight with them ; it was only the hostile tribes who broke their agreements and attacked the Muslims that were to be fought against. And individual idolaters, even if belonging to hostile tribes, could still have safety, if they wanted to enquire about Islām, and were given a safe

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

conduct back home even if they did not accept Islām : “ And if one of the idolaters seek protection from thee, give him protection till he hears the word of Allāh, then make him attain his place of safety ; this is because they are a people who do not know ” (9 : 6). The idolater who stood in need of seeking protection evidently belonged to a hostile tribe, because the friendly tribes, being in alliance with the Muslims, had no need of seeking protection of the Muslim government. Thus even a hostile idolater was to be sent back safely to his own tribe and not molested in any way, as the words of the verse show. The idolaters with whom fighting was enjoined were those who had violated treaties and were foremost in attacking Muslims, as the words that follow show : “ If they prevail against you, they would not pay regard in your case to ties of relationship, nor those of covenant ” (9 : 8) ; “ Will you not fight a people who broke their oaths and aimed at the expulsion of the Apostle and they attacked you first ” (9 : 13). Thus chapter 9, which is supposed to abrogate the earlier verses, still speaks of fighting only against those idolaters who “ attacked you first,” and this is the very condition laid down in earlier verses, such as 2 : 190.

Notwithstanding that ch. 9, as shown above, does not go beyond what is contained in the earliest revelations on the subject of war, the fifth verse of that chapter is called by some people “ the verse of the sword,” as if it inculcated the indiscriminate massacre of all idolaters or unbelievers. The misconception is due to the fact that the words are taken out of their context, and a significance is forced on them which the context cannot bear. The following words occur in the 5th verse : “ So when the sacred months have passed away, slay the idolaters wherever you find them ” (9 : 5). But similar words occur also in

JIHAD

the earliest revelation on the subject : " And kill them wherever you find them " (2 : 191). In both places it is the context which makes it clear as to the identity of the persons regarding whom the order is given. In both cases those against whom the order is given are the people who have taken up the sword and attacked the Muslims first. It has already been shown that the injunction to fight against the idolaters, as contained in the opening verses of the 9th chapter, relates only to such idolatrous tribes as had made agreements with the Muslims and then broken them, and had attacked the Muslims, and not to all idolatrous people, wherever they may be found in the world. If only we read the verse that precedes the fifth verse, not the shadow of a doubt will remain that *all* idolaters are not spoken of here. For the fourth verse, as quoted already, states that those idolaters were not within the purview of the order who had remained faithful to their agreements. The order was therefore directed against specified idolatrous tribes, the tribes that had made agreements with the Muslims and broken them repeatedly, as expressly stated in 8 : 56. It is a mistake to regard the order as including all idolatrous people living anywhere in the world or even in Arabia. And if the verse preceding the so-called " verse of the sword " makes a clear exception in case of all friendly idolatrous tribes, that following it immediately makes a clear exception in favour of such members of idolatrous hostile tribes as ask the protection of the Muslims (see v. 6, quoted in the preceding paragraph). And then continuing the subject, it is further laid down that the order relates only to people " who broke their oaths and aimed at the expulsion of the Prophet and they attacked you first " (9 : 13). With such a clear explanation of the fifth verse contained in the preceding and following verses, no sane person would interpret it as

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

meaning the killing of all idolaters or the carrying on of unprovoked war against all idolatrous tribes.

It is thus clear that the Muslims were allowed to fight only in self-defence, to preserve their national existence, and they were forbidden to be aggressive. The Holy Qur'ān nowhere gives them permission to enter on an unprovoked war against the whole world. Conditions were also laid down as to when war should cease: "And fight with them until there is no persecution, and religion should be only for Allāh, but if they desist, then there should be no hostility except against the oppressors" (2: 193).

The words, *religion should be only for Allāh*, are sometimes misinterpreted as meaning that all people should accept Islām, a significance utterly opposed to the very next words: "But if they *desist*, there should be no hostility except against the oppressors." The *desisting* plainly refers to desisting from persecution. Similar words occur in another early Madīna revelation: "And fight with them until there is no more persecution and all religions should be only for Allāh; but if they desist, then surely Allāh sees what they do" (8: 39). Both expressions, "religion should be only for Allāh," and "all religions should be only for Allāh" carry one and the same significance, namely, that religion is treated as a matter between man and his God, a matter of conscience, in which nobody has a right to interfere. It may be added that if the words had the meaning which it is sought to give them, the Holy Prophet would have been the first man to translate that teaching into practice, while as a matter of fact he made peace with the enemy on numerous occasions, and stopped fighting with idolatrous tribes when they wanted peace. Even

JIHĀD

when he subjugated a people, he gave them full liberty in their religion as it happened in the conquest of Makka.

Notwithstanding what has been said above, the Muslims were told to accept peace in the middle of war if the enemy wanted peace: "And if they incline to peace, do thou incline to it and trust in Allāh; He is the Hearing, the Knowing. And if they intend to deceive thee—then surely Allāh is sufficient for thee" (8 : 61, 62). It should be noted that peace is here recommended even though the enemy's sincerity may be doubtful. And there were reasons to doubt the good intentions of the enemy, for the Arab tribes did not attach much value to their treaty agreements: "Those with whom thou makest an agreement, then they break their agreement every time and they are not careful of their duty" (8 : 56). None could carry those precepts into practice better than the Holy Prophet, and he was so prone to make peace whenever the enemy showed the least desire towards it, that on the occasion of the Ḥudaibiya truce he did not hesitate to accept the position of a defeated party, though he had never been defeated on the field of battle, and his Companions had sworn to lay down their lives one and all if the worst had come to the worst. Yet he made peace and accepted terms which his own followers looked upon as humiliating for Islām. He accepted the condition that he would go back without performing a pilgrimage and also that if a resident of Makka embraced Islām and came to him for protection, he would not give him protection. Thus the injunction contained in the Holy Qur'ān to make peace with the idolaters if they desired peace combined with the practice of the Holy Prophet in concluding peace on any terms is a clear proof that the theory of preaching Islām by the sword is a pure myth so far as the Holy Qur'ān is concerned.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Thus neither in the earlier revelation nor in the later, is there the slightest indication of any injunction to propagate Islām by the sword. On the other hand, war was clearly allowed as a defensive measure up to the last. It was to be continued only so long as religious persecution lasted, and when that ceased, war was to cease *ipso facto*. And there was the additional condition that if a tribe, against whom the Muslims were fighting because of its aggressive and repeated violation of treaties, embraced Islām, it then and there became a part of the Muslim body-politic, and its subjugation by arms was therefore foregone, and war with it came to an end. Such remained the practice of the Holy Prophet during his lifetime. And there is not a single instance in history in which he offered the alternative of the sword or Islām to any tribe or individual. Nay! in the life of the Holy Prophet there is not a single instance in which he led an aggressive attack. The last of his expeditions was that of Tabūk, in which he led an army of thirty thousand against the Roman Empire, but when he found, on reaching the frontier, after a very long and tedious journey, that the Romans did not contemplate an offensive, he returned without attacking them. His action on this occasion also throws light on the fact that the permission to fight against the Christians contained in 9 : 29, was also subject to the condition laid down in 2 : 190 that the Muslims shall not be aggressive in war.

The opinion now held among the more enlightened European critics of Islām is, that though the Holy Prophet did not make use of force in the propagation of Islām, and that though he did not lead an aggressive attack against an enemy, in the whole of his life, yet this position was adopted by his immediate successors, and was therefore a natural development of his teaching.

This opinion is also due to a misconception of the historical facts which led to the wars of the early Caliphate with the Persian and Roman empires. After the death of the Holy Prophet, when Arabia rose in insurrection and Abū Bakr was engaged in suppressing the revolt, both Persia and Rome openly helped the insurgents with men and money. It is difficult to go into details of history in a book which does not deal with the historical aspect of the question,¹ but I will quote a modern writer who is in no way friendly to Islām :

“ Chaldæa and southern Syria belong properly to Arabia. The tribes inhabiting this region, partly heathen but chiefly (at least in name) Christian, formed an integral part of the Arab race and as such fell within the immediate scope of the new Dispensation. When, however, these came into collision with the Muslim columns on the frontier, *they were supported by their respective sovereigns*,²—the western by the Kaiser, and the eastern by the Chosroes. Thus the struggle widened” (*The Caliphate*, by Sir W. Muir, p. 46).

There is actual historical evidence that Persia landed her forces in Bahraïn to help the insurgents of that Arabian province, and a Christian woman, Sajāh, marched at the head of Christian tribes, from her home on the frontier of Persia, against Madīna, the capital of Islām, and traversed the country right up to the central part. Persia and Rome were thus the aggressors, and the Muslims, in sheer self-defence, came into conflict with those mighty empires. The idea of spreading Islām by the sword was as far away from their minds as it was from that of the great Master whom they followed.

1. I have dealt with this subject fully in my book *The Early Caliphate*.

2. Italics are mine.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Thus even Muir admits that so late as the conquest of Mesopotamia by 'Umar, the Muslims were strangers to the idea of making converts to Islām by means of the sword: "The thought of a world-wide mission was yet in embryo; obligation to enforce Islām by a universal Crusade had not yet dawned upon the Muslim mind" (*The Caliphate*, p. 120). This remark relates to the year 16 of Hijra, when more than half the battles of the early Caliphate had already been fought. According to Muir, even the conquest of the whole of Persia was a measure of self-defence on the part of the Muslims, not of aggression: "The truth began to dawn on 'Omar that necessity was laid upon him to withdraw the ban against advance. In self-defence, nothing was left but to crush the Chosroes and take entire possession of his realm" (*The Caliphate*, p. 172). And if the wars with the Persian and Roman empires were begun and carried on for five years without any idea of the propagation of Islām by arms, surely there was no occasion for the idea to creep in at a subsequent stage.

As already stated, Ḥadīth cannot go against the Ḥadīth on the object of war. Holy Qur'ān. Being only an explanation of the Holy Book, it must be rejected if it contains anything against the plain teachings of the Holy Qur'ān. Yet Macdonald, in the *Encyclopædia of Islām* (art. *Djihād*), advances a very strange view. The Qur'ān, he admits, does not sanction unprovoked war against non-Muslims. Even the Prophet had no idea that his teaching would develop into such a position. Yet Ḥadīth, he says, is explicit on the point: "Whether Muḥammad himself recognized that his position implied steady and unprovoked war against the unbelieving world until it was subdued to Islām may be in doubt. Traditions are explicit on the point. . . . Still, the story of his writing to the powers around him shows that such a

universal position was implicit in his mind." Now Ḥadīth is nothing but a collection of what the Holy Prophet said or did. How could it be then that a thing of which the Prophet had no idea, as admitted in the above quotation, is met with in Ḥadīth? He could not say or do that of which he had no idea. The propagation of Islām by force is neither contained in the Holy Qur'ān, nor did the Prophet ever entertain such an idea, yet Ḥadīth, which is an explanation of the Holy Qur'ān and a record of what the Prophet said or did, explicitly states that Islām must be enforced at the point of the sword until the whole world is converted to Islām! These remarks are obviously due to carelessness on the part of the writer.

The only ḥadīth referred to in the article is "the story of the Prophet's writing to the powers around him." But that letter does not contain a single word about the enforcement of Islām at the point of sword. The wording of one of these letters addressed to the king of the Copts—and all these letters were addressed in similar words—is as follows:

"I invite thee with the invitation of Islām; become a Muslim, and thou wilt have entered security; Allāh will give thee a double reward. But if thou turnest back, then on thee is the sin of the Copts. O followers of the Book! come to an equitable proposition between us and you that we shall not serve any but Allāh and that we shall not associate aught with Him and that some of us shall not take others for lords besides Allāh, but if they turn back, then say, Bear witness that we are Muslims."

The mere writing of these letters to all the kings is undoubtedly an evidence of the universality of Islām, but by no stretch of imagination can it be made to yield the conclusion that Islām was to be spread by force of

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

arms. The letter is simply an invitation, combined with an appeal to the followers of all revealed religions to accept the common principle of the worship of one God.

There is one ḥadīth however which has sometimes been misconstrued, as meaning that the Prophet was fighting people to make them believe in the Unity of God. The ḥadīth runs thus: "Ibn 'Umar says, The Holy Prophet said, I have been commanded to fight people until they bear witness that there is no god but Allāh and that Muḥammad is the messenger of Allāh and keep up prayer and pay the zakāt. When they have done this, their lives and their properties are protected unless there is an obligation of Islām, and their account is with Allāh" (Bu. 2 : 17). It has already been shown that the principles of Islām are one and all taken from the Holy Qur'ān, not from Ḥadīth, and the Holy Qur'ān lays down in express words that no force shall be used in religion. How then could a ḥadīth contradict it? But let us consider the words of the ḥadīth. It begins with the words *I am commanded to fight*, and surely the commandments to the Prophet were given through Divine revelation and are therefore all of them contained in the Holy Qur'ān. The reference in the ḥadīth is thus undoubtedly to a Quranic verse. In fact, such a verse is met with in the second section of the chapter entitled "Immunity": "But if they repent and keep up prayer and pay the zakāt, they are your brethren in faith" (9 : 11). The subject-matter of the ḥadīth is exactly the same, and clearly the commandment referred to in the ḥadīth is that contained in this verse. It only requires to read the context to find out the purport of these words. Some of these verses have already been quoted but, on account of the importance of

the subject, I quote four of these verses together below :

V. 10. "They do not pay regard to ties of relationship nor those of covenant in the case of a believer ; and these are they who are guilty of excess."

V. 11. "But if they repent and keep up prayer and pay the poor-rate, they are your brethren in faith ; and We make the communications clear for a people who know."

V. 12. "And if they break their oaths after their agreement and openly revile your religion, then fight the leaders of unbelief—for their oaths are nothing—so that they may desist."

V. 13. "What ! will you not fight a people who broke their oaths and aimed at the expulsion of the Apostle, and they attacked you first ; do you fear them ?"

No comment is needed. The context clearly shows that there were certain tribes that had no regard for ties of relationship or for agreements entered into, and they were the first to attack the Muslims and made plans to expel the Prophet. These were the people to be fought against. The 9th chapter was revealed in the year 9 of Hijra, and this was the time when tribe after tribe was coming over to Islām, and so the condition was laid down that if one of the tribes, that had been hostile to Islām, and had broken its agreements, and was at war with the Muslims, came over to Islām, all hostilities against it were to be stopped immediately, because those people became brethren in faith with Muslims. Old wrongs and iniquities had to be forgotten and not one individual of it was to be harmed, however guilty he may have been, unless, in the words of the ḥadīth, an obligation of Islām rendered punishment necessary. The ḥadīth does not mean that the Holy Prophet was

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

commanded to *wage* war against people until they accepted Islām; it simply means, as a reference to the Holy Qur'ān shows, that he was commanded to *cease* fighting with people who were at war with the Muslims if they of their own accord embraced Islām. Even people who had been guilty of the murder of a Muslim were not to be put to death if they accepted Islām afterwards, and examples of this are mentioned in Ḥadīth (Bu. 56 : 28).

One such case may be cited here. "Miqdād ibn 'Amr al-Kindī referred the following case to the Holy Prophet: I meet in battle a man from among the unbelievers and we two fight against each other; he cuts off one of my hands with his sword, then he takes the shelter of a tree and says, I submit (*aslamtū*) to Allāh; can I kill him, O Messenger of Allāh! after he has spoken those words? The Holy Prophet said, Do not kill him. But, I said, he has cut off one of my hands, O Prophet! and then he says this after he has cut it off. The Holy Prophet said, Do not kill him, for if thou killest him, he is in thy place before thou didst kill him, and thou art in his place before he uttered those words which he spoke" (Bu. 64 : 12). This shows that the Holy Prophet had given definite orders, which were known to his Companions, that fighting should immediately cease when the person or tribe fighting declared Islām. It is in this light that the ḥadīth under discussion has to be read, *viz.*, that the Prophet had been commanded to cease war when an enemy at war with him professed Islām. Numerous examples of this are met with in the history of the Prophet's wars, but there is not a single instance in which he declared war against a peaceful neighbour because that neighbour was not a believer in Islām.

The fact that treaties and agreements were entered

into by the Holy Prophet with polytheists (*mushrikīn*) and the Jews and the Christians is proof that the *al-nās* (people) spoken of in the ḥadīth were particular tribes which, as the Holy Qur'an shows, violated their treaties again and again. If there had been any commandment like that which it is sought to deduce from this ḥadīth, the Prophet would have been the first man to act on it. But he always made peace and entered into agreements with his enemies, not once in his whole life demanding that a people vanquished in battle should accept Islām. The injunction to make peace with a nation inclined to peace (8 : 61), and the fact of the Prophet's repeatedly making treaties with unbelievers, are clear negations of the impossible construction which it is sought to put upon the words of the ḥadīth, namely, that the Holy Prophet was commanded to wage war against people until they embraced Islām.

Other ḥadīth which are sometimes misinterpreted are of a similar nature. For instance, in one it is stated that the Holy Prophet when he went out to fight with a people, did not attack them till morning, and if he then heard the *adhān* being called out, he refrained from attacking the people (Bu. 10 : 6). This ḥadīth evidently refers to such people as are spoken of in the ninth chapter as breaking their agreements repeatedly and attacking the Muslims. At this very time, that is, in the ninth and tenth years of Hijra, the time to which the 9th chapter relates, tribe after tribe came over to Islām, deputations from different tribes coming to Madīna and going back to their people to convert them to the new faith. Therefore, when an expedition had to be sent for the punishment of a tribe which had proved unfaithful to its agreement, it had to be ascertained that it had not in the meanwhile accepted Islām, and therefore the precaution spoken of in the ḥadīth was taken.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

In another ḥadīth occur the words, "He who fights that the word of Allāh may be exalted," which being severed from the context are sometimes construed as meaning fighting for the propagation of Islām, but when read with the context, their meaning is clear. The ḥadīth runs thus: "A man came to the Holy Prophet and said, There is a man who fights for gain of riches and another man who fights for the sake of reputation, and another man who fights that his exploits may be seen, which of these is in the way of Allāh? The Prophet said, The man who fights that the word of Allāh may be exalted, that is in the way of Allāh" (Bu. 56 : 15). It is clear that these words only mean that a man who fights in the way of Allāh (which, as shown from the Holy Qur'ān, means only *in defence of the faith*) should have his motives free from all taint of personal gain or reputation. The unbelievers sought to annihilate the faith of Islām, and the defence of the faith was, therefore, equivalent to the exaltation of the word of Allāh. In the Holy Qur'ān these words are used on the occasion of the Prophet's flight to Madīna. The Prophet's safe flight is spoken of as making the word of the disbelievers lowest, and the word of Allāh highest: "And made lowest the word of those who disbelieved, and the word of Allāh, that is the highest" (9 : 40).

There are many ḥadīth which speak of the excellence of jihād or of the excellence of fighting, and these are sometimes misconstrued, as showing that a Muslim must always be fighting with other people. It is in a ḥadīth that a Muslim is defined as being "one from whose hands and tongue Muslims—or, according to another account, people—are secure" (Bu. 2 : 4 ; FB. I, p. 51); and a Muslim literally means "one who has entered into peace." According to another ḥadīth, a *mu'min* (believer) is "one from whom people are secure concerning

their lives and properties" (MM. I—ii). But war is undoubtedly a necessity of life, and there are times when fighting becomes the highest of duties. Fighting in the cause of justice, fighting to help the oppressed, fighting in self-defence, fighting for national existence are all truly the highest and noblest of deeds, because in all these cases a man lays down his life in the cause of truth and justice, and that is, no doubt, the highest sacrifice that a man can make. Fighting, in itself, is neither good nor bad ; it is the occasion which makes it either the best of deeds or the worst of them.

The question is simply this, What was the object for which the Prophet fought ? There is not the least doubt about it, as the Holy Qur'ān is clear on the point : "Permission to fight is given to those upon whom war is made, because they are oppressed " (22 : 39) ; " And had there not been Allāh's repelling some people by others, there would have been pulled down cloisters and churches and synagogues and mosques in which Allāh's name is much remembered " (22 : 40) ; " And what reason have you that you should not fight in the way of Allāh and of the weak among the men and the women and the children who say, Our Lord ! cause us to go forth from this town, whose people are oppressors, and give us from Thee a guardian and give us from Thee a helper " (4 : 75) ; " What ! will you not fight a people who broke their oaths and aimed at the expulsion of the Apostle, and they attacked you first " (9 : 13) ; and so on. If then there are ḥadīth which speak of the excellence of keeping a horse (Bu. 56 : 45), or of keeping horses ready on the frontier of the enemy (Bu. 56 : 73), or ḥadīth recommending the learning of shooting (*ramy*) (Bu. 56 : 78), or practising with implements of war (Bu. 56 : 79), or ḥadīth speaking of swords and shields and armour and so on, they show, not that the Muslims were spreading Islām

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

by force of arms, not even that they were waging aggressive war against peaceful neighbours, but that they had to fight, and hence all deeds done to carry on a successful war are praised. Indeed in one ḥadīth it is stated that "Paradise (*al-Janna*) is under the shadow of swords" (Bu. 56 : 22). All this is true so long as the sword is used in a right cause.

The wrong notion of jihād, introduced by the Jurists' wrong notion of jihād. jurists, was owing to a misconception of certain verses of the Holy Qur'ān, due, in the first place, to the fact that no regard had been paid to the context, and, in the second place, to a disregard of the circumstances under which the Holy Prophet fought. It has already been shown that the fifth verse of the ninth chapter contains nothing that is not contained in the earlier revelation, and that it is simply a re-assertion of the original injunction to fight against tribes that were first to attack the Muslims and that broke their agreements; but reading it out of its context, a significance was given to it that was never contemplated, and it received the name of *āyat al-saif* (the verse of the sword), which is assuredly a misnomer. Another verse which the *Hidāya* brings in support of this wrong conception of jihād, is the 36th verse of the ninth chapter, which runs as follows : "And fight the polytheists all together as they fight you all together" (9 : 36). Now this is, in fact, only an injunction to the Muslims to remain united in the war against the polytheists, as they, the polytheists, were united in their war against the Muslims. It does not mean that there were no polytheist tribes that did not fight against the Muslims, for this is not only historically untrue, but is also contradicted by the Holy Qur'ān itself : "Except those of the idolaters with whom you made an agreement, then they have not failed you in anything and have not backed up any one against you "

(9 : 4). A reference to history would show that there were idolatrous tribes that never fought against the Muslims, but, on the other hand, were in alliance with them, and the Muslims fought in their behalf. Such alliances are met with not only in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet but also in the wars of the early Caliphate.¹ Nor does the verse mean that there should be no Muslim on the face of the earth who should not be engaged in war against the polytheists. Even the supporters of unprovoked war hardly go so far as that. The *Hidāya*, after quoting this verse in support of a war against all polytheists, adds that this is a *fardz kifāya*, an obligation which if performed by some Muslims relieves others of the duty. Now the word *kāffa* (meaning *all together*) occurs in this verse twice, once in connection with the Muslims and again in connection with the idolaters, so that if *all* polytheists, without any exception, are to be fought against, *all* Muslims without any exception must fight against them. As this is impossible, it follows that the verse only enjoins unification in the ranks of the Muslims, in like manner as there was unification in the ranks of the idolaters, and there is nothing said here as to the conditions under which fighting is to be carried on. These conditions are expressly laid down in other verses and can on no account be dispensed with: "And fight in the way of Allāh with those who fight with you and be not aggressive, for Allāh does not love the aggressors" (2 : 190).

1. The *Khuzā'a* were an idolatrous tribe that entered into an alliance with the Muslims after the truce of *Hudaibiya*, and when they were attacked by the allies of the *Quraish* with the latter's help, the Holy Prophet led an attack on Makka to punish the *Quraish* for their breach of agreement. There were many other tribes in similar alliance with the Muslims. In the early Caliphate wars, Christian soldiers fought side by side with the Muslims, and so also some of the Magian tribes.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The jurists themselves have challenged the accuracy of the principle on which their wrong notion of jihād is based. For instance, the *Hidāya* gives the following reason for jihād being a *fardz kifāya*: "It is not made obligatory for its own self (*li'aini-hi*), for in itself it is the causing of mischief (*ifsād*), and it is made obligatory for the strengthening of the religion of Allāh and for the repelling of evil (*daf' al-sharr*) from His servants" (H. I, p. 537). The use here of the words *daf' al-sharr* shows that, even according to the jurists, jihād in its origin is only for repelling evil and is therefore defensive, not offensive. Again, when discussing the reasons for the prohibition of killing a woman and a child and an old man and one who refrains from fighting (*muq'id*) and a blind man, the *Hidāya* says: "For what makes the killing lawful (*mubih li-l-qatl*) according to us, is the fighting (*hirāb*), and this is not true in their case, and therefore the man whose one side is withered (*yābis al-shiqq*) and the man whose right hand is cut off and a man whose hand and foot are cut off cannot be killed" (H. I, p. 540). Here it is admitted that what makes the killing of a man lawful is not his unbelief (*kufr*) but his fighting (*hirāb*), for, if men could be killed for unbelief, even women, children, and old and incapacitated men would not be spared. That is indeed a sound basis. But if the reason given on this occasion is true, and it is unlawful to kill any one merely on account of unbelief, it is also unlawful to undertake war against a people because they are unbelievers or idolaters, as in such a war people would be killed for mere unbelief.

In still more plain words, the *Hidāya* recognizes, in its discussion on the making of peace with unbelievers, that the real object of jihād is the repelling of the enemy's mischief: "And when the Imām is of opinion that he should make peace with those who are fighting

(against the Muslims) (*ahl al-ḥarb*), or with a party of them, and it is in the interests of the Muslims, there is no harm in peace, on account of what Allāh says, 'And if they incline to peace, do thou incline to it and trust in Allāh;' and the Holy Prophet entered into agreement with the people of Makka, in the year of Ḥudaibiya, that there shall be no war between him and them for ten years; and because entering into agreement is jihād in spirit, when it is for the good of the Muslims, as the object, which is the repelling of mischief (*daf' al-sharr*), is attained thereby" (H. I, p. 541). Here again it is admitted that the real object of jihād is *the repelling of the enemy's mischief*, and it is on this basis alone that peace can be made with the unbelievers. The annotator of the *Hidāya* does not conceal the fact that it is a plain contradiction of what is said elsewhere¹ as to the object of jihād. But the question is, how can peace with unbelievers and idolaters be justified? If the object of jihād is the enforcing of Islām at the point of the sword, peace with unbelievers is simply a contradiction of this object. But peace with unbelievers is not only a matter of choice; it is an injunction which must be carried out when the enemy is inclined to peace: "And if they incline to peace, do thou incline to it" (8 : 61).

The above quotations from the *Hidāya* will show that even the jurists felt that their exposition of jihād was opposed to its basic principles as laid down in the Holy Qur'an. Probably the new doctrine grew up slowly. It is clear that the earlier jurists did not go so far as their later annotators. Notwithstanding the wrong conception which was introduced into the meaning of jihād,

1. The annotator's note on *daf' al-sharr* (repelling of the enemy's mischief) as the object of jihād runs thus: "In many places it has been stated that the object of jihād is the exaltation of the word of Allāh and this contradicts what is stated here."

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

by not paying proper attention to the context of the Holy Qur'ān and the circumstances under which the Holy Prophet fought, they still recognized that the basic principle of jihād was the repelling of the enemy's mischief, and that hence peace with the unbelievers was jihād in spirit. But the later generation would not tolerate even this much. Some of them have gone to the length of holding that not permanent peace but only peace for a limited period can be concluded with the unbelievers, an opinion flatly contradicting the Quranic injunction in 8 : 61. It must however be repeated—and it would bear repetition a hundred times—that, essentially, the Holy Qur'ān is opposed to taking the life of a man for unbelief. It gives full liberty of conscience by stating that there is no compulsion in religion (2 : 256); it establishes religious freedom by enjoining war to cease when there is no religious persecution, and religion becomes a matter between man and his God (2 : 193); it plainly says that the life of a man cannot be taken for any reason except that he kills a man or causes mischief (*fasād*) in the land (5 : 32).

With the new notion introduced into the word jihād, Dār al-ḥarb and Dār al-Islām. the jurists artificially divided the whole world into dār al-ḥarb and dār al-Islām. *Dār al-ḥarb* literally means *the abode* or *seat of war*, and *dār al-Islām*, *the abode of Islām*. The words are not used in the Holy Qur'ān, nor have I been able to trace them in any ḥadīth. Bukhārī uses the word dār al-ḥarb in the heading of one of his chapters, "When a people embrace Islām in dār al-ḥarb" (Bu. 56 : 180). Two ḥadīth are mentioned under this heading, in neither of which do the words dār al-ḥarb occur. The first speaks of Makka, and the subject-matter of the ḥadīth is that, when after the conquest of Makka, the unbelieving Quraish accepted Islām, they were recognized as owners of the

JIHĀD

property of which they had become masters, though it originally belonged to those Muslims who had fled to Madīna. The second speaks of Rabḍha, a place at a distance of about three days' journey from Madīna, the lands near which were turned into a pasture by 'Umar and, on the owners' protest, made over to them. Both Makka and Rabḍha were at one time at war with the Muslims, and on this account Bukhārī speaks of them as dār al-ḥarb. Dār al-Islām is evidently a place where the laws of Islām prevail and which is under a Muslim ruler. The use of dār al-ḥarb in the sense of a place actually at war with the Muslims, is unobjectionable. But the jurists apply the word to all states and countries which are not dār al-Islām or under the Muslim rule, though they may not be at war with the Muslims, and thus look upon a Muslim state as being always in a state of war with the whole of the non-Muslim world. This position is not only inconsistent with the very basic principles of Islām, but actually it has never been accepted by any Muslim state that has ever existed in this world. The difficulty has been met by some jurists by bringing in a third class, called dār al-ṣulḥ or dār al-'ahd, or a country which has an agreement with the Muslims. But even this does not exhaust the whole world. Many of the laws relating to war are based on this fictitious division of the world, for which there is not the least authority either in the Holy Qur'an or Ḥadīth.

The word *jizya* is explained as meaning *the tax that is taken from the free non-Muslim subjects of a Muslim government, whereby they ratify the compact that ensures them protection, or a tax that is paid by the owner of land, being derived from jazā which means he gave satisfaction or he compensated him for a certain thing, or for what he had done (LL.).* In the Holy Qur'an, *jizya*

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

is spoken of only in one place, and there in connection with wars with the followers of the Book: "Fight those who do not believe in Allāh out of those who have been given the Book, until they pay the jizya in acknowledgment of superiority and they are in a state of subjection" (9 : 29). The Holy Prophet made treaties subject to the condition of payment of jizya with the Magians of Baḥrain (Bu. 58 : 1), with Ukaidar, the Christian chief of Dūma (AD. 19 : 29 ; IH.), with the Christian ruler of Ayla (IJ-H. III, p. 146), with the Jews of Jarbā' and Adhruḥ¹ (*ibid*), and with the Christians of Najrān (IS. T. I-ii, p. 35). But in all these cases, the jizya was a tribute paid by the state and not a poll-tax. Bukhārī opens his book of Jizya with a chapter headed as follows: "Jizya and concluding of peace with *ahl al-ḥarb* (those at war with the Muslims)" (Bu. 58 : 1). Continuing, he is more explicit, remarking under the same heading: "And what is related in the matter of taking jizya from the Jews and the Christians and the Magians (*Majūs*) and the non-Arabs (*Ajam*)." The rule of the jizya was thus applicable to all enemy people, and the Prophet's own action shows that treaties subject to the payment of jizya were concluded, not only with the Jews and the Christians but also with Magians. It would be seen from this that the words *ahl al-Kitāb* used in 9 : 29, quoted above, must be taken in the wider sense of followers of any other religion. But jizya, which was originally a tribute paid by a subject state, took the form of a poll-tax later on in the time of 'Umar ; and the word was also applied to the land-tax which was levied on Muslim owners of agricultural land. The jurists however made a distinction between the poll-tax and the land-tax

1. Dūma, Ayla, Jarbā' and Adhruḥ are all places situated on the Syrian frontier, and these treaties were made during the expedition to Tabūk, in the ninth year of Hijra.

by giving the name of *kharāj* to the latter. Both together formed one of the two chief sources of the revenue of the Muslim state, the zakāt paid by the Muslims being the other source.

European writers on Islām have generally assumed that, while the Holy Qur'ān offered Jizya was not a religious tax only one of the two alternatives, Islām or death, to other non-Muslims, the Jews and the Christians were given a somewhat better position, since they could save their lives by the payment of jizya. This conception of jizya, as a kind of religious tax whose payment entitled certain non-Muslims to security of life under the Muslim rule, is as entirely opposed to the fundamental teachings of Islām as is the myth that the Muslims were required to carry on an aggressive war against all non-Muslims till they accepted Islām. Tributes and taxes were levied before Islām, and are levied to this day, by Muslim as well as non-Muslim states, yet they have nothing to do with the religion of the people affected. The Muslim state was as much in need of finance to maintain itself as any other state on the face of this earth, and it resorted to exactly the same methods as those employed by other states. All that happened in the time of the Holy Prophet was, that certain small non-Muslim states were, when subjugated, given the right to administer their own affairs, but only if they would pay a small sum by way of tribute towards the maintenance of the central government at Madīna. It was an act of great magnanimity on the part of the Holy Prophet to confer complete autonomy on a people after conquering them, and a paltry sum of tribute (jizya) in such conditions was not a hardship but a boon. There was no military occupation of their territories, no interference at all with their administration, their laws, their customs and usages, or their religion; and, for the tribute paid, the Muslim

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

state undertook the responsibility of protecting these small states against all enemies. Were the civilized powers of to-day to follow the example of the Founder of Islām, more than half the nations of the world would be freed from the burden of a foreign yoke. In the later conquests of Islām, while it became necessary for the Muslims to establish their own administration in the conquered territories, there was still as little interference with the usages and religion of the conquered people as was possible, and for enjoying complete protection and the benefits of a settled rule they had to pay a very mild tax, the *jizya*.

It may, however, be said that the Muslim state made a discrimination between the Muslim and the non-Muslim, and that it was this feature of *jizya* which gave it a religious colouring. A discrimination was indeed made, but it was not in favour of the Muslim but in that of the non-Muslim. The Muslim had to do compulsory military service and to fight the battles of the state, not only at home but also in foreign countries, and in addition had to pay a tax heavier than that which the non-Muslim was required to pay, as I will presently show. The non-Muslim was entirely exempt from military service on account of the *jizya* he paid, and half a guinea or a *dīnār* a year is certainly cheap for exemption from military service. So the Muslim had to pay the *zakāt*, a far heavier tax than *jizya*, and do military service, while the non-Muslim had only to pay a small tax for the privilege of enjoying all the benefits of a settled rule.

The very name *ahl al-dhimma* (lit., *people under protection*) given to the non-Muslim subjects of a Muslim state, or to a non-Muslim state under the protection of Muslim rule, shows that the *jizya* was paid as a compensation for the protection afforded; in other words, it was a contribution of the non-Muslims towards the military

organisation of the Muslim state. There does not exist a state to-day that does not stand in need of putting a similar burden on its subjects for its military expenses. There are cases on record in which the Muslim state returned the *jizya*, when it was unable to afford protection to the people under its care. Thus, when the Muslim forces under Abū 'Ubaida were engaged in a struggle with the Roman Empire, they were compelled to beat a retreat at Hims, which they had previously conquered. When the decision was taken to evacuate Hims, Abū 'Ubaida sent for the chiefs of the place and returned to them the whole amount which he had realized as *jizya*, saying that as the Muslims could no longer protect them, they were not entitled to the *jizya*.

It further appears that exemption from military service was granted only to such non-Muslims as wanted it, for where a non-Muslim people offered to fight the battles of the country, they were exempted from *jizya*. The Banī Taghlib and the people of Najrān, both Christians, did not pay the *jizya* (En. Is.). Indeed the Banī Taghlib fought alongside the Muslim forces in the battle of Buwaib in 13 A. H. Later on in the year 17 A. H., they wrote to the Caliph 'Umar offering to pay the *zakāt*, which was a heavier burden, instead of the *jizya*. "The liberality of 'Omar," says Muir in his *Caliphate*, "allowed the concession; and the Benī Taghlib enjoyed the singular privilege of being assessed as Christians at a 'double *Tithe*,' instead of paying the obnoxious badge of subjugation" (p. 142). Military service was also accepted, in place of *jizya*, in the time of 'Umar, from Jurjān. Shahbarāz, an Armenian chief, also concluded peace with the Muslims on the same terms.

The manner too, in which the *jizya* was levied shows that it was a tax for exemption from military service. The

Incidence of the *jizya*.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

following classes were exempt from jizya: all females, males who had not attained majority, old people, people whom disease had crippled (*zamin*), the paralyzed, the blind, the poor (*faqir*) who could not work for themselves (*ghair mu'tamil*), the slaves, slaves who were working for their freedom, *mudbirs*, and the monks (H.I, pp. 571, 572). And besides this, "in the first century ... many persons were entirely exempt from taxation, though we do not know why" (En. Is.). It has already been shown that certain non-Muslim tribes that had agreed to do military service, were also exempted from jizya, and these two facts—the exemption of non-Muslims unfit for military service and of the able-bodied who agreed to military service—taken together lead to but one conclusion, namely that the jizya was a tax paid by such Dhimmīs as could fight, for exemption from military service.

A study of the items of the expenditure of jizya leads to the same conclusion, for the jizya was spent for strengthening of the frontiers or obstructing the frontier approaches (*sadd al-thaghūr*), for the building of bridges, payment to judges and governors and the maintenance of the fighting forces and their children (H. I, p. 576).

In spite of exemptions on so vast a scale, the rate of jizya was very low, being originally one dīnār per head for a whole year, the dīnār¹ being about the value of Rs. 10. Later on, the rate was raised in the case of rich people, who had to pay four dīnārs or forty-eight dirhams annually, or four dirhams monthly; next came those who paid two dīnārs annually, or two dirhams per month; the lowest rate being one dīnār, at which all were

1. The dīnār was a gold coin, the original weight of which was 65·4 grains troy.

originally assessed. This is according to Ḥanafī law, while Shāfi'ī retained the original rate of one dīnār per head in all cases (H.). The three grades are defined thus : (1) the rich man (*al-ẓāhir al-ghinā*, or he whose wealth is manifest) who owns abundant property, so that he needs not work for his livelihood ; (2) the middle class man who owns property, but in addition thereto needs to earn money to make a living ; and (3) the poor man who has no property, but earns more than is necessary to maintain himself. The Muslim was, apparently, more heavily taxed, for he had to pay at the rate of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of his savings, and, in addition, to perform military service. The jizya was levied in a very sympathetic spirit, as the following anecdote will show. The Caliph 'Umar once saw a blind Dhimmī (non-Muslim) begging, and finding on enquiry that he had to pay jizya, he not only exempted him but, in addition, ordered that he be paid a stipend from the state-treasury, issuing further orders at the same time that all Dhimmīs in similar circumstances should be paid stipends.

Another myth concerning the early Caliphate wars
 Islām, jizya or the sword. may be removed in connection with
 the discussion of jizya. It is generally thought that the Muslims were out to impose their religion at the point of the sword, and that the Muslim hosts were over-running all lands with the message of Islām, jizya or the sword. This is, of course, quite a distorted picture of what really happened. If the Muslims had really been abroad with this message, and in this spirit, how was it possible for non-Muslims to fight in their ranks. The fact that there were people who never became Muslims at all, nor ever paid jizya, and yet were living in the midst of the Muslims, even fighting their battles, explodes the whole theory of the Muslims

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

offering Islām or jizya or the sword. The truth of the matter is that the Muslims finding the Roman Empire and Persia bent upon the subjugation of Arabia and the extirpation of Islām, refused to accept terms of peace without a safeguard against a repetition of the aggression; and this safeguard was demanded in the form of jizya, or a tribute, which would be an admission of defeat on their part. No war was ever *started* by the Muslims by sending this message to a peaceful neighbour; history belies such an assertion. But when a war was undertaken on account of the enemy's aggression—his advance on Muslim territory or help rendered to the enemies of the Muslim state—it was only natural that the Muslims did not terminate the war before bringing it to a successful issue. They were willing to avoid further bloodshed after inflicting a defeat on the enemy, only if he admitted defeat and agreed to pay a tribute, which was only a token tribute as compared with the crushing war indemnities of the present day. The offer to terminate hostilities on payment of jizya was thus an act of mercy towards a vanquished foe. But if the payment of a token tribute was unacceptable to the vanquished power, the Muslims could do nothing but have recourse to the sword, until the enemy was completely subdued.

The only question that remains is whether the Muslim soldiers invited their enemies to accept Islām; and whether it was an offence if they did so? Islām was a missionary religion from its very inception, and every Muslim deemed it his birth-right to invite other people to embrace Islām. The envoys of Islām, wherever they went, looked upon it as their first duty to spread the message of Islām, because they felt that Islām imparted a new life and vigour to humanity, and

offered a real solution of the problems of every nation. Islām was offered, no doubt, even to the fighting enemy, but it is a distortion of facts to say that it was offered at the point of the sword, when there is not a single instance on record of Islām being enforced upon a prisoner of war; nor of Muslims sending a message to a peaceful neighbouring state to the effect that it would be invaded if it did not embrace Islām. All that is recorded is, that in the midst of war and after defeat had been inflicted on the enemy in several battles, when there were negotiations for peace, the Muslims in their zeal for the faith related their own experience before the enemy chiefs. They stated how they themselves had been deadly foes to Islām and how, ultimately, they were undeceived and found Islām to be a blessing and a power that had raised the Arab race from the depths of degradation to great moral and spiritual heights, and had welded their warring elements into a solid nation. In such words did the Muslim envoys invite the Persians and the Romans to Islām, not before the declaration of war, but at the time of the negotiations for peace. If the enemy then accepted Islām, there would be no conditions for peace, and the two nations would live as equals and brethren. It was not offering Islām at the point of the sword, but offering it as a harbinger of peace, of equality and of brotherhood. Not once in the wars of the early Caliphate did the Muslims send a message to a peaceful neighbour that if it did not accept Islām, the Muslim forces would carry fire and sword into its territory. Wars they had to wage, but these wars were due to reasons other than zeal for the propagation of Islām. And they could not do a thing which their Master never did, and which their only guide in life, the Holy Qur'ān, never taught them.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The directions given to his soldiers by the Holy Prophet, also show that his wars were not due to any desire to enforce religion. “‘Abd-Allāh ibn ‘Umar reports that in a certain battle fought by the Holy Prophet, a woman was discovered among the slain. On this, the Holy Prophet forbade the killing of women and children (in wars)” (Bu. 56 : 147, 148). Ḥadīth relating to this prohibition are repeated very often in all collections of Ḥadīth (AD. 15 : 112 ; Tr. 19 : 19 ; Ah. I, p. 256 ; II, pp. 22, 23 ; III, p. 488 ; M. 32 : 6). Now if the wars of Islām had been undertaken with the object of forcing Islām upon a people, why should women and children have been excepted? It would rather have been the easier task to win them over to Islām, by holding the sword over their heads, because women and children naturally have not the power to resist, like men who can fight. The fact that there is an express direction against killing three-fourths of the population, as women and children must be in every community, shows that the propagation of religion was far from being the object of these wars. In some ḥadīth, the word ‘*asīf*’ is added to *women* and *children*, showing that there was also a prohibition against killing people who were taken along with the army as “labour units” (Ah. III, p. 488 ; IV, p. 178 ; AD. 15 : 112). There is yet another ḥadīth prohibiting the killing of shaikh fānī (very old man) who is unable to fight (MM. 18 : 5-ii). Monks were also not to be molested (Ah. I, p. 300). It was only in a night attack that the Holy Prophet excused the chance killing of a woman or a child, saying, “They are among them” (Bu. 56 : 146) ; what he meant was that it was a thing which could not be avoided, for at night children and women could not be distinguished from the soldiers.

The above examples may be supplemented by some

others taken from Sayyid Amīr 'Alī's *Spirit of Islām*. The following instructions were given to the troops dispatched against the Byzantines by the Holy Prophet: "In avenging the injuries inflicted upon us, molest not the harmless inmates of domestic seclusion; spare the weakness of the female sex; injure not the infant at the breast, or those who are ill in bed. Abstain from demolishing the dwellings of the unresisting inhabitants; destroy not the means of their subsistence, nor their fruit trees; and touch not the palm" (p. 81). Abū Bakr gave the following instructions to the commander of an army in the Syrian battle: "When you meet your enemies quit yourselves like men, and do not turn your backs; and if you gain the victory, kill not the little children, nor old people, nor women. Destroy no palm trees, nor burn any fields of corn. Cut down no fruit trees, nor do any mischief to cattle, only such as you kill for the necessity of subsistence. When you make any covenant or article, stand to it, and be as good as your word. As you go on, you will find some religious persons that live retired in monasteries, who propose to themselves to serve God that way. Let them alone, and neither kill them nor destroy their monasteries" (p. 81).

The treatment of prisoners of war, as laid down in the Holy Qur'ān and Ḥadīth, also bears evidence of the fact that the idea of the enforcement of Islām by the sword is entirely foreign to the conception of Islamic warfare. If the wars, during the time of the Holy Prophet or early Caliphate, had been prompted by the desire of propagating Islām by force, this object could easily have been attained by forcing Islām upon prisoners of war who fell into the hands of the Muslims. Yet this the Holy Qur'ān does not allow, expressly laying down that

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

they must be set free: "So when you meet the disbelievers in battle, smite the necks until when you have overcome them, make them prisoners, and afterwards either set them free as a favour or let them ransom themselves, until the war lays down its weapons" (47:4). It will be seen from this that the taking of prisoners was allowed only so long as war conditions prevailed; and even when the prisoners are taken, they cannot be kept permanently as slaves, but must be set free either as a favour or at the utmost by taking ransom. The Holy Prophet carried this injunction into practice in his lifetime.¹ In the battle of Ḥunain, six thousand prisoners of the Hawāzin tribe were taken, and they were all set free simply as an act of favour (Bu. 40:7; IJ-H. III, p. 132). A hundred families of Banī Muṣṭaliq were taken as prisoners in the battle of Muraisī', and they were also set at liberty without any ransom being paid (IJ-H. III, p. 66). Seventy prisoners were taken in the battle of Badr, and it was only in this case that ransom was exacted, but the prisoners were granted their freedom while war with the Quraish was yet in progress (AD. 15:122; Ah. I, p. 30). The form of ransom adopted in the case of some of these prisoners was that they should be entrusted with some work connected with teaching (Ah. I, p. 247; Z. I, p. 534). When war ceased and peace was established, all war-prisoners would have to be set free, according to the verse quoted above.

1. In spite of the clear injunction of the Holy Qur'ān to set free all prisoners, and the practice of the Holy Prophet who never killed a single prisoner of war and generally set them free as an act of favour, the Revd. Klein writes in *The Religion of Islām*: "Unbelievers taken in war, except idolaters of Arabia and apostates who must be killed, who do not embrace Islām may either be killed, or made captives.....or e granted their liberty on condition of their becoming Zimmis" (p. 179). This is an entirely baseless statement.

JIHĀD

This verse also abolishes slavery for ever. Slavery was generally brought about through raids by stronger tribes upon weaker ones. Islām did not allow raids or the making of prisoners by means of raids. Prisoners could only be taken after a regular battle, and even then could not be retained for ever. It was obligatory to set them free, either as a favour or after taking ransom. This state of things could last only so long as war conditions existed. When war was over, no prisoners could be taken.

The name applied to prisoners of war is *mā malakat aimānu-kum*, lit., *what your right hands possess*. What one's right hand possesses means that which one has obtained by superior power, and prisoners of war were given this name because it was by superior power in war that they were reduced to subjection. The name 'abd (slave) was also applied to them, because they had lost their freedom. Slavery may have been abolished by civilization in name but, in fact, it exists even to-day, for nations which are subjugated and ruled by other nations are as a matter of fact in a state of slavery. The treatment accorded to prisoners of war or slaves in Islām is unparalleled. No other nation or society can show a similar treatment even of its own members when they are placed in the relative position of a master and a servant. The slave or the prisoner was, no doubt, required to do a certain amount of work, but the condition in which it was ordained that he should be kept, freed him of all abject feelings. The golden rule of treating the slave like a brother was laid down by the Holy Prophet in clear words: "Ma'rūr says, I met Abū Dharr in Rabḍha and he wore a dress and his slave wore a similar dress. I questioned him about it. He said, I abused a man (*i. e.* his slave) and found fault with him on account of his mother (addressing him as son of a Negress). The Holy Prophet said to me, O Abū

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Dharr ! thou findest fault with him on account of his mother, surely thou art a man in whom is ignorance ; your slaves are your brethren, Allāh has placed them under your hands ; so whoever has his brother under his hand, let him give him to eat whereof he himself eats, and let him give to wear what he himself wears, and do not impose on them a work which they are not able to do, and if you give them such a work, then help them in the execution of it " (Bu. 2 : 22). The prisoners were distributed among the various Muslim families because no arrangements for their maintenance by the state existed at the time, but they were treated honourably. A prisoner of war states that he was kept in a family whose people gave him bread while they themselves had to live on dates (IJ-H. II, p. 287). Prisoners of war were therefore not only set free but so long as they were kept prisoners, they were kept honourably.

It will be seen from what has been stated above

War as a struggle to concerning the injunctions relating to war and peace, that war is recognized by Islām as a struggle between nations—though a terrible struggle—which is sometimes necessitated by the conditions of human life ; and when that struggle comes, a nation is bound to acquit itself of its responsibility in the matter in an honourable manner, and fight it to the bitter end whatever it is. Islām does not allow its followers to provoke war, nor does it allow them to be aggressors, but it commands them to put their whole force into the struggle when war is forced on them. If the enemy wants peace after the struggle has begun, the Muslims should not refuse, even though there is doubt about the honesty of his purpose. But the struggle, so long as it exists, must be carried on to the end. In this struggle, honest dealing is enjoined even with the enemy, throughout the Holy Qur'ān : " And let not hatred of a

people—because they hindered you from the Sacred Mosque - incite you to exceed the limits; and help one another in goodness and piety, and do not help one another in sin and aggression " (5 : 2) ; " And let not hatred of a people incite you not to act equitably ; act equitably, that is nearer to piety " (5 : 8). This is in a chapter which was revealed towards the close of the Holy Prophet's life. Ḥadīth too enjoins honest dealing in war : " Fight and do not exceed the limits and be not unfaithful and do not mutilate bodies and do not kill children " (M. 32 : 2). Such are some of the directions given which purify war of the elements of barbarity and dishonesty in which warring nations generally indulge. Neither inhuman nor immoral practices are allowed.

A ḥadīth is sometimes cited as allowing deceit in war. This is due to a misinterpretation of the words of the ḥadīth. Deceit and lying¹ are not allowed under any circumstances. The ḥadīth runs thus : " The Holy Prophet said, The Chosroes shall perish and there shall be no Chosroes after him, and the Cæsar shall perish and there shall be no Cæsar after him, and their treasures shall be distributed in the way of Allāh, and he called war a deception (*kḥad'at-an*) " (Bu. 56 : 157). These words were uttered by the Holy Prophet, when he received the news that the Chosroes had torn his letter to pieces and ordered his arrest; and the words contain a clear prophecy that the power of both the Chosroes and the Cæsar shall depart in their wars with the Muslims, so

1. The ḥadīth that Abraham told a lie on three occasions must be rejected, as the Holy Qur'ān particularly lays stress on his truthfulness, calling him *ṣiddīq* which means *a very truthful man* or *a truthful man who never tells a lie*. Rāzī rejects the ḥadīth, saying that it is more reasonable to call the reporter of this ḥadīth a liar than to attribute lying to a prophet of God (Rz. VII, p. 151). The occasions on which Abraham is said to have made an untrue statement are dealt with in my notes on the Translation of the Holy Qur'ān ; see 21 : 63 ; 37 : 89 ; and also 19 : 41.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

that there shall be neither a Persian empire under the Chosroes, nor a Roman empire under the Cæsar. Evidently the concluding words "and he called war a deception" explain how the Chosroes and the Cæsar shall perish. War is a deception, in the sense that sometimes a great power makes war upon a weaker power thinking that it will soon crush it, but such war proves a deception and leads to the destruction of the great aggressive power itself. This was what happened in the case of the wars of Persia and Rome against the Muslims. They both had entered upon an aggressive war against the Arabs, thinking that they would crush the rising power of Arabia in a little time. They began by helping the tribes on the frontiers of Arabia to overthrow the Muslim power, and were thus drawn into a war with the Muslims which ultimately crushed their own power. This is the explanation given in *Bukhārī's* famous commentary, the 'Ainī: "Whoever is deceived in it once (*i. e.* overthrown or defeated), he is exhausted and perishes and is unable to return to his former condition" (Ai. VII, p. 66). Ibn Athīr gives three explanations, according as the word is read *khad'a* or *khud'a* or *khuda'a*, and in all three cases the meaning is almost the same as given in 'Ainī. Taking the first reading which it calls the most correct and the best, the significance is thus explained: "In the first case the meaning is that the affair of the war is decided with an overthrow; when the fighter is overthrown once, then he finds no respite" (N.). In the case of the third reading "the meaning is that war deceives people; it gives them hopes but does not fulfil them" (N.). It is only imperfect knowledge of the Arabic language which has led some people to think that the ḥadīth means that it is lawful to practise deception in war. The Islamic wars were in fact purified of all that is unworthy when the Muslims

JIHĀD

were plainly told that a war fought for any gain (which includes acquisition of wealth or territory) was not in the way of Allāh (Bu. 56:15). The Holy Qur'ān puts it more clearly still: "Let those fight in the way of Allāh who sell this world's life for the Hereafter" (4:74).

The word *irtidād* is the measure of *ifti'āl* from *radd*

which means *turning back*. *Ridda*
 Apostasy. and *irtidād* both signify *turning back*

to the way from which one has come, but *ridda* is specially used for *going back to unbelief*, while *irtidād* is used in this sense as well as in other senses (R.), and the person going back to unbelief from Islām is called *murtadd* (apostate). There is as great a misconception on the subject of apostasy as on the subject of jihād, the general impression among both Muslims and non-Muslims being that Islām punishes apostasy with death. If Islām does not allow the taking of the life of a person on the score of religion, and this has already been shown to be the basic principle of Islām, it is immaterial whether unbelief has been adopted after being a Muslim or not, and therefore so far as the sacredness of life is concerned, the unbeliever (kāfir) and the apostate (*murtadd*) are on a par.

The Holy Qur'ān is the primary source of Islamic

laws and therefore we shall take it
 Apostasy in Qur'ān. first. In the first place, the Holy

Qur'ān nowhere speaks of a *murtadd* by implication. *Irtidād* consists in the expression of unbelief or in the plain denial of Islām, and is not to be assumed, because a certain person who professes Islām, expresses an opinion or does an act which, in the opinion of a learned man or a legist, is un-Islamic. Abuse of a prophet or disrespect towards the Holy Qur'ān are very often made false excuses for treating a person as *murtadd*, though he may avow in the strongest terms that he is a believer

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

in the Qur'ān and the Prophet. Secondly, the general impression that Islām condemns an apostate to death does not find the least support from the Holy Qur'ān. Hefferning begins his article on *murtadd*, in the *Encyclopædia of Islām*, with the following words: "In the Qur'ān the apostate is threatened with punishment in the next world only." There is mention of *irtidād* in one of the late Makka revelations: "He who disbelieves in Allāh after having believed, not he who is compelled while his heart is at rest on account of faith, but he who opens his breast for disbelief—on these is the wrath of Allāh, and they shall have a grievous chastisement" (16 : 106). Clearly the *murtadd* is here threatened with punishment in the next life, and there is not the least change in this attitude in later revelations, when Islamic government had been established, immediately after the Holy Prophet reached Madīna. In one of the early Madīna revelations, apostasy is spoken of in connection with the war which the unbelievers had waged to make the Muslims apostates by force: "And they will not cease fighting with you until they turn you back from your religion, if they can : and whoever of you turns back from his religion (*yartadda* from *irtidād*), then he dies while an unbeliever—these it is whose works shall go for nothing in this world and the Hereafter, and they are the inmates of the fire : therein they shall abide "¹ (2 : 217). So if a

1. In their zeal to find a death sentence for apostates in the Holy Qur'ān, some Christian writers have not hesitated to give an entirely wrong translation of the word *fa-yamut* (*then he dies*) as meaning *then he is put to death*. *Fa-yamut* is the active voice and *yamūtu* means *he dies*. The use of this word shows clearly that apostates were *not* put to death. Some interpreters have drawn a wrong inference from the words "whose works shall go for nothing." These words do not mean that he shall be treated as outlaw. By his "works" are meant the good deeds which he did when he was a Muslim, and these in fact go for nothing even in this life, when a man afterwards adopts unbelief and evil courses. Good works are only useful if they continue to lead a man on to better things.

JIHĀD

man becomes apostate, he will be punished—not in this life, but in the Hereafter—on account of the evil deeds to which he has reverted, and his good works, done while he was yet a Muslim, become null because of the evil course of life which he has adopted.

The third chapter, revealed in the third year of Hijra, speaks again and again of people who had reverted to unbelief after becoming Muslims, but always speaks of their punishment in the Hereafter: "How shall Allāh guide a people who disbelieved after their believing and after they had borne witness that the Apostle was true" (3 : 85); "Their reward is that on them is the curse of Allāh" (3 : 86); "Except those who repent after that and amend" (3 : 88); "Those who disbelieve after their believing, then increase in disbelief, their repentance shall not be accepted" (3 : 89).

The most convincing argument that death was not the punishment for apostasy is contained in the Jewish plans, conceived while they were living under the Muslim rule in Madīna: "And a party of the followers of the Book say, Avow belief in that which has been revealed to those who believe, in the first part of the day, and disbelieve at the end of it" (3 : 71). How could people living under a Muslim government conceive of such a plan to throw discredit on Islām, if apostasy was punishable with death? The *Mā'idā* is one of the chapters revealed towards the close of the Holy Prophet's life, and

and develop in him the consciousness of a higher life. Elsewhere the deeds of a people are spoken of as going for nothing, when they work solely for this life and neglect the higher: "They whose labour is lost in this world's life and they think that they are well-versed in skill of the work of their hands. These are they who disbelieve in the communications of their Lord and His meeting, so their deeds become null, and therefore We will not set up a balance for them on the Day of Resurrection" (18 : 104, 105). In this case *ḥabṭ* of the works of this life means their being useless so far as the higher life is concerned.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

yet even there the *murtadd* is free from any punishment in this life: "O you who believe! should one of you turn back from his religion, then Allāh will bring a people whom He shall love and they too shall love Him" (5 : 54). Therefore so far as the Holy Qur'ān is concerned, there is not only no mention of a death-sentence for apostates but such a sentence is negated by the verses speaking of apostasy, as well as by that *magna charta* of religious freedom, the 256th verse of the second chapter, *lā ikrāha fi-l-dīn*, "There is no compulsion in religion."

Let us now turn to Ḥadīth, for it is on this authority that the Fiqh books have based their death-sentence for apostates. Ḥadīth on apostasy The words in certain ḥadīth have undoubtedly the reflex of a later age, but still a careful study leads us to the conclusion that apostasy was not punishable unless combined with other circumstances which called for punishment of offenders. Bukhārī, who is undoubtedly the most careful of all collectors of Ḥadīth, is explicit on this point. He has two "books" dealing with the apostates, one of which is called *Kitāb al-muḥāribīn min ahl al-kufr wa-l-ridḍa* or "the Book of those who fight (against the Muslims) from among the unbelievers and the apostates," and the other is called *Kitāb istitāba al-mu'ānidīn wa-l-murtaddīn wa qitāli-him*, or "the Book of calling to repentance of the enemies and the apostates and fighting with them." Both these headings speak for themselves. The heading of the first book clearly shows, that only such apostates are dealt with in it as fight against the Muslims, and that of the second associates the apostates with the enemies of Islām. That is really the crux of the whole question, and it is due to a misunderstanding on this point that a doctrine was formulated which is quite contrary to the plain teachings of the Holy Qur'ān.

At a time when war was in progress between the Muslims and the unbelievers, it often happened that a person who apostatized went over to the enemy and joined hands with him in fighting against the Muslims. He was treated as an enemy, not because he had changed his religion but because he had changed sides. Even then there were tribes that were not at war with the Muslims, and if an apostate went over to them, he was not touched. Such people are expressly spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān : " Except those who reach a people between whom and you there is an alliance, or who come to you, their hearts shrinking from fighting you, or fighting their own people ; and if Allāh had pleased He would have given them power over you so that they should have fought you ; therefore if they withdraw from you and do not fight you and offer you peace, then Allāh has not given you a way against them " (4 : 90).

The only case of the punishment of apostates, mentioned in trustworthy ḥadīth, is that of a party of the tribe of 'Ukul, who accepted Islām and came to Madīna, but found that the climate of the town did not agree with them ; so the Holy Prophet sent them to a place outside Madīna where the state milch-camels were kept, so that they might live in the open air and drink of milk. They got well and then killed the keeper of the camels and drove away the animals. This being brought to the knowledge of the Holy Prophet, a party was sent in pursuit of them and they were put to death¹ (Bu. 56 : 152).

1. It is stated in some ḥadīth that they were tortured to death. If it ever happened, it was only by way of retaliation, as before the revelation of the penal laws of Islām, retaliation was the prevailing rule. In some reports it is stated that this party of the tribe of 'Ukul put out the eyes of the keeper of the camels and threw him on hot stones to die a slow death of torture, and that they were put to death in a similar manner (Ai. VII, p 58). But others have denied that the law of retaliation was applied in this case. According to these reports, the Holy Prophet had

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The report is clear on the point that they were put to death, not because of their apostasy, but because they had killed the keeper of the camels.

Much stress is laid on a ḥadīth which says : “ Who-ever changes his religion, kill him ” (Bu. 88 : 1). But in view of what the *Bukhārī* itself has indicated by describing apostates as fighters or by associating their name with the name of the enemies of Islām, it is clear that only those changers of faith are meant, who join hands with the enemies of Islām and fight with the Muslims. It is only by placing this limitation on the meaning of the ḥadīth that it can be reconciled with other ḥadīth or with the principles laid down in the Holy Qur’ān. In fact, its words are so comprehensive that they include every change of faith, from one religion to any other whatsoever ; thus even a non-Muslim who becomes a Muslim, or a Jew who becomes a Christian, must be killed. Evidently, such a statement cannot be ascribed to the Holy Prophet. So the ḥadīth cannot be accepted, without placing a limitation upon its meaning.

Another ḥadīth relating to the same subject throws further light on the significance of that quoted above. In this ḥadīth it is stated that the life of a Muslim may only be taken in three cases, one of which is that “ he forsakes his religion and separates himself (*al-tārik*) from his community (*li-l-jamā’a*) ” (Bu. 87 : 6). According

intended to put them to death by torture in the same way as they had put to death the keeper of the camels, but before they were executed he received the revelation dealing with the punishment of such offenders : “ The punishment of those who wage war against Allāh and his Apostle and strive to make mischief in the land is only this, that they should be killed or crucified or their hands and their feet should be cut off on opposite sides or they should be imprisoned ” (5 : 33) (I.J.C. VI, p. 121). The apostates are thus spoken of here as waging war against God and His Apostle. The punishment varies according to the nature of the crime ; it may be death or even crucifixion, where the culprit has caused terror in the land, or it may be simply imprisonment.

to another version, the words are "who forsakes (*al-mufāriq*) his community." Evidently separation from the community or the forsaking of it, which is here added as a necessary condition, means that the man leaves the Muslims and joins the enemy camp. Thus the words of the ḥadīth show that it relates to war-time; and the apostate did not forfeit his life for changing his religion, but for desertion.

An instance of a simple change of religion is also contained in the *Bukhārī*. "An Arab of the desert came to the Holy Prophet and accepted Islām at his hand; then fever overtook him while he was still in Madīna; so he came to the Holy Prophet and said, Give back my pledge; and the Holy Prophet refused; then he came again and said, Give me back my pledge; and the Holy Prophet refused; then he came again and said, Give me back my pledge; and the Holy Prophet refused; then he went away" (Bu. 93 : 48). This ḥadīth shows that the man first accepted Islām, and the next day on getting fever he thought that it was due to his becoming a Muslim, and so he came and threw back the pledge. This was a clear case of apostasy yet it is nowhere related that anyone killed him. On the other hand, the ḥadīth says that he went away unharmed.

Another example of a simple change of religion is that of a Christian who became a Muslim and then apostatized and went over to Christianity, and yet he was not put to death: "Anas says there was a Christian who became a Muslim and read the *Baqara* and the *Āl 'Imrān* (2nd and 3rd chapters of the Holy Qur'an), and he used to write (the Holy Qur'an) for the Holy Prophet. He then went over to Christianity again, and he used to say, Muḥammad does not know anything except what I wrote for him. Then Allāh caused him to die and they buried him" (Bu. 61 : 25).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The ḥadīth goes on to say how his body was thrown out by the earth. This was evidently at Madīna after the revelation of the second and third chapters of the Holy Qur'ān, when a Muslim state was well-established, and yet the man who apostatized was not even molested, though he spoke of the Holy Prophet in extremely derogatory terms and gave him out as an impostor who knew nothing except what he (the apostate) wrote for him.

It has already been shown that the Holy Qur'ān speaks of apostates joining a tribe on friendly terms with the Muslims, and of others who withdrew from fighting altogether, siding neither with the Muslims nor with their enemies, and it states that they were to be left alone (4 : 90). All these cases show that the ḥadīth relating to the killing of changers of religion applied only to those who fought against the Muslims.

Turning to Fiqh, we find that the jurists first lay down a principle quite opposed to the Holy Qur'ān, namely that the life of a man may be taken on account of his apostasy. Thus in the *Hidāya*: "The *murtadd* (apostate) shall have Islām presented to him whether he is a free man or a slave; if he refuses, he must be killed" (H. I, p. 576). But this principle is contradicted immediately afterwards when the apostate is called "an unbeliever at war (*kāfir-un ḥarabiyy-un*) whom the invitation to Islām has already reached" (H. I, p. 577). This shows that even in Fiqh, the apostate forfeits his life because he is considered to be an enemy at war with the Muslims. And in the case of an apostate woman, the rule is laid down that she shall not be put to death, and the following argument is given: "Our reason for this is that the Holy Prophet forbade the killing of women, and because originally rewards (for belief or unbelief) are deferred to the latter abode, and their hastening (in this life) brings

disorder, and a departure from this (principle) is allowed only on account of an immediate mischief and that is *ḥirāb* (war), and this cannot be expected from women on account of the unfitness of their constitution" (H. I, p. 577). And the annotator adds: "The killing for apostasy is obligatory in order to prevent the mischief of war, and it is not a punishment for the act of unbelief" (*Ibid*). And again: "For mere unbelief does not legalize the killing of a man" (*Ibid*). It will be seen that as in the case of war against unbelievers, the legists are labouring under a misconception, and a struggle is clearly seen going on between the principles as established in the Holy Qur'ān and the misconceptions which had somehow or other found their way into the minds of the legists. It is clearly laid down that the apostate is killed, not on account of his unbelief but on account of *ḥirāb* or of his being in a state of war, and the argument is plainly given that killing for unbelief is against the accepted principles of Islām. But the misconception is that the mere ability to fight is taken as a war condition, which is quite illogical. If it is meant that the apostate possesses the potentiality to fight, then potentially even a child may be called a *ḥarabiyy* (one at war), because he will grow up to be a man and have the ability to fight; even women apostates cannot be excepted because they also possess the potentiality to fight. The law of punishment is based, not on potentialities, but on facts. Thus, even the Fiqh recognizes the principle that the life of a man cannot be taken for mere change of religion and that unless the apostate is in a state of war, he cannot be killed. It is quite a different matter that the legists should have made a mistake in defining *ḥirāb* or a state of war.

MARRIAGE

Sec. 1—Significance of Marriage.

In the foregoing five chapters we have dealt with laws relating to the self-development of man, or to the welfare and development of the community as a whole, or, as they are generally called, the laws governing the relations of man to God—the religious duties of man in a stricter sense. In the terminology of Fiqh, these are classed as *'ibādāt* (pl. of *'ibāda* meaning *the service of God*). They undoubtedly relate not only to the spiritual growth of man, but also to the growth of the community, or rather humanity, as a whole. But the scope of the religion of Islām is, as I have remarked in the introduction, very wide, and covers the whole field of the relations of man to man, as well as that of man to God. The object of the laws relating to this part of human life is to teach man his duties and obligations to others, and to show him how to lead a happy life in this world in his relations with others. Technically, these are called *mu'āmalāt* or *transactions* (pl. of *mu'āmala*, from *'aml* meaning *work*), and include the laws relating to home life, civic life and the political life of man. In the Fiqh terminology, the *mu'āmalāt* are either contracts and agreements, to which the mutual consent of the contracting parties is required, or matters depending on the will of a single person, or general laws and regulations.

In all these matters the Islamic law imposes certain restrictions upon the free acts of men for the benefit of society as a whole, and therefore, ultimately for the benefit of each

Hudūd or restrictions.

MARRIAGE

member of that society. The Arabic word for these restrictions is *ḥudūd*, pl. of *ḥadd*, which means *prevention, restraint, prohibition* and the like, and hence *a restrictive ordinance, or statute, of God respecting things lawful and things unlawful* (LL.). In the Holy Qur'ān, the expression *ḥudūd-Allāh* (limits of God) is used in connection with the Divine ordinances on various subjects, such as matters relating to marriage and divorce and good treatment of women (2 : 229, 230 ; 58 : 4 ; 65 : 1), fasting (2 : 187) and laws of inheritance (4 : 13, 14), and also in a general sense relating to all kinds of restrictive ordinances (9 : 97, 112), but never with regard to punishments inflicted for the infringement of certain laws, that being the sense in which this word has been used in *Ḥadīth* and *Fiqh*.

The basic principle in the matter of all restrictive

Everything is lawful unless prohibited. Ordinances is that a thing which is not disallowed is deemed to be lawful, as the well-known juridical dictum has it: *Al-ibāḥa aṣl-un fi-l-ashyā'* (NA. p. 197), i.e., "Lawfulness is a recognized principle in all things." In other words, everything (in which is included every free act of man) is presumed to be lawful, unless it is definitely prohibited by law. This dictum is in fact based on the plain words of the Holy Qur'ān: "He it is who created for you all that is in the earth" (2 : 29). There are some jurists who have held the contrary view that everything is unlawful unless the law declares it to be lawful, but this view is, on the face of it, absurd and impossible; moreover it is against the clear principle laid down in the Holy Qur'ān, that everything has been created for the benefit of man, which leads to the only possible presumption that everything can be made use of by him, unless a limitation is placed, by law, on that use.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

The most important of the restrictive regulations of Islām are those relating to marriage institution marriage, which institution is, in fact, the basic principle of human civilization. The Arabic word for marriage is *nikāḥ* which originally means 'aqd or uniting. Marriage in Islām is a sacred contract which every Muslim must enter into, unless there are special reasons why he should not. Thus in the Holy Qur'ān, it is said: "And marry those among you who are single and those who are fit among your male slaves and your female slaves; if they are needy, Allāh will make them free from want out of His grace;¹ and Allāh is Ample-giving, Knowing. And let those who do not find a match keep chaste until Allāh makes them free from want out of His grace" (24 : 32, 33). In another verse, marriage-relationship is given the same importance as blood-relationship: "And He it is Who has created man from water, then He has made for him blood-relationship and marriage-relationship" (25 : 54). Ḥadith also lays stress upon living in a married state. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said to certain people who talked of fasting in the daytime and keeping awake during the night, praying to God and keeping away from marriage: "I keep a fast and I break it, and I pray and I sleep, and I am married, so whoever inclines to any other way than my Sunna, he is not of me" (Bu. 67 : 1). Another saying of the Holy Prophet laying stress upon marriage is worded thus: "O assembly of young people! whoever of you has the means to support a wife (*al-bā'a*), he should get married, for this (*i.e.*, marriage) is the best means of keeping the looks cast

1. Merely being poor is not sufficient excuse for not marrying, because the needy are told that if they marry, Allāh will make them free from want out of His grace. The Holy Prophet is reported to have performed the marriage of a man who did not possess so much as a ring of iron (Bu. 67 : 16).

MARRIAGE

down and guarding the chastity ; and he who has not the means, let him keep fast, for this will act as castration" (Bu. 67 : 2). Celibacy (*tabattul*) was expressly forbidden by the Holy Prophet (Bu. 67 : 8). According to one ḥadīth, "the man who marries perfects half his religion" (MM. 13 : 1-iii). Another says : "Matrimonial alliances increase friendship more than anything else" (*Ibid*).

The Holy Qur'ān repeatedly speaks of the two mates, man and woman, as being of two natures which created from each other : "O people! be careful of your duty to your Lord, Who created you from a single being and created its mate of the same (kind) and spread from them two many men and women" (4 : 1) ; "He it is Who created you from a single being and of the same did He make his mate that he might incline to her" (7 : 189). Both these verses are generally understood as referring to the creation of the first man and the first woman,¹ but that they signify the relation of man to woman in general is obvious from other verses : "And Allāh has made wives for you from yourselves (*min anfusi-kum*), and has given you sons and daughters from your wives" (16 : 72) ; "And one of His signs is that He created mates for you from yourselves (*min anfusi-kum*), that you may find quiet of mind in them" (30 : 21). And thus, in a Makka revelation of the middle period : "The Originator of the heavens and the earth ; He made

1. Nowhere in the Holy Qur'ān, or in any reliable ḥadīth, is there any mention of the woman being created from the rib of man or of Eve being created from the rib of Adam. The allegation made is that God created all men from a single being (*nafs-in wāḥidat-in*) and created the *zauj* (mate) of that being from the same. The word *wāḥida* as well as the personal pronoun *hā*, used twice, are all in the feminine gender, and three renderings are possible ; the male being created from the female, or the female being created from the male, or both being created from the same essence.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

mates for you from yourselvesmultiplying you thereby " (42 : 11). Thus marriage is, according to the Holy Qur'an, the union of two souls which are one in their essence.

It will be noted that in the above verses, the multiplication of the human race is mentioned as one of the objects of marriage. But it may be said that the multiplication of the race can be brought about without marriage, as with the lower animals, that is to say, without uniting one man with one woman for their whole life. This would be only true if man lived upon earth like other animals, if there was nothing to distinguish him from the brute creation, if there were no such thing as civilization, no society, no sense of respect for one's own obligations and the rights of others, no sense of property and ownership. Deprived of its civilization there would be no human race at all, but a race of brutes in human form. The family which is the real unit of the human race and the first cohesive force which makes civilization possible, owes its existence solely to marriage. If there is no marriage, then there can be no family, no ties of kinship, no force uniting the different elements of humanity, and consequently, no civilization. It is through the family that humanity is held together and civilization made possible.

The institution of marriage is also responsible to a very great extent for the development of those feelings of love and service which are the pride of humanity to-day. The mutual love of husband and wife—a love based not on momentary passion but life-long connection—and the consequent parental love for offspring, leads to a very high development of the feeling of love of man for man as such, and this to the disinterested

MARRIAGE

service of humanity. This love is described as a sign of God, in the Holy Qur'ān: "And one of His signs is that He created mates for you from yourselves that you may find quiet of mind in them, and He put between you love and compassion" (30:21). The natural inclination of the male to the female and of the female to the male finds expansion through marriage and is developed, first into a love for the children, then a love for one's kith and kin, and ultimately into a disinterested love for the whole of humanity. The home, or the family, is in fact the first training ground of love and service. Here a man finds real pleasure in the service of humanity, and the sense of service is thus gradually developed and broadened. It is in fact a training ground for every kind of morality, for it is in the home that a man learns to have a sense of his own obligations and responsibilities, to have a respect for others' rights, and above all, to have a real pleasure in suffering for the sake of others. The Holy Prophet is related to have said: "The best of you is he who treats his wife best" (IM. 9:49).

Europe is undoubtedly leaning more and more to Marriage and "free love" in the place of marriage, but "free love" will certainly prove the ruin of European civilization. Marriage is being discarded, not on account of any inherent defect in it, but simply because it entails certain responsibilities on both parties to the marriage contract, and it is really these responsibilities that are shirked in avoiding marriage. Marriage undoubtedly strengthens the ties of the natural love of the two mates, but it also requires them to share each other's cares and sorrows; for human life has its cares and sorrows as well as its pleasures. "Free love" makes each of the mates selfish in the extreme because, while the male and the female become each other's partners in happiness, each is free to leave the other,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

uncared for, in his or her sorrow. Marriage again makes the two mates jointly responsible for the welfare of the children, but in "free love," either the procreation of children is altogether avoided, and thus the end which nature has in view in the union of the male and the female defeated, or when either of the parents has had his or her satisfaction of the other, the children may be left without a shelter. The institution of marriage is found in all countries and all nations, has been practised in every age for thousands of years and has worked to the advancement and welfare of humanity on the whole. Free love, if practised on so large a scale for half a century, would either put an end to the human race altogether, or bring such chaos in society as would destroy its very foundations. It may suit a few irresponsible selfish persons, who are the slaves of their passions but there can be no spark of true love in a union which may end abruptly at the whim of either, and it can serve no useful purpose for humanity in general.

Sec. 2—Legal Disabilities.

A marriage for a fixed period was recognized before Islām. It went under the name of Mut'a or temporary marriage disallowed. mut'a, meaning *profiting by or enjoying a thing*. Besides the temporary marriage, four kinds of union of man and woman were recognized by the pre-Islamic Arabs (Bu. 67 : 37). The first of these was the permanent marriage tie which, in a modified form, was recognized by Islām. The second was known as the *istibdza'* (from *bidz'* meaning *a portion or a large portion of wealth, sufficient to carry on a trade*) (R.). The following explanation of this word is given in *Bukhārī* and other authorities : "A man would say to his wife, Send for such a one and have cohabitation with him; and the husband would remain aloof from her and would not touch her

MARRIAGE

until her pregnancy was clear " (Bu. 67 : 37; N.). This is exactly the form which goes under the name of *niyoga* in the reformed Hindū sect, Ārya Samāj. The third form was that in which any number of men, less than ten, would gather together and have cohabitation with a woman, and when she became pregnant and gave birth to a child, she would call for all those men and would say that the child belonged to such a one from among them, and he was bound by her word to accept the responsibility. Fourthly, there were prostitutes who were entered upon promiscuously and when one of them bore a child, a man known as *qā'if* (lit. *one who recognized*) was invited and his decision, based on similarity of features, was final as to who was the father of the child. The last three forms only legalized adultery in one form or another and Islām did not recognize any of them, nor was any such practice resorted to by any Muslim at any time.

Temporary marriage stood on a different basis, and reform in this matter was brought about gradually. Recently the idea has appealed to the Western mind which is seeking in temporary marriage, by way of experiment, a remedy for the rigidity of the Christian marriage laws. Islām, however, discarded the idea of temporariness in marriage, because it opens the way to loose relations of the sexes, and entails no responsibility of any kind on the father, for the care and bringing up of the children who, with the mother, might thus be left quite destitute. Occasions may arise for the dissolution of a permanent marriage, and will continue to arise so long as human nature is what it is, but the remedy for this is divorce and not temporary marriage. The moment the idea of temporariness is introduced into marriage, it loses its whole sanctity, and all responsibilities which are consequent on it are thrown off. According to the Holy Qur'an, the union of the two sexes is only lawful because of the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

acceptance of the responsibilities consequent thereupon, and the idea of a temporary marriage is not in accordance with it. A union of the sexes with the acceptance of the consequent responsibilities is called *ihṣān* (marriage), and without such acceptance it is called *safāḥ*¹ (fornication), and the Holy Qur'an allows the first while it forbids the second (4 : 24).

There is some confusion in Ḥadīth about the mut'a. Bukhārī has the following as the heading of the chapter on Mut'a : "The prohibition by the Holy Prophet of mut'a finally." Under this heading he cites first a ḥadīth which says that 'Alī (the reporter) said to Ibn 'Abbās that the Holy Prophet prohibited mut'a and the eating of the flesh of domestic asses at the time of the Khaibar (expedition) (Bu. 67 : 32). It is then related that Ibn 'Abbās, being asked if the permission to practise mut'a related to the time of distress and when the number of women was very small, replied in the affirmative (*Ibid*). The third ḥadīth is that of Salma ibn Akwa' who says that they were in an expedition when a messenger of the Holy Prophet came and said that they were permitted to contract temporary marriages, at the end of which Bukhārī adds, "and 'Alī has made it clear, reporting from the Prophet that this was abrogated" (*Ibid*). Abū Dāwūd has two ḥadīth from Sabra, the first stating that the Holy Prophet prohibited mut'a in the year of the Farewell Pilgrimage (10 A. H.), and the second simply that he prohibited mut'a (AD. 12 : 13). There is no

1. *Ihṣān* is derived from *ḥaṣuna*, meaning *it was* or *became inaccessible*, or *it was fortified* or *protected against attack*, and *safāḥ* is derived from *safḥ*, meaning *pouring out of water* or *shedding of blood* (LL.). The first word carries the idea of a permanent strengthening through marriage and the second that of satisfying a passion. It is clear that mut'a, or temporary marriage of any kind, falls within the second category. Ibn 'Umar is reported to have spoken of mut'a or temporary marriage as *safāḥ* (AM.—AD. II, p. 186).

MARRIAGE

mention of mut'a having ever been allowed. Muslim has several contradictory ḥadīth. But even there it is admitted that though mut'a was permitted on certain occasions, it was finally prohibited (M. 16 : 3).

A consideration of the various ḥadīth shows that orders against mut'a were issued on several occasions: First on the occasion of the Khaibar expedition, according to 'Alī; secondly on the occasion of the 'umra known as *al-Qadzā*; thirdly at the conquest of Makka; fourthly in the Auṭās expedition; fifthly in the Tabūk expedition; sixthly in the Farewell Pilgrimage. The earliest occasion is thus the Khaibar expedition, which took place in the beginning of the seventh year of Hijra. The 'umra *al-Qadzā* also relates to the 7th year, the other occasions to the 8th, 9th and 10th years. If mut'a was prohibited in the 7th year, as Bukhārī states on the authority of 'Alī, and this ḥadīth is repeated four times (Bu. 64 : 40; 67 : 32; 72 : 27; 90 : 4), and is also accepted by Muslim and others, it could not have been permitted by the Holy Prophet after this. But as the only occasions on which it is reported to have been permitted relate to the 8th year, it seems that there must have been some misconception. The explanation given by some authorities, that it may have been prohibited on the earliest occasion only as a temporary measure, and that the final and decisive prohibition may have come later, is not only repugnant to reason, but goes against the whole course of the history of reform, as brought about by Islām. The evils that prevailed in Arabia were not touched until the Prophet received a Divine revelation, but when a reform was introduced after a Divine revelation, it was impossible that the Prophet himself should have allowed the evil again. It is very probable that the first or a later reporter laboured under a misconception; or, if the ḥadīth which speak of temporary marriages

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

having been contracted, are accepted as true, the right explanation seems to be that the practice of mut'a was a deep-rooted one, and that repeated injunctions had to be given by the Holy Prophet, or that all people were not at once apprised of the order of its prohibition.

At any rate the report that the Holy Prophet had sent a messenger to inform people of the legality of temporary marriages in the battle of Auṭās, in the 8th year of Hijra, clearly seems to have been due to a misconception. It may be that some man who had not, up to that time, been informed of the illegality of a temporary marriage, told his companions that it was allowed, but the Holy Prophet could not have said so after having declared its prohibition at Khaibar. Notwithstanding its clear prohibition in the time of the Holy Prophet, it is stated that some men remained under a misconception even up to the time of 'Umar, who had again to make a public declaration that temporary marriage was not allowed in Islām (M. 16 : 3). It may be further added that even those who legalized it, considered its legality to be dependent on *idzṭirār*, and as on the same level as the permission to use prohibited food when driven by necessity (Bu. 67 : 32 ; M. 16 : 3).¹ But even this position is unacceptable, as being quite opposed to the Holy Qur'ān and to the clear injunctions of the Holy Prophet prohibiting it. All the Muslim sects agree in holding temporary marriage to be unlawful, with the exception of Akhbārī Shī'as, but even according to them it is not a very honourable transaction.²

1. The words in *Muslim* are : *Kānat ruḥḥuṣat-an fī awwal-i-l-Islāmi li-man-idzṭurra ilai-hi ka-l-maitati wa-l-dami wa laḥmi-l-ḥinzīr* ; and in *Bukhārī* : *Innamā dhālika fi-l-ḥāli-l-shadīd*.

2. Thus Sayyid Amīr 'Alī writes in his *Muhammadian Law* : " It is declared to be abominable, though not actually prohibited, to marry in the *mut'a* form a virgin girl who has no father.....the reason being that, as such a marriage is to her prejudice, and she has had no paternal

MARRIAGE

Prohibitions to marry

The Holy Qur'an forbids certain marriage relations:

“Forbidden to you are your mothers and your daughters and your sisters and your paternal aunts and your maternal aunts and brothers' daughters and sisters' daughters, and your mothers that have suckled you and your foster-sisters, and mothers of your wives and your step-daughters who are in your guardianship, born of your wives to whom you have gone in—but if you have not gone in to them, there is no blame on you—and the wives of your sons who are of your own loins, and that you should have two sisters together, except what has already passed” (4 : 23).

It will be seen that these prohibitions arise either from consanguinity, as in the cases of mother, daughter, sister, brother's daughter, sister's daughter, father's sister and mother's sister ; or from fosterage, such as in the case of foster-mother and foster-sister ; or from affinity, such as in the case of wife's mother, wife's daughter and son's wife. Fiqh has enlarged the conception of certain relations, and the *Hidāya* thus expands these prohibitions :

1. Mother includes all female ascendants both on the male, *i.e.* the father's, and the female, *i.e.* the mother's, side.
2. Daughter includes daughter of son or daughter, *i.e.* all female descendants how low soever.
3. Father's sister and mother's sister do not include the daughters of the paternal and maternal aunts but include grandfather's sister and grandmother's sister and so on.

The second class of prohibitions relates to fosterage, but while the Holy Qur'an mentions only the foster-

advice or guidance in the matter, she should not be subjected to the degradation of a temporary union.”

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

mother and the foster-sister, Ḥadīth is clear on the point that all those relations that are prohibited in marriage on account of consanguinity are prohibited also on account of fosterage¹ (Bu. 67:21). Thus the foster-uncle of Ḥafṣa was declared to fall within the prohibitions of the Holy Qur'ān, and a daughter of Ḥamza who, though an uncle of the Holy Prophet, was also his foster-brother, was regarded as forbidden for the Prophet (Bu. 67:21). Certain foster-relations may, however, be complete strangers, though they would not be so in the case of blood relations. For instance, the brother's mother is either the mother or step-mother of a man, and in both cases she is among the prohibited relations, but the foster-brother's mother may be quite a stranger and in that case is not prohibited.

As to what constitutes fosterage (*radzā'a* or giving suck), there is a very slight difference of opinion. A child is recognized as a suckling only up to the age of two years, in the Holy Qur'ān (2:233), and there is no difference on this point. Ḥadīth lays down that foster-relationship is not established unless the child is suckled when hungry (Bu. 67:22). Imām Abū Ḥanifa, however, considers the child's being given suck only once, as sufficient to establish foster-relationship; Imām Shāfi'ī is of opinion that he must have been suckled four times,

1 Sayyid Amīr 'Alī mentions the following exceptions to this rule as recognized by the Ahl Sunna: (i) The marriage of the father of the child with the mother of his child's foster-mother; (ii) with her daughter; (iii) the marriage of the foster-mother with the brother of the child whom she has fostered; (iv) the marriage with the foster-mother of an uncle or aunt. And he adds: "According to the *Durr al-Mukhiār* there are twenty-one exceptions to this rule. For example, the foster-mother of a child and her mother are lawful to the child's grandfather. Similarly a man may marry his brother's or sister's foster-mother; his son's foster-sister; paternal or maternal uncle's foster-mother, son's paternal aunt by fosterage, etc. The husband of the foster-mother may marry the natural mother or sister of his wife's foster-child." The Shr'as recognize no exception.

MARRIAGE

while the Shi'as consider it necessary that he should have been suckled at least for twenty-four hours.

The third class of prohibitions is that which arises from affinity, and here, too, Fiqh expands the conception of relationship in the same manner as in the case of consanguinity. Thus wife's mother includes wife's mother's mother, and so on; wife's daughter includes her daughter's daughter;¹ son's wife² includes son's son's wife. Step-mothers are expressly prohibited in the Holy Qur'ān: "And marry not women whom your fathers married" (4: 22). Fiqh also lays down that a man's unlawful connection with a woman includes her in the category of a wife so far as prohibitions arising from the wife's connections are concerned.

The last prohibition relates to the gathering together of two sisters as co-wives. Ḥadīth expands this conception and prohibits the gathering together of a woman with her paternal aunt or her maternal aunt (Bu. 67: 27, 28). Fiqh expands the conception further and includes the wife's brother's and sister's daughter in the same category. The rule is in fact laid down in the *Hidāya* that it is prohibited to have as wives at one time two women so related to each other that if one of them were a man, their marriage would be prohibited.

The only other ground on which marriage is prohibited in the Holy Qur'ān is shirk or associating gods with God: "And do not marry the idolatresses (*al-mushrikāt*) until they believe, and certainly a believing maid is better than an idolatress even though she should

1. The wife's mother is prohibited unconditionally while the wife's daughter is prohibited only in case a man has gone in to his wife; see 4: 23 quoted above.

2. It is expressly laid down in the Holy Qur'ān that the son whose wife is forbidden is one from one's own loins. The adopted son who is really not a son at all, is thus excluded.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

please you ; and do not give (believing women) in marriage to idolaters until they believe, and certainly a believing slave is better than an idolater even though he should please you " (2 : 221). Along with this, it is necessary to read another verse which allows marriage with women who profess one of the revealed religions : " This day all good things are allowed to you, and the food of those who have been given the Book is lawful for you and your food is lawful for them ; and the chaste from among the believing women and the chaste from among those who have been given the Book before you (are lawful for you) when you have given them their dowries, taking them in marriage, not fornicating nor taking them for paramours in secret " (5 : 5). Thus it will be seen that while there is a clear prohibition to marry idolaters or idolatresses, there is an express permission to marry women who profess a revealed religion (*Ahl al-Kitāb*). And, as the Holy Qur'ān states that revelation was granted to all nations of the world (35 : 24), and that it was only the Arab idolaters who had not been warned (32 : 3 ; 36 : 6), the conclusion is evident that it was only with the Arab idolaters that marriage relations were prohibited, and that it was lawful for a Muslim to marry a woman belonging to any other nation of the world that followed a revealed religion. The Christians, the Jews, the Parsis, the Buddhists and the Hindūs¹ all fall within this category ; and it would be seen that, though the Christian doctrine of calling Jesus Christ a God or son of God is denounced as shirk, still the Christians are treated as followers of a revealed religion and not as *mushrikīn*, and matrimonial relations with them are allowed. The case of all those people who have originally been given a revealed religion, though at present they may be guilty of shirk, would be

1. Sikhs would be treated essentially as Hindūs.

MARRIAGE

treated in like manner, and Pārsī and Hindū women may be taken in marriage, as also may those who follow the religion of Confucius or of Buddha or of Tao. Fiqh, however, recognizes only the legality of marriage with women belonging to the Jewish and Christian faiths, and this is due to the narrow conception of the word *Ahl al-Kitāb* adopted by the jurists. It is strange, however, that while the Majūs or Pārsīs are not accepted as *Ahl al-Kitāb*, the Sabians are expressly spoken of in the *Hidāya*, as being *Ahl al-Kitāb*: "And it is lawful to marry Sabian women (*Ṣābiyāt*) if they profess a religion and accept a revealed book, for they are among *Ahl al-Kitāb*." If the Sabians are *Ahl al-Kitāb* simply for the reason that they profess a religion and accept a revealed book, there is no reason why the Magians, the Hindūs and others who profess a religion and accept a revealed book, should not be treated as such.

It may be noted here that while there is an express mention of a Muslim man marrying a non-Muslim woman who professes a revealed religion, there is no mention of the legality or illegality of a marriage between a Muslim woman and a non-Muslim man.¹ The mere fact however that the Holy Qur'ān speaks of the one and not of the other is sufficient to show that marriage between a Muslim woman and a non-Muslim man is not allowed.

A marriage which is otherwise legal may be illegal

1. The Jewish law does not allow marriage with non-Jews in any case: "Neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son" (Deut. 7 : 3). Paul follows the Jewish law: "Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness?" (II, cor. 6 : 14). The Hindū law is even stricter, and allows marriage of a member of one caste of Hindūs only within that caste.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

because it does not fulfil a requirement of the law. For instance, the divorced woman and the widow have both to observe the *'idda*, a waiting period, and marriage during this period is illegal. A woman who has been divorced thrice is not allowed to remarry her first husband. As the pregnant woman is required to observe *'idda* till delivery (65 : 4), marriage during pregnancy is not allowed. But if a woman is pregnant by fornication, her marriage with either the fornicator or somebody else is allowed by Imām Abū Ḥanīfa and Imām Muḥammad, only in the latter case sexual intercourse is forbidden till delivery (H.I., p. 293). But according to other Imāms, including Imām Abū Yūsuf, it is illegal. The *Shī'a* law follows Imām Abū Ḥanīfa.

Sec. 3—Form and Validity of Marriage.

The very fact that marriage is looked upon as a Preliminaries of marriage. contract, in Islām, shows that before marriage both parties must satisfy themselves that each will have a desirable partner for life in the other. The Holy Qur'ān lays down expressly : "Marry such women as seem good to you (*mā ṭāba la-kum*)" (4 : 3). The Holy Prophet is reported to have given an injunction to this effect : "When one of you makes a proposal of marriage to a woman, then if he can, he should look at what attracts him to marry her" (AD. 12 : 18), the heading of this chapter being : "A man should look at the woman whom he intends to marry." Bukhārī also has a chapter, headed "To look at the woman before marriage" (Bu. 67 : 36). Muslim has a similar chapter : "Inviting a man who intends to marry a woman to have a look at her face and hands" (M. 16 : 12). In this chapter is cited the case of a man who came to the Prophet and said that

MARRIAGE

he was marrying a woman from among the Anṣār, and the Holy Prophet said to him, Hast thou looked at her? On his replying in the negative, the Prophet said, Then go and look at her, for there is a defect in the eyes of (some) Anṣār. In another ḥadīth, it is reported that when Muḡhīra ibn Shu‘ba made a proposal of marriage to a woman, the Holy Prophet asked him if he had seen her and on his replying in the negative, he enjoined him to see her, because “it was likely to bring about greater love and concord between them” (MM. 13 : 2-ii). The jurists are almost all agreed upon the *istiḥbāb* (approval) of looking at the woman whom one intends to marry. And since the contract is effected by the consent of two parties, the man and the woman, and one of them is expressly told to satisfy himself about the other by looking at her, it would seem that the woman has the same right to satisfy herself before giving her assent. The consent of both the man and the woman is an essential of marriage, and the Holy Qur’ān lays down expressly that the two must agree : “Do not prevent them from marrying their husbands when they agree among themselves in a lawful manner” (2 : 232). In this respect, however, much will depend upon the customs prevailing among a people, and satisfaction may be obtained either personally or through female relatives, as is generally the way in India. Aḥmad Shukrī, quoting an earlier authority (‘Abd al-Qādir, *al-Nahr*, p. 218), says : “The time for seeing her should precede the betrothal The woman is recommended to have a look at the man, if she wants to marry him; because anything that would please her with him will please him with her and each of them can renew his or her glances whenever he or she wants, in order to discern the features of his or her object, so that he or she may not repent after marriage” (AṢh., p. 43).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The word *khataba* which means *he addressed* (another) also signifies *he made a proposal of marriage*. The infinitive noun *khutba* means *an address* and *khitba* means *a proposal of marriage*. When a man, who wants to marry, has satisfied himself about a woman, he makes a proposal of marriage either to the woman in question or to her parents or guardians. When a man has made a proposal of marriage to a woman, others are forbidden to propose to the same woman, till the first suitor has given up the matter, or has been rejected (Bu. 67:46). A woman may also make a proposal of marriage to a man (Bu. 67 : 33), or a man may propose the marriage of his daughter or sister to a man (Bu. 67 : 34); generally, however, it is the man who makes the proposal. When assent has been given to the proposal of marriage, it becomes an engagement, and usually a certain time is allowed to pass before the *nikāh* (marriage) is performed. This period allows the parties to study each other further, so that if there be anything undesirable in the union, the engagement may be broken off by either party : it is only after the *nikāh* has been performed that the two parties are bound to each other.

No particular age has been specified for marriage, in the Islamic law; in fact, with the difference of climatic conditions, there would be a difference as to the marriagable age in different countries. But the Holy Qur'ān does speak of an age of marriage which it identifies with the age of majority : "And test the orphans until they attain (the age of) marriage (*nikāh*); then if you find in them maturity of intellect, make over to them their property, and do not consume it extravagantly and hastily, anticipating their attaining to full age" (4 : 6). Thus it will be seen that the age of marriage and the age of maturity of intellect

MARRIAGE

are identified with full age or the age of majority. And as marriage is a contract the assent to which depends on personal liking, as already shown on the basis of the Holy Qur'ān and Ḥadīth, and since this function cannot be performed by any one but the party who makes the contract, it is clear that the age of marriage is the age of majority, when a person is capable of exercising his choice in matters of sexual liking or disliking. A man or a woman who has not attained to puberty is unable to exercise his or her choice in sexual matters and unable to decide whether he or she will like or dislike a certain woman or man as wife or husband.

It is true that Fiqh, following the general law of contracts, recognizes, in the case of a marriage contract, the legality of the consent of a guardian on behalf of his ward, but there is no case on record showing that the marriage of a minor through his or her guardian was allowed by the Holy Prophet after details of the law were revealed to him at Madīna. His own marriage with 'Ā'ishā, which took place when she was nine years of age, is sometimes looked upon as sanctioning the marriage of a minor through his guardian, but there are two points worth consideration in this matter. In the first place, 'Ā'ishā's nikāḥ at nine was tantamount only to an engagement, because the consummation of marriage was postponed for full five years, to allow her, no doubt, to attain majority.¹ In the second place, 'Ā'ishā's nikāḥ was performed in Makka long before the details of the Islamic law were revealed to the Holy Prophet, and therefore her marriage at nine can be no argument for the marriage of a minor. There is no reliable ḥadīth showing that marriages were contracted by minors through their guardians in the time of the Holy Prophet, after the

1. I have discussed the question of the age of 'Ā'ishā fully, in my *Early Caliphate*.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

revelation of the fourth chapter which identifies the age of marriage with the age of majority. In the chapter headed "The giving in marriage by a man of his minor children" (Bu. 67 : 39), two arguments are brought forward; first the report relating to 'A'isha's marriage which has just been dealt with ; and, secondly, a verse of the Holy Qur'an (65 : 4), whereon light is thrown in the next paragraph. Similar chapters in other books (M. 16 : 10 ; AD. 12 : 33) mention simply the case of 'A'isha.

Support is sometimes sought for the marriage of minors from the verse which speaks of women not having their courses, as being divorced : "And for those of your women who have despaired of menstruation, if you have a doubt, the prescribed time shall be three months, and of those too who have not had their courses" (65 : 4). But it is wrong to identify women who have not had their courses with minors, for there may be cases in which a woman reaches the age of majority though she has not had her courses, and it is with such exceptional cases that this verse deals. At any rate, there is no mention anywhere in the Holy Qur'an or Ḥadīth of minors being married or divorced. Fiqh, however, recognizes the legality of the marriage of a minor when contracted by a lawful guardian. This subject is further discussed under the heading "Guardianship in marriage."

Marriage is called a *mithāq* (covenant) in the Holy Qur'an, a covenant between the husband and the wife : "And how can you take it (*i.e.* the dowry) when one of you has already gone in to the other and they have made with you a firm covenant (*mithāq-an ḡhaliz-an*)" (4 : 21). The marriage contract is entered into by mutual consent expressed by the two parties, the husband and the wife, in the presence of witnesses, and that is the only essential. This mutual consent is technically called *ijāb* (affirmation

MARRIAGE

or declaration), and *qubūl* (acceptance or consent) in Fiqh. The marriage is made complete by the expression of mutual consent in the presence of witnesses,¹ but it was the practice of the Prophet to deliver a *khutba* (sermon), before the declaration of marriage was made, to give it the character of a sacred contract. A dowry (*mahr*) must also be settled on the woman, according to the Holy Qur'ān, but the marriage is valid even if *mahr* is not mentioned, or even if the amount of *mahr* is not agreed upon. The expression of the consent is in the preterite form according to the *Hidāya*; for instance, the parties would say, *qabiltu* (I have accepted) or *zawwajtu* (I have taken as my mate or partner), but no particular form or particular words are essential; any expression which conveys the intention of the parties in clear words is sufficient. It is not necessary that the proposal should come from one side and the acceptance from the other, or that the one should precede the other. The words of mutual consent may be addressed to each other by the two parties, but generally it is the *khatīb* (the man who delivers the *khutba*) who puts the proposal before each party, the latter giving consent to the proposal.

The second most important thing in marriage is the *mahr* or the nuptial gift *mahr* or dowry. The word generally used for dowry in the Holy Qur'ān is *ajr* (pl. *ujūr*), meaning *reward*, and a *gift that is given to the bride* (LL.). In fact, *ajr* is *that in which there is gain but no loss* (R.). The word *ṣaduqāt* (pl. of

1. Sayyid Amīr 'Alī says in his *Muhammādan Law* that according to the *Shr'as*, the marriage is valid without the presence of witnesses, but this doctrine cannot hold in the presence of what is clearly stated to be the practice of the Holy Prophet. Moreover, when there is a plain direction in the Holy Qur'ān as to the necessity of witnesses at the time of divorce, it stands to reason that the presence of witnesses at marriage should be equally necessary.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

ṣaduqa) is also once used in the Holy Qur'ān to signify the nuptial gift (4 : 4), and other words from the same root signifying dowry are *ṣudāq* and *ṣidāq*. The root word *ṣadaqa* (verb) means *he was truthful*, and an obligatory deed is called a *ṣadaqa* (noun) when the doer of it aims thereby at truthfulness (R.). Another word sometimes used in the Holy Qur'ān to indicate the nuptial gift is *faridza*, literally *what has been made obligatory* or *an appointed portion*. The word *mahr* is used in Ḥadīth to signify dowry, or the nuptial gift. According to the Holy Qur'ān, the *mahr* is given as a free gift by the husband to the wife, at the time of contracting the marriage : "And give women their dowries as a free gift" (4 : 4). The payment of the *mahr* on the part of the husband is an admission of the independence of the wife, for she becomes the owner of property immediately on her marriage, though before it she may not have owned anything. The settling of a dowry on the woman at the marriage is obligatory : "And lawful for you are all women besides these, provided that you seek them with your property, taking them in marriage not committing fornication. Then as to those whom you profit by (by marrying) give them their dowries as appointed" (4 : 24). The payment of dowry is also necessary in the case of marriage with a slave-girl : "So marry them with the permission of their masters and give them their dowries justly" (4 : 25) ; and also in the case of a Muslim marrying a non-Muslim woman : "And the chaste from among the believing women and the chaste from among those who have been given the Book before you, when you have given them their dowries, taking them in marriage" (5 : 5).

It would appear from this that the Holy Qur'ān renders the payment of dowry necessary at the time of

MARRIAGE

marriage. Ḥadīth leads to the same conclusion. The payment of the dowry was necessary even though it might be a very small sum (Bu. 67 : 51, 52 ; AD. 12 : 29, 30, 31). In exceptional cases, marriage is legal even though the amount of mahr has not been specified, but it is obligatory and must be paid afterwards. Thus the Holy Qur'ān says, speaking of divorce : " There is no blame on you if you divorce women when you have not touched them, or appointed for them a dowry " (2 : 236). This shows that marriage is valid without specifying a dowry. Ḥadīth also speaks of the validity of a marriage, even though dowry has not been named (AD. 12 : 31). But the dowry must be paid, either at the time of the consummation of marriage or afterwards. The amount of dowry in this case would depend upon the circumstances of the husband and the position of the wife. The Holy Qur'ān makes this clear by requiring the provision for wife to depend upon the circumstances of the husband, " the wealthy according to his means and the straitened in circumstances according to his means " (2 : 236). In a ḥadīth it is related that the case of a woman, whose husband had died before fixing a dowry and consummating marriage, was referred to 'Abd-Allāh ibn Mas'ūd, who decided that she should be paid a dowry according to the dowry of the women of like status with herself (*ka-ṣadāqī nisā'i-hā*), and this decision was afterwards found to be in accordance with the decision of the Holy Prophet in a similar case (AD. 12 : 31). In Fiqh, it is called *mahr mithl* (lit., the mahr of those like her, or her equals) or customary dower. It is determined by the mahr of her sisters and paternal aunts and uncles' daughters (H. I, p. 304) ; that is to say, with reference to the social position of her father's family. Therefore even if the dowry has not been specified at the marriage, it is to be determined and paid afterwards, and if unpaid in the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

husband's lifetime, it is a charge on his property after his death. The plain words of the Holy Qur'ān require its payment at marriage, barring exceptional cases when it may be determined or paid afterwards. Imām Mālik follows this rule and renders payment necessary at marriage, while the Ḥanafī law treats it more or less as a debt.

No limits have been placed on the amount of mahr. The words used in the Holy Qur'ān show that any amount of dowry may be settled on the wife: "And you have given one of them a heap of gold" (4 : 20). Thus no maximum or minimum amount has been laid down. The Holy Prophet paid varying amounts to his wives; in one case when the Negus paid the amount to Umm Ḥabība (Abū Sufyān's daughter), who was then in Abyssinia, where the marriage took place, it being four thousand dirhams, while in the case of the other wives it was generally five hundred dirhams (AD. 12 : 28). The mahr of his daughter Fāṭima was four hundred dirhams. The lowest amount mentioned in Ḥadīth is a ring of iron" (Bu. 67 : 52), and a man who could not procure even that, was told to teach the Holy Qur'ān to his wife (Bu 67 : 51). In some ḥadīth two handfuls of meal or dates are also mentioned (AD. 12 : 29). The amount of the dowry may however be increased or decreased by the mutual consent of husband and wife, at any time after marriage; and this is plainly laid down in the Holy Qur'ān: "Then as to those whom you profit by (by marrying), give them their dowries as appointed; and there is no blame on you about what you mutually agree after what is appointed of dowry" (4 : 24).

In India, however, mahr is treated simply as a check upon the husband's power of divorce, and very high and extravagant sums are sometimes specified as mahr. This

MARRIAGE

practice is foreign to the spirit of the institution, as laid down by Islām ; for, mahr is an amount which should be handed over to the wife at marriage or as early afterwards as possible ; and if this rule were kept in view, extravagant mahr would disappear of itself. The later jurists divide mahr into two equal portions, one of which they call *mu'ajjal* (lit., *that which is hastened*) or prompt, and the other *mu'ajjal* (lit., *deferred for a time*). The payment of the first part must be made immediately on the wife's demand, while the other half becomes due on the death of either party, or on the dissolution of marriage.

Among the pre-Islamic Arabs, *shighār* was a recognized form of marriage, a marriage by exchange, in which one man would give his daughter or sister or other ward in exchange for taking in marriage the other man's daughter or sister or ward, neither paying the dowry. Such a marriage was expressly forbidden by the Holy Prophet because it deprived the woman of her right of dowry (Bu. 67 : 29) ; which shows that the woman's right of dowry is a right of which the wife cannot be deprived under any circumstances, and that it is her property and not the property of her guardians.

Where the Holy Qur'ān speaks of marriage, it at the same time excludes clandestine sexual relations, "taking them in marriage, not fornicating, nor taking them for paramours in secret" (4 : 24, 25 ; 5 : 5). Thus the one fact distinguishing marriage from fornication and clandestine relations, is its publicity. The mutual consent of two parties to live as husband and wife does not constitute a marriage unless that consent is expressed publicly and in the presence of witnesses. An essential feature of the Islamic marriage is therefore the publication of the news by gathering together, preferably in a public place. There

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

are ḥadīth showing that marriage must be made publicly known, even with the beat of drums (Tr. 9 : 6 ; Ns. 26 : 72 ; IM. 9 : 19 ; Ah. IV, pp. 5, 77). With the same object in view music is allowed at marriage gatherings. On such an occasion, girls sang with the beating of drum (*dzarb al-duff*)¹ in the presence of the Holy Prophet (Bu. 67 : 49). The following ḥadīth on this subject may be quoted : "Make public this marriage and perform it in the mosques and beat drums for them;" "The difference between the lawful and the unlawful (*i. e.* marriage and fornication) is proclamation and the beating of drums;" "'Ā'isha had with her a girl from among the Anṣār whom she got married. The Holy Prophet came and said, Have you sent the young girl to her husband? And on receiving a reply in the affirmative, he said, Have you sent with her those who would sing? 'Ā'isha said, No. Said the Prophet, The Anṣār are a people who love singing, and it would have been better if you had sent with her some one to sing thus and thus" (MM. 13 : 4 —ii). The presence of witnesses, when so much stress is laid on proclamation, is a foregone conclusion.

The delivery of a sermon before the announcement of marriage is another factor which helps the publicity of the marriage, and, at the same time, serves the double purpose of giving it a sacred character and making it an occasion for the education of the community. When the friends and relatives of both parties have assembled, a sermon is delivered by some one from among the party, or by the Imām, before announcing the marriage itself. The text of this sermon, as reported from the Holy Prophet by Ibn Mas'ūd consists of *tashahhud*, with which every

1. *Duff* or *daff* (the former more approved, and the latter now more common) is *tambourine* or a *certain thing with which one beats* or *with which one plays* (LL.).

MARRIAGE

sermon generally opens, and of three verses of the Holy Qur'an. *Tashahhud*, literally, means *the act of bearing witness*, and technically *the bearing of witness to the Unity of God and the prophethood of Muḥammad*, and the *tashahhud* of the marriage sermon consists of the following words:—

أَحْمَدُ لِلَّهِ مُحَمَّدٌ وَنَسْتَعِينُهُ
نَسْتَغْفِرُهُ وَنَعُوذُ بِاللَّهِ مِنْ شَرِّهِ
أَنْفُسَنَا وَمِنْ سَيِّئَاتِ أَعْمَالِنَا
مَنْ يَهْدِهِ اللَّهُ فَلَا مُضِلَّ
لَهُ وَمَنْ يَضِلَّ فَلَا هَادِيَ
لَهُ وَأَشْهَدُ أَنْ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ
وَأَشْهَدُ أَنَّ مُحَمَّدًا عَبْدُهُ
وَرَسُولُهُ

Al-ḥamdu li-llāhi naḥma-
du-hū wa nasta'nu-hū
wa nastaghfiru-hū wa
na'ūdhu bi-llāhi min
shu'ūrī anfasī-nā wa
min sayyi'ātī a'mālī-nā,
man yahdi-hi-llāhu fa-lā
mudẓilla la-hū wa man
yudẓil la-hū hādīya la-
hū, wa ashḥadu an lā
ilāha ill-Allāhu wa ash-
hadu anna Muḥammad-
an 'abdu-hū wa rasūlu-
hū.

All praise is due to Allāh ;
we praise Him and we
beseech Him for help
and we ask for His
protection and we seek
refuge in Allāh from the
mischiefs of our souls,
and from the evil of
our deeds ; whomsoever
Allāh guides, there is
none who can lead him
astray and whom Allāh
finds in error, there is
none to guide him ; and
I bear witness that there
is no god but Allāh and
that Muḥammad is His
servant and His Mes-
senger.

After the *tashahhud*, the Holy Prophet would take as his text the following three verses of the Holy Qur'an, viz., 3 : 101 ; 4 : 1 ; 33 : 70, 71 (MM. 13 : 4—ii). All three verses remind man of his responsibilities in general, and the middle one lays particular stress on the obligations towards women. I quote the three verses, as they form an essential part of the marriage sermon:—

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا اتَّقُوا اللَّهَ
حَقَّ تَقَاتِهِ وَلَا تَمُوتُوا
وَأَنْتُمْ مُسْلِمُونَ ○

Yā ayyuha-lladhīna āma-
nu-ttaqu-llāha ḥ a q q a
tuqātī-hi wa lā tamū-
tunna illā wa antum
Muslimūn (3 : 101).

○ you who believe ! be
careful of your duty to
Allāh with the care
which is due to Him
and do not die unless
you are Muslims.

يَا أَيُّهَا النَّاسُ اتَّقُوا رَبَّ الَّذِي
خَلَقَكُمْ مِنْ نَفْسٍ

Yā-ayyuha-n-nāsu-ttaqū
R a b b a-k u m u-lladhī
khalaqa-kum min nafs-in

○ people ! be careful of
your duty to your Lord,
Who created you from

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

وَأَحَدٌ وَخَلَقَ مِنْهَا زَوْجَهَا
وَبَثَّ مِنْهُمَا رِجَالًا كَثِيرًا وَ
نِسَاءً ۚ وَاتَّقُوا اللَّهَ الَّذِي
تَسَاءَلُونَ بِهِ وَالْأَرْحَامَ إِنَّ
اللَّهَ كَانَ عَلَيْكُمْ رَقِيبًا ۝

w ā ḥ i d a t - i n w a
k ḥ a l a q a m i n - h ā z a u j a -
h ā w a b a t ḥ ṭ h a m i n -
h u m ā r i j ā l - a n k a t ḥ i r - a n
w a n i s ā ' a ; w a - t t a q u -
l l ā h a - l l a ḥ ḥ i t a s ā ' a l ū n a
b i - h i w a - l - a r ḥ ā m ; i n n -
A l l ā h a k ā n a ' a l a i - k u m
r a q i b ā (4 : 1).

a single being and of the same created its mate, and spread from these two many men and women; and be careful of your duty to Allah, by Whom you demand one of another your rights and to the ties of relationship; surely Allah watches over you.

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا اتَّقُوا اللَّهَ وَ
قُولُوا قَوْلًا سَدِيدًا ۚ يُصْلِحْ لَكُمْ
أَعْمَالَكُمْ وَيَغْفِرْ لَكُمْ ذُنُوبَكُمْ
وَمَنْ يُطِيعِ اللَّهَ وَرَسُولَهُ فَقَدْ
فَازَ فَوْزًا عَظِيمًا ۝

Y ā - a y y u h a - l l a ḥ ḥ i n a
ā m a n u - t t a q u - l l ā h a w a
q ū l ū q a u l - a n s a d i d - a n
y u ṣ ḥ i ḥ l a - k u m a ' m ā l a -
k u m w a y a g ḥ f i r l a - k u m
d ḥ u n ū b a - k u m w a m a n
y u ṭ i ' i - l l ā h a w a r a s ū l a - h ū
f a - q a d f ā z a f a u z - a n
' a z i m ā (33 : 70, 71).

O you who believe! be careful of your duty to Allah and speak the right word; He would put your deeds into a right state for you, and forgive you your faults; and whoever obeys Allah and His Apostle, he indeed achieves a mighty success.

The sermon of course must expatiate on these verses and explain to the audience the mutual rights and duties of husband and wife. At the conclusion of the sermon is made the announcement that such and such a man and such and such a woman have accepted each other as husband and wife, and the dowry is also announced at the time. The man and the woman are then asked if they accept this new relationship, and, on the reply being given in the affirmative, the marriage ceremony proper is concluded. In India the consent of the woman is generally obtained through her father or other guardian or relation. After the expression of consent by both parties, the whole audience raises its hands and prays for the blessings of God on the newly wedded couple. Generally some dates or sweets are distributed before the audience disperses. The words of prayer in one ḥadīth are *barak-Allahu la-ka*, or may Allah shower His blessings on thee (Bu. 67 : 57). In

MARRIAGE

another, the words are, *bārak-Allāhu wa bāraka 'alai-ka wa jama'a baina-kumā fi khair-in* (Tr. 9 : 6)—which means, “May Allāh shower His blessings (on the union) and may He bless you and unite you two in goodness.”

That there should be witnesses of marriage is clear enough from what has already been stated. The Holy Qur'an requires witnesses even for ordinary contracts and business transactions (2 : 282), and marriage is a contract of the highest importance, a contract affecting the lives of two persons to an extent to which no other contract affects them. It further requires witnesses even in the case of the dissolution of marriage by divorce (65 : 2). The Ḥanafī law rightly lays special stress on this point, so that marriage is not valid if there are not two witnesses at least (H. I, p. 286). To procure the best testimony and one free from doubt of all kinds, it is quite in accordance with the law of Islām that all marriages should be registered.

After nikāḥ is over, the bride is conducted to the husband's house, and this is followed by the marriage-feast called *walīma*. This feast is another step in the publicity of the marriage, and hence the Holy Prophet laid stress on it. It is related of 'Abdal-Raḥmān ibn 'Auf that, when on seeing on him signs of *ṣufra* (a certain colour), the Holy Prophet was told that he had married, he prayed for him and told him to arrange for a feast though there be only one goat to feed the guests (Bu. 34 : 1 ; 67 : 7, 57). On the occasion of his own marriage with Ṣafīyya, when returning from *Khaibar*, he gave a feast in which every one was required to bring his food with him (Bu. 8 : 12). Of course this was on a journey, but at the same time it shows the great importance given to the marriage-feast. He also invited his friends to a

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

walīma feast on the occasion of his marriage with Zainab, which is said to have been the most sumptuous of all his walīma feasts, and yet he slaughtered only one goat (M. 16 : 15). Bukhārī devotes several chapters to walīma in particular, in addition to numerous stray references. I quote a few headings: "The walīma is necessary" (Bu. 67 : 68); "The walīma (is necessary) though there be only one goat to feed the guests" (Bu. 67 : 69); "He who entertains in walīma with less than a goat" (Bu. 67 : 71); "It is necessary to accept an invitation to walīma" (Bu. 67 : 72).

The essence of marriage being then, according to Guardianship in marriage. Islām, the consent of two parties, after they have satisfied themselves about each other, to live together as husband and wife permanently and accepting their respective responsibilities and obligations in the married state, it follows from its very nature, that the marriage contract requires that the contracting parties should have attained puberty and the age of discretion. The Holy Qur'ān has already been quoted on this point, and Fiqh also recognizes this principle. Thus, according to the *Fatāwā Ālamgiri*, "among the conditions which are requisite for the validity of a contract of marriage are understanding ('*aql*), puberty (*bulūgha*) and freedom (*hurriyya*) in the contracting parties" (Ft.A. II, p. 1). A distinction is however made between a minor who is possessed of understanding and one who is not so possessed, and while a marriage contracted by the latter is recognized as a mere nullity, one contracted by the former can have its invalidity removed by the consent of his guardian. As regards those who have attained majority, there is no difference of opinion in the case of the man, who can give his consent to marriage without the approval of a guardian, but some difference exists in the case of the

MARRIAGE

woman, whether she can give such consent without the approval of her father or guardian. The Ḥanafī view of the law of Islām answers this question in the affirmative: "The marriage contract of a free woman who has reached the age of majority, and is possessed of understanding, is complete with her own consent, whether she is a virgin or has been married before, though it may not have been confirmed by her guardian" (H. I, p. 293). The Shī'a view is exactly the same: "In the marriage of a discreet female (*rāshida*, or one who is adult), no guardian is required" (AA.). Both Mālik and Shāfi'ī hold that the consent of the guardian is essential. Bukhārī inclines to the same view as that of Mālik and Shāfi'ī, the heading of one of his chapters being, "Who says that there is no marriage except with the consent of a guardian" (Bu. 67 : 37); though he adds another, "The father or any other guardian cannot give in marriage a virgin or one who has been married before without her consent" (Bu. 67 : 42). At the same time, he extends the meaning of the word guardian, saying that "the king is a guardian" (Bu. 67 : 41), and cites under this heading the case of a woman who came to the Holy Prophet and offered herself for marriage, and she was then and there married to a person who could not even settle any dowry on her on account of his poverty. It does not appear whether or not she had a natural guardian (father or other near relative). Some Quranic verses are quoted which however do not speak of a guardian in express words. Thus: "And when you divorce women and they end their term of waiting, do not prevent them from marrying their husbands when they agree among themselves in a lawful manner" (2 : 232). From this it is probably concluded that the injunction against preventing women from marrying husbands who have divorced them presupposes a right of the guardian. This argument is, however,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

defective, as the guardians are here prohibited from exercising any such right, in the case at least of a *ṭhayyiba* (a woman who has seen a husband). The other verse quoted is: "And do not give believing women in marriage to idolaters until they believe" (2 : 221). The argument is that the verse is addressed to the guardians, who have therefore the right to give in marriage. But this is also doubtful as the verse may just as well be addressed to the Muslim community as a whole, as on so many other occasions.

Among the ḥadīth cited by Bukhārī, the first ḥadīth is that in which 'Ā'isha speaks of four kinds of marriage, and the first of these which was the only form sanctioned by Islām is stated to be that in which "one man makes a proposal to another regarding his ward or his daughter, then he settles a dowry on her and marries her." But that describes the general practice, and does not lead to the conclusion that a woman cannot marry without the consent of a guardian. The second ḥadīth is also from 'Ā'isha and in it she speaks of the guardian of an orphan girl marrying her himself. That however is only 'Ā'isha's interpretation of a certain verse of the Holy Qur'ān, and there is no reference in it to any particular incident that might have occurred. The third speaks of 'Umar proposing his widowed daughter Ḥafṣa to Abū Bakr. This, too, does not establish that marriage is invalid without the consent of a guardian. It only shows that the father of a widow may exert himself to procure a match for his daughter. None of the other three ḥadīth mentioned in this chapter has any bearing on this subject.

On the other hand, the Holy Qur'ān, as well as Ḥadīth, recognizes a woman's right to marry the man she pleases. Thus the verse quoted above says plainly: "Do not prevent them from marrying in a lawful manner"

MARRIAGE

(2 : 232). This is the case of a divorced woman. And of a widow, the Holy Qur'ān says : " But if they themselves go away, there is no blame on you for what they do of lawful deeds by themselves " (2 : 240). This recognizes the widow's right to marry herself. These two verses clearly recognize the right of the *ṭhayyiba* (the divorced woman or the widow) to give herself in marriage, and prohibit the guardian from interference when the woman herself is satisfied. This is quite in accordance with a ḥadīth : "*Al-ayyim* (the widow and the divorced woman) has greater right to dispose of herself (in marriage) than her guardian " (AD. 12 : 25). The words of another ḥadīth are : " The guardian has no business in the matter of a *ṭhayyiba* " (*Ibid*).

In view of the verses and ḥadīth quoted above, it seems clear that the widow and the divorced woman are allowed complete freedom in the choice of their husbands. Does the same rule apply to virgins ? The Imām Abū Ḥanīfa answers this question in the affirmative. His principle is that, since a woman who has attained the age of majority can dispose of her property without reference to a guardian, so she is also entitled to dispose of her person. But at the same time it cannot be denied that there is a natural bashfulness about the virgin, and, moreover, she has not the same experience of men and affairs as has a widow or a divorced woman, and it is therefore in the fitness of things that her choice of a husband should be subject to the check of a father or other guardian, who would also settle the terms, and guard her against being misled by unscrupulous people. But as the contract, after all, depends on her consent and not on the consent of the guardian, which in fact is only needed to protect her, her will must ultimately prevail, and the opinion of Imām Abū Ḥanīfa is more in accordance with the essentials of marriage as expressed by the Holy Qur'ān.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

He says: "Her is the right of marrying, and the guardian is only sought lest it (the contraction of marriage) should be attributed to *waqāḥa* (want of shame)" (H. I, p. 294); and again: "It is not lawful for the guardian to compel a virgin who has attained majority to marry according to his wishes" (*ibid*). Ḥadīth also supports this view, for the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "The widow and the divorced woman shall not be married until her order is obtained, and the virgin shall not be married until her permission is obtained" (Bu. 67 : 42). And Bukhārī's next chapter is headed thus: "When a man gives his daughter in marriage and she dislikes it, the marriage shall be repudiated" (Bu. 67 : 43), and a ḥadīth is quoted showing that the Holy Prophet repudiated such a marriage.

The jurists have also dealt with cases of the marriage of minors. According to Ḥanafī interpretation of the Muslim law, "the marriage of a minor boy or girl is lawful, whether the minor girl is a virgin or a *thayyiba*, provided the guardian is one of the '*aṣaba* (relations on the father's side) (H. I, p. 295). Mālik recognizes such marriage only when the guardian is a father, and Shāfi'ī when the guardian is a father or a grandfather (*Ibid*). Again in the Ḥanafī law, if the minor has been given in marriage by a guardian who is not the father or the grandfather, the minor has the option on attaining majority of repudiating the marriage. But, as a ḥadīth already quoted shows, even if the father gives away his daughter in marriage against her wishes, and she is of age, the marriage must be repudiated if the girl desires, and so in the case of a minor too if on coming of age she finds the match unsuitable. Bukhārī speaks only of a *thayyiba* (a widow or a divorced woman), but another ḥadīth is reported from Ibn 'Abbās, stating that a virgin

MARRIAGE

girl came to the Holy Prophet and said that her father had married her against her wishes, and the Holy Prophet gave her the right to repudiate the marriage (AD. 12:25). He also mentions the case of a *ṭhayyiba* (AD. 12:27).

Akfā' is the plural of *kuf'* which means *an equal* or *one alike*. For example, the Arabs are the *akfā'* of the Arabs, and the Quraish are the *akfā'* of the Quraish. Thus the people of one tribe or one family would be *akfā'* among themselves, and people of one race would be *akfā'* among themselves. There is nothing in the Holy Qur'ān or in the Ḥadīth to show that a marriage relation can only be established among the *akfā'*. It is quite a different thing that, generally, people should seek such relations among the *akfā'*, but Islām came to level all distinctions, whether social, tribal or racial, and therefore it does not limit the marriage relationship to *akfā'*. The principle that tribes and families have no special value with God is clearly established: "O you men! We have created you of a male and a female, and made you tribes and families that you may know each other; the most honourable of you with Allāh is the one among you most careful of his duty" (49:13). The way is opened for establishing all kinds of relationships between the faithful to whatever country or tribe they may belong by declaring that "the faithful are all brethren" (49:10), and "the believing men and the believing women are friends (*auliyā'*) of each other" (9:71). The Holy Prophet interpreted these verses by saying: "The Arab has no precedence over the non-Arab, nor the non-Arab over the Arab, nor the white man over the black one, nor the black man over the white one except by excelling in righteousness."

When speaking of contracting marriage-relationships, the Holy Qur'ān speaks only of certain forbidden relations and then adds: "And lawful for you are all women

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

besides this" (4 : 24). And again it goes so far as to allow marital relations with non-Muslims: "And the chaste from among the believing women and the chaste from among those who have been given the Book before you are lawful for you" (5 : 5). The Holy Prophet recommended the marriage of a lady of the tribe of Quraish of the noblest family, his aunt's daughter, Zainab, to Zaid who was a liberated slave; and Bilāl, a negro, was married to the sister of 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Auf. There are other examples of the same kind in the early history of Islām. In one ḥadīth it is stated that the Holy Prophet recommended a certain man, called Abū Hind, to the tribe of Banī Bayādz, to whom he stood in the relation of a *maula* (a liberated slave), and followed the profession of *ḥajāma* (the craft of the cupper), saying: "O Banī Bayādz! give (your daughters) to Abū Hind in marriage and take in marriage his daughters" (AD. 12 : 26). This ḥadīth cuts at the root of the limitation of marriage to *akfā'*; yet the jurists have insisted on it. Imām Mālik, in this respect, differs from others, saying that *kafā'a* (equality) is brought about by religion, that is to say, all Muslims are alike or equal. The majority of the jurists require equality in four things, religion, freedom, descent and profession. Imām Shāfi'ī says that he could not declare a marriage outside the *akfā'* to be illegal (*ḥarām*); it is a disability which is removed by the consent of the woman and her guardians.

It is lawful to impose and accept conditions, which are
Conditions imposed at the time of marriage. not illegal, at the time of marriage, and the parties are bound by such conditions. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "The best entitled to fulfilment of all conditions that you may fulfil, are the conditions by which sexual union is legalized" (Bu. 67:53; AD. 12:40). It is also related that the Holy Prophet spoke of a son-in-law of his (an

MARRIAGE

unbeliever), in high terms, saying: "He spoke to me and he spoke the truth, and he made promises with me and he fulfilled those promises" (Bu, 67: 53). Illegal conditions are those which are opposed to the law of Islām or to public morality, for instance that the wife shall have the right to frequent immoral places or that she shall not be entitled to any dower or maintenance or that the husband and the wife shall not inherit from each other. If such a condition be imposed, the condition is void while the marriage is valid. Examples of legal conditions are that the wife shall not be compelled to leave her *dār* (conjugal domicile) (A.D. 12: 40), that the husband shall not contract a second marriage during the existence of the first, that the husband and the wife or one of them shall live in a specified place, that a certain portion of the dower shall be paid immediately and the remainder on death or divorce, that the husband shall pay the wife a certain amount by way of maintenance, that he shall not prevent her from receiving visits from her relatives, that the wife shall have the right to divorce for a specified reason or for any reasonable cause, and so on (A.A.).

As a rule, Islām recognizes only the union of one man and one woman as a valid form of marriage. Under exceptional circumstances it allows the man more wives than one, but does not allow the woman more husbands than one. Thus while a married woman cannot contract a valid marriage, a married man can do it. There is no difficulty in understanding this differentiation, if the natural duties of man and woman in the preservation and upbringing of the human species are kept in view. Nature has so divided the duties of man and woman, in this respect, that while one man can raise children from more wives than one, one woman can have children only from one husband. Therefore while polygyny may

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

at times be a help in the welfare of society and the preservation of the human race, polyandry has no conceivable use for man.

In the first place it must be borne in mind that

Polygyny is an exception. Polygyny is allowed in Islām only as an exception. It is expressly so stated in the Holy Qur'ān: "And if you fear that you cannot act equitably towards orphans, then marry such women as seem good to you, two and three and four; but if you fear that you will not do justice (between them), then (marry) only one" (4 : 3). This is the only passage in the Holy Qur'ān that speaks of polygyny, and it will be seen that it does not enjoin polygyny; it only permits it, and that, too, conditionally. Before we consider the significance of this verse, it must be understood clearly that polygyny is here allowed only when there are orphans to be dealt with, and it is feared that they will not be dealt with justly. This condition relates more to the welfare of society than to the needs of the individual.

The traditional interpretation put upon this verse is that of 'Ā'isha, as contained in the *Bukhārī*. She is reported to have said: "This is the orphan girl who is under the care of her guardian and is his partner in his property, and her property and her beauty please him, so her guardian wishes to marry her without being just in regard to her mahr, so that he should give her what another man would give; so they were forbidden to marry them unless they would do justice to them and give them their dowries according to their usage, and therefore they were commanded to marry other women that seemed good to them" (Bu. 65, sūra 4, ch. 1). It will be seen that this explanation introduces into the passage words and phrases of which there is no trace, nor is this significance traceable to the Holy Prophet.

MARRIAGE

It is unacceptable for another reason too. Verse 127 of this very chapter, which is admittedly a further explanation of the verse under discussion, is thus explained by 'Ā'isha: "It is the man who has got an orphan girl of whom he is a guardian and an inheritor, so she becomes his partner in his property, even in the palm trees, and he is disinclined to marry her, nor does he like that she should marry another person who would thus become his partner in his property on account of her partnership and therefore prevents her from marrying" (*Ibid*). Admittedly the latter verse explains the previous one, but 'Ā'isha's explanation of the latter is just the opposite of her explanation of the former. The guardian is explained as desiring to marry his girl ward in the first case, and the first verse is said to be a prohibition against it, while in the case of the latter verse he is said to be disinclined to marry her himself or to anybody else.

Hence it is that the commentators have suggested three other explanations. The first of these is that this verse (4 : 3) is only meant to prohibit the marrying of more wives than four, so that not having too many wives they may not be tempted to embezzle the property of the orphans, when their own proved insufficient. The second is that if you fear that you cannot be just to orphans, you should also fear that you cannot be just to too many wives. The third is that if you fear that you cannot be just to orphans, you should also fear the great sin of adultery, and to shun it you are allowed up to four wives.

It will be seen that these explanations are even less satisfactory than the one given in the *Bukhārī*. The meaning of this verse is really explained by v. 4 : 127 : "And they ask thee a decision about women. Say, Allāh makes known to you His decision concerning them,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and that which is recited to you in the Book, concerning orphans of the women to whom you do not give what is appointed for them—and you are not inclined to marry them—nor to the weak among children, and that you should deal towards orphans with equity.” The reference to “that which is recited in the Book” is admittedly to v. 4 : 3. And the reference in “whom you do not give what is appointed for them.....nor to the weak among children” is to the Arab custom, according to which women and minor children did not get a share of inheritance, the recognized usage being that only he could inherit who could ride on the back of a horse and take the field against the enemy. The position was therefore this, that when a widow was left with orphans to bring up, she and her children would get no share of the inheritance, nor were people inclined to marry widows who had children. In v. 4 : 3, the Holy Qur’an has therefore enjoined that, if you cannot be otherwise just to orphans, marry the mothers of such orphans so that you may thus be interested in their welfare, and for this purpose you are allowed to contract other marriages.

A consideration of the historical circumstances of the time when this chapter was revealed corroborates this conclusion. It was a time when the Muslims were compelled to carry on incessant war against an enemy bent upon their extirpation. The bread-winners had all to take the field against the enemy, and many had been lost in the unequal battles that were being fought by the small Muslim band against overwhelming forces. Women had lost their affectionate husbands and young children their loving fathers, and these widows and orphans had to be provided for. If they had been left to the mercy of circumstances, they would have perished, and the community would have been weakened to such

MARRIAGE

an extent that it would have been impossible to maintain the struggle for life. It was under these circumstances that the fourth chapter was revealed, allowing the taking of more wives than one, so that the widows and orphans may find a shelter. If you fear, says the revelation, that you will not be able to do justice to orphans, marry women (the mothers of the orphans) up to four, but only on condition that you are just to all of them. That by *women* here are meant *the mothers of orphans* is made clear by v. 127, as already shown.

It might be said that other arrangements could be made for the maintenance of widows and orphans. But a home-life could not be given to them in any other manner, and home-life is the real source whence all those good qualities of love and affection spring, which are the greatest asset of social life and civilization. Islām bases its civilization on home-life ; and under exceptional circumstances, where monogamy fails to provide a home for widows and orphans, it allows polygyny to extend to them that advantage. Even if it be half a home that the women and children find in a polygynous family, it is better than no home at all. Moreover, a community the ranks of whose fighting men were daily dwindling stood in urgent need of increasing its numbers by all possible means, and hence also it was necessary to provide a home for the widows so that they might be helpful in strengthening the numerical position of the community. The moral aspect of the question is not the least important. The war had decimated the male population and the number of women exceeded that of men. This excess, if not provided with a home, would have led to moral depravity, which is the greatest danger to a civilization like that of Islām, which is based on morality.

The question of war is not peculiar to one age or one country. It is a question which affects the whole of

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

humanity for all ages to come. War must always be a source of decrease in the number of males, bringing about a corresponding increase in the number of females, and a solution will have to be sought by all well-wishers of humanity for the problem of the excess of women over men. Monogamy is undoubtedly a right rule of life under normal conditions, but when abnormal conditions are brought about by the excess of females over males, monogamy fails, and it is only through a limited polygyny that this difficulty can be solved. Europe is to-day confronted with that question, independently of war, and war only aggravates its seriousness. Professions may be opened up for women to enable them to earn bread, and Islām has never closed the door of any profession against women. But the crux of the question is, not the provision of bread but the provision of a home-life, and that question cannot be solved without polygyny.

It may be added here that polygyny in Islām is, both in theory and in practice, an exception, not a rule, and as an exception it is a remedy for many of the evils of modern civilization. It is not only the preponderance of females over males that necessitates polygyny in certain cases, but there is a variety of other circumstances which require polygyny to be adopted under exceptional circumstances, not only for the moral but also the physical welfare of society. Prostitution, which is on the increase with the advancement of civilization, and which is eating into it like a canker, with its concomitant increase of bastardy, is practically unknown to countries where polygyny is allowed as a remedial measure.

It may be further stated that the institution of polygyny, which was allowed by Islām only as a remedy, has largely been abused by sensual people, but then there are people in every society who would abuse any

MARRIAGE

institution, however necessary it may be to the right growth of human society. In countries where polygyny is not allowed, the sensuality of man has invented a hundred other ways of giving vent to his carnal passions, and these are a far greater curse to society than the abuse of polygyny. Indeed that abuse can be easily remedied by the state by placing legal limitations upon its practice, while the state is quite helpless against the evils which result from its entire rejection.

Sec. 4—Rights and Obligations of Husband and Wife.

From a material as well as a spiritual point of view, Woman's position in Islām recognizes the position of general woman to be the same as that of man. Good works bring the same reward, whether the doer is a male or a female: "I will not waste the work of a worker among you, whether male or female, the one of you being from the other" (3 : 194). Paradise and its blessings are equally for both: "And whoever does good deeds, whether male or female, and he (or she) is a believer—these shall enter the Garden" (40 : 40 ; 4 : 124). Both shall enjoy the higher life: "Whoever does good deeds, whether male or female, and he (or she) is a believer, We will make him (or her) live a happy life" (16 : 97). Revelation which is God's greatest spiritual gift in this life is granted to men as well as to women: "And when the angels said, O Mary! Allāh has chosen thee and purified thee" (3 : 41); "And We revealed to Moses' mother, saying: Give him suck, and when thou fearest for him, cast him into the river and do not fear nor grieve" (28 : 7). From a material point of view, woman is recognized as on a par with man. She can earn money and own property just as man can do and therefore she may, if she feels the need, follow any profession: "Men shall have the benefit of what they earn and women shall have the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

benefit of what they earn " (4 : 32). She has full control over her property and can dispose of it as she likes : " But if they (the women) of themselves be pleased to give up to you a portion of it (*i.e.*, their property), then eat it with enjoyment " (4 : 4). Women can also inherit property as men can : " Men shall have a portion of what the parents and the near relatives leave, and women shall have a portion of what the parents and the near relatives leave " (4 : 7).

By entering the married state, woman does not lose

Woman's position as wife.	any of the rights which she possesses as an individual member of society.
------------------------------	--

She is still free to carry on any work she likes, to make any contract she desires, to dispose of her property as she wishes; nor is her individuality merged in that of her husband. But she is at the same time recognized as undertaking new responsibilities of life, which carry with them new rights. The Holy Qur'ān settles the principle : " And they (the wives) have rights similar to their obligations in a just manner " (2 : 228). These are the rights and responsibilities of the home. Ḥadīth describes her position in the home as that of a *rā'iya* or ruler : " Every one of you is a ruler and every one shall be questioned about his subjects; the Amīr (the King) is a ruler, and the man is a ruler over the people of his house, and the woman is a ruler over the house of her husband and his children, so every one of you is a ruler and every one shall be questioned about his subjects " (Bu. 67:91). Thus so far as the home is concerned, the wife has the position of a ruler in it, the home being her territory. By marriage she is at once raised to a higher dignity and acquires new rights, though at the same time she incurs new responsibilities. Her rights as regards her husband are also affirmed in Ḥadīth, as the Holy Prophet said to 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Umar : " Thy body has a right over thee and

MARRIAGE

thy soul has a right over thee and thy wife has a right over thee" (Bu. 67 : 90).

As already stated the mutual relation of husband and wife is described in the Holy Qur'an as one of a single soul in two bodies: "And one of His signs is that He created mates for you from yourselves that you may find quiet of mind in them, and He put between you love and compassion" (30 : 21); "He it is Who created you from a single being, and of the same did He make his mate, that he might find comfort in her" (7 : 189). The same idea is elsewhere very beautifully described in different words: "They (your wives) are an apparel for you and you are an apparel for them" (2 : 187). The closest union of two souls could not be described more aptly; yet Islām is a practical religion and it does not shut its eyes to the hard realities of life. It describes the home as a unit in the greater organization of a nation as a whole, and just as in the vaster national organization there is somebody to exercise the final authority in certain cases, so the smaller organization of the home cannot be maintained without a similar arrangement. Hence the husband is first spoken of as being "a ruler over the people of the house" and the wife is then described as "a ruler over the house of her husband and his children." The home is thus a kingdom in miniature, where authority is exercised by both the husband and the wife. But unless one of them is given a higher authority, there would be chaos in this kingdom. The reason for giving the higher authority to the male parent is thus stated in the Holy Qur'an: "Men are the maintainers of women, because Allāh has made some of them to excel others, and because they spend out of their property" (4 : 34). The Arabic word for *maintainers* is *qawwāmūn*, pl. of *qawwām*, derived from *qāma*, meaning *he stood up*, but when used

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

with *bā* or '*alā*, *qāma* carries the significance of *maintaining* or *managing*. Thus *qāma bi-l-yatīm* means *he maintained the orphan*, and *qāma 'alai-hā* means *he maintained the woman and managed her affair* (LL.). The word *qawwāmūn* (maintainers) carries a double significance. It means that the husband provides maintenance for the wife, and also that he has final charge of the affairs of the home, thus exercising authority over the wife when there is need for it. The reason for giving a higher authority to man is contained in the word, *qawwāmūn* itself. It is the man who can be entrusted with the maintenance of the family, and therefore it is he who must hold the higher authority.

The functions of the husband and the wife are quite distinct, and each is entrusted with the functions which are best suited for his or her nature. The Holy Qur'ān says that God has made man and woman to excel each other in certain respects. The man excels the woman in constitution and physique, which is capable of bearing greater hardships and facing greater dangers than the physique of woman. On the other hand, the woman excels the man in the qualities of love and affection. Nature, for her own purpose of helping in the growth of creation, has endowed the female among men, as well as the lower animals, with the quality of love to a much higher degree than the male. Hence there is a natural division as between man and woman of the main work which is to be carried on for the progress of humanity. Man is suited to face the hard struggles of life on account of his stronger physique: woman is suited to bring up the children because of the preponderance of the quality of love in her. The duty of the maintenance of the family has therefore been entrusted to the man, and the duty of bringing up the children to the woman. And each is vested with authority

MARRIAGE

suited to the function with which he or she is entrusted. Modern civilization is ultimately coming round to the opinion that the true progress of humanity demands a division of work, and that while the duty of bread-winning must be generally left to man, the duty of the management of the home and the bringing up of the children belongs to the woman. Hence it is that men are spoken of as being the maintainers of women, and women as "rulers over the household and the children."

This division of work is only the general rule ; it does not mean that woman has been entirely excluded from other kinds of activity. A study of the Hadith literature shows that, notwithstanding her rightful position in the home, as the bringer up of children and manager of the household, woman took interest in all the national activities of the Muslim community. The care of the children did not prevent her from repairing to the mosque to join the congregational prayers (Bu. 10 : 162, 164), nor was this care an obstacle in her way to join the soldiers in the field of battle, to perform a large number of duties, such as the carrying of provisions (Bu. 56 : 66), taking care of the sick and the wounded (Bu. 56 : 67), removing the wounded and the slain from the battle-field (Bu. 56 : 68), or taking part in actual fighting when necessary (Bu. 56 : 62, 63, 65). One of the Holy Prophet's wives, Zainab, used to prepare hides and to devote the proceeds of the sale to charitable work (FB. III, p. 228). Women also helped their husbands in the labour of the field (Bu. 67 : 108), served the male guests at a feast (Bu. 67 : 78) and carried on business (Bu. 11 : 40) ; they could sell to and purchase from men, and men could sell to and purchase from them (Bu. 34 : 67). A woman was appointed by the Caliph 'Umar as superintendent of the market of Madīna. But these were exceptions. The

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

proper sphere of the woman was the house, and care of the children.

The family concern must be kept going by husband

Rights of husband and wife in mutual co-operation.
and wife.

The husband is mainly required to earn for the maintenance of the family, and the wife is responsible for the management of the household and the bringing up of the children. The rights of each against the other are therefore centred in these two points. The husband is bound to maintain the wife according to his means, as the Holy Qur'an says: "Let him who has abundance spend out of his abundance, and whoever has his means of subsistence straitened to him, let him spend out of that which Allāh has given him; Allāh does not lay on any soul a burden except so far as He has granted it the means" (65 : 7). He must also provide for her a lodging: "Lodge them where you lodge according to your means" (65 : 6). The wife is bound to keep company with her husband, to preserve the husband's property from loss or waste, and to refrain from doing anything which should disturb the peace of the family. She is required not to admit any one into the house whom the husband does not like, and not to incur expenditure of which the husband disapproves (Bu. 67 : 87). She is not bound to render personal service such as the cooking of food, but the respective duties of the husband and wife are such that each must always be ready to help the other. The wife must help the husband even in the field of labour if she can do it, and the husband must help the wife in the household duties. Of the Holy Prophet himself, it is related that he used to help his wives in many small works of the household, such as the milking of the goats, patching his clothes, mending of shoes, cleansing the utensils and so on.

MARRIAGE

The Holy Qur'an lays the greatest possible stress on kind and good treatment towards wife. "Keep them in good fellowship" and "treat them kindly" is the oft-recurring advice of the Holy Qur'an (2: 229, 231; 4: 19, etc.). So much so that kindness is recommended even when a man dislikes his wife, for "it may be that you dislike a thing while Allāh has placed abundant good in it" (4: 19). The Holy Prophet laid equally great stress upon good treatment of a wife. "The most excellent of you," he is reported to have said, "is he who is best in his treatment of his wife" (MM. 13: 11-ii). "Accept my advice in the matter of doing good to women," is another ḥadīth (Bu. 67: 81). In his famous address at the Farewell Pilgrimage, he again laid particular stress on the good treatment of women: "O my people! you have certain rights over your wives and so have your wives over you.....They are the trust of Allāh in your hands. So you must treat them with all kindness" (M. 15: 19).

In one ḥadīth which enjoins kindness to women, the woman is compared to a rib: "The woman is like a rib, if thou try to straighten it, thou wilt break it"¹ (Bu. 67: 80). The rib is bent in its make and not straight, and it serves best its purpose in the state in which it is created, and so of the woman it is said that being like a rib she serves her purpose best in the state

1. In another ḥadīth (Bu. 60: 1; 67: 81), instead of *like a rib* the words are *khuliqat min ḍzil'-in*, i.e., "she has been created of a rib." The meaning is still the same, that is to say, her *nature* or temperament may be compared to a rib. It is the woman in general, not Eve, that is spoken of here; nor is it said that woman has been created of the rib of man. In Arabic, we often say a certain thing has been created of so and so, meaning that the temperament of that thing is so. Thus the Holy Qur'an says: "Man has been created of haste (*min 'ajal*)" (21: 37), the significance being that the characteristic of haste is prominent in him.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

in which she has been created; to straighten her, *i.e.*, to make her work just as the man pleases, or to try to make her possess the sterner qualities of man, is to break her down. As already pointed out, the temperament of man differs from that of woman in one respect. Man is stern and harsh, therefore largely unyielding; it was necessary that he should be so, so that he might be able to face the hard struggles of life. The woman who is meant to bring up the children has been so created that the quality of love preponderates in her, and she is devoid of the sternness of man; she is therefore inclined to one side sooner than the man, and on account of this quality she is compared to the rib. Her being bent like the rib is adduced as an argument for being kind to her and for leaving her in that state.

While, however, great stress is laid on the kind treatment of woman, and it is even allowed in case of immoral conduct recommended that she may be allowed to work in any way she likes, the husband is permitted to take stern measures in case of her immoral conduct. Islām places the highest value upon the chastity of the woman, and therefore if there is a falling off from this high standard of morality, the woman is not entitled to that honour and kindly treatment which is accorded to her otherwise. The Holy Qur'an allows stern measures in the case of *nushūz*, which means *the rising of the wife against her husband or her revolt* and includes *resisting the husband, and hating, and deserting, him* (LL.). Some commentators explain *nushūz* as meaning *her leaving the husband's place and taking up an abode which he does not like* (AH.). Apparently the word covers a wide range of meaning and, therefore, the remedy suggested in such cases is of three kinds: "And as to those on whose part you fear desertion

MARRIAGE

(*nusḥūz*), admonish them and leave them alone in the sleeping-places, and give them corporal punishment” (4 : 34). When the *nusḥūz* is very ordinary and there is nothing serious about it, for instance, when it is a mere resistance of the husband’s authority, the remedy suggested is simple admonition. If hatred is combined with resistance of authority, a stronger remedy is suggested, and the husband is allowed, in that case, to show his disapproval of her conduct by keeping her separated from himself. But if the wife goes beyond that, and deserts the husband, and her conduct becomes suspicious, then, as a last measure, the husband is allowed to inflict slight corporal punishment, to bring her back to her senses and to her home. It cannot be denied that cases do happen when this extreme step becomes necessary, but these are exceptional cases and their occurrence is generally limited to the rougher strata of society where the remedy of slight corporal punishment is not only unobjectionable but necessary.

There are *ḥadīth* showing that the infliction of slight corporal punishment was permitted only when the conduct of the wife became suspicious, and she was as it were in open revolt against the husband. Thus a *ḥadīth* in *Muslim* says: “And be careful of your duty to Allāh in the matter of women, for you have taken them as the trust of Allāh and they owe to you this obligation that they will not allow any one to come into your house whom you do not like. If they do, then give them (slight) corporal punishment which may not leave any effect on their bodies” (M. 15 : 19). This direction was given in the Farewell Pilgrimage, and it shows that the infliction of slight corporal punishment is limited only to the extreme cases where the wife’s conduct is suspicious. Another *ḥadīth* shows that such conduct on the part of the wife, or such treatment on

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

the part of the husband, would not be expected in any good family. When certain women complained to the Holy Prophet of the ill treatment of their husbands, he is reported to have admonished the men in the following words: "Many women have come to the house of Muḥammad complaining about their husbands; these are by no means the good ones among you" (AD. 12 : 42). Bukhārī also refers to the ḥadīth of Muslim quoted above and gives another ḥadīth, under the heading "What is disliked in the matter of giving corporal punishment to women," according to which the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "Let not one of you inflict corporal punishment upon his wife as he would inflict it upon his slave, for he will be having amorous relations with her soon afterwards" (Bu. 67 : 94).

On another occasion too, the husband is allowed to exercise his authority against the wife, and this too is an occasion where the wife's conduct is openly immoral: "And as for those who are guilty of an open indecency (*fahīsha*) from among your women, call to witness against them four witnesses from among you; then if they bear witness, confine them to the houses until death takes them away, or Allāh opens some way for them" (4 : 15); Allāh's opening a way for them means that they show sincere repentance. The *fahīsha* spoken of here is clearly immoral conduct, and the punishment is a restriction on the woman's movements so that she is deprived of the liberty to move freely in society. Reading this verse along with v. 4 : 34, relating to the infliction of corporal punishment, it appears that confining to the house is the first step, and it is when they repeat their evil deeds in the house, or do not submit to the authority of the husband and desert him, that permission is given to inflict corporal punishment

MARRIAGE

which is the last resort. And if even this step does not make them mend their ways, matrimonial relations may be ended.

The question of birth-control, which has recently come into prominence, also falls under this category. Evidently the entrance into matrimonial relations by a man and a woman is undertaken with only one end in view, *viz.*, the multiplication of the human race. Birth-control is therefore a nullification of the very object of marriage. European civilization is however daily drifting more and more to chaotic conditions in the matter of sexual relations and sexual responsibilities, and along with the new idea of "free love," which however, in the language of the Holy Qur'an, is nothing but *safāḥ* (temporary sexual union devoid of the consequent responsibilities), another idea is finding favour along with the advancement of material civilization, *viz.*, to keep marriage free from the anxieties and responsibilities of children. Medical science is helping this idea by introducing various devices of birth-control, and the result is that in many civilized countries the decline in the birth-rate is causing grave anxiety to the politicians. The serious significance of married life, the care and bringing up of children, as the ultimate object of sexual pleasures, has lost its importance, and the enjoyment of sexual pleasures is becoming an end in itself. This is entirely opposed to the spirit of Islamic teaching on marriage, as already explained.

There is however another consideration in the adoption of birth-control, and that is poverty or the lack of means to bring up children. Strangely enough this consideration carries no weight with the poorer classes, who are indeed prolific in the bearing of children. It is only well-to-do people who put forward the excuse of lack of

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

means. The Holy Qur'ān has referred to this subject in two places, and on both occasions it speaks of birth-control as the actual killing of children: "And do not slay your children for fear of poverty. We give them sustenance and yourselves too" (17:31; 6:152). The reference here is evidently not to the burying alive of daughters, which practice was not due to fear of poverty; the children spoken of are the prospective children who are kept off by birth-control devices, and this amounts practically to the killing of children, for fear that one will not be able to provide for them.¹

One form of birth-control is, however, spoken of in certain ḥadīth as not being forbidden by the Holy Prophet. This is called '*azl*'.² Jābir is reported to have said: "We used to revert to '*azl*' in the time of the Holy Prophet and the Holy Qur'ān was then being revealed" (Bu. 67:97). According to another ḥadīth, when this matter was referred to the Holy Prophet, he said: "What! do you do it? There is no soul that is to be till the Day of Resurrection but it will come into life" (*Ibid*). '*Azl*' being a birth-control device, and as stated above a nullification of the very object of marriage, could not be allowed except for some strong reason. In fact, it could not be permitted unless the wife was unfit or unable to bear children, so that conception would endanger her life or impair her health. That is the only reason which can justify birth-control. And so it is recognized in Fiqh where it is said to be allowed

1. Another explanation of these words has also been given: "It has been said that this prohibition (not to kill the children) is a prohibition against keeping them occupied in such a manner that they cannot get education" (R.). To keep the children ignorant and to deprive them of education is thus spoken of as killing them in a metaphorical sense.

2. '*Azala*' originally means *he put a thing away or aside*, and with reference to sexual relations it means *he (a man) did not desire her (a woman) to have offspring*, technically *paulo ante emissionem (penum snum) extraxit, et extra vulva semen emisit* (LL., TA.).

MARRIAGE

conditionally, on the wife's consent (Ft. A. II, p. 53), but there must be sufficient reason to justify it.

It has already been shown that women are not forbidden to take part in any activity when necessary, nor is there any injunction in the Holy Qur'ān or the Ḥadīth shutting them up within the four walls of their houses. On the other hand, the Holy Book speaks of a Muslim society in which men and women had often to meet each other : " Say to the believing men that they cast down their looks and guard their private parts ; that is purer for them And say to the believing women that they cast down their looks and guard their private parts and not display their ornaments except what appears thereof " (24 : 30, 31). A later revelation supports the same conclusion : " O Prophet ! say to thy wives and thy daughters and the women of the believers that they let down upon them their over-garments ; this will be more proper, that they may be known ; and thus they will not be given trouble " (33 : 59). If women did not go out of their houses, where was the necessity of asking them to wear a distinctive dress, and where was the occasion for their being troubled ? According to Ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said to women : " It is permitted to you to go out for your needs (*ḥāja*) (Bu. 4 : 13 ; 67 : 116). The injunction to the Prophet's wives in the Holy Qur'ān does not mean that they were not to go out for their needs. The verse in question runs thus : " And stay in your houses and do not display your finery like the displaying of the ignorance of yore " (33 : 33). This is evidently an injunction against the parading of finery and thus exciting the uncontrolled passions of youth. It cannot and does not mean, as explained by the Holy Prophet himself, that women are not allowed to go out for their needs. Display of beauty

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and going out for one's needs are quite different things. There is, therefore, no seclusion in Islām in the sense that women are shut up within their houses, for they are as free to move about for their needs, or the transaction of their affairs, as men. Only their needs outside the home are generally fewer, and their duties are to a large extent limited to the home.

The next question is, if women are commanded to veil themselves when they have to go out for their needs. These needs may be either religious or secular. Two prominent instances of the former are taking part in public prayers, and the performance of pilgrimage. If it had been necessary for women to wear veils, an injunction should have been given to wear them on these two sacred occasions, since these are the occasions on which men's sentiments should be purest, and when, therefore, all those things that excite the passions must be avoided. There is however not only no such injunction, but it was a recognized practice that women came into the congregation of men in mosques, unveiled (IJ-C. XVIII, p. 84). It is even admitted by the jurists that women should not veil themselves at prayers and on pilgrimage. In the conditions of prayer it is laid down that the body of the woman must be covered entirely except her face and her hands (H.I., p. 88, *Shurūṭ al-Ṣalā*). The exception of these two parts, it is added, is due to the fact that they must of necessity be left exposed. As regards pilgrimage, there is an express injunction in Ḥadīth that no woman shall put on a veil during the pilgrimage (Bu. 25 : 23). It is also a well-established fact that the mosques in the Prophet's time contained no screens to keep the two sexes separate. The only separation between the men and the women was that women stood

MARRIAGE

in separate rows behind the men. Otherwise they were in the same room or in the same yard, and the two sexes had to intermingle. In the pilgrimage, there was a much greater intermingling of the sexes, women performing circumambulations of the Ka'ba, running between Şafa and Marwa, staying in the plain of 'Arafat and going from place to place, along with men, and yet they were enjoined not to wear a veil.

If then, as admitted on all hands, women did not wear a veil when the two sexes intermingled on religious occasions, when the very sacredness of the occasion called for a veil, if the veil was ever a necessity, it is a foregone conclusion that they could not be required to veil themselves when going out for their secular needs whose very performance would be hampered by the veil. And there is no such injunction either in the Holy Qur'an or the Hadith. In fact, no such injunction could be given when there existed an injunction that women shall remain unveiled in pilgrimage. This injunction rather shows that the veil was adopted simply as a mark of rank or greatness,¹ and the unveiling was required in order to bring all on a level of equality. However that may be, the order to remain unveiled in the pilgrimage is a clear proof that wearing the veil is not an Islamic injunction or practice. And the verses requiring both men and women to keep their looks cast down (see vv. 24 : 30, 31 quoted above), show clearly that when the two sexes had to intermingle as a matter of necessity, the women were not veiled, for otherwise there would

1. The veil is still a mark of rank. Ninety per cent. of the population in any country has to live on labour in which women are also required to take a share to a certain extent. In India, ninety per cent. of the Muslims, living in rural areas cannot afford to have their women veiled. It is generally among the very few big zamindars in villages and in the higher and middle classes of the urban population that the women wear a veil.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

have been no need for the men to keep their looks cast down. And to make the matter clearer still, it is added that they should "not display their ornaments, except what appears thereof." The part that necessarily appears is the face and the hands, and this is also the view of the vast majority of commentators (IJ-C. XVIII, p. 84; RM. VI, p. 52).¹ There is also a ḥadīth according to which the Holy Prophet is reported to have excepted the face and the hands from the parts which were required to be covered: "Asmā', daughter of Abū Bakr, came to the Holy Prophet, and she was wearing very thin clothes (through which the body could be seen). The Prophet turned away his face from her and said, O Asmā'! when the woman attains her majority, it is not proper that any part of her body should be seen except this and this, pointing to his face and his hands" (AD. 31 : 30).

All that the Holy Qur'ān requires is that women
Decent dress
should be decently dressed when
they go out and that they should not

1. Ibn Jarīr quotes three different explanations of *illā mā ṣahara min-hā* (except what appears thereof); 1. The view of Ibn Mas'ūd that these words mean the adornment of dress; 2. The view of Ibn 'Abbās, Sa'id, Dzāḥāk, 'Aṭā, Qatāda, Mujāhid and others that they mean the adornment which it is lawful for the woman to show, *i.e.*, collyrium, ring, bangles and face; 3. The view of Ḥasan that they mean the face and the clothes; and then adds his own view in the following words:

"The most correct explanation of these words is that they mean the face and the hands and include collyrium, ring, bangles and dyeing of hands. We say it is the most correct explanation because there is a consensus of opinion (*ijmā'*) that it is obligatory for him who says his prayers that he should cover all those parts of the body which it is necessary to cover, and for the woman it is obligatory that she should uncover her hands and face in prayers and cover the rest of the body, except that it is reported from the Holy Prophet that he allowed the uncovering of half of her wrist. When there is a consensus of opinion on this, it follows as a matter of course that she can keep uncovered that part of the body which is not included in '*aura*' (the part which it is necessary to cover), for it is not unlawful to uncover that which is not the '*aura*'. And as she can keep it uncovered, it follows that this is what is meant by *illā mā ṣahara min-hā*" (IJ—C. XVIII, p. 84).

uncover their bosoms. This is made clear in v. 24 : 31 : "And say to the believing women that they..... should not display their ornaments except what appears thereof, and let them wear their head-coverings over their bosoms." The practice in Arabia, in pre-Islamic times, of displaying beauty, included the uncovering of the bosom, and hence the injunction relating to the covering of the bosom. A difference was thus made between the dress of women within their houses and when they appeared in public; in the former case, in the presence of fathers and sons and husband's fathers and sons, etc., they were allowed to be more at ease in the matter of their dress, but in public they had to be particular so that their very appearance should be indicative of modesty. On another occasion, the Muslim women are required to wear a dress whose very appearance should distinguish them from such women as did not have a good reputation : "O Prophet ! say to thy wives and thy daughters and the women of the believers that they let down upon them their over-garments ; this will be more proper that they may be known and thus they will not be given trouble " (33 : 59). It seems that this injunction was required by the special circumstances which then prevailed at Madīna, where the hypocrites would molest a good Muslim woman who went out to transact her affairs and then offer the excuse that they thought her to be a woman of ill repute. This is plainly hinted in the verse that follows : " If the hypocrites and those in whose hearts is a disease and the sedition-mongers in the city do not desist, We shall certainly set thee over them, and they shall not be thy neighbours in it but for a little while " (33 : 60). The Arabic word for over-garment is *jilbāb* and it means *a garment with which the woman covers her other garments* or *a woman's head-covering, or a garment with which she covers her*

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

head and bosom (LL.). It may be part of an ordinary dress or it may be a kind of overcoat. Nor is the wearing of it compulsory under all circumstances; it is, rather, a kind of protection when there is fear of trouble, and in the case of older women it is dispensed with altogether as stated elsewhere: "And as for women advanced in years who do not hope for a marriage, it is no sin for them if they put off their cloaks without displaying their ornaments" (24 : 60).

Islām sets great value on the privacy of home-life.

Privacy.

In the first place going into houses without permission is strictly forbidden: "O you who believe! do not enter houses other than your own houses until you have asked permission and saluted their inmates" (24 : 27). And again: "O you who believe! let those whom your right hands possess and those of you who have not attained to puberty ask permission of you three times; before the morning prayer, and when you put off your clothes at midday in summer, and after the prayer of night-fall; these are three times of privacy for you" (24 : 58). The Prophet's privacy was also to be respected: "O you who believe! do not enter the houses of the Prophet unless permission is given to you for a meal, not waiting for its cooking being finished—but when you are invited, enter, and when you have taken the food then disperse And when you ask of them (the women) any goods, ask of them from behind a curtain (*hijāb*)" (33 : 53). The concluding words of the verse aim not only at privacy but also afford a rule of guidance for the maintenance of better relations between the husband and the wife. In fact, all the above rules relating to privacy aim at creating a better atmosphere of sexual morality.

MARRIAGE

In the struggle of life the intermingling of the two sexes cannot be avoided, and Islām allows such intermingling even for religious purposes, as in prayers and pilgrimage. On all such occasions, when intermingling is necessary, the Holy Qur'ān requires the women to appear in their simplest dress, or to wear an over-garment which should cover their ornaments, at the same time requiring both sexes to keep their looks cast down. Unnecessary mingling of the sexes is discouraged. Some ḥadīth prohibit a woman being alone in private with a man who is not her near relative (*dhū mahram*, or a person with whom marriage is prohibited) unless a *dhū mahram* is present (Bu. 67 : 112), but when other people are also present, or one is exposed to public view, there is no harm in being alone with a woman (Bu. 67 : 113). The intermingling of the sexes in social functions generally cannot be traced in the early history of Islām, though there are examples in which a woman entertained the male guests of her husband (Bu. 67 : 78). This was a case of a marriage-feast (*walima*) in which the bride served the guests, but it cannot be said whether this was before the revelation of the 24th chapter or after it. In fact, much would depend, in these matters, on the social customs of the people, and no hard and fast rules can be laid down as to the limits to which the intermingling of the sexes may be allowed. The great object before Islām is to raise the moral status of society and to minimize the chances of illicit sexual relations growing up between the sexes, so that the home may be a haven of peace for the husband, the wife and the children.

Sec. 5.—*Marriage of Slaves.*

Slavery was an institution recognized by all people before Islām. To Islām belongs the credit of laying down principles which, Prostitution abolished and marriage introduced.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

if developed on the right lines, would have brought about its ultimate extinction. But it was not the work of a day, and therefore, so long as the institution remained, provision had to be made for slaves which should make them as good citizens as the free men. Before Islām, slave-girls served the purpose of either satisfying the master's carnal passions or earning money for him through prostitution. To both these evil practices, an end was put immediately, and order was given that both free men and slaves, males as well as females, should remain in a married state: "And marry those among you who are single and those who are fit among your male slaves and your female slaves; and do not compel your slave-girls to prostitution when¹ they desire to keep chaste, in order to seek the frail goods of this world's life (24 : 32, 33). The order to keep the male as well as female slaves in a married state is here combined with the order which puts an end to prostitution, and thus the two evil practices of pre-Islamic Arabia, which were the result of keeping slave-girls in an unmarried state, were put an end to by the one clear injunction that they shall be married. To this order there is no exception either

1. The Arabic word for *when* is *in* which is generally translated as meaning *if*, but *in* in Arabic conveys both senses, *if* as well as *when*. The rendering *if* here is not allowed by the context, for the significance would then be that if the slave-girls desire to keep chaste, they may not be compelled to prostitution. This would lead to the evident conclusion that if they do not desire to keep chaste, they may be compelled to prostitution which is self-contradictory. Hence the rendering adopted here, the meaning being that, as it is the very nature of woman, whether free or slave, that she would remain chaste, slave-girls who are under the control of their masters, should not be compelled to prostitution by not allowing them to marry. A modern writer is of opinion that in Arabia "prostitution was too firmly established to be at once removed" (*Sociology of Islam* by Levy, vol. I.). This opinion is due to a misinterpretation of the Quranic words. The significance of this verse is further clarified by Ḥadīth, as there is a very large number of ḥadīth stating that prostitution and its wages were expressly forbidden by the Holy Prophet (Bu. 34 : 113 ; 37 : 20 ; 68 : 50 ; AD. 22 : 39, etc.).

MARRIAGE

in the Holy Qur'an or in Hadith. The injunction could be carried out in one of the three ways ; by marriage, 1. between two slaves ; 2. between a free person and a slave ; and 3. between the master and the slave. There is no fourth alternative. At the present day, when the institution of slavery has almost been abolished in the whole of the civilized world, there is no need of going into the details of the marriages of the first two classes. The third class of marrying may however be dealt with briefly, as there exists a great misunderstanding to the effect that Islām allows concubinage.

Concubinage is regular sexual connection with a female who does not hold the legal status of a wife ; in other words, keeping a woman in the position of a wife without marrying her. There is a general impression that Islām gives an unlimited license to have as many concubines as one likes, so long as the concubine is a slave or a prisoner of war and not a free woman. Concubinage was undoubtedly practised in Arabia before Islām, and it may have been practised by some Muslims until the revelation of the verse quoted above. By this revelation, however, concubinage was put an end to. A plain injunction had been received that all male and female slaves must be married. If any master of a female slave kept her as a concubine after that, it was against the Quranic injunction. The Qur'an does not make any exception in favour of the master ; on the other hand, it lays the responsibility, of having the slaves married, on the masters. No master of a slave-girl could keep her as a concubine when the Holy Qur'an enjoined him to have her married, and if he did so keep her, his deed, whether due to his ignorance of the Quranic injunction or to intentional violation of it, had no value in law.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

The legality of concubinage has been inferred from certain expressions used in the Holy Qur'an. The most important of these are the following words: "And who guard their private parts, except before their mates or those whom their right hands possess, for they are not blameable" (23: 5, 6; 70: 29, 30). This is a description of true believers and applies to men as well as women, the latter being clearly described as possessing all the good and great qualities which are possessed by men (33: 35). If therefore the above description of the faithful, which occurs twice in the Holy Qur'an, and no more, can justify a man having sexual relations with his female slaves, it can also justify similar relations of a woman with her male slaves. But no one has ever drawn such an absurd conclusion from these words. The Arabic word for *private parts*, as used here, is *furūj*, pl. of *farj* which means *the part of a person which it is indecent to expose* (LL.). *Hifz al-farj* therefore signifies not only refraining from actual sexual intercourse but also refraining from exposing certain parts of the body which it is indecent to expose. But a certain degree of freedom in this latter sense is allowed, to both men and women, in the persence of their slaves who had to wait upon them on all occasions. Ideas of decency may differ, so much so that there have grown up people in all civilized countries who think that it is not indecent to be nude in the presence of others; on the other hand, they take pride in remaining naked even in public and sometimes try to take out processions of naked people, both men and women. Such practices are revolting to Islamic ideas of decency, and Islām does not allow the exposure, on the part of women, even of such parts as are commonly to be seen in ball-rooms and theatres. But even if, for the sake of argument, the inference drawn from these words, to wit, that Muslims

MARRIAGE

are allowed to have concubines, were accepted as true, that inference loses all its value when it is borne in mind that the two chapters in which this expression occurs are early Makka revelations, when Islām had not yet introduced its reforms, and that the permission, if ever there was any, to keep concubines was taken away by the reforms introduced at Madīna, when a clear injunction was given that all female slaves should be kept in a married state. If the female slave must be married, the master certainly has no right to sexual enjoyment with her.

It must be further borne in mind that neither the Holy Qur'ān, nor the Ḥadīth, anywhere speaks of the right of the master to have sexual intercourse with a slave. In other words, ownership is nowhere recognized as legalizing sexual relationship. The only thing that legalizes sexual intercourse is a contract, duly witnessed, between the two parties to undertake the responsibilities accruing from that contract, with a dowry settled upon the woman, and thus marriage, whether with a free person or a slave, is the only means of legalizing sexual connection.

Evidently, then, the master could have sexual connection with his female slave under the rules laid down in the Holy Qur'ān, relating to the marriage of a free man with a slave girl: "And whoever among you has not within his power amplex of means to marry free believing women, (he may marry) of those whom your right hands possess from among your believing maidens; and Allāh knows best your faith; you are (sprung) the one from the other; so marry them with the permission of their masters, and give them their dowries justly, being chaste, not fornicating, nor receiving paramours This is for him among you who fears falling into evil" (4:25). The conditions of marriage as laid down in this case, are the same as those

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

in the case of a free woman, with one addition, *viz.*, that the consent of the master of the slave must be obtained, in addition to the consent of the girl herself. The mahr must be paid as in the case of the free woman, though the burden would be lighter. In v. 4 : 3 again, the taking of a slave as wife is permitted, but still it is through proper marriage that she can become a wife,¹ as explained further on in v. 4 : 25.

There is only one more verse of the Holy Qur'an which has a bearing on this subject. It runs thus : " O Prophet ! We have made lawful to thee thy wives whom thou hast given their dowries, and those whom thy right hand possesses, out of those whom Allāh has given to thee as prisoners of war..... specially for thee, not for the rest of the believers. We know what We have ordained for them concerning their wives and those whom their right hands possess " (33 : 50). Here it is stated that all his wives and all those whom his right hand possessed, out of the prisoners of war, were made lawful to the Prophet specially. These words must be read along with v. 4 : 3, which lays down that the permission for plurality of wives was limited to four. Those of the believers who had more than four wives were thus required to divorce the excess number, but a special permission was given to the Prophet to retain all his wives, and those whom his right hand possessed, out of the prisoners of war, though their number was more than four. This phrase *mā malakat yamīnu-ka* (what thy right hand possesses) is the same as *mā malakat aimānu-kum*

1. The verse states first that a man may marry up to four wives under exceptional circumstances and then adds that if he fears that he will not be able to do justice, then (he should marry) only *one* or (if he cannot find a free woman as wife, then he may marry) *that which your right hands possess*. A reference to the original would show that both *wāḥidat-an* (*one*) and *mā malakat aimānu-kum* (that which your right hands possess) are objects of *ankiḥū* (marry).

MARRIAGE

(what your right hands possess), the former speaking of one person and the latter of many. Now the question is, who were the women that fell in the category of "what thy right hand possesses?" Were they women to whom the Prophet had gone in simply because they had fallen into his hands as captives of war? In other words, were these concubines with whom sexual relations were legalized because of the right of ownership? There was none such in the Prophet's household. The Prophet had taken only two women as wives out of the prisoners of war, *viz.*, Şafiyya from among the Jews, and Juwairiya from among the Banī Muşţalaq. They were not concubines but lawfully married wives, taken as wives in as honourable a manner as any of the others. If there was any difference, it was this that their freedom was considered as their mahr (dowry). This verse, read along with the history of the Prophet's life, sets at rest the question, what is meant by *mā malakat aimānu-kum* (what your right hands possess) in the Holy Qur'ān. Such women were from among the prisoners of war, but they were lawfully married wives. Hence the only difference between *azwāj* (wives) and *mā malakat yamīnu-ka* (those whom thy right hand possesses) is that the former were free women at the time of marriage while the latter were captives, but both were lawfully married.

In the same verse, the words *mā malakat aimānu-kum* have again been used regarding the believers generally: "We know what We have ordained for them concerning their wives and those whom their right hands possess." It shows that there already existed some ordinance in the Holy Qur'ān both as regards wives and as regards those "whom your right hands possess." Now the ordinance as regards wives is contained in v. 4 : 3 and elsewhere, but the only ordinance as regards *mā malakat aimānu-kum* is that contained in v. 4 : 25, where conditions

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

are laid down, under which prisoners of war can be taken in marriage. There is no ordinance with regard to them anywhere else in the Holy Qur'ān, except of course that contained in v. 24 : 32, which lays an obligation upon all owners of slaves or prisoners of war to have them married. Therefore prisoners of war or slaves can only be taken in marriage, if sexual relations with them are to be legalized.

The case of the master of a female slave who would himself have sexual relations with her differs only in one respect, *viz.*, that he, being himself her master, does not stand in need of permission from anybody else. But there must still be a legal marriage. The Prophet's example however shows that when a prisoner of war was elevated to the dignity of wifehood, she was also set free. It was in this manner that he took two ladies, who were prisoners of war, as wives. He set an example in this matter, and the faithful were enjoined to take him for an exemplar (33:21) and imitate him. Nay, his acting in this manner was undoubtedly based on his interpretation of the Quranic revelation, and that interpretation, of which the proof exists in his act, must be followed by all Muslims. He was divinely guided to act in this manner, and a Muslim who does not follow his example follows his own desire, instead of following the Divine guidance. But more than this. The Prophet most emphatically laid it down that the master of a slave-girl should educate her, set her free and marry her: "The Holy Prophet said, There are three people for whom there is a double reward; a person belonging to the Ahl al-Kitāb who believes in his own prophet and believes in Muḥammad, and the slave owned by another when he performs his obligations towards Allāh and his obligations towards his master, and the man

MARRIAGE

who has a slave-girl with him¹, then he teaches her good manners and instructs her well in polite accomplishments, and he educates her and gives her a good education, then he sets her free and marries her; he has a double reward" (Bu. 3 : 31; 49 : 14, 16; 56 : 145; 60 : 48; 67 : 13; M. 16 : 14; AD. 12 : 5, etc.) This ḥadīth which is repeated in the *Bukhārī* no less than six times, and is accepted by all the six reliable collections of Ḥadīth, claims a very high degree of reliability. If the words of this ḥadīth were only recommendatory, they would still show what reform the Holy Prophet desired to bring about, and combined with his own practice they lead to the certain conclusion that his ultimate object was to raise slave-girls to a status of perfect equality with free women. But the recommendation is really of an imperative nature. It is not meant that the man who believes in his own prophet may reject Muḥammad, nor that the slave who performs his obligations towards his master may not care for his obligations towards God. The double reward is rather due to the fact that he overcomes a great temptation. A man who believes in one prophet thinks that is sufficient for him, but this is not actually the case; a belief in Muḥammad is a greater necessity, as the man who believes in him believes in other prophets as well. Similarly, it is not sufficient for the slave to do his duty to his master; to bear in mind his obligations towards the Great Master is a greater necessity still. And thus,

1. In only one report of this ḥadīth (Bu. 3 : 31), some copies of *Bukhārī* add the words *kāna yaṭa'u-hā* after *amat* (slave-girl), in which case the meaning would be that he had a slave-girl with whom he used to have sexual relations, but the more authoritative copies do not contain these words. That this addition is a later interpolation is clear from the fact that *Bukhārī* narrates this ḥadīth five times again through different channels, and these words do not occur in any copy in all these places, nor is this addition met with in *Muslim* and *Abū Dāwūd*. But even if the Prophet spoke them, he was referring to the conditions that prevailed before this reform was introduced.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

even if the master treats his slave-girl well, and gives her the best of education, it is not sufficient; he must set her free and raise her to the status of a wife, if he desires to have sexual relations with her.

The Holy Qur'ān, the Prophet's practice and Ḥadīth are thus all agreed that slave-girls must be married; there is no exception to that rule whether her husband is a slave or a free man or the master himself. It is only in Fiqh that we find the rule laid down that a master may have sexual relations with his slave-girl simply because of the right of ownership which he has in her. But even Fiqh maintains that cohabitation with a slave-girl is only allowed if all those conditions are fulfilled which must be fulfilled if she were to be taken in marriage as a wife. For instance, it is necessary that such a slave-girl should be either a Muslim or one following a revealed religion, and that she should not be married. Both these are also necessary conditions of marriage. Again, just as a man cannot have two sisters as wives at one and the same time, a master, according to Fiqh, cannot cohabit with two slave-girls who are sisters or who stand to each other in such relationship that their being taken as wives together is prohibited. This shows that even the Fiqh, though allowing cohabitation on the ground of ownership recognizes such cohabitation as the equivalent of marriage.

Sec. 6 — Divorce.

Though marriage, according to Islām, is only a civil contract, yet the rights and responsibilities consequent upon it are of such importance to the welfare of humanity, that a high degree of sanctity is attached to it. But in spite of the sacredness of the character of the marriage-tie, Islām recognizes the necessity, in exceptional circumstances, of

MARRIAGE

keeping the way open for its dissolution. With the exception, perhaps, of the Hindū law, the necessity of divorce has been recognized by all people. The right of divorce according to the Jewish law belongs to the husband who can exercise it at his will. The Christian law recognizes the right of divorce only when there is faithlessness on the part of either of the parties, but the divorced parties are precluded from marrying again. According to Hindū law marriage once performed can never be dissolved. Islām effected several reforms in divorce. It restricted the husband's right to divorce while recognizing the wife's right to it.

The Arabic word for divorce is *ṭalāq* which carries the literal significance of *freeing* or *the undoing of a knot* (R.). In the terminology of the jurists, the *ṭalāq* is called a *khul'* (meaning literally *the putting off or taking off* of a thing), when it is claimed by the wife. Both from the Holy Qur'ān and the Ḥadīth it appears that, though divorce was permitted, yet the right could be exercised only under exceptional circumstances. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "Never did Allāh allow anything more hateful to Him than divorce" (AD. 13 : 3). According to a report of Ibn 'Umar, he said: "With Allāh the most detestable of all things permitted is divorce" (*Ibid*). The Holy Qur'ān also approves of the Holy Prophet insisting that Zaid should not divorce his wife, notwithstanding a dissension of a sufficiently long standing. The incident is thus spoken of: "And when thou didst say to him to whom Allāh had shown favour and to whom thou hadst shown a favour, Keep thy wife (*i.e.*, do not divorce her) and be careful of thy duty to Allāh" (33 : 37). Refraining from divorce is spoken of here as *taqwā* or righteousness. Elsewhere divorce is thus discouraged: "If you hate

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

them (*i.e.*, your wives), it may be that you dislike a thing while Allāh has placed abundant good in it " (4 : 19). Remedies are also suggested to avoid divorce so long as possible : " And if you fear a breach between the two (*i.e.*, the husband and the wife), then appoint a judge from his people and a judge from her people ; if they both desire agreement, Allāh will effect harmony between them " (4 : 35). It was due to such teachings of the Holy Qur'ān that the Holy Prophet declared divorce to be the most hateful of all things permitted. And it is due to this that in spite of the facility with which it may be effected, divorce takes place only rarely among the Muslims, compared with the large number of divorces in Christian countries. The mentality of the Muslim is to face the difficulties of the married life along with its comforts, and to avoid disturbing the disruption of the family relations as long as possible, turning to divorce only as a last resort.

From what has been said above, it is clear that not only must there be a good cause for divorce, but that all means to effect reconciliation must have been exhausted before resort is had to this extreme measure. The impression that a Muslim husband may put away his wife at his mere caprice, is a grave distortion of the Islamic institution of divorce. But though the Holy Qur'ān refers to several causes when divorce may become necessary, it does not enumerate all of them, nor does it strictly limit them to specified cases. In fact, if the different nations of Europe and America, who profess the same religion, are at the same level of civilization and the same stage of advancement, and have an affinity of feeling on most social and moral questions, cannot agree as to the proper causes of divorce, how could a universal religion like Islām, which was meant for all ages and all countries, for

MARRIAGE

people in the lowest grade of civilization as well as those at the top, limit those causes which must vary with changing conditions of humanity and society.

The principle of divorce spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān, and which in fact includes to a greater or less extent all causes, is the decision no longer to live together as husband and wife. In fact, marriage itself is nothing but an agreement to live together as husband and wife, and when either of the parties finds him or herself unable to agree to such a life, divorce must follow. It is not, of course, meant that every disagreement between them would lead to divorce; it is only the disagreement to live any more as husband and wife. In the Holy Qur'ān such disagreement is called *shiqāq* (from *shaqq* meaning *breaking into two*). But not even the *shiqāq* entitles either party to a divorce, unless all possibilities of agreement have been exhausted. The principle of divorce is, therefore, thus described in the Holy Qur'ān: "And if you fear a breach (*shiqāq*) between the two, then appoint a judge from his people and a judge from her people; if they both desire agreement, Allāh will effect harmony between them; surely Allāh is Knowing, Aware" (4 : 35). And further on it is added: "And if they separate, Allāh will render them both free from want out of His ampleness, and Allāh is Ample-giving, Wise" (4 : 130).

This verse gives us not only the principle of divorce, which is *shiqāq* or a disagreement to live together as husband and wife, but also the process to be adopted when a rupture of marital relations is feared. The two sexes are here placed on a level of perfect equality. A "breach between the two" would imply that either the husband or the wife wants to break off the marriage agreement, and hence either may claim a divorce when the parties can no longer pull on in agreement. In the

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

process to be adopted, both husband and wife are to be represented on a status of equality; a judge has to be appointed from his people and another from her people. The two are told to try to remove the differences and reconcile the parties to each other. If agreement cannot be brought about, a divorce will follow.

It will be seen that the principle advanced here in the matter of divorce is an all-inclusive one. All causes of divorce are subject to the condition that one of the parties cannot pull on with the other. For instance, the husband is impotent, or one of the parties has a disease which makes him or her unfit for sexual relations. In such cases justice would demand a divorce, but only when the party entitled to it, wants it. If both are willing to live in marital agreement, in spite of the defects in one of them, no power on earth can effect a divorce; but if the aggrieved party finds that she or he is unable to live in marital agreement with the other, it would be a case of *shiqāq* or breach of the marriage agreement. Similarly, if the husband is imprisoned for life, or for a long period, or if he is absent and no news can be had of him, or if he is maimed for life and is unable to provide maintenance for his wife, it will be a case of *shiqāq* if the wife wants a divorce, but if she does not, the marriage will remain. In case the husband is aggrieved in a similar manner, he has the option of taking another wife.

The *shiqāq* or breach of the marriage agreement may also arise from the conduct of either party; for instance, if either of them misconducts himself or herself, or either of them is consistently cruel to the other, or, as may sometimes happen, there is incompatibility of temperament to such an extent that they cannot live together in marital agreement. The *shiqāq* in these cases is more express, but still it will depend upon the parties whether

MARRIAGE

they can pull on or not. Divorce must always follow when one of the parties finds it impossible to continue the marriage agreement and is compelled to break it off. At first sight it may look like giving too much latitude to the parties to allow them to end the marriage contract thus, even if there is no reason except incompatibility of temperament, but this much is certain that if there is such disagreement that the husband and the wife can not pull together, it is better for themselves, for their offspring and for society in general that they should be separated than that they should be compelled to live together. No home is worth the name wherein instead of peace there is wrangling; and marriage is meaningless if there is no spark of love left between the husband and the wife. It is an error to suppose that such latitude tends to destroy the stability of marriage, because marriage is entered into as a permanent and sacred relation based on love between a man and a woman, and divorce is only a remedy when marriage fails to fulfil its object

It will have been seen that the Holy Qur'an places

the two parties on a perfect level of
 Wife's right of divorce. equality in the matter of divorce.

Ḥadīth makes it clearer still. The Holy Prophet is related to have married a woman called Umaina or Ibnat al-Jaun, and when he went in to her, she said that she sought refuge in God from him, that is to say, wanted a divorce; and he granted her a divorce, and sent her off with some presents (Bu. 68 : 3). Another case is that of Thābit ibn Qais whose wife is reported to have come to the Holy Prophet and said : "O Messenger of Allāh ! I do not find fault in Thābit ibn Qais regarding his morals or faith but I cannot pull on with him."¹ The Holy Prophet said : "Wilt thou return to him his orchard

1. The words in one report are : "I hate *kufr* (ungratefulness) in Islām," and in another : "I cannot bear him (*lā uṭīqu-hū*)."

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

(which he had settled upon her as a dowry)?” On receiving a reply in the affirmative, the Holy Prophet sent for Thābit and ordered him to take back his orchard and divorce his wife (Bu. 68 : 11). These two examples are sufficient to show that the wife had the right to claim divorce on those very grounds on which the husband could divorce his wife.

The right of the wife to claim a divorce is not only recognized by the Holy Qur’ān and Ḥadīth but also in Fiqh. The technical term for the wife’s right to divorce by returning her dowry is called *khul’*, and it is based on the ḥadīth already quoted, and the following verse of the Holy Qur’ān : “Divorce may be pronounced twice ; then keep them in good fellowship or let them go with kindness ; and it is not lawful for you to take any part of what you have given them unless both fear that they cannot keep within the limits of Allāh : then if you fear that they cannot keep within the limits of Allāh, there is no blame on them for what she gives up to become free thereby” (2 : 229). By keeping “within the limits of Allāh” here is clearly meant the fulfilment of the object of marriage or performance of the duties imposed by conjugal relationship. The dowry is thus a check on the party who wants the divorce ; if the husband wants to divorce the wife, the wife shall have the dowry ; if the wife wants the divorce, the husband is entitled to the dowry. But it is the judges spoken of in v. 4 : 35, and referred to here in the words “if you fear that they cannot keep within the limits of Allāh,” that shall decide whether the husband or the wife is responsible for the breach and which of them is entitled to the dowry.

The wife is also entitled to a divorce if the husband is missing, or *mafqūd al-khabar*, which means that he has disappeared and cannot be communicated with, because though there is no *shiqāq* in this case, yet the

MARRIAGE

husband is unable to fulfil his marital obligations. There is no definite statement in the Holy Qur'an, or Ḥadīth, to show how long the wife should wait in such a case. The Ḥanafī law on this point is very unreasonable, requiring the wife to wait for 120 or 100 years, according to the opinions of Imām Abū Ḥanīfa and Abū Yūsuf respectively (H. I, pp. 598, 599). The Shāfi'i law requires seven years' waiting, while according to Imām Mālik she should wait for four years (H. I, p. 597). The view of Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and the Shi'a view agree with Mālik. This is a more reasonable view. Bukhārī has a chapter on the *Mafqūd* (Bu. 68 : 21), in which there is no ḥadīth of the Holy Prophet relating to the subject proper, but the view of Ibn al-Musayyab is quoted, according to which when a person becomes *mafqūd* in the course of fighting, his wife shall wait for a year; and a report is added relating to Ibn Mas'ūd who searched for the husband of a maid-servant of his for one year and then treated him as *mafqūd*, and this was not the case of a man lost in fighting. Under present conditions when communication is so easy, one year would be a sufficient period of waiting for the *mafqūd*.

Though the Holy Qur'an speaks of the divorce being pronounced by the husband, yet a limitation is placed upon the exercise of this right. The following procedure is laid down in clear words: "And if you fear a breach between the two, then appoint a judge from his people and a judge from her people; if they desire agreement, Allāh will effect harmony between them" (4 : 35). "And if they separate, Allāh will render them both free from want out of His ampleness" (4 : 130). It will be seen that in all disputes between the husband and the wife, which it is feared will lead to a breach, two judges are to be appointed from the respective people of

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

the two parties. These judges are required first to try to reconcile the parties to each other, failing which divorce is to be effected. Therefore, though it is the husband who pronounces the divorce, he is as much bound by the decision of the judges, as is the wife. This shows that the husband cannot repudiate the marriage at will. The case must first be referred to two judges and their decision is binding. The Caliph 'Alī is reported to have told a husband, who thought he had the sole right to divorce, that he would have to abide by the judgment of the judges appointed under this verse (Rz. III, p. 320). The Holy Prophet is reported to have interfered and disallowed a divorce pronounced by a husband, restoring the marital relations (Bu. 68 : 1, 2). It was no doubt a matter of procedure, but it shows that the authority constituted by law has the right to interfere in matters of divorce. The only question is as to the procedure to be adopted when the Muslims are living under non-Muslim rule. In such a case, if no *Qādī* has been appointed by the authorities, the appointment of the judges shall be in the hands of the Muslim community, and it may exercise that right in any way it likes. Failing even such arrangements, the parties may come to an agreement between themselves. If, therefore, a Muslim government or the Muslim community makes any rules laying down the procedure of divorce and placing such limitations upon the husband in matters of divorce as are not inconsistent with the principles laid down by the Holy Qur'ān, it would be quite Islamic.

The menstrual discharge is looked upon as pollution

Divorce during menstruation. in many religions, and the woman who has her courses on is segregated, as among the Hindūs and the Jews. In the Holy Qur'ān, the subject of menstruation is dealt with as a preliminary

MARRIAGE

to that of divorce, and sexual intercourse is prohibited when the courses are on, as it is said to be "harmful" (2 : 222). It is owing to this temporary cessation of the amorous relations between the husband and the wife, that divorce is prohibited during the period when the menstrual discharge is on. It was brought to the notice of the Holy Prophet that Ibn 'Umar had divorced his wife while she was menstruating. The divorce was declared to be illegal by the Holy Prophet, and Ibn 'Umar was asked to take back his wife (Bu. 68:1). Thus divorce is only permitted in the state of *tuhr* (when the woman is clear from the menstrual discharge), there being the further condition that the husband and the wife should not have copulated during that *tuhr*. Evidently this is meant as a sort of check upon the freedom of divorce.

The final breaking off of marital relations is discouraged in many other ways and every chance is afforded to the parties to maintain the conjugal tie, even after differences have arisen leading to divorce. Every divorce must be followed by a period of waiting called the 'idda: "O Prophet! when you divorce women, divorce them for their 'idda (*prescribed or waiting time*)" (65 : 1). The 'idda is about three months: "And the divorced women should keep themselves in waiting for three courses (*qurū*)" (2 : 228). A *qar'* (pl. *qurū*) is the entering from the state of *tuhr* (cleanness) into the state of menstruation. In normal cases it is about four weeks, but there are variations in the case of different women. In the case of women who do not menstruate as well as those whose courses have stopped, the 'idda is three months (65 : 4), and in the case of pregnant women, the waiting period is till delivery (*ibid*). The 'idda among other purposes serves the purpose of affording the parties

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

a chance of reconciliation. Though they are divorced, yet they still live in the same house, the husband being plainly told not to expel the wife from the house in which she has been living unless she is guilty of misconduct, and a similar advice is given to the wife not to leave the house (65 : 1). This injunction clearly aims at restoring amicable relations between the parties and minimizing chances of the accentuation of differences. If there is any love in the union, its pangs would assert themselves during the period of waiting and bring about a reconciliation.

In fact, reconciliation is recommended in plain words when, speaking of the 'idda, Divorce is revocable. the Holy Qur'ān says : " And their husbands have a better right to take them back in the meanwhile if they wish for reconciliation " (2 : 228). Every divorce is thus an experimental temporary separation during its initial stages, and by making the parties live together, every chance is afforded to them to re-establish conjugal relations. Even after the period of waiting has passed away, the two parties are allowed, even encouraged, to remarry : " And when you have divorced women and they have ended their term of waiting, do not prevent them from marrying their husbands, when they agree among themselves in a lawful manner ; with this is admonished whosoever among you believes in Allāh and the last day ; this is more profitable and purer for you : and Allāh knows while you do not know " (2 : 232). Remarriage of the divorced parties is thus encouraged and recommended as being more profitable and purer for the parties. The condition is also laid down that such a revocable divorce, allowing reunion of the parties, can be pronounced twice : " Divorce may be pronounced twice : then keep them in

MARRIAGE

good fellowship or let them go with kindness" (2 : 229). Thus the revocable divorce, the *ṭalaq raj'ī* in the terminology of the jurists, can be pronounced twice.

After the first divorce, the parties have the right to reassert their conjugal relations within the period of waiting, and to remarry after the waiting period is over. A similar right is given to them after a second divorce, but not after a third. Before Islām, however, while the wife had no right of divorce, the husband had an unchecked license to divorce the wife and to reassert his conjugal rights during 'idda as many times as he pleased (Rz. II, p. 372). Thus women were looked upon as mere chattel which could be discarded and taken at will. This had demoralized the whole institution of marriage. Islām not only gave the wife a right of divorce, but also checked the husband's license to divorce as often as he liked, by declaring that revocable divorce could be given only twice : "Divorce may be pronounced twice : then keep them in good fellowship or let them go with kindness" (2 : 229). It was thus laid down that, after the second revocation or remarriage, the parties must make their choice either to live together as husband and wife for ever, or to separate for ever, never thinking of reunion. Hence if even the second experiment failed and the parties were separated by a divorce for the third time, this was an irrevocable divorce, or *ṭalaq ba'in*, in the terminology of the jurists.

The jurists have recognized divorce in three forms.

Pronouncement of divorce in three forms. A man would sometimes pronounce divorce thrice on one and the same occasion, and this would be understood as meaning that divorce had been given thrice. This is called *ṭalaq bid'ī* (or an innovation in divorce after the Holy Prophet's time). Or a man would divorce his wife for the first

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

time in one *ṭuhr*, following on with a second divorce in the second *ṭuhr* and with a third divorce in the third, thus divorcing thrice in one 'idda or one period of waiting. This method of *ṭalāq* is called *ṭalāq ḥasan* (a good way of divorcing) in the terminology of the jurists. The name *ṭalāq aḥsan* (or the best method of divorcing) is given to the form in which *ṭalāq* is pronounced in a *ṭuhr* only once, and this is followed by the period of waiting (H. I, p. 333). This last method is the only method recognized by the Holy Qur'ān. It is plainly laid down : " O Prophet ! when you divorce women, divorce them for their prescribed time ('idda), and calculate the number of the days prescribed, and be careful of your duty to Allāh, your Lord" (65 : 1). The divorce is thus to be pronounced only once, and when it has been pronounced, the 'idda, or waiting period, follows, and during this time the parties have a right to revocation of the divorce. All other forms of divorce are against the Holy Qur'ān and the Sunna of the Prophet.

Thus the Holy Qur'ān recognizes *ṭalāq* only in one form, the *ṭalāq al-sunna*, or the *ṭalāq aḥsan* of the Ḥanafī jurists.

Subterfuges to make the revocable divorce irrevocable

There is no mention at all of the other two forms, either in the Holy Qur'ān or in Ḥadīth. These two forms are, in fact, only subterfuges to make the revocable divorce an irrevocable one. The tendency to resort to these subterfuges is noticeable even in the lifetime of the Holy Prophet. The pronouncing of three divorces without an interval, seems to have been a remnant of pre-Islamic days. The Holy Prophet is reported to have shown indignation when it was brought to his notice that a certain person had pronounced three divorces together (Ns. 27 : 6), and a divorce thus pronounced was annulled by him (Ah. I, p. 265). Another report shows that until the time of 'Umar, people used

MARRIAGE

to pronounce three divorces together, but that they counted as a single divorce (Ah. I, p. 314). 'Umar, in order to restrain people from such an un-Islamic proceeding, ordered three divorces given at one time to be reckoned as three separate acts of divorce, taking place at intervals, but this order had the opposite effect to that intended. It became a general practice to pronounce divorce three times on one occasion, and this was supposed to have the effect of three separate acts of divorce, thus making a revocable divorce irrevocable. This is really a negation of the very principle underlying the institution of divorce in Islām. It is true that divorce is allowed, but as it disturbs the normal family relations, it is looked upon with disfavour and is permitted only in extreme cases when the carrying on of marital obligations by the husband or the wife becomes impossible. But even after this extreme step has been taken, not only are the parties still free to resume conjugal relations within the waiting period, and to remarry after that period has expired, but they are actually encouraged to do so. The two forms of divorce, called *bid'i* and *ḥasan*, take away the freedom to reunite which the Holy Qur'an has conferred upon the two parties, and they are therefore against the teachings of the Holy Qur'an and must be discarded. The revocable divorce of the Holy Qur'an cannot be made irrevocable, as by this change, a death-blow is dealt to the beneficial spirit underlying the institution of divorce in Islām. Hence, whether divorce is pronounced once or thrice or a hundred times, it is only a single divorce, and it is revocable during the waiting period.

It is clear from what has been stated that irrevocable divorce is the very rarest of things that can happen among Muslims, and it can only occur if the two un-Quranic

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

forms of divorce, to make revocable divorces irrevocable, are brought in. When a man and a woman have found by two experiments that they cannot live together as husband and wife, it is absurd on their part to think of remarriage again. Hence the Holy Qur'an lays down that they shall not remarry after the second failure of the union, except in one case: "So if he divorces her (for the third time), she shall not be lawful to him afterwards until she marries another husband; then if he (the second husband) divorces her, there is no blame on them both if they return to each other (by marriage), if they think that they can keep within the limits of Allāh" (2 : 230). Thus the one case in which marriage with the first husband is allowed, after being divorced for the third time, is that in which a marriage has been contracted with a second husband and that too has proved a failure. If there be such a rare case, the parties to the marriage have probably learned a lesson through another marital union to the effect that they should behave better towards each other. An irrevocable divorce, being in itself a rarity according to the teachings of the Holy Qur'an, a case like the one spoken of, in the verse quoted above, would be a still greater rarity, but still if such a case should arise, the parties are allowed to remarry even after an irrevocable divorce.

Tahlīl or *ḥalāla*, which means *legalizing* or *making* a thing *lawful*, was a pre-Islamic practice. When the wife was divorced irrevocably, by thrice pronouncing the divorce formula, and the husband wanted to take her back again, she had first to marry a third person on condition that he would divorce her after having sexual connection with her. This was called *ḥalāla*. It is a mistake to

MARRIAGE

confound the *ḥalāla* with the marriage spoken of in the verse quoted under the previous heading, since *ḥalāla* was a kind of punishment for the woman who had to undergo the disgrace of sexual connection amounting practically to adultery, while the marriage spoken of in the previous paragraph is a perpetual marital tie, and the divorce in that case may not follow at all; in fact, in the normal course of things it would not follow at all. It is for this reason that the Holy Prophet cursed those who resorted to this practice, his words being: "The curse of Allāh be on the man who commits *ḥalāla* and the man for whom the *ḥalāla* is committed" (Tr. 9:25). The Caliph 'Umar is reported to have said that if there were brought to him two men who took part in the practice of *ḥalāla*, he would treat them as adulterous people. The three divorces, as allowed in the Holy Qur'an, of which the third is irrevocable, were of very rare occurrence, as such divorces naturally occurred at long intervals. The case of Rukāna is mentioned in the reports; he first divorced his wife in the time of the Holy Prophet, then remarried her and divorced her a second time in the reign of 'Umar, and finally in the caliphate of 'Uthmān (ZM. II, p. 258).

Divorce may be given orally, or in writing, but it must take place in the presence of witnesses: "So when they have reached their prescribed time, then retain them with kindness or separate them with kindness, and call to witness two men of justice from among you, and give upright testimony for Allāh" (65:2). Whatever the actual words used, they must expressly convey the intention that the marriage tie is being dissolved. As to whether a divorce would be effective under certain circumstances, there are differences among the various schools of jurists. Evidently intention is as necessary

Procedure of divorce.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

a factor in the dissolution of marriage as in the marriage itself, but while some recognize that divorce is ineffective if given under compulsion or influence, or in a state of intoxication, or in anger or jest, or by mistake or inadvertance, others hold it to be ineffective in some of these cases and effective in others. The Ḥanafī law recognizes that divorce is effective whether the words be uttered in sport or jest or in a state of drunkenness and whether a person utters them willingly or under compulsion, but Imām Shafi'ī takes the opposite view (H. I, p. 337). Evidently the Ḥanafī views are against the spirit of the teachings of the Holy Qur'ān which declares divorce to be a very serious matter, and lays down special procedure to be gone through before it is resorted to:

Īlā' and *ẓihār* were two parctices of the pre-Islamic days by which the wife was kept in a state of suspense, sometimes for the whole of her life. *Īlā'*, which means literally *swearing*, signifies technically *the taking of an oath that one shall not go in to one's wife*. In the pre-Islamic days the Arabs used to take such oaths frequently, and as the period of suspension was not limited, the wife had sometimes to pass her whole life in bondage, having neither the position of a wife, nor that of a divorced woman free to marry elsewhere. The Holy Qur'ān reformed this state of things by commanding that if the husband did not re-assert conjugal relations within four months, the wife should be divorced: "In the case of those who swear that they will not go in to their wives, the waiting period is four months; then if they go back, Allāh is surely Forgiving, Merciful. And if they resolve on a divorce, then Allāh is surely Hearing, Knowing" (2 : 226, 227).

MARRIAGE

The word *ṣihār* is derived from *ṣahr* meaning *back*.

ṣihār. An Arab in the days of ignorance would say to his wife, *anti 'alayya ka-ṣahri ummi*, i.e., thou art to me as the back of my mother. This was technically called *ṣihār*. No sooner were these words pronounced, than the relation between husband and wife ended as by a divorce, but the woman was not at liberty to leave the husband's house, and remained as a deserted wife. One of the Muslims, Aus ibn Ṣāmit treated his wife *Khawla* in a similar manner. The wronged woman came to the Holy Prophet and complained of her husband's ill-treatment. The Holy Prophet told her that he was unable to interfere. She went back disappointed and it was then that he received the following revelation: "Allāh indeed knows the plea of her who pleads with thee about her husband and complains to Allāh, and Allāh knows the contentions of both of you; surely Allāh is Hearing, Seeing. As for those of you who put away their wives by likening them to the backs of their mothers, they are not their mothers; their mothers are no others than those who gave them birth; and most surely they utter a hateful word and a falsehood" (58: 1, 2). The man who resorted to this practice was ordered to free a slave; or if he could not find one, then to fast for two successive months, and if unable to do that, to feed sixty poor people (58: 3, 4).

The word *li'ān* is derived from *la'na* meaning *curse*.

Li'ān. *Li'ān* and *mulā'ana* signify literally

mutual cursing. Technically, however, the two words indicate that particular form of bringing about separation between the husband and the wife in which the husband accuses the wife of adultery but has no evidence to support the accusation, while she denies it. The Holy Qur'ān makes adultery a severely punishable crime, since it aims at the destruction of the

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

whole social fabric. At the same time it makes an accusation of adultery an equally serious crime, punishable like adultery if strong evidence of adultery be not forthcoming. This is to stop the tongue of slander, which is generally very busy, and does not spare even the most innocent persons. One man has no concern with another's private affairs, but if a man has strong reasons to believe that his own wife is adulterous, the case is quite different. The *li'ān* is suggested in this case, as the means of bringing about separation between husband and wife, for whether the accusation is right or wrong, it is in the interests of both to get separated. The following verses deal with this subject: "And as for those who accuse their wives and have no witnesses except themselves, the evidence of one of these should be taken four times, bearing Allāh to witness that he is of the truthful ones. And the fifth time that the curse of Allāh be on him if he is one of the liars. And it shall avert the punishment from her if she testify four times, calling Allāh to witness, that he is one of the liars. And the fifth time that the wrath of Allāh be on her if he is one of the truthful" (24 : 6-9). After the parties have thus borne witness, they are separated for ever. It will be noticed that there is no mutual cursing in this case; only each of the parties, while bearing witness of his or her own truthfulness, calls for the curse or wrath of God on himself or herself if he or she speaks a lie.

Divorce is looked upon as a necessity in marital

Charitable view of relations, under the varying human divorce.

conditions, irrespective of moral turpitude on the part of husband or wife. The Holy Qur'an takes the most charitable view of the necessity for divorce, and therefore recommends as much kindness towards women in the case of divorce, as in that of

MARRIAGE

marriage. Again and again stress is laid on this point: "Divorce may be pronounced twice; then keep them in good fellowship or let them go with kindness (*iḥsān*)" (2:229); "And when you divorce women and they reach their prescribed time, then either retain them in good fellowship or set them free with liberality" (2:231); "So when they have reached their prescribed limit, then retain them with kindness or separate them with kindness" (65:2). Thus woman is to be treated with equal kindness and generosity, whether she is a sharer in a man's weal or woe as wife, or one from whom he has been compelled to part company. Marital differences, like other differences, may be as often honest as not, but the Holy Qur'ān recommends that the most charitable view of them should be taken.

ACQUISITION AND DISPOSAL OF PROPERTY

Property may be acquired in three ways, by *iktisāb* (earning), by *warāṭha* (inheritance) and by *hiba* (gift). Of these, inheritance, on account of its importance is dealt with in a separate chapter. Acquisition of property by the individual, whether male or female, is recognized by Islām as one of the basic laws regulating human society: "Men shall have the benefit of what they earn and women shall have the benefit of what they earn" (4 : 32). Both sexes have also an equal right to inheritance of property: "Men shall have a portion of what the parents and the near relatives leave and women shall have a portion of what the parents and the near relatives leave" (4:7). No limitation is placed upon the property or wealth which an individual may acquire or give away. The Holy Qur'ān speaks even of heaps of gold being in the possession of a man which he may give away to a woman as her dowry: "And if you have given one of them a heap of gold, take not from it anything" (4 : 20). Islām is thus opposed to Bolshevism, which recognizes no individual right of property; but it is at the same time socialistic in its tendencies, inasmuch as it tries to bring about a more or less equal distribution of wealth.

All unlawful means of acquiring property are denounced: "O you who believe! do not devour your property among yourselves falsely, except that it be trading by your mutual consent" (4 : 29); "And do not swallow up your property among yourselves by false means, neither seek

PROPERTY

to gain access thereby to the judges, so that you may swallow up a part of the property of men wrongfully while you know" (2 : 188). The latter verse alludes to bribery. Dacoity and theft are spoken of elsewhere as punishable crimes (5 : 33, 38). Misappropriation is forbidden : "Allāh commands you to make over trusts to their owners" (4 : 58). Gambling is prohibited as being a false or dishonest means of acquiring property : "They ask thee about intoxicants and games of chance. Say, In both of them is great sin and some advantages for men, and their sin is greater than their advantage" (2 : 219) ; "Intoxicants and games of chance..... are only an uncleanness, the devil's work ; shun it therefore that you may be successful" (5 : 90). Intoxicating liquors and gambling are mentioned together in both places, and one of the reasons for their prohibition is that they are an aid to creating mischief and enmity between members of the same society : "The devil only desires to cause enmity and hatred to spring in your midst by means of intoxicants and games of chance" (5 : 91). All kinds of lotteries and the playing of bridge, however small the sum involved, fall within the definition of games of chance, and are therefore prohibited by Islām. They not only promote habits of indolence and are thus a negation of honest labour, but also reduce some members of society to penury while others prosper at their expense. Usury, which is dealt with later on, is also prohibited for the same reason.

The Holy Qur'ān gives full rights of disposal of property to its owner, whether male

The Holy Qur'ān on the exercise of property rights.

or female, but at the same time, it requires that owner to be most careful in spending it. There are many injunctions of a general nature to that effect. Thus, speaking of the righteous servants of God (*'ibād al-Rahmān*) it says :

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

“And they who when they spend, are neither extravagant, nor parsimonious, and keep between these the just mean” (25 : 67). And elsewhere : “And do not make thy hand to be shackled to thy neck, nor stretch it forth to the utmost limit of its stretching forth, lest thou shouldst (afterwards) sit down blamed, stripped off” (17 : 29). But it does not content itself with these general directions, and gives society or the state a right to interfere when money is being squandered by its owner : “And do not give away your property which Allāh has made for you as a means of support (*qiyām*) to the weak of understanding (*sufahā'*), and maintain them out of the profits of it, and clothe them and speak to them words of honest advice” (4 : 5). Here certain owners of property are called *sufahā'*, and the community or the state is enjoined not to give such people control of their property, which is here described as *your property*, because Allāh has made it “for you a means of support ;” and the rule is laid down that these owners of property should be maintained, out of the profits of that property, the management being clearly in other hands. Thus wealth, though possessed by individuals, is recognized as a national asset, and a check is placed upon the rights of the individual if money in his possession is being wasted. *Sufahā'* is the plural of *safih* which means *a person deficient or unsound in intellect or understanding or having little or no understanding* (TA., LL.). The commentators make various suggestions as to what is here meant by this word, some saying that it applies to women or children, but Ibn Jarīr rightly points out that this view is wrong, and the word conveys a general significance (IJ-C. IV, p. 153). In fact, minors are not spoken of in this verse at all, since they are mentioned separately in the verse that follows, and the *sufahā'* of this verse are persons who, on account of deficiency or unsoundness in intellect, are

PROPERTY

unable to manage their own property.

This conclusion is further corroborated by the use of the word *safih* in connection with the contracting of debts: "But if he who owes the debt is unsound in understanding (*safih*) or weak (*dza'if*), or if he is unable to dictate, let his guardian dictate with fairness" (2 : 282). Here the *safih* and the *dza'if* are mentioned separately ; the former signifying the weak in understanding whether males or females, and the latter minors. Thus the Holy Qur'an requires that persons who, on account of weakness of intellect, mismanage their property and squander their wealth should be deprived of the control of their property and maintained out of its profits, the control being handed over to some other person who is called a *waliyy* (*guardian*) in v. 2 : 282.

This restriction on the exercise of rights of property by individual owners is spoken of in *Hajr* or restrictions on disposal of property. *Hadith* collections as *hajr* (Bu. 43), which literally means *what is forbidden*, that being also the terminology of the jurists. *Hadith* lays great stress on saving wealth from being wasted. *Bukhārī* has the following heading for one of his chapters: "There is no charity unless a man has sufficient to give, and whoever spends in charity and he is himself in want or his family is in want or he has a debt to pay, it is more in the fitness of things that the debt should be paid than that he should spend in charity or free a slave or make a gift, and such a gift or charity shall be annulled, for he has no right to waste the wealth of the people (*amwāl al-nās*) ; and the Holy Prophet has said, Whoever takes the wealth of the people that he may waste it, Allāh will destroy him, unless he is a man well-known for his patience (*ṣabr*) so that he prefers others before himself, though poverty may afflict him" (Bu. 24 : 18). Here, the individual property of a man is called the wealth of the people, and

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

a man is prohibited from making even charitable gifts when he has not sufficient to support those dependent on him. According to a ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said : " Allāh hates three things in you, useless talk and wasting of wealth and asking or begging (*su'āl*) frequently " (Bu. 24 : 53). This ḥadīth is repeated frequently in the *Bukhārī* and other Ḥadīth collections, and forms the basis of restrictions¹ which may rightly be laid on owners of property, for their benefit. The State is therefore entitled to make laws for the benefit of owners of property, placing restrictions upon them as to the disposal of that property.

A guardian is also appointed to deal with the property
of minors. The Quranic injunction
Guardian of minor. on this point is as follows : " And
test the orphans until they attain (the age of) marriage ;
then if you find in them maturity of intellect, make over
to them their property, and do not consume it extravagantly
and hastily, anticipating their attaining to full age ;
and whoever is rich, let him abstain, and whoever is poor,
let him eat reasonably. And when you make over to
them their property, call witnesses in their presence ;
and Allāh is enough as a Reckoner " (4 : 6). A minor is
thus not allowed to manage his own property which must
be made over to a guardian. If the guardian is rich, he
is required to do the work of guardianship honorarily,
and if he is poor, his wages would be a charge on the
property. The age of majority is eighteen years, according
to Abū Ḥanīfa, in the case of males and seventeen in

1. The Land Alienation Act as enforced in the Punjab obviously falls within the definition of *ḥajr*, as based on the Holy Qur'ān and recognized by Ḥadīth and the jurists. In this case, the owners of agricultural land are prevented from selling their lands in certain cases, except with the permission of the State ; and this measure is in their own interest, for otherwise all agricultural land would gradually pass out of their hands and they would be left without any means of support.

PROPERTY

the case of females (H. II, p. 341), but according to Shāfi'ī and Aḥmad, it is fifteen in both cases (H. II, p. 342). In a ḥadīth it is stated that Ibn 'Umar was not enlisted in the army when he was fourteen years old but was taken when he was fifteen (Bu. 52 : 18), but this by no means shows that maturity of intellect is attained at fifteen, for at that time there were so few Muslims that could take the field against overwhelming numbers, that boys and old men had to be enlisted perforce.

Subject to what has been stated above and what will be stated further on, the owner of honest dealing in business transactions movable or immovable property, whether a male or a female, has the right to sell or barter it. The Holy Qur'an lays stress on honest and straight dealing in the very earliest revelations: "Woe to the defaulters, who, when they take the measure from men, take it fully; but when they measure out to others or weigh out for them, they are deficient" (83 : 1-3); "And give full measure when you measure out and weigh with a fair balance; this is fair and better in the end" (17 : 35); "Give a full measure and be not of those who diminish; and weigh things with a right balance, and do not wrong men of their things and do not act corruptly in the earth making mischief" (26 : 181-183). Ḥadīth also lays stress on honest dealing, so much so that if there is any defect in a thing it must be pointed out to the intending buyer (Bu. 34 : 19; Ah. III, p. 491). The Holy Prophet is himself reported to have written to 'Addā' ibn Khālid as follows: "This is the writing by which Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allāh, has made a purchase from 'Addā' ibn Khālid, the barter of a Muslim with a Muslim, there is no defect in it nor any deception nor an evil" (Bu. 34 : 19). According to another ḥadīth he is reported to have said: "If the two parties speak the truth and make manifest, their transaction shall be blessed, and if

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

they conceal and tell a lie, the blessing of their transaction shall be obliterated " (Bu. 34 : 19). Honesty and *bona fides* in matters of sale are stressed in a very large number of ḥadīth.

The many other details that are met with in Ḥadīth General directions relating to sale transactions. need not be stated here, being but of minor importance ; a few only which are of a general nature are briefly noted. Men and women are expressly mentioned as selling to and buying from one another, so that there is not the least sex disqualification in this respect (Bu. 34 : 67). While a transaction is being carried on with a man, another should not intervene (Bu. 34 : 58), but auction is allowed (Bu. 34 : 59). There is no restriction in the matter of to whom a man may sell his property, but the withholding of food-stuffs so that they may become dear (*iḥtikār*) is prohibited (Bu. 34 : 54), and so is the booming of prices in general. Thus the seller of cattle is prohibited from leaving them unmilked some days before selling, so that they may fetch a higher price (Bu. 34 : 64). Sale of fruits or crops before they are in a fit condition to be reaped is deprecated, because it gives rise to disputes (Bu. 34 : 85). In the ḥadīth narrated in this chapter it is expressly stated that it was not an injunction but advice. If the fruits on trees are valued, they may be sold (Bu. 34 : 75, 82, 83). Imaginary sales, when there are no goods to deliver, are prohibited (Bu. 34 : 61), neither should one sell what one does not possess (Ah. II, pp. 189, 190). The sale of land is not favoured, and it is recommended that one should not sell his land or house unless he intends to purchase other land or another house with the money (Ah. I, p. 190 ; III, p. 467). The taking of oaths in sale transactions is expressly forbidden (Ah. V, p. 297).

PROPERTY

Mortgage of property, or giving it as security for debt, is also allowed. The Holy Mortgage.

Qur'ān expressly allows the giving or taking of a security of which possession is taken by the mortgagee (*rihān-un maqbūdza*) (2 : 283); and though this case is mentioned in connection with a journey, the words have by all commentators been taken as conveying a general permission, and reliable ḥadīth corroborate this conclusion. It is related that the Holy Prophet himself left his shield as security with a Jew when borrowing some barley from him (Bu. 48 : 1, 2). When a horse was given as a security, the mortgagee was allowed to use it for riding as a compensation for feeding it. Similarly a milch-animal's milk was allowed to the mortgagee when he fed the animal (Bu. 48 : 4). Hence it is evident that when agricultural land or a house is mortgaged, the mortgagee can derive benefit from it when he pays land-revenue or house-tax, or spends money on the upkeep of the property.

An owner of property is also allowed to bequeath his property for a charitable object or to anyone excepting a legal heir. Bequest.

This is called *waṣiyya*, and the making of a will is specially recommended. The Holy Qur'ān speaks of the making of a will as a duty incumbent upon a Muslim when he leaves sufficient property for his heirs : "Bequest is prescribed for you when death approaches one of you, if he leaves behind wealth for his parents and near relatives according to usage, a duty incumbent upon the righteous" (2 : 180). And the Holy Prophet is reported to have said : "It is not right for a Muslim who has property to bequeath, that he should pass two nights without having a written will with him" (Bu. 55 : 1). But this duty, or right, is subject to certain limitations. In the first place, not more than one-third

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

of the property can be disposed of by will (Bu. 55 : 2, 3) ; and secondly, no will can be made in favour of an heir (AD. 17 : 6 ; Ah. IV, p. 186). But, as expressly stated in the Holy Qur'ān, the making of a will is incumbent only on well-to-do people. This is also mentioned in Hadīth (D. 22 : 5). The reason for limiting the bequest to one-third is clearly stated in a ḥadīth : " That one should leave his heirs free from want is better than that they should be begging of other people " (Bu. 55 : 2). And the reason for excluding the heirs is that no injustice may be done to certain heirs at the expense of others. A *waṣiyya* which is against these principles would be ineffective to that extent. It may be added that if a property in respect of which a bequest is made is encumbered with a debt, the debt is payable before the will is executed.

An owner of property has also the right to dispose
of his property by *hiba* or gift. The

Gift.

giving and accepting of gifts is recommended very strongly, and even the smallest gift is not to be despised (Bu. 51 : 1). A *hiba* is allowed in favour of a son, but it is recommended that similar gifts should be made in favour of other sons (Bu. 51 : 12). The husband can make a gift to his wife, and the wife to her husband, or others than husband (Bu. 51 : 14, 15). Gifts from, and in favour of, non-Muslims are allowed (Bu. 51 : 28, 29). A gift may also be compensated (Bu. 51 : 11). The jurists allow a *hiba bi-l-'iwadz*, or a gift for a consideration, and also *hiba bi-shart-i-l-'iwadz*, or a gift made on the condition that the donee shall give to the donor some determinate thing in return for the gift (AA.). The *hiba* is complete when the donee has accepted it and taken possession of the gift. It is not allowed to a person to revoke the *hiba* when it has

PROPERTY

been accepted by the donee (Bu. 51 : 30). While a will is allowed only to the extent of one-third of the property, no such limitation exists on *hiba*, because in this case the owner divests himself of all rights in the property immediately, while in the case of a will, not the owner but the heirs are deprived.

Waqafa means literally *he was*, or *became*, *still* or *stationary* or *he continued standing* (LL.), and in law *waqf* is "the settlement in perpetuity of the usufruct of any property for the benefit of individuals or for a religious or charitable purpose" (AA.) Subject to conditions already noted, and those which follow, an owner of property has a right to make his property *waqf* or dedicate it to a particular purpose. In *Bukhārī*, the *ḥadīth* relating to *waqf* are given in the book of *Waṣāyā* (Wills), though the two differ in many respects. *Waqf*, like gift, takes effect immediately while the will takes effect after the death of the testator, and it differs from both, gift and will, inasmuch as the property which is dedicated remains untouched, not being the property of a particular person, and it is only the income drawn from it that is spent on the particular objects specified in the *waqf* deed. Many cases of *waqf* are reported in *Ḥadīth*. Abū Ṭalḥa created a *waqf*, the income from which was to be spent on his poor relatives (*aqārib*), and this was done under the Holy Prophet's direction (Bu. 55 : 10). From this it is evident that a man can create a *waqf* for the benefit of his own relatives. It is made clear in another *ḥadīth* that a man's son or his wife falls within the definition of his relatives (Bu. 55 : 11). The man who creates a *waqf* is allowed to draw benefit from it, for he himself may be its *mutawallī* (manager) as well as any body else, even though this be not stated in the *waqf* deed (Bu. 55 : 12). Another *ḥadīth* states that 'Umar created

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

a waqf in accordance with the directions of the Holy Prophet in favour of the poor and his rich (*ghani*) relatives and guests (Bu. 55 : 29). There are other instances on record in which a waqf was created for the benefit of the poor as well as the near relatives (*aqrabīn*) (Bu. 55 : 29). The person who creates the waqf may also include himself among the beneficiaries of the trust (Bu. 55 : 33).

In accordance with the spirit of these ḥadīth, an Act was passed in 1913, called "The Musalmān Waqf Validating Act, 1913" which enacts as follows :

" 3. It shall be lawful for any person professing the Musalmān faith to create a waqf which in all other respects is in accordance with the provisions of the Musalmān Law, for the following among other purposes :—

" (a) for the maintenance and support wholly or partially of his family, children or descendants ; and

" (b) where the person creating a waqf is a Ḥanafī Musalmān, also for his own maintenance and support during his life-time or for the payment of his debts out of the rents and profits of the property dedicated :

" Provided that the ultimate benefit is in such cases expressly or impliedly reserved for the poor or for any other purpose recognized by the Musalmān law as a religious, pious or charitable purpose of a permanent character.

" 4. No such waqf shall be deemed to be invalid merely because the benefit reserved therein for the poor or other religious, pious or charitable purpose of a permanent nature is postponed until after the extinction of the family, children or descendants of the person creating the waqf."

INHERITANCE

The reform introduced by Islām into the rules relating to inheritance is twofold : it makes the female a co-sharer with the male, and divides the property of the deceased person among his heirs on a democratic basis, instead of handing it all over to the eldest son, as is done by the law of primogeniture. The Arabs had a very strong tradition that he alone could inherit who smites with the spear, and therefore they did not give any portion of inheritance to such of the heirs as were not capable of meeting the enemy and fighting in battles (IJ-C. IV, p. 171). Owing to this tradition, which strongly appealed to people among whom tribal fighting was carried on day and night, not only were all females—daughters, widows and mothers—excluded, but even male minors had no right to inheritance. Woman, in fact, was looked upon as part of the property of the deceased (4 : 19), and therefore her right to property by inheritance was out of the question. Even in the Jewish law she had no better position : “ There could have been no question in those days of a widow inheriting from her husband, since she was regarded as part of the property which went over to the heirs Nor could there have been a question about daughters inheriting from their father, since daughters were given in marriage either by their father, or by their brothers or other relatives after the father’s death, thus becoming the property of the family into which they married ” (En. J., p. 583).

Islām came as the defender of the weaker sex and the orphans, and just when a defensive war against the whole of Arabia was being carried on by a handful

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

of Muslims, the prevailing law of inheritance, which gave the whole of the property to those members of the family who bore arms, was declared to be unjust, and a new law was given which put widows and orphans on a level of equality with those who fought for the defence of the tribe and the country. When the change was first introduced, some of the Companions thought it very hard and complained to the Holy Prophet, saying that they were required to make over half the property to a daughter who did not ride on horse-back or fight with the enemy (IJ-C. IV, p. 171). The general principle of inheritance is first laid down in the following words : " Men shall have a portion of what the parents and the near relatives leave, and women shall have a portion of what the parents and near relatives leave, whether there is little or much of it " (4 : 7).

Inheritance law as
contained in the
Qur'ān.

The law of inheritance is then
stated in the following words :

" Allāh enjoins you concerning your children : the male shall have the equal of the portion of two females ; but if there are more than two females, they shall have two-thirds of what he has left, and if there is one, she shall have the half ; and as for his parents, each of them shall have the sixth of what he has left, if he has a child ; but if he has no child and only his two parents inherit him, then his mother shall have the third ; but if he has brothers, then his mother shall have the sixth, after the payment of any bequest he may have bequeathed, or a debt And you shall have half of what your wives leave if they have no child, but if they have a child, then you shall have a fourth of what they leave after payment of any bequest they may have bequeathed or a debt ; and they shall have the fourth of what you leave if you have no child, but if you have a

INHERITANCE

child, then they shall have the eighth of what you leave after payment of any bequest you may have bequeathed or a debt ; and if a man or a woman, having no children, leaves inheritance and he (or she) has a brother or a sister, then each of these two shall have the sixth, but if they are more than that, they shall be sharers in the third after payment of any bequest that may have been bequeathed or a debt that does not harm others " (4 : 11 : 12).

" Allāh gives you decision concerning the person who has neither parents nor offspring ; if a man dies and he has no son and he has a sister, she shall have half of what he leaves, and he shall be her heir if she has no son ; but if there be two sisters, they shall have two-thirds of what he leaves ; and if there are brethren, men and women, then the male shall have the like of the portion of two females " (4 : 177).

The persons spoken of in these verses, as inheriting the property of the deceased, may be divided into two groups, the first group consisting of children, parents and husband or wife, and the second consisting of brothers and sisters. All the persons mentioned in the first group are immediate sharers, and if all three of them are living, they have all of them a right in the property, while the members of the second group only inherit if all or some of the members of the first group are wanting. Both groups are capable of further extension ; as for instance grandchildren, or still lower descendants, taking the place of children ; grandparents, or still higher ascendants, taking the place of parents ; and uncles, aunts and other distant relatives taking the place of brothers and sisters.

Among the members of the first group, children are mentioned first, then parents, and then husband or wife, and that is the natural order. In the case of children, only a broad principle is laid down—the male shall have

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

double the share of the female. Thus, all sons and all daughters would be equal sharers, the son however having double the share of the daughter. Another example of apparent inequality of treatment of the two sexes, is that in which a man leaves only female issue. If there is only one daughter, she takes half the property; if there are two¹ or more daughters, they take two-thirds of the whole, the residue going to the nearest male members, according to a ḥadīth quoted further on. The reason for this is not far to seek. Man is generally recognized as the bread-winner of the family, and that is the position assigned to him in the Holy Qur'ān. Keeping in view his greater responsibilities, it is easy to see that he is entitled to a greater share, and therefore the Holy Qur'ān has assigned to him double the share of the female. In fact, if the responsibilities of the two sexes are kept in view, there is real justice and real equality beneath this apparent inequality.

If there are no other members of the first group besides the children, the whole property will be divided among the latter, but if there are other members, then evidently the children take the residue, because the shares of the other members are fixed, one-sixth in the case of each parent and one-fourth or one-eighth in the case of husband or wife.

Children's children and lower descendants are, as is usual in the language of the Holy Qur'ān, included among the children, but the basis of division will still be the immediate descendants. Thus if there are grandsons,

1. The words in the Holy Qur'ān are *fauq iḥṇatāin* which literally mean *above two*, but as the other case mentioned is that of only one daughter, two are included in *fauq iḥṇatāin*. It may be noted that in v. 4: 177 only *two* sisters are spoken of and *more than two* are included therein. Thus the two verses read together explain each other—*above two* in the one case including *two*, and *two* in the other including *more than two*.

INHERITANCE

they will take the shares of their respective fathers. The case in which there are sons and grandsons should be treated on a similar basis, but here the jurists make a distinction, treating the grandsons as the remoter relatives and therefore not entitled to any inheritance, so long as there is a son. Again a son's daughters, where there is no son, are treated by the jurists, not as taking the place of that son who, if alone, would have taken all the property, but as the daughters of the deceased, taking one-half in case of a single daughter and two-thirds in case there are two or more. But curiously enough, a son's daughter when co-existing with one daughter of the deceased is considered as a sharer in inheritance, the two being treated together as two daughters of the deceased.

The words of the Holy Qur'ān may however be interpreted in a manner which will avoid all such inconsistencies. The issue of son or daughter would take the place of their father or mother, and would take what their father or mother would have taken if alive. Suppose a person has one daughter only, who is dead at the time of the death of her father, but who has got children; these would take the share of their mother, *i. e.*, one-half of the property. Again, suppose there are several children, some of whom are dead and have left issue behind them, while others are alive. It is only an equitable principle that the issue of the dead offspring should take the place of their parents, and that is also the natural interpretation of the words of the Holy Qur'ān. Moreover if this interpretation is adopted, the law of inheritance becomes very simple and free from all the complications and inconsistencies which juristic reasoning has in some cases introduced into it. All that is traceable to the Holy Prophet in this case is only a broad principle :

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

“ Give the fixed portions (*farā'idz*) to those who are entitled to them, and what remains should go to the nearest male ” (Bu. 85 : 6). This ḥadīth does not show at all that the grandson is not entitled to inheritance, if there is a son living ; though it is on this that the juristic principle of excluding grandsons is based. The application of the ḥadīth may be illustrated by an example. A man dies leaving two parents and one daughter. The parents will get one-third ; one-half of the residue will go to the daughter and the remaining half will revert to the father who is the nearest male relative. The selection of the nearest male relative is based on a principle of equity, because it is he who is required to maintain the family.

The case of parents is taken after that of children, each of the parents taking a sixth, if the deceased has children. It is clear from this statement that after the parents have taken one-sixth each, the residue will go to the children and this residue will be divided among them, as laid down above, equally, the son taking double the share of the daughter. If however the deceased leaves only daughters, one-half of the residue shall go to a single daughter, and two-thirds to two or more than two daughters, and what remains shall go to the nearest male relative, according to the ḥadīth quoted above. If the father or the mother is not alive, the grandfather or grandmother shall take his or her place.

The second case in which parents inherit from a deceased person, is that in which the deceased leaves no issue. In this case it is said that if the parents are the only heirs, that is there is neither a husband or a wife, nor brothers and sisters, the mother takes one-third, the remaining two-thirds evidently going to the father. But if the deceased has no issue but has brothers (or sisters), the mother shall receive only one-sixth. It is not here

INHERITANCE

stated what the father shall get or what the brothers' and sisters' share shall be. The prevalent view is that the presence of brothers reduces only the mother's share; the remaining five-sixths going to the father. Though, even in this case, the brothers and sisters, if dependent on the father, will benefit by the father's increased share, yet it seems more reasonable that when the share of the mother is decreased on account of the presence of brothers and sisters, the latter should be entitled to a share in the property in their individual capacity.

The latter part of v. 4 : 12 lends support to this view, where, after specifying portions of the husband and the wife, it is added : " And if a man or a woman having no children (*kalāla*) leaves inheritance, and he (or she) has a brother or a sister, then each of them shall have the sixth, but if they are more than that, they shall be sharers in the third." The *kalāla* is spoken of here as well as in v. 4 : 177, where the brothers and sisters take the whole property. The explanation generally adopted is that the brothers and sisters spoken of in v. 4 : 12 are uterine, while those spoken of in v. 4 : 177 are full or consanguine. But there are strong reasons for the view that the *kalāla* spoken of in the two places carries a different significance; for while *kalāla* is generally explained by lexicologists as meaning *one who has neither children nor parents*, according to the Caliph 'Umar and Ibn 'Abbās it also means *one who has no children* simply (IJ-C. IV, p. 177 ; VI, p. 25). Now in v. 4 : 11, the Holy Qur'ān speaks of an issueless person who has parents as well as brothers or sisters, but it does not there speak of the shares of these brothers or sisters. The conclusion is evident that the shares of these brothers and sisters have been mentioned elsewhere. In fact what has been left unexplained in v. 11 has been fully explained in v. 12, and the case of

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

kalāla there, is the case of the inheritance of a person who has no children but who has parents as well as brothers or sisters. According to v. 11, the mother gets one-third if a person has no issue, nor brothers or sisters, and she gets one-sixth if the issueless person has brothers or sisters. This reduction of her share is evidently due to the presence of brothers or sisters, and it is these brothers or sisters that are spoken of in v. 12, so that the *kalāla* of that verse is the issueless person who has parents. Thus when a person dies without issue but leaves parents, brothers and sisters, according to v. 4 : 12, get a share which is one-sixth of the deceased's property if there is only one brother or one sister, and one-third of it if there are two or more brothers and sisters. And according to v. 4 : 177, a single sister (of a male deceased) or brother (of a female deceased) is entitled to one-half, two or more sisters to two-thirds, brothers and sisters to the whole property, the male having double the share of the female. This evidently is the case in which the deceased leaves neither issue nor parents.

The case of husband or wife is also dealt with in v. 12. The husband gets one-half if the deceased wife has no issue, and one-fourth if she leaves issue. The wife gets one-fourth if the deceased husband has no issue, and one-eighth if he leaves issue. The share of the husband or the wife, being fixed like that of the portions of the parents, must be taken out first, and the rest of the property will go to the children, or in case there are no children, to brothers and sisters.

Briefly, the inheritance law as laid down in the Holy Qur'ān is this. After the payment of debts and execution of the will, if any, the shares of the parents and husband or wife shall be first taken out; after which the rest of the property shall go to the children, the son having double the portion of the daughter; if there are no

INHERITANCE

children and there are brothers and sisters, one-sixth if there is only one brother or sister, and one-third if there are more than one, shall go to them; if the deceased leaves neither children nor parents, the whole of the property, after the husband's or the wife's share has been taken out, shall go to brothers and sisters; if there is a single female, daughter or sister, she shall take one-half of the property, a single brother following the same rule, and if there are two or more daughters or sisters they shall take two-thirds, the residue going to the nearest male relative according to Hadīth; if a person entitled to inheritance is dead but leaves behind offspring, that offspring shall take his place; if the father or the mother is dead, the grandfather or the grandmother shall take his or her place; all brothers and sisters, whether uterine or consanguine or full, shall be treated equally; if there are no brothers or sisters, the nearest relatives after them, such as father's brothers or father's sisters, shall take their place.

The inheritance law as explained above, on the basis of the Holy Qur'ān, is very simple, and not the least complication arises in its application. It is when the spirit underlying that law is neglected that complications arise. For instance, it is clear that when there are parents and a husband or wife along with the children, the parents and the husband or the wife would get their shares first and the rest of the property would go to the children. In case there are two or more daughters only among the children, two-thirds of the residue ought to go to them, the remaining one-third going to the nearest male relative. But the jurists in this case adopt a peculiar course. They allot two-thirds of the whole to the daughters, one-third to the parents and one-fourth or one-eighth to the husband or the wife,

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

as the case may be. This evidently leads to a complication, as the daughters get two-thirds, parents one-third, husband or wife one-fourth or one-eighth, the total amount of shares being $5/4$ or $9/8$. This difficulty has been solved by dividing the property into fifteen parts in the first case, and giving $8/15$ to the daughters, $4/15$ to the parents and $3/15$ to the husband, and into 27 parts in the second case, giving $16/27$ to the daughters, and $8/27$ to the parents and $3/27$ to the wife. These are not the shares specified in the Holy Qur'ān, and this is due to neglect of the spirit of the ordinance which, while allowing the whole of the residue, after taking away the shares of the parents and the husband or wife, to the children if they are all sons or sons and daughters mixed, allows them only two-thirds of the residue if they are only daughters, the rest going to the nearest male relative according to Ḥadīth. The jurists' convention goes under the name of '*aul*'. The introduction of the '*aul*' is however due only to an infringement of the real essence of the ordinance relating to the two-thirds share of the daughters.

Similarly, the jurists treat a son's son, when the son is dead, as belonging to the second group of inheritors, whereas he really belongs to the same category as the son, because he takes the dead son's share. Suppose a man has three sons, one of whom is dead at the time of the death of his father, but leaving children. To deprive these children is to go against all rules of equity, but the jurists are of opinion that the grandsons are excluded by the living sons and are not entitled to their father's share. In fact, if the rule were generally adopted that when a person entitled to a share in an inheritance is dead, his children shall take his place, many of the complications, which are the result of juristic reasoning, would disappear. The third point on which, in my

INHERITANCE

opinion, the jurists have gone against the spirit of the Qur'ān, is the distinction between uterine and sanguine and full brothers which is the result of a misconception about the word *kalāla* and which has been fully explained above.

In the Ḥanafī law of inheritance, the heirs are divided into two groups.¹ The first group goes under the name *aṣḥāb al-farā'idz*, or *dhawi-l-furūd*, i.e., those whose shares are specified, *farā'idz* or *furūd* being the plural of *farīdza* (lit. *anything made obligatory*) meaning a *portion* or *share made obligatory*. For this reason, the law of inheritance is generally spoken of as *'ilm al-farā'idz*. These sharers are twelve in number; four males, the father, the grandfather, the uterine brothers and the husband; and eight females, wife, daughter, son's daughter, mother, grandmother, full sister, consanguine sister, uterine sister. The father's share is one-sixth when the deceased leaves a son or a son's son, but he sometimes takes as a simple residuary and sometimes both as a sharer and as a residuary, the former being the case when he co-exists with a simple sharer such as a husband, a mother or a grandmother, and the latter being the case when he co-exists with a daughter or son's daughter. The father's father takes the same share as the father when the father is not living. The uterine brother, if one, takes one-sixth; if there are more than one, they are sharers in one-third. The husband takes one-half when the deceased leaves no children, otherwise one-fourth.

Among the female sharers, the widow takes one-fourth if the deceased leaves no children, otherwise

1. For this summarization of the Ḥanafī law of inheritance, I am indebted to Sayyid Amīr 'Alī's *Muḥammadan Law*.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

one-eighth. The daughter, when only one, takes one-half; if there are two or more daughters, they are equal sharers in two-thirds. The son's daughter takes one-half, if she is only one and there is no lineal male descendant; if there are two or more in a similar position, they take two-thirds; co-existing with one daughter only, she takes one-sixth. The mother takes one-sixth, if there are children or two or more brothers or sisters; otherwise one-third. The grandmother takes the mother's share when there is no mother. The full sister and the consanguine sister takes one-half, if she is only one; two or more than two take two-thirds. The uterine sister's share is the same as the uterine brother's.

The second group of inheritors goes under the name of *ahl al-mirāth* or the heirs who take a residuary interest. The most important of these are the '*aṣāba*', or relations on the male side, as the lineal male descendants, the lineal male ascendants, the direct collaterals such as full or consanguine brothers or their sons, or indirect collaterals such as full or consanguine uncles and their sons, or full or consanguine uncles of the father and their sons, and so on; and the *dhawi-l-arḥām* or relations connected through females, such as (a) the sons and daughters of daughters, (b) the father of the paternal grandmother or mother of the paternal grandfather, (c) the children of sisters, daughters of full and consanguine brothers, sons of uterine brothers, and (d) paternal aunts and their children, maternal uncles and their children, maternal aunts and their children and uterine paternal uncles and aunts and their children.

Besides these are recognized, (a) residuaries for special cause to which class belongs the emancipator of a slave, (b) the patron of the deceased, (c) heirs by acknowledgment, (d) the universal legatee (one to whom

INHERITANCE

the deceased has bequeathed the whole of his property) and lastly the *bait al-māl* or public treasury. The subject is too technical and complicated to be dealt with in a book intended for the layman; and the bare outline of the law of inheritance according to the jurists, as given above, is sufficient for the purposes of this book. If however the law is applied in its simplicity, as given in the Holy Qur'an, even the layman will find no difficulty in its application as I have shown above.

It will be seen that debts are the first charge on the property of the deceased, as the words "or a debt" in verses 11 and 12 show. The expenses relating to burial are also regarded as a debt which must be paid out of the property of the deceased. The wife's dower, if unpaid, is also a debt and must be paid out of the property before it is divided. In the case of a person who leaves no issue, the words are "or a debt that does not harm others," the implication evidently being that a person who has no children may contract a debt simply to deprive his heirs. The jurists divide debts into three kinds; (a) those contracted in health; (b) those contracted during illness which ends fatally; and (c) those contracted partly in health and partly in illness (AA.). All wages due to servants are also included in debts.

The legality of a bequest is clearly admitted in both the verses dealing with the law of inheritance. The property left is to be divided "after payment of a bequest that may have been made or a debt" (4 : 11, 12). There is a further injunction, of an earlier date, relating to bequests: "Bequest is prescribed for you when death approaches one of you, if he leaves behind wealth for

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

parents and near relatives,¹ according to usage, a duty incumbent upon the righteous" (2 : 180). There is also mention of a bequest in a verse which was decidedly revealed later than vv. 4 : 11, 12 : "O you who believe ! call to witness between you when death draws nigh to one of you, at the time of making the will, two just persons from among you" (5 : 106). All these verses afford clear proof that a person can make a will with regard to his property.

There are, however, reliable ḥadīth which place a certain limitation upon the right to make a bequest, and, in fact, if no limitation were placed, the injunctions contained in vv. 4 : 11, 12, would be nullified, for there would be no property to be divided among the legal heirs. Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ is said to have made the following report : "I fell ill in the year in which Makka was conquered, being almost on the brink of death when the Holy Prophet paid me a visit. I said to him, O Messenger of Allāh ! I possess much wealth and my only heir is a single daughter ; may I therefore make a will with regard to the whole of my property ! The Prophet said, No. I then enquired about two-thirds of it, and he again said, No. I then said, if I may give away half, and he still said, No. I then asked him, if I may give away one-third of my property by will, and he approved of one-third, adding, A bequest of one-third is much, for if thou leavest thine heirs rich, it is better than that thou shouldst leave them poor, begging of

1. Generally the words "for parents and near relatives" are supposed to be connected with the injunction to make a bequest, the import of the passage thus being that a man who leaves wealth should bequeath it to parents and kindred, and owing to this interpretation the verse is looked upon as being abrogated by vv. 4 : 11, 12. But as already shown, both these verses expressly speak of the bequest and require the property to be divided only after payment of bequest or debt. The interpretation I have adopted makes it consistent with other verses of the Holy Qur'an.

INHERITANCE

other people, and thou dost not spend anything with which thou seekest the pleasure of Allāh but thou art rewarded for it, even for the morsel that thou puttest into thy wife's mouth" (Bu. 85 : 6 ; M. 25 : 1 ; Tr. 28 : 1). The same ḥadīth is reported somewhat differently through another channel and, according to this report, Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ said : " The Holy Prophet paid me a visit when I was ill, and he asked me if I had made a will. I said, Yes. He then asked, How much. I said, I have bequeathed the whole of my property to be spent in the way of Allāh. He said, And what hast thou left for thy children. I said, They are in sufficiently good circumstances. He said, Better make a will about one-tenth of thy property. I then continued to ask him for less and less (for the heirs), until he said, Make a will of one-third of property and one-third is much" (MM. 12 : 20-ii). These reports make it clear that the will spoken of on various occasions in the Holy Qur'ān is a charitable bequest, and not a will for the heirs, and that this bequest was to be limited to one-third of the property, so that the heirs might not be deprived of their share of inheritance, the well-being of the heirs being as good a consideration with the lawgiver as charity. It may also be added that, according to another ḥadīth, a bequest is not allowed in favour of an heir : " There is no bequest for an heir" (AD. 17 : 6 ; Tr. 28 : 4 ; IM. 22 : 6). To this are added in some reports the words "unless the heirs wish it" (MM. 12 : 20-ii). Thus while generally a will can be made only for charitable objects, and not for the heirs, it is permissible to make a will in favour of heirs, if they wish it ; so that if they have no objection, any arrangement may be made for the disposal of the property by will. Hence if the heirs agree, a man may either divide the whole property by will, or he may leave the property undivided, fixing the shares of the heirs in the income.

CHAPTER IX

DEBTS

Writing of debts.

The writing down of debts is an ordinance of the Holy Qur'an :

“ O you who believe ! when you deal with each other in contracting a debt for a fixed time, write it down, and let a scribe write it down between you with fairness ; and the scribe should not refuse to write ; as Allāh has taught him, so he should write ; and let him who owes the debt dictate, and he should be careful of his duty to Allāh, his Lord, and not diminish anything from it ; but if he who owes the debt is unsound in understanding or weak, or if he is not able to dictate, let his guardian dictate with fairness, and call in to witness from among your men two witnesses.....and be not averse to writing it, whether it is small or large, with the time of its falling due ; this is more equitable in the sight of Allāh and assures greater accuracy in testimony, and the nearest way that you may not entertain doubts afterwards ” (2 : 282).

Debtors should be dealt with most leniently : “ And if the debtor is in straitness, then let there be postponement until he is in ease ; and if you remit it as alms, it is better for you, if you knew ” (2 : 280).

These two regulations, the writing down of debts
Leniency towards according to the dictation of the
debtors recommended. debtor in the presence of witnesses,
and lenient dealing with those in straitened circumstances, are the basis of Islamic regulations on debts, and are supplemented by a large variety of detailed directions and recommendations contained in Ḥadīth. The concern of the Holy Prophet for the debtors is reflected in his sayings on this point, of which I quote a few only :

DEBTS

“ May Allāh have mercy on the man who is generous when he sells and when he buys and when he demands payment of debt ” (Bu. 34 : 16).

“ The angels received the soul of a person from among those who were before you and asked him if he had done any good. He said, I used to deal leniently with the well-to-do debtor and to remit the debt to one who was in straitened circumstances, so he was forgiven ” (Bu. 34 : 17).

“ Allāh will give shelter to the servant who gives respite to one in straitness or remits to a debtor ” (Ah. I, p. 73).

“ Whoever gives respite to one in straitness or makes a remission in his favour, Allāh will save him from the vehement raging of the heat of Hell ” (Ah. I, p. 327).

“ There is no believer but I am nearest to him in this world and the Hereafter,.....so any believer who leaves behind him property, his relatives shall inherit whoever they may be, but if he leaves a debt, or a family for whom there is none to care, I am his *māulā* (guardian) ” (Bu. 65, sūra 33, ch. 1).

“ I am nearer to the believers than themselves, so whoever of the believers dies and leaves a debt, its payment is on me, and whoever leaves property, it is for his heirs ” (Bu. 69 : 15).

These ḥadīth show that the debts of a debtor who is in straitened circumstances and unable to pay must either be remitted or paid by the state.

While the lender is advised in numerous ḥadīth to be lenient and not to exert undue pressure, and to remit, if the debtor is in straitened circumstances, part, or even the whole, of a debt, the debtor is also told to repay the debt in a goodly

Insistence laid on payment of debts.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

and liberal manner (Bu. 40 : 5, 6). In the ḥadīth narrated in these chapters, the Holy Prophet is reported to have said : " Among the best of you are those who are good in payment of debt." The rich, especially, are told not to postpone payment of debt. Postponement in their case is called injustice (*ẓulm*) (Bu. 38 : 1, 2). The man who contracts a debt intending not to pay it back is condemned (Ah. II, p. 417). The ḥadīth has already been quoted which shows that the payment of debt has preference over spending in charity. In the case of an inheritance, the heirs do not take their shares until all debts have been paid (Ah. IV, p. 136) ; and when there is a will, the debts must be paid before its execution (Ah. I, p. 79).

Though the necessity of contracting debts at times is recognized, and the Holy Prophet himself is reported to have done so on occasions, yet he, at the same time, gave warnings against being in a state of indebtedness. It is related in a ḥadīth that " he used to pray very frequently, O Allāh ! I seek Thy refuge from faults and debts. A man said to him, O Messenger of Allāh ! it is very frequently that thou prayest against being in debt ; and he replied, A man when he is in debt speaks and tells lies and makes promises and fails to fulfil them " (Bu. 43 : 10). According to another ḥadīth, " Anas said that he heard the Holy Prophet often praying, O Allāh I seek Thy refuge from anxiety and grief, and from lack of strength and indolence, and from niggardliness and cowardice, and from being overcome by debt and the oppression of men " (Bu. 56 : 74). It is also related that when a bier was brought to him, he would enquire if the dead man was in debt, and if so, he would tell his Companions to say funeral prayers over him ; and if he was told that he had

DEBTS

left something to pay his debts, he would personally lead his funeral prayers (Bu. 69 : 15).

It will be seen from what has been stated above that helping those in distress forms the basic outlook of Islām on human society. The prohibition of usury rests on the same basis. Even the earlier revelation at Makka denounced usury, yet without prohibiting it : " And whatever you lay out at usury, so that it may increase in the property of men, it shall not increase with Allāh ; and whatever you give in charity desiring Allāh's pleasure, it is these that shall get manifold " (30 : 39). Prohibition came later, and is contained in the following verses which are among the latest revelations :

Usury prohibited.
" Those who swallow down usury (*ribā*) cannot arise except as one whom the devil has prostrated by his touch does arise ; that is because they say, Trading is only like usury ; and Allāh has allowed trading and forbidden usury " (2 : 275).

" Allāh does not bless usury and He causes charitable deeds to prosper, and Allāh does not love any ungrateful sinner " (2 : 276).

" O you who believe ! be careful of your duty to Allāh and relinquish what remains due from usury, if you are believers. But if you do it not, then be apprised of war from Allāh and His Apostle ; and if you repent, then you shall have your capital ; neither shall you make the debtor suffer loss, nor shall you be made to suffer loss " (2 : 278, 279).

To these may be added an earlier revelation :

" O you who believe ! do not devour usury, doubling and redoubling, and be careful of your duty to Allāh that you may be successful " (3 : 129).

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

The prohibition of usury is clearly associated in these Quranic verses with charity, for inasmuch as charity is the broad basis of human sympathy, usury annihilates all sympathetic affection. The usurer is compared with him whom the devil has prostrated by his touch, so that he is unable to arise. Such is, in fact, the usurer who would not hesitate to reduce the debtor to the last straits if thereby he might add a penny to his millions. He grows in selfishness until he is divested of all sympathetic feelings. Usury moreover promotes habits of idleness, since the usurer, instead of doing any hard work or manual labour, becomes like a parasite living on others. In the great struggle that is going on between capital and labour, Islām sides with labour, and by its prohibition of usury tries to restore the balance between the two, not allowing capital to enthrall labour. It is in reference to the honourable place that Islām gives to labour that the Holy Qur'an says that "Allāh has allowed trading and forbidden usury," for while trading requires the use of labour and skill, usury does not. To help the distressed one who is in straits is the object of Islām and to reduce him to further straits is the end of usury, and hence it is that usury is called "war" with Allāh and His Apostle.

Hadīth is equally emphatic against usury. It condemns not only the usurer but also the man who pays the usury (Bu. 34 : 25), because he helps the cause of usury; and, according to one ḥadīth, the witnesses and the scribe in a usurious transaction are equally blamable (Bu. 34 : 24). Certain details are also added, describing the exchange of gold with gold, and wheat with wheat, and dates with dates, as *ribā* (usury), unless it is a hand to hand transaction (Bu. 34 : 54). Another ḥadīth makes it more

DEBTS

clear. Usāma reports that the Holy Prophet said, There is no *ribā* unless there is postponement in payment (Bu. 34 : 79). This shows that only those cases were treated as *ribā* (usury) in which there was a barter only in name, the transaction being really usurious. Gold was given to a man on condition that he would pay a greater quantity of the same after some time, or wheat was delivered on condition that he would repay a larger quantity of the same. This is clearly a usurious transaction though it was given the apparent form of a sale. It may be added that a case in which the debtor, of his own free will, paid to the creditor a certain sum over and above the original debt, was not considered a case of *ribā* (AD. 22 : 11). This was a case in which the Holy Prophet himself was the debtor, and when he paid back the debt, he paid something in addition. Such excess is in fact a gift made by the debtor of his own free will and is not prohibited.

The basis of the prohibition of usury is undoubtedly sympathetic feeling towards those in distress, but the word used is *ribā* (lit., *an excess or addition*) which means *an addition over and above the principal sum lent* (TA., LL.); and, therefore, though the word is considered by some modern writers to apply only to usurious transactions, it apparently includes all kinds of interest, whether the rate be high or low, and whether the interest is or is not added to the principal sum, after fixed periods. In fact, it would be difficult to discriminate between interest and usury, and indeed all interest has a tendency to assume, ultimately, the form of usury, and becomes oppressive for the debtor, a fact which is borne out by the history of indebtedness in all countries. It is sometimes argued that the prohibition of interest would be a serious drawback in the carrying on of trade and business transactions

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

and also in the execution of important national schemes. It is true that this prohibition, if taken in a broad sense, does not fit in the frame of the modern world conditions, but the high ideal which Islām places before itself is not unworkable, and the great Muslim nation of early days, spread over vast territories, the vanguard of the great nations of the world in the march of civilization, carried the Quranic injunction regarding *ribā* faithfully into practice. The material civilization of Europe has, however, given rise to conditions in which usury and interest seem to be unavoidable, and so the Muslims were told thirteen centuries before :

“ The Holy Prophet said, A time will come over people when not a single person will remain who does not swallow down *ribā*, and if there is any one who refrains from it, still its vapour (or dust) will overtake him ” (AD. 22 : 3).

Such is the time in which we are living, and until a Deposits in banks or new civilization is evolved which is Government treasuries. based on morality and the sympathy of man for man, some solution has to be sought for the great economic questions which confront the Muslim nation. In the forefront of all these questions is the modern banking system. Is this system in conformity with the Quranic law which prohibits *ribā*? Usury is undoubtedly universally condemned to-day, though it is still rampant in India and has entirely demoralized both the lenders and the borrowers, but the banking system with its legalization of interest is looked upon as a necessary condition of economic life, and in the prevailing conditions this seems to be unavoidable. Not only Muslims living under non-Muslim governments cannot avoid it but even Muslim states seem to be driven to the necessity of employing it. Take only the question of trade, which is, to-day, no longer a national but an

DEBTS

international concern, and it will be found that it is entirely dependent on the banking system. Now the banking system, if it had to be evolved anew, could have been based on a co-operative system in which capital and labour should be sharers in profit as well as in loss ; but as it is, the modern banking system favours capitalism and the amassing of wealth instead of its distribution. But whatever its defects, it is there, and the dust of *ribā* overtakes the man who does not swallow it, as the ḥadīth says.

The question of deposits in banks, on which interest is payable, seems to be more or less like the question of trade, a necessity of modern world conditions, which cannot be avoided. Bank deposits. The bank receives the deposits not as a borrower but as a trustee, where money is safe and may be withdrawn in need. But at the same time it does not allow the money to lie idle, and draws some profit from it, the major portion of which again comes in the shape of interest. Out of this profit, the bank pays a certain amount to the depositors, the rate of which depends generally on the economic conditions prevailing in the country concerned, or in the world at large. It does not make over the entire profit either to the shareholders or to the depositors, but carries a certain amount to a reserve fund which it can fall back upon in less profitable years, or in cases of loss. So far, therefore, as it is a part of the profits earned by the bank, there is nothing objectionable in it, but that profit itself being largely income from interest, the question of *ribā* comes in indirectly.

To be on the safe side, a depositor may spend the excess amount which he receives as interest on his

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

deposit for a charitable object.¹ In fact, if the depositor deposits his money with the intent that he would not receive the interest for his personal use, and, on receiving the amount from the bank, he actually makes it over to some charitable institution, he has relinquished the *ribā*, as commanded by the Holy Qur'ān. The only difference is that he relinquishes it, not in favour of the bank, which takes the place of the borrower in the case of a debt, but in favour of some charity. But still the depositor, who takes the place of the creditor, does relinquish the interest. A little thought will show that, in this case, the person in whose favour the interest should be relinquished is not the bank, or a Government treasury, which does not stand in need of such help, but only charitable institutions which are working for the welfare of the Muslim community as a whole. It is a pity that lacs of rupees of interest, which Muslim depositors are relinquishing in favour of banks or Government treasuries, are not only a loss to the Muslim community, but are actually being used to help non-Muslim propaganda against Islām, because they are made over to Christian societies, the banks or the treasuries having no right under the law to claim the money thus relinquished. It is short-sightedness on the part of the Muslim depositor to relinquish what he considers to be *ribā*, not for the benefit of his own community but to its detriment, and for the benefit of a community which is carrying on

1. It was the founder of the Ahmadiyya movement who first suggested this course. On account of his great anxiety for the propagation of Islām, he directed that the interest on bank deposits should be spent for the propagation of Islām. He particularly laid stress on the point that insistence on receiving the *ribā* was called a "war" with "Allāh and His Messenger" (2 : 279), and that therefore the money so received should be spent on the struggle which was being carried on for the defence and propagation of Islām. The opinion that the amount of interest on bank deposits should be spent on charitable objects has now been adopted by the *Jam'iat al-'Ulamā*, a representative body of Muslim theologians.

DEBTS

a war against Islām.

The co-operative banks are more in consonance with the spirit of the teachings of Islām, as the idea underlying them is the amelioration of the lot of the poor who are thus saved from the clutches of the usurious banias. There is moreover this difference between an ordinary bank and a co-operative bank, that the former is generally for the benefit of the rich and the capitalists, and the latter for that of the poor and the labourers. In the co-operative bank, moreover, the shareholders are also the depositors as well as the borrowers of money, and the interest paid to the bank is, more or less, in the nature of a contribution by which the borrower of money is also ultimately benefited.

Interest on the capital with which a business is run differs a little from ordinary debt. It is in fact a case in which capital and labour are sharers. Islām does not prohibit a partnership in which one person supplies the capital and the other labour. But it requires that both capital and labour shall be sharers in profit as well as in loss. The payment of interest at a fixed rate means that capital shall always have a profit, even though the business may be running at a loss. It is true that when the business is profitable, the rate of interest may be much less than the profit earned, but in all such uncertainties the viewpoint of Islām is that neither side should have undue advantage or be made to suffer undue loss. If the business is run at a profit, let capital have its due share of the profit, but if it is being run at a loss, let capital also share in the loss. It is sometimes urged that the keeping of an account of profit and loss

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

is impracticable, but this is really not the case, as every business man must keep an account of profit and loss, if only for the purposes of taxation. Similar accounts are also kept by all joint-stock companies, and there is not the least difficulty in keeping them. This method is more advantageous for the general welfare of the community than the method of charging interest on capital, which promotes capitalism and is unjust to labour.

Borrowings by a state or a company for the purpose of executing big projects, such as the building of railways, canals, etc., stand on a different basis. In such cases the shareholders who supply the capital are generally paid a dividend, which is calculated on the basis of profits. But sometimes the shareholders are paid a fixed rate of interest. The question is whether these cases would come under the Qurānic prohibition of *ribā*! The rate of interest is no doubt fixed, but still this interest is paid out of the profits and is generally a part of the profits. Occasionally the profits of the concern may be less than the amount of interest paid, or there may be even a loss, but in such cases there is a reserve fund to fall back upon. It cannot however be denied that the payment of a varying dividend is more in accordance with the spirit of the teachings of Islām than the payment of a fixed rate of interest.

CHAPTER X GENERAL REGULATIONS

Sec. 1.—Foods.

In addition to rules and regulations for the perfection of self and for the better relations of man with man, there are certain restrictive regulations of a general nature, the object of which is to teach man ways of clean living. These regulations relate to foods, drinks, dress and a number of other things, and have both a physical and a moral value. It is a recognized fact that the food which a man uses, nay even his dress, effects not only his constitution but also the building up of his character, and hence in a complete code of life, it was necessary that men should be taught ways of clean eating, clean drinking, clean dressing, clean appearance and clean habits of all kinds. These regulations are sometimes obligatory but very often of a recommendatory nature.

The first general rule regarding foods, and which applies to drinks as well, is laid down in the following words in the Holy Qur'ān: "O people! eat the lawful and good things out of what is in the earth" (2:168). The Arabic word for lawful is *ḥalāl*, and that for good things *ṭayyib*. *Halla* means *he untied or undid a thing*, and hence *ḥill* or *ḥalāl* means *being free or allowable*. The first condition therefore is that the food and drink made use of, is allowed by law, or more definitely, is not prohibited by law. The other condition is that it should be *ṭayyib*. This word is derived from *ṭaba* which means *it was good, pleasant, delightful, delicious or sweet, or it was considered to be so, or it was or became pure or*

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

clean, and hence *ṭayyib* carries both significances, *pleasant*, *delightful*, *delicious* or *sweet*, and *pure* or *clean* (LL.). An impure or unclean thing or a thing which offends good taste should therefore not be used as an eatable. The same rule applies to drinkables.

The above rule, to avoid unlawful and unclean things, is supplemented by two other equally important directions of a general nature. The first is an interdiction against excess: "And eat and drink and be not immoderate, for He does not love the immoderate" (7 : 31). Immoderation may either be in the taking of diet, when one overloads his stomach with food, or it may be in the taking of particular kinds of food. For instance, meat and vegetables both serve as food for man, but it would be a mistake either to insist on meat to the exclusion of vegetables, or on vegetables to the exclusion of meat. Any food, however good, is injurious to health if taken in excess. Moderation in eating is a guarantee of health. And just as overfeeding spoils the system, underfeeding undermines the health. Hence the direction is given: "O you who believe! do not forbid yourselves the good things which Allāh has made lawful for you and do not exceed the limits" (5 : 87). In these words, all self-denying practices by which a man either deprives himself of the necessary quantity of food or of certain kinds of food, are denounced. Good things which are helpful in building up the system should not be denied.

Four things are expressly prohibited in the Holy Qur'an: "O you who believe! eat of the good things that We have provided you with, and give thanks to Allāh, if Him it is that you serve. He has only forbidden you that which dies of itself, and blood and flesh of swine, and that

Prohibited foods.

GENERAL REGULATIONS

over which any other name than that of Allāh has been invoked ; but whoever is driven to necessity, not desiring nor exceeding the limit, no sin shall be upon him ; surely Allāh is Forgiving, Merciful " (2 : 172, 173). The same prohibition had already been revealed in v. 16 : 15, while the Holy Prophet was yet at Makka, in nearly the same words, whereas in v. 6 : 146, another Makka revelation, reasons are added for the prohibition, while v. 5 : 3, which is the latest revelation on the point, adds several things by way of explanation.

The prohibited foods thus are :—

1. That which dies of itself.¹ According to v. 5 : 3, the following are included : " The strangled animal, and that beaten to death and that killed by a fall and that killed by being smitten with the horn, and that which wild beasts have eaten." " That which died of itself and that which was torn by beasts " were forbidden by the law of Moses also (Lev. 17 : 15).

2. Blood, explained as " blood poured forth " in v. 6 : 146. This was also forbidden by the law of Moses (Lev. 7 : 26).

3. Flesh of swine. This was also forbidden by the law of Moses (Lev. 11 : 7). Jesus Christ, like a true Jew, seems to have held the swine in abhorrence : " Neither cast ye your pearls before swine " (Mt. 7 : 6). He is also reported to have cast out a number of unclean spirits which he then allowed to go into a herd of swine, causing it to perish thereby (Mt. 8 : 30-32 ; Mk 5 : 11, 12). This shows that he looked upon the animal as unclean. St. Peter compares sinners who relapse into evil to

¹ The flesh is prohibited, but the skin may be used. The Prophet saw a dead goat of which the skin had not been removed, and said that what was unlawful thereof was the flesh, and that there was no harm in profiting by its skin (Bu 72 : 29). From this it may be concluded that other parts, such as bones, may also be made use of.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

swine who go again to wallow in the mire after they are washed (2 Pet. 2 : 22).

4. The fourth kind of forbidden food is that over which any other name than that of Allāh has been invoked at the time of slaughtering it. In v. 5 : 3 " what is sacrificed on stones set up (for idols) " is added, and it evidently comes under this description.

It may be added that the Holy Qur'an speaks of the first three forbidden foods, carrion, blood and pork, as unclean things, while the fourth, the invocation of other than Allāh's name at the time of slaughtering an animal, is called *fisq* or a transgression of the Divine commandment. The reason for this distinction is that there is uncleanness in the case of the first three, since they have a pernicious effect upon the intellectual, the physical or the moral system ; while in the fourth case, the spiritual side is affected, as the invocation of other than Allāh's name or sacrificing for idols, associates one with idolatry. In this case the thing is not unclean in itself, like blood or carrion or pork ; it is forbidden because the use of such food associates a man with idolatry.

According to the law of Islām, all animals that are
 Slaughtered of an animal. allowed as food must be slaughtered in such a manner that blood flows out. The Arabic word for slaughter is *dhabaha* which means originally *he cut* or *divided lengthwise* ; in a general sense, *he killed* or *slaughtered* and technically *he slaughtered* an animal *in the manner prescribed by law*, i. e. *by cutting the two external jugular veins*, or *by cutting the throat, from beneath, at the part next to the head* (LL.). According to Fiqh, four veins are cut off in slaughtering an animal, *hulqum* or the *windpipe*, *marī'* or the *œsophagus* and the *wadajān* or *two external jugular veins* (H. II, p. 421). In the Holy Qur'an, however, this word is used in a general sense, while the technical

GENERAL REGULATIONS

word for slaughtering an animal for food in a particular manner is *tadhkiya* which occurs in v. 5 : 3. *Tadhkiya* is the intensive form of *dhak-an* or *dhakā'* which is originally applied to the *burning* or *flaming of fire*, and *dhakka-l-nār* means *he made the fire to burn* (LL.). According to the same authority, the proper significance of *tadhkiya* (the infinitive form of *dhakkā*) is *the causing of the natural heat to pass forth*, but it is used peculiarly in the law to signify *the destroying of life in a particular manner*, being the same as *dhabh*. The idea underlying this particular manner of slaughter is causing the blood to flow so that the poisons contained in it should not form part of food.¹ The same appears to be the reason for prohibition of blood as food. Fish, or other water-game, does not require to be slaughtered (Bu. 72 : 11), and it is allowed whether caught by a Jew or a Christian or a Magian (*ibid*), or by anybody else; so also fish which has been thrown out by the sea or river on dry land or which has been left by the water having receded from it (5 : 96), and which has therefore died before it is caught. Ibn 'Abbās however adds, unless you hate it, that is to say, it stinks (Bu. 72 : 11).

It should be further noted that when an animal is slaughtered, it is necessary that the name of God should be invoked.

Invoking the name of God on slaughtered animal.
The Holy Qur'an lays down plainly :
" And do not eat of that on which Allāh's name has not been mentioned, and that is most surely a transgression " (6 : 122). Hence it is necessary that at the time of slaughtering an animal, the following words should be pronounced : *Bismillāh Allāhu Akbar*—In the name of

1. *Jhatkā* or killing the animal by one stroke does not allow the blood to flow forth, and it is therefore not allowed to the Muslims. Similar is the case with all other methods of killing in which blood does not flow out completely.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

Allāh, Allāh is the Greatest of all. This practice is traceable to the Holy Prophet (Bu. 72 : 16 ; Ah. III pp. 115, 183).¹ If the man who slaughters the animal forgets to pronounce these words, the flesh of the animal is allowed (Bu. 72 : 14), but if he omits the words intentionally, there is a difference of opinion, Imām Shāfiʿī allowing it even in this case against the Hanafi view (H. II, p. 419). In slaughtering an animal, any sharp instrument may be used which causes the blood to flow, and the flesh of an animal which was slaughtered by a maid with a stone was allowed (Eu. 72 : 17). The food of the followers of the Book is expressly allowed in the Holy Qurʾān : " And the food of those who have been given the Book is lawful for you, and your food is lawful for them " (5 : 5). A Muslim may therefore invite the followers of the Book to his own table and he may eat at their table. But Hadīth makes it further clear that the animal slaughtered by the Ahl al-Kitāb is allowed in this verse, Zuhri adding the condition that if the slaughterer was heard uttering a name other than that of God, the flesh was not to be eaten, but if he was not so heard, then it was lawful for the Muslims to eat it (Bu. 72 : 21). An animal slaughtered by an uncircumcised person is also allowed (*Ibid*). As stated elsewhere, the words Ahl al-Kitāb are applicable to followers of all revealed religions, such as the Magi, the Hindūs etc., and a certain food (cheese) prepared by the Magi was allowed by the Holy Prophet, though he was told that in its preparation use had been made of what died of itself ; and he only said, Mention the name of Allāh over it (Ah. I, p. 302). *Dhabīḥat al-Aʿrāb*—Animals slaughtered by desert Arabs—is the heading of one of

¹ Aḥmad speaks of both *tasmiya* (saying *bismillāh*) and *takbīr* (saying *Allāhu Akbar*), while Bukhārī speaks only of mentioning the name of Allāh, which in fact includes both *tasmiya* and *takbīr*.

GENERAL REGULATIONS

Bukhārī's chapters, and under this is mentioned a ḥadīth from 'Ā'ishā, according to which a certain people came to the Holy Prophet and enquired of him about meat which was brought to them by other people, and they did not know whether the name of God had been mentioned over it or not. The Holy Prophet's reply was : " Mention the name of Allāh over it and eat it " (Bu. 72 : 20). This gives a wide latitude in doubtful and difficult cases where a Muslim must depend on food provided or prepared by other people.

The Holy Qur'ān expressly allows game: " Say, the good things are allowed to you and what you have taught the beasts and birds of prey, training them to hunt—you teach them of what Allāh has taught you—so eat of that which they catch for you, and mention the name of Allāh over it" (5 : 4). Hadīth makes it clear that the name of Allāh is to be mentioned when letting off the beast or bird of prey (Bu. 72 : 1). The animal caught may be eaten even though it is killed by the beast or bird of prey (Bu. 72 : 2). The killing of game by throwing pebbles and hazel-nuts is however forbidden (Bu. 72 : 4). Killing it by arrow is allowed (Bu. 72 : 7), since the arrow causes the blood to flow. Game shot with a gun must follow the same rule, but in both cases the *bismillāh* must be uttered at the time of letting off the arrow or discharging the gun, and if the game is killed before it is caught and slaughtered, there is no harm. As regards the game of sea or water, it is all to be taken as slaughtered (Bu. 72 : 11).

According to Ḥadīth, the Holy Prophet prohibited all beasts of prey with a *nāb* (the canine tooth) (Bu. 72 : 28), and all birds of prey with a claw (AD. 26 : 32). The tame ass is also prohibited (Bu. 56 : 130), but not the wild ass which

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

is allowed (Bu. 28 : 3) ; the mule is prohibited but not the horse (AD. 26 : 23). *Ḍabb* (lizard) is not prohibited, but the Holy Prophet did not eat it when it was brought before him (Bu. 51 : 7). In one ḥadīth it is said that the Holy Prophet did not eat the hare, though he did not prohibit it (AD. 26 : 26), as if, he did not like it personally, but this is the view of 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Umar and a very few others as against the universal view ; and Bukhārī has a clear ḥadīth that when a hare was hunted by Abū Ṭalḥa and he sent a part of it to the Holy Prophet, it was accepted by him (Bu. 72 : 31), and therefore there is no reason to suppose that he disliked it. To the list of prohibitions mentioned in Ḥadīth, Fiqh adds hyenas, foxes, elephants, weasels, pelicans, kites, carrion-crows, ravens, crocodiles, otters, asses, mules, wasps and all insects (H. II, p. 424). As shown at the very outset of this section, among things which are allowed much depends on personal liking and disliking ; a thing which may be good (*ṭayyib*) as food for one man or one people may not be so for another. Certain things may be good and even useful as food, but their use might be offensive to others ; it was due to this that the Holy Prophet said that whoever ate raw onions and garlic, he should not approach the mosque (Bu. 10 : 160), because the odour would be offensive to others ; but there is no harm in taking them in a cooked form (Tr. 23 : 3 ; Ah. I, p. 15), or in some other form in which it may not give an offensive odour, or on occasions when one is not likely to appear in public.

It is recommended that hands should be washed Good manners in eating. before the taking of food and after finishing it (AD. 26 : 11), and that when one begins a meal, he should do so with the pronouncement of *bismillah* (Bu. 70 : 2), and that when he finishes it, he should give thanks to God or say

GENERAL REGULATIONS

al ḥamdu li-llāh (Bu. 70 : 55). The following form of thanksgiving is recommended in a ḥadīth: *Al-ḥamdu li-llāhi-lladhī kafā-nā wa arwānā ghaira makfiyy-in wa lā makfūr-in* (Bu. 70 : 55); which rendered into English is as follows: "All praise is due to Allāh Who gave us sufficient to eat and to drink, praise which is not rejected nor denied."

In another ḥadīth, the man who gives thanks to God after taking a meal is compared to the man who fasts and is patient in suffering (Bu. 70 : 57). It was the Holy Prophet's practice to cleanse the mouth with water after taking food (Bu. 70 : 52), so that no particle of food should be left in the mouth. There is also a direction that a man should eat with the right hand (Bu. 70 : 2). To blow on food or drink is prohibited (Bu. 74 : 24; Ah, I, 309, 357). Taking of food when in a reclining posture is not commended (Bu. 70 : 14), nor eating and drinking while standing (Ah. III, p. 199), but Bukhārī reports that 'Alī intentionally drank water while standing, and added that people did not like it but he had seen the Holy Prophet drinking water while standing (Bu. 74 : 15). It is also regarded as good manners in eating that a man should take only so much in his plate as not to leave anything on it after eating (Ah. III, p. 177), and that he should take a morsel from what lies near his hand (Bu. 70 : 3). Of the Holy Prophet it is related that he would never find fault with the food which he was offered; if he liked it he would eat of it, and if he disliked it he would leave it (Bu. 70 : 22). There is nothing to show that taking food while sitting on a chair is forbidden, or that helping oneself with a spoon or a knife is disapproved of. On the contrary, the Holy Prophet is spoken of as helping himself with a knife to cut cooked meat (Bu. 10 : 43; Tr. 23 : 32). Feeding the hungry when one sits at a

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

meal is also regarded as good manners in eating (Bu. 70: 1, 11). Eating and drinking in vessels of silver and gold was prohibited (Bu. 70: 30; 74: 27, 28), because it is a luxury which can be enjoyed by the rich at the expense of the poor, and is against the democratic spirit of Islām.

For the fostering of good relations it is recommended that a man should have no hesitation in eating at the house of his relatives or friends: "There is no blame that you eat at your houses, or your fathers' houses, or your mothers' houses, or your brothers' houses, or your sisters' houses, or your paternal uncles' houses, or your paternal aunts' houses, or your maternal uncles' houses, or your maternal aunts' houses, or houses whereof you possess the keys, or your friends' houses" (24: 61). Apparently, it is meant that among near relatives and close friends, one may eat at another's house if the time has arrived for a meal, though he may not have been invited beforehand. Stress is laid on the acceptance of an invitation to a feast: "The Holy Prophet said, When a person is invited and he does not accept (or reply), he disobeys Allāh and His Messenger" (AD. 26: 1). Entertainment of guests is also emphasized (AD. 26: 5). It is stated that when the Holy Prophet came to Madīna, he sacrificed a camel or a cow (to feast his friends) (AD. 26: 4); from which it is concluded that when a person comes home from a journey, he should entertain his friends at meals. Inviting the followers of other religions, and accepting their invitation, is expressly spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān: "And the food of those who have been given the Book is lawful for you, and your food is lawful for them" (5: 5). The Holy Qur'ān speaks of eating together or separately as one likes: "It is no sin in you that you eat together or separately"

GENERAL REGULATIONS

(24 : 61). Ḥadīth recommends social functions in which people should eat together : "Gather together at your meals, you will be blessed therein" (AD. 26 : 14). The levelling influence of Islām asserts itself even in eating, and it is recommended that a servant may be seated at the same table with his master, or at least he should be given a part of the food which the master eats (Bu. 70 : 56). Islām therefore allows no distinction between superiors and subordinates in sitting at the same table at meals, as in standing in the same row at prayers. In its physical as well as spiritual aspects, it is essentially the religion of democracy.

Section 2.—Drinks.

The drink prohibited in the Holy Qur'an is described under the name khamr. Khamara Intoxicating liquors. originally means *it veiled* or *covered* or *concealed a thing*,¹ and wine is called khamr because *it veils the intellect*. Khamr is differently explained as meaning *what intoxicates, of the expressed juice of grapes, or the juice of grapes when it has effervesced and thrown up froth, and become freed therefrom and still*, or it has a common application to *intoxicating expressed juice of anything, or any intoxicating thing that clouds or obscures the intellect* (LL.). And it is added : "The general application is the more correct, because khamr was forbidden when there was not in Madīna any khamr of grapes, the beverage of its inhabitants being prepared only from dates.....it is sometimes prepared from grains" (*Ibid*). The wider sense of khamr, as prepared from other things besides grapes, is borne out by the Holy Qur'an (16 : 67), quoted in the next paragraph. According to 'Umar, wine, when prohibited, was made of five things, grapes, dates, wheat, barley and honey (Bu. 74 : 4).

1 From the same root is khimār which means a woman's head-covering.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

Hence *khāmṛ* is intoxicating liquor prepared from anything.

Intoxicating liquors are first spoken of in deprecatory terms towards the close of the Makka period : " And of the fruits of the palms and the grapes—you obtain from them intoxication and goodly provision " (16 : 67). Intoxication is here spoken of in contrast with goodly provision. The Holy Prophet himself never used intoxicating liquors at any period of his life, and the same is true of Abū Bakr. The prohibition against their use, however, belongs to the Madīna period, and the earliest revelation on this point is that contained in the first long chapter revealed at Madīna : " They ask thee about intoxicating liquors and games of chance. Say, in both of them is great sin and some advantages for men, and their sin is greater than their advantage " (2 : 219). This was the first stage in the prohibition of wine, but it was more of a recommendatory nature as it only says that the disadvantages of the use of intoxicating liquors preponderate over their advantages. The next stage was that in which the Muslims were prohibited from coming to mosques while drunk : " O you who believe ! do not go near prayer when you are intoxicated until you know what you say " (4 : 43). Finally intoxicating liquors were definitely forbidden : " O you who believe ! intoxicants and games of chance and sacrificing to stones set up and the divining arrows are only an uncleanness, the devil's work ; shun it therefore that you may be successful " (5 : 90). These three stages of the prohibition of wine are clearly mentioned in a ḥadīth (Ah. II, p. 351). On the last of these occasions, a proclamation was made by the orders of the Holy Prophet that wine was prohibited, and people who heard the proclamation emptied their stores of wine immediately (Bu. 74 : 2 ; 46 : 21), so that wine flowed in the streets of Madīna (Ah. III, p. 217).

GENERAL REGULATIONS

As wine is prohibited on account of its intoxication, it is stated in a ḥadīth that every intoxicant is prohibited (*kullu muskir-in ḥarām-un*) (Bu. 64 : 61). *Bhang*, *charas* and other intoxicating things are therefore also forbidden ; only a drink that does not intoxicate is allowed. The Holy Prophet was questioned about *bit'*—*an intoxicating beverage made of honey* (LL.)—and he replied, " Every drink that intoxicates is prohibited " (Bu. 74 : 3). It is further related that Abū Usaïd invited the Holy Prophet to a wedding feast at which his wife, the bride herself, served food, and at this feast a beverage of dried dates, over which only one night had passed, was used and there was no objection (Bu. 74 : 8), because it had not become intoxicant. Mālik ibn Anas was asked about *fuqqā'*—*a beverage made of barley or a kind of beer* (LL.)—and he said : " So long as it does not intoxicate there is no harm " (Bu. 74 : 3). *Nabīdh*, or fresh juice of grapes over which not more than a night or a day has passed, is also allowed. Thus a certain people is spoken of as having come to the Holy Prophet and asked him what to do with their grapes, and he told them to dry them and then make use of their juice in the evening if they were wet in the morning, and in the morning if they were wet in the evening (AD. 25 : 10). And when a beverage becomes intoxicant, even a small quantity of it, that could not intoxicate, is not allowed : " That of which a large quantity intoxicates, even a small quantity of it is prohibited " (AD. 25 : 5). The question whether a very small quantity may be given as a medicine is quite different. It is true that there is a ḥadīth according to which one, Ṭāriq ibn Suwaid, was ordered by the Holy Prophet not to make wine, and when he said that he made it to be used as a medicine, the Holy Prophet replied that it was not a medicine (*dawā'*) but a disease (*ḍā'*) (M. 36 : 3). But this prohibition was, in all likelihood,

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

directed only against the making of wine ; and as Navawī, the famous commentator of *Muslim*, explains, in a serious case, when life was in danger, wine could be used to save life, for even carrion and flesh of swine could be used in such a case. It may be added here that trading in wine was also prohibited by the Holy Prophet (Bu. 34 : 24), and indeed it was necessary to prohibit both the preparing of wine and trading in it when the use of it was no longer permitted.

Section 3.—Toilet.

The Holy Qur'ān lays down a general rule on toilet as follows : " Say, Who has prohibited the adornment (*zīna*) of Allāh which He has brought forth for His servants and the goodly provisions " (7:32). The word *zīna*, in this verse, has generally been understood to mean apparel, but it has really a wider significance, including both the dress and make-up of a person. *Zīna* has further been explained as including spiritual adornment, such as knowledge and good beliefs ; bodily adornment, such as strength and tallness of stature ; and extrinsic adornment, such as wealth and dignity (R.). A good toilet is recommended even when going to a mosque : " O children of Adam ! attend to your embellishment at every time of prayer " (7:31). The Holy Qur'ān lays the greatest stress on cleanliness, and literally gives it a place next to godliness when it says in one of the earliest revelations : " O thou who art clothed ! arise and warn, and thy Lord do magnify, and thy garments keep purified, and uncleanness do shun " (74:1-5). Great stress is laid on outward as well as on inward purity, throughout the Holy Qur'ān.

No limitations are placed upon the form or quality of clothing, either in the Holy Qur'ān or Ḥadīth. The Holy Prophet is reported

GENERAL REGULATIONS

to have said: "Eat and drink and wear clothes and be charitable, not being extravagant or self-conceited" (Bu. 77:1). Ibn 'Abbās said: "Eat what you like and wear what you like, so long as you avoid two things, extravagance and vanity" (*Ibid*). Thus Islām requires no particular dress. A man may choose what he eats and what he wears. The only thing required is that the clothes should be clean and good (AD. 31:13). Anything which may serve as a covering for the body is allowed. A simple sheet or trousers or shorts may serve the purpose, and so a shirt or a coat or a loose coat (*chughha*) (Bu. 8:9), so long as it covers the '*aura*' (the parts which it is necessary to cover). The '*aura*' is thus defined: "The part or parts of the person which it is indecent to expose; in a man, what is between the navel and the knee, and in a free woman, all the person except the face and the hands as far as the wrists" (LL., TA.). Silk is forbidden to men (Bu. 23:2; 34:40; 77:12) but women are permitted to wear it (Bu. 77:30), which shows that silk is not discarded for men on account of any impurity attaching to it, but because the wearing of it is not in consonance with the hard life which men have to lead to earn their living, and also because it is a luxury, and the money thus wasted would be better spent on the amelioration of the condition of the poor. In some cases even men were allowed to wear silk. Thus, a Companion of the Holy Prophet is reported as wearing *khazz* (Ah. IV, p. 233), which is explained as being *a cloth woven of wool and silk* and also *a cloth woven entirely of silk* (LL., TA.). The same is related of another Companion, who at the same time remarked that the Holy Prophet had said that on whomsoever God bestowed a favour, He also loved to see the effect of that favour on him (Ah. IV, p. 438). To 'Abd al-Rahmān and Zubair the wearing of silk was allowed on account of

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

itching (Bu. 77 : 29). Once a silk garment was presented to the Holy Prophet and he wore it and said his prayers in it ; but afterwards he took it off, as though he did not like it (Bu. 77 : 12). Those who wear long garments or trail the train of the garment in order to be looked at, or for vanity, are censured (Bu. 77 : 4, 5).

The make-up of a man or a woman like his or her clothes is a matter of choice. Very long hair, in the case of men, was not approved (Ah. IV, p. 180). There is an injunction to cut off the hair after the pilgrimage is over, and therefore there is no sin in keeping the hair cut. One may have his head shaved or keep his hair short or long. The Holy Prophet is himself reported to have worn his hair in different ways (AD. 32 : 8, 9). Trimming of the beard and clipping short the moustaches is however recommended (Bu. 77 : 65), so also the removal of superfluous hair under the navel or in the armpits (Bu. 77 : 64). The use of perfumes is recommended (Bu. 77 : 74, 78, 79, 80, 81), especially on Fridays when there is an assemblage of people (Bu. 11 : 3, 6), and to women in particular after their cleansing from menstruation (Bu. 6 : 12, 14). While women may make use of any ornaments they like (Ah. IV, p. 392 ; AD. 33 : 8), men are allowed only the wearing of a seal-ring, the Holy Prophet himself wearing one which was made of silver and was used to seal letters (Bu. 3 : 7).

PENAL LAWS

The penal laws of Islām are called *ḥudūd* in the *Ḥadīth* and *Fiqh* books. This word *Ḥudūd*. is the plural of *ḥadd*, which means *prevention, hindrance, restraint, prohibition*, and hence a *restrictive ordinance, or statute, of God, respecting things lawful and things unlawful* (LL.). The same authority then goes on to add: "*Ḥudūd* of God are of two kinds: first, *those ordinances prescribed to men respecting eatables and drinkables and marriages, etc., what are lawful thereof and what are unlawful* ; the second kind, *castigations, or punishments, prescribed, or appointed, to be inflicted upon him who does that which he has been forbidden to do*. In *Fiqh*, the word *ḥudūd* is limited to punishments for crimes mentioned by the *Qur'ān* or the *Ḥadīth*, while other punishments left to the discretion of the *Imām* or the ruler are spoken of as *ta'zīr* (lit., *chastisement*). The general word for punishment is *'uqūba* (from *'aqb* meaning *one thing coming after another*), being so called because punishment follows transgression.

It should be pointed out at the very beginning of a discussion on the penal laws of Islām, that all violations of Divine limits in a general sense are not punishable; punishment is inflicted only in those cases in which there is violation of other people's rights. For instance, neglect of prayers, or omission to keep fasts or perform pilgrimage is not punishable; but in the case of *zakāt* there is a difference. *Zakāt* is a charity as well as a tax, and the Holy Prophet appointed official collectors to collect the *zakāt*, which was received in the *bait al-māl* (the state treasury), thus showing that its collection was a

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

duty of the Muslim state. Hence it was that when, after the death of the Holy Prophet, certain Arab tribes refused to pay, Abū Bakr sent out troops against them, this step being taken because the withholding of zakāt on the part of an entire tribe was tantamount to rebellion.

The punishable crimes in Islamic law are those which
General law of affect society; and those spoken of
punishment. in the Holy Qur'ān are murder,
dacoity or highway robbery, theft, adultery or fornication
(*zinā*) and accusation of adultery. Before discussing in
detail the various punishments prescribed in these cases,
it may be stated that the Holy Qur'ān lays down a general
law for the punishment of offences in the following
words.

“ And the recompense of evil (*sayyi'a*) is punishment (*sayyi'a*) proportionate thereto, but whoever forgives and amends, he shall have his reward from Allāh ” (42 : 40).

This golden rule is of very wide application, since it applies both to individual wrong done by one person to another and to offences of a less particular nature, offences against society. Similar instructions as to the punishment of offenders are given elsewhere in the Holy Qur'ān: “ And if you punish (*āqabtum*), then punish (*āqibū*) with the like of that with which you were afflicted ; but if you are patient, it will certainly be best for those who are patient ” (16 : 126) ; “ And he who punishes evil (*āqaba*) with the like of that with which he has been afflicted (*ūqiba*) and he has been oppressed, Allāh will certainly aid him ” (22 : 60) ; “ Whoever acts aggressively (*i'tadā*) against you, inflict injury (*i'tadū*) on him ” (2 : 194).

While in the verses quoted above and similar other verses, a golden rule is laid down for the individual wronged, that he should in the first instance forgive the

PENAL LAWS

offender provided he amends by forgiveness, the basis also is ordained of penal laws in general for the protection of society, and that basis, according to all these verses, is that the punishment of evil should be proportionate thereto. Every civilized code of penal laws is based on that principle, and by enunciating this general rule, ample scope is given to Muslim peoples and states to formulate their own penal laws. It is for this reason that the Holy Qur'ān does not go into many details, and speaks of punishment only in cases of the most glaring offences against person and property. It should be further noted that the Holy Qur'ān generally adopts the same word for punishment, as for the crime. Thus in v. 42 : 40, both the evil and its punishment are called *sayyi'a* (evil); in vv. 16 : 126 and 22 : 60, it is a derivative of '*uqūba* (punishment); and in v. 2 : 194, it is '*itidā*' (aggression). The adoption of the same word *evil* for the crime and its punishment indicates that punishment itself, though justified by the circumstances, is a necessary evil.

Undoubtedly the greatest crime known to society is Punishment for murder. *qatl*, or the taking away of the life of another man. It is a crime denounced in the early Makka sūras : "And do not kill the soul which Allāh has forbidden except for the requirements of justice" (17 : 33 ; 6 : 152). " And they whodo not slay the soul which Allāh has forbidden except in the requirements of justice and he who does this shall find a requital of sin ; the chastisement shall be doubled to him on the Day of Resurrection, and he shall abide therein in abasement" (25 : 68-69).

The punishment of murder is, however, prescribed in a Madīna sūra :

" O you who believe ! retaliation (*qiṣās*) is prescribed

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

for you in the matter of the slain ; the free for the free, and the slave for the slave and the female for the female, but if any remission is made to any one by his (aggrieved) brother, then prosecution (for the bloodwit) should be made according to usage, and payment should be made to him in a good manner ; this is an alleviation from your Lord and a mercy ; and whoever exceeds the limit after this, he shall have a painful chastisement. And there is life for you in the law of retaliation, O men of understanding, that you may guard yourselves" (2 : 178, 179).

The word *qisās*, rendered as *retaliation*, is derived from *qaṣṣa* meaning *he cut it* or *he followed his track in pursuit*, and it comes therefore to mean retaliation by *slaying for slaying, wounding for wounding and mutilating for mutilating* (LL.). The law of *qisās* among the Israelites extended to all these cases, but the Holy Qur'ān has expressly limited it to cases of murder (*fi-l-qatlā*). It speaks of retaliation in wounds as being an ordinance of the Mosaic law (5 : 45), but it is nowhere prescribed as a law for the Muslims, who are required to observe it only in the case of the slain (2 : 178). In some ḥadīth, it is no doubt mentioned that the Holy Prophet ordered retaliation in some cases of wounds, but this was in all likelihood due to the fact that he followed the earlier law until he received an express commandment to the contrary.

The law of retaliation in murder cases is followed by the words "the free for the free, the slave for the slave and the woman for the woman," which have sometimes been misunderstood as meaning that if a free man has been murdered, a free man should be murdered in his place and so on. This is falsified by the very word *qisās* which requires that the murderer should be murdered and not an innocent man. The words were meant to abolish an old Arab custom,

PENAL LAWS

for the Arabs before Islām used to insist, when the person killed was of noble descent, upon the execution of others besides the murderer. So it was made clear that whoever it might be, a free man or a slave or a woman, the murderer himself was to be slain.

An alleviation is, however, allowed in case the person who suffers from the death of the murdered man makes a remission, and is satisfied with *diyya* or blood-money.

Another case in which blood-money takes the place of a death sentence is that of unintentional killing. The Holy Qur'ān says :

“ And it does not behove a believer to kill a believer except by mistake, and whoever kills a believer by mistake, he should free a believing slave, and blood-money should be paid to his people unless they remit it as alms, but if he be from a tribe hostile to you and he is a believer, the freeing of a believing slave suffices ; and if he is from a tribe between whom and you there is a covenant, the blood-money should be paid to his people along with the freeing of a believing slave ” (4 : 92).

It may be here noted that by the hostile tribe, Murder of a non-Muslim. spoken of in the above quotation, is meant a tribe at war with the Muslim state. The murder of a non-Muslim living under a Muslim state or in a friendly non-Muslim state, is punishable in exactly the same way as the murder of a Muslim. The Holy Prophet is reported to have said : “ Whoever kills a *mu'ahad* (a non-Muslim living under the protection of a Muslim state), he shall not perceive the odour of Paradise, and its odour is perceivable from a distance of forty years' journey ” (Bu. 87 : 29 ; Tr. 14 : 11 ; Ah. II, p. 186). Thus, even from a purely religious point of view, not the least distinction is made between the murderer of a Muslim and a non-Muslim,

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

and therefore any distinction in their temporal punishments is out of question. And where the Holy Qur'an speaks of a murderer, it always speaks of the murderer of a *nafs* (person), and not of a Muslim: "Whoever kills *any one* unless it be for manslaughter or mischief in the land, it is as though he slew all men" (5 : 32). It is true that 'Alī is stated to have with him a *ṣahīfa* (written paper), according to which a Muslim was not to be killed for an unbeliever (Bu. 87 : 30), but evidently this related to a state of war and not a state of peace; the latter is expressly spoken of in Bu. 87 : 29, as already referred to. In fact, the rights of non-Muslims in a Muslim state are in all respects on a par with those of Muslims, so much so that Muslims are required even to fight in their defence (Bu. 56 : 174); and the Holy Prophet is reported to have said: "Their property is like our property and their blood is like our blood." According to another report: "The property of the *mu'āhads* is not lawful for the Muslims" (Ah. IV, p. 89).

Hadīth speaks of cases of murder in which the murderer's intention is doubtful and in these cases too, blood-money is to be paid (AD. 38 : 18, 25 ; Ah. II, p. 36). And where the murderer could not be discovered, blood-money was paid from the state treasury (Bu. 87 : 21). I have not been able to find any reported case in which the murderer may have been imprisoned in case of unintentional murder, but the *alleviation* of punishment in such cases is clearly provided for in the Holy Qur'an. The form of alleviation spoken of in the Holy Book is the payment of blood-money, but the right of the Imām or of the state to give that alleviation any other form is not negatived.

PENAL LAWS

Another crime for which capital punishment may be awarded, is dacoity. In the Holy dacoity.

Punishment for
dacoity. Qur'an, dacoity is spoken of as waging war against God and His Apostle :

“The punishment of those who wage war against Allāh and His Apostle and strive to make mischief (*fasād*) in the land is only this, that they should be put to death, or crucified, or their hands and their feet should be cut off on opposite sides,¹ or they should be imprisoned ;² this shall be as a disgrace for them in this world and in the Hereafter they shall have a grievous chastisement (5 : 33).

It has been accepted by the commentators, by a consensus of opinion, that dacoits and murderers who create disorder in a settled state of society, are referred to in this verse. The punishment prescribed is of four kinds, which shows that the punishment to be inflicted in any particular case would depend upon the circumstances of the case. If murder has been committed in the course of dacoity, the punishment would be the execution of the culprit, which may take the form of crucifixion if the offence is so heinous or the culprit has caused such terror in the land that the leaving of his body on the cross is necessary as a deterrent. Where the dacoits have committed excesses, one of their hands and feet may be cut off. In less serious cases of dacoity, the punishment may be only imprisonment.

1. The original words for “on opposite sides” are *min khilāf*, which might as well mean *on account of opposition*, referring to their creation of mischief in the land, while God and His Apostle want to establish peace in which the life and property of every man shall be secure. The word *khilāf* originally means *opposition*.

2. The Arabic words are *yunfau min-al-ardz* and *naḡā-hu* means *he drove away or expelled or banished him* (LL.). Therefore the words may mean either transportation or imprisonment, because in imprisonment, too, a man is banished from his usual place of habitation. Both Imām Abū Ḥanīfa and Aḥmad take the words here as meaning imprisonment.

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

Punishment for theft. Theft is the next punishable crime spoken of in the Holy Qur'an:

“And as for the man who steals and the woman who steals, cut off their hands as a punishment for what they have done, an exemplary punishment from Allāh, and Allāh is Mighty, Wise.

“But whoever repents after his iniquity and reforms himself, Allāh will turn to him mercifully; for Allāh is Forgiving, Merciful” (5 : 38, 39).

The cutting off of hands may be taken metaphorically, as in *qaṭa'a lisāna-hū* (lit., *he cut off his tongue*) which means *he silenced him* (L.A.). But even if taken literally, it is not necessary to cut off the hands for every type of theft, and this is a fact which all jurists have recognized. As stated above, in the case of dacoity four grades of punishment are mentioned, ranging from death or crucifixion to mere imprisonment. It is evident that theft is not as serious a crime as dacoity, and hence the minimum punishment for it could not be severer than the minimum punishment for dacoity, which is imprisonment, the next higher being the cutting off of hands. Evidently what is meant is that whereas the maximum punishment for dacoity is death, the maximum punishment for theft is the cutting off of the hand. Therefore it is for the judge to decide which punishment will suit a particular case. The state of society may sometimes demand the maximum punishment, even in less serious cases, but there are several circumstances which go to show that the maximum punishment of the cutting off of hands may ordinarily be reserved for habitual thieves :

- (a) The minimum punishment for dacoity, having already been mentioned in v. 33, may also be taken as the minimum punishment for the

PENAL LAWS

much less serious offence of theft, and this would meet the ends of justice.

- (b) The cutting off of hands, being a punishment for the more serious offences falling under dacoity, should also be reserved for the more serious offences falling under theft, and the offence of theft generally becomes more serious when it becomes habitual.
- (c) The punishment of cutting off of hands, in cases of theft, is called an exemplary punishment, and such punishment could only be given in very serious cases, or when the offender is addicted thereto, and the milder punishment of imprisonment has no deterrent effect upon him.
- (d) V. 39 shows that the object of the punishment is *reform*, and an occasion to reform can only be given if the punishment for a first or second offence is less severe.

It is true that the cutting off of the hand, for even a first crime, is reported in Ḥadīth, but this may be due to the particular circumstances of society at the time, and it is for the judge to decide which punishment will suit the circumstances. For instance, according to some ḥadīth the hand was cut off when the amount stolen was one-quarter of a dīnār or more; according to others when it was one dīnār or more (AD. 37 : 12 ; Ns. 46 : 7). According to one ḥadīth, the hand of the thief was not to be cut off at all when a theft was committed in the course of a journey or on an expedition (AD. 37 : 19 ; Tr. 15 : 20 ; Ns. 46 : 13). The words in *Abū Dāwūd* are : "I heard the Messenger of Allāh say, Hands shall not be cut off in the course of a journey." Probably some other punishment was given in such cases. There are also ḥadīth showing

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

that the hand was not to be cut off for stealing fruit on a tree (AD. 37 : 13). The cutting off of the hand is also prohibited in the case of criminal misappropriation (AD. 37 : 14). When Marwān was Governor of Madīna, a certain slave stole young palm trees from the garden of a man, and being caught was imprisoned by Marwān, who intended to cut off his hand. The master of the slave went to Rāfi' ibn Khudaij who said that he had heard the Prophet say that there was to be no cutting off of the hand in the case of theft of fruit, and when Rāfi' related this to Marwān, the slave was let off. It is further related, however, that Marwān had him flogged (AD. 37 : 13). In another ḥadīth it is stated that when a certain person stole another's mantle valued at thirty dirhams from underneath his head, the owner of the mantle offered that he would sell the same to the person who had stolen it, without demanding immediate payment, and the Holy Prophet approved of this arrangement (AD. 37 : 15). These examples show that great latitude was allowed to the judge in the choice of the punishment.

Adultery and the accusation of adultery are both
Punishment for adul- punishable according to the Holy
tery. Qur'ān :

“ The adulteress and the adulterer, flog each of them, giving a hundred stripes, and let not pity for them detain you in the matter of obedience to Allāh, if you believe in Allāh and the last day, and let a party of believers witness their chastisement ” (24 : 2).

In the case of slave-girls, who are guilty of adultery, the punishment is half of this :

“ And when they (the slave-girls) are taken in marriage, then if they are guilty of fornication, they shall suffer half the punishment which is inflicted upon free women ” (4 : 25).

PENAL LAWS

These are the only verses speaking of punishment for adultery, and they clearly show that flogging, and not death or stoning to death, is the punishment for adultery. In fact v. 4 : 25 precludes all possibility of death having ever been looked upon by the Holy Qur'ān as a punishment for adultery. It speaks clearly of the punishment of adultery in the case of married slave-girls, and says further that the punishment is half the punishment of adultery in the case of free married women. It is generally thought that while the Holy Qur'ān prescribes flogging as a punishment for fornication, *i.e.*, when the guilty person is not married, stoning to death is the punishment for adultery, and that this is based on the Holy Prophet's practice. But the Holy Qur'ān plainly speaks of the punishment for adultery in the case of married slave-girls as being half the punishment of adultery in the case of free married women (*muhṣanāt*), and therefore death or stoning to death cannot be conceived of as a possible punishment in case of adultery as it cannot be halved, while imprisonment or flogging may be. Thus the Holy Qur'ān not only speaks of flogging, and not death, as punishment for adultery, but it positively excludes death or stoning to death.

A few words may be added as to the method of flogging. The Arabic word for flogging is *jald* which means *skin*, and *jalada* signifies *he hit or hurt his skin* (LL.). *Jald* (flogging) was therefore a punishment which should be felt by the skin, and it aimed more at disgracing the culprit than torturing him. In the time of the Holy Prophet, and even for some time after him, there was no whip, and flogging was carried out by beating with a stick or with the hand or with shoes (RM. VI, p. 4). It is further stated by the same authority that the culprit

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

was not stripped naked for the infliction of the punishment of flogging; only he was required to take off thick clothes such as would ward off the stroke altogether. According to a report of Ibn Mas'ūd, baring the back for flogging is forbidden among the Muslims, and according to Shāfi'ī and Aḥmad, a shirt or two must be left over the body (RM. VI, p. 5). It is further related that it is preferable to give the strokes on different parts of the body so that no harm should result to any one part, but the face and the private parts must be avoided (RM. VI, p. 5).

Stoning to death, as a punishment for adultery, is nowhere spoken of in the Holy Qur'ān; Jewish law. on the other hand, the injunction to halve the punishment in certain cases is a clear indication that stoning to death was never contemplated as the punishment of adultery, by the Word of God. In Ḥadīth, however, cases are met with in which adultery was punished with stoning to death. One of these cases is expressly mentioned as the case of a Jew and a Jewess: "The Jews came to the Holy Prophet with a man and a woman from among them who had committed adultery; and by his order they were stoned to death near the place where funeral services were held" (Bu. 23:61). Further explanation of this incident is given in another ḥadīth where it is stated that when the Jews referred the case to him, he enquired of them what punishment the Torah prescribed in case of adultery. The Jews tried at first to conceal the fact that it was stoning to death, but on 'Abd-Allāh ibn Salām giving the reference,¹ they

1. That the present Torah does not give stoning as the punishment for adultery is only proof that the text has been altered. The Gospels show that such was the punishment up to the time of Jesus: "And the scribes and the Pharisees brought unto him a woman taken in adultery; and when they had set her in the midst, they say unto him, Master, this woman was taken in adultery, in the very act. Now Moses in the law

admitted it, and the guilty persons were dealt with as prescribed in Torah (Bu. 61 : 25). According to a third version, which is the most detailed, the Jews who desired to avoid the severer punishment of stoning for adultery said one to another : " Let us go to this Prophet, for he has been raised with milder teachings ; so if he gives his decision for a milder punishment than stoning, we will accept it." It is then related that the Holy Prophet went with them to their *midrās* (the house in which the Torah was read), and asked them what punishment was prescribed in their sacred book. They tried to conceal it at first but the truth had to be admitted at last, and the Holy Prophet gave his decision saying : " I give my judgment according to what is in the Torah " (AD. 37 : 25).

These reports leave not the shadow of a doubt that Jewish practice followed by the Prophet at first. stoning was the punishment of adultery in the Jewish law, and that it was in the case of Jewish offenders that this punishment was first resorted to by the Holy Prophet when he came to Madīna. There are other ḥadīth which show that the same punishment was given in certain cases when the offenders were Muslims, but apparently this was before the revelation of the verse (24 : 2) which speaks of flogging as the punishment for both the adulterer and the adulteress, it being the practice of the Holy Prophet to follow the earlier revealed law until he received a definite revelation on a point. A suggestion to that effect is contained in a ḥadīth : " Shāibānī says, I asked 'Abd-Allāh ibn Abī Aufā, Did the Holy Prophet stone to death ? He said, Yes. I said, Was it before the chapter entitled *the Light* (the 24th chapter) was revealed or after it ?

commanded us, that such should be stoned : but what sayest thou ' (Jn. '8 : 3-5).

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

The reply was, "I do not know" (Bu. 86:21). The chapter referred to is that which speaks of flogging as a punishment for adultery, and the question shows clearly that the practice of stoning for adultery was recognized as being against the plain injunction contained in that chapter. It is likely that some misunderstanding arose from the incidents which happened before the Qur'anic revelation on the point, and that that practice was taken as the Sunna of the Holy Prophet. The *Khawārij*, the earliest Muslim sect, entirely rejected *rajm* as a punishment in Islām (RM. VI, p. 6).

The question seems to have arisen early as to how an adulterer could be stoned, when the Holy Qur'an prescribed flogging as the only punishment for adultery. 'Umar is reported to have said that "there are people who say, What about stoning, for the punishment prescribed in the Book of Allāh is flogging" (Ah. I, p. 50). To such objectors 'Umar's reply is stated as follows: "In what Allāh revealed, there was the verse of *rajm* (stoning); we read it and we understood it and we guarded it; the Holy Prophet did stone (adulterers to death) and we also stoned after him, but I fear that when more time passes away, a sayer would say, We do not find the verse of *rajm* in the Book of Allāh" (Bu. 86:31). According to another version he is reported to have added: "Were it not that people would say that 'Umar has added in the Book of Allāh that which is not in it, I would have written it" (AD. 37:23). The argument attributed to 'Umar is very unsound. He admitted that the Holy Qur'an did not contain any verse prescribing the punishment of stoning for adulterers, and at the same time he is reported as stating that there was such a verse in what Allāh revealed. In all probability what 'Umar meant, if he ever spoke those words, was that the verse of stoning

was to be found in the Jewish sacred book, the Torah, which was undoubtedly a Divine revelation, and that the Holy Prophet stoned adulterers to death. The use of the words "Book of God" (*Kitāb-Allāh*) for the Torah is common in the Holy Qur'ān itself, the Torah being again and again spoken of as *Kitāb-Allāh* or the Book of God, or *al-Kitāb*, i.e., the Book (2 : 213, etc.). In all likelihood 'Umar only spoke of *rajm* as the punishment of adultery in the Mosaic law and he was misunderstood. At any rate he could not have spoken the words attributed to him. Had there been such a verse of the Holy Qur'ān, he would have brought it to the notice of other Companions of the Holy Prophet, when a complete written copy was first prepared in the time of Abū Bakr at his own suggestion. The words, as attributed to him in some of these ḥadīth, are simply meaningless. How could he say that there was a verse of the Qur'ān which he would have written down in the Qur'ān but he feared that people would say that he had made an addition to the Qur'ān, that is to say, added to it what was not a part of it? A verse could not be said to be a part of the Qur'ān and not a part of the Qur'ān at one and the same time.

There is further evidence in Ḥadīth itself that 'Umar himself at least in one reported case, (and it is a reliable report), punished adultery with flogging as laid down in the Holy Qur'ān in v. 24 : 2, and not with stoning to death. According to Bukhārī, one of 'Umar's collectors, Ḥamza by name, found that a married man who had committed adultery with his wife's slave-girl had been punished by 'Umar with a hundred stripes, and he referred the case to 'Umar, and 'Umar upheld his first decision (Bu. 39 : 1). His own action therefore negatives the ḥadīth which attributes to him the statement that stoning to death as a punishment for adultery was an

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

ordinance contained in a Quranic verse. An explanation is sometimes offered, that such a verse had been revealed but that it was abrogated afterwards, though the ordinance contained in it remained effective. There is no sense at all in this explanation. If the words of the verse were abrogated, the ordinance contained in those words went along with them. No ordinance can be given except in words, and if the words are abrogated, the ordinance is also abrogated. If therefore such a verse was ever revealed (for which there is no testimony worth the name), the admission that it was abrogated leaves the matter where it was before its revelation.

A false accusation of adultery is

Accusation of adultery. punished almost as severely as
adultery itself :

“And those who accuse free women, then do not bring four witnesses, flog them, giving eighty stripes, and do not admit any evidence from them ever ; and these it is that are the transgressors.

“Except those who repent after this and act aright, for Allah is Forgiving, Merciful” (24 : 4, 5).

It may be added here that while in ordinary matters two witnesses are required (2 : 282), in the case of an accusation of adultery four witnesses must be produced. Thus a case of adultery can be established only on the strongest possible evidence. That circumstantial evidence is accepted is shown by the Holy Qur'an itself in Joseph's case who, when accused of an assault on the chief's wife, was declared free of the charge on circumstantial evidence (12 : 26-28). There is also a number of ḥadīth showing that circumstantial evidence was accepted when it led to the establishment of a certain fact.

PENAL LAWS

The Holy Qur'an does not speak of any punishment for the man who drinks wine, but there are ḥadīth showing that the Holy Prophet inflicted punishment in such cases. This punishment seems to have been of a very mild type. It, moreover, appears that punishment was inflicted only in cases when a man was intoxicated with drink. Thus it is related that a certain person called Nu'aimān or Ibn Nu'aimān was brought to the Holy Prophet in a state of intoxication, and it distressed the Holy Prophet, so he ordered those who were in the house to give him a beating, and he was beaten with shoes and sticks (Bu. 86 : 4). Another incident is related in which the person who had drunk wine was beaten with hands and with shoes and with garments (*thaub*) (Bu. 86 : 5). Such remained the practice in the time of the Holy Prophet and that of Abū Bakr, and for some time in the reign of 'Umar, and very mild punishment was inflicted with hands or shoes or *ardīya* (pl. of *ridā'*, being the wrapping garment covering the upper half of the body), but 'Umar then introduced flogging, giving forty stripes, raising the punishment to eighty stripes, it is added, when people behaved inordinately (*'atau*) and transgressed limits (*fasaqū*) (Bu. 86 : 5). It is very likely that punishment, or at any rate the severer punishment, was inflicted for disturbance of the public peace by drunkards.

Punishment must be inflicted without respect of persons, nor should mediation be accepted in such cases. When, in the case of a certain woman who was guilty of theft, some people sought to intercede on her behalf through Usāma, since she came of a good family, the Holy Prophet was enraged and said, Dost thou intercede in the matter of a *ḥadd* (punishment)? and then addressed the people in general, saying, Those

General directions for execution of punishments.

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

before you went astray, for, when one of them committed a crime and he was a great man, they would not punish him, and when he was a poor man they would execute the punishment (Bu. 86 : 12). But lenience was shown in the execution of punishment when the guilty person showed signs of repentance (Bu. 86 : 27 ; AD. 37 : 9). It is strictly forbidden that one man should be punished for the crime of another (AD. 38 : 2). Nor is any punishment to be inflicted on a madman or a minor (Bu. 86 : 22 ; AD. 37 : 17). The punishment of the pregnant woman is to be deferred until she has delivered her child (IM. 21 : 36).

INDEX OF ARABIC WORDS AND PHRASES

- Abad, 310
 'Adhāb al-qabī, 270
 Adhān, 403
 'Adl, 94, 165
 Afāḥa, 357
 'Afuww, 163
 Aḥad, 93, 162
 'Aliyy, 18, 163
 Aḥkām, 119
 Ahl al-dhimma, 578
 Ahl al-Kitāb, 614
 Ahl al-Mirāth, 712
 Aḥqāb, 310
 Aḥsan al-Ḥadīth, 17
 Ahwā', 305
 Ajr, 621
 Akbar, 162
 Ākhira, 272
 Al-adillat al-ijtihādiyya, 17
 Al-adillat al-qāḥiyya, 17
 'Ala-l-ḥiṭra, 339
 'Alim, 169
 Allāh, 156
 Al-salāmu 'alaikum, 125
 Āmin, 234
 Anṣār, 122
 'Aqā'id, 119
 Aqāma, 411
 Aqārib, 699
 'Aql, 680
 Aqrabn, 700
 'Arafa, 492
 'Arafāt, 539
 'Aṣaba, 634, 712
 Aṣḥāb al-farā'idz, 711
 Aṣḥāb al-Ṣuffa, 64
 'Ashūrā', 493
 Auliya', 203, 635
 'Aura, 658, 741
 Awwal, 162
 Āya, 35, 47, 240
 Āyat al-saif, 570
 Ayyām al-biḍz, 492
 Ayyim, 633
 'Azim, 18, 163
 'Aziz, 163
 'Azl, 654
 Azwāj, 667
 Badī', 162
 Bait al-Māl, 743
 Bā'ith, 164
 Baqā, 356
 Bāqī, 165
 Bārak-Allāh la-ka, 628
 Bārī', 162
 Barr, 163
 Barzakḥ, 267
 Baṣīr, 164
 Bāṣiṭ, 164
 Bāṣn, 164
 Bayān, 17
 Bismillāh, 48
 Bit', 739
 Bulūgh, 630
 Burhān, 17
 Dā', 739
 Dār, 637
 Dār al-ḥarb, 574
 Dār al-Islām, 574
 Dawā', 739
 Dhabaḥa, 730
 Dhanb, 236
 Dhawi-l-arḥām, 712
 Dhawi-l-farā'idz, 711
 Dhikr, 418
 Dhikrā, 17
 Dhu-l-Jalālī wa-l-ikrām, 164
 Dhū Maḥram, 661
 Dhu-ntiqām, 164
 Dīn, 1
 Diya, 747
 Duff, 626
 Dza'if, 693
 Dzall, 127, 239
 Dzarr, 165
 Fāḥisha, 652
 Faqīr, 473
 Farā'idz, 706
 Fardz, 325
 Fardz kifāya, 72, 445, 571
 Faridza, 622
 Farj, 664
 Fasād, 749
 Fasaqū, 759
 Faṣl, 18
 Fattāḥ, 164
 Fidya, 495
 Fī sabīli-llāh, 459, 554
 Fitna, 309
 Fiṭr, 437
 Fiṭra, 339, 437
 Fuqarā', 473
 Fuqqā', 739
 Furqān, 17
 Furū', 119
 Ḡafr, 235
 Ḡhairu Mu'allal, 95
 Ḡhāshiya, 272
 Ḡhanī, 162, 700
 Ḡhinā, 356
 Hādī, 165
 Ḥadīth, 58
 Ḥafiz, 164
 Ḥajāma, 636
 Ḥajj, 507
 Ḥajj qārin, 533
 Ḥakīm, 18, 164
 Ḥalāl, 727
 Ḥalāla, 684
 Ḥalim, 163
 Ḥamid, 164
 Ḥarām, 636
 Haqq, 17, 162
 Ḥāqqa, 272

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

- Ḥasīb, 164
Hāwiya, 303
Ḥayy, 162
Hiba, 690
Hiba bi-l-ʿiwaḍz, 698
Hiba bi-sharṭi-l-ʿiwaḍz, 698
Hidāya, 317, 374, 377
Ḥijāb, 660
Ḥikma, 17
Hubūt, 342
Hudā, 17
Ḥudūd, 601, 743
Ḥukm, 17
Ḥuḷqūm, 780
Ḥūr, 396
Ḥurriyya, 630
ʿIbāda, 146n. 374
ʿIbād al-Raḥmān, 691
ʿIbādāt, 600
Iblis, 207
ʿId, 437
ʿId al-Aḍḥā, 437
ʿId al-Fiṭr, 437
ʿIda, 679
Idhn, 327
Idẓlāl, 331
Idẓṭirār, 610
Iffār, 437, 500
Iḥrām, 529
Iḥsān, 459, 608
Iḥtisāb, 690
Iḥtikār, 696
Ījāb, 325, 620-21
Ijmāʿ, 103, 108, 109
Ijtihād, 96
Īlāʿ, 686
Illā mā ṣahara min-hā, 658
ʿIlm al-Farāʿidz, 711
Īmān, 119, 394
Infāq, 459
qāma, 429
Irtidād, 591
Isḥarat al-sariʿa, 20
Islām, 2
Istibḍāʿ, 606
Istidlāl, 105
Istighfār, 234
Istiḥbāb, 617
Istiḥsān, 105
Istiṣlāḥ, 105
Iʿtadā, 744
Iʿtikāf, 504
ʿIzz, 356
Jabal al-Raḥma, 539-40
Jabbār, 163
Jabr, 350
Jahannam, 303
Jāhiliyya, 122
Jahīm, 303
Jald, 753
Jalsa, 415
Jalil, 165
Jamʿ bain al-ṣalātayn, 409
Jāmīʿ, 165
Jamra, 542
Jamʿ tākhīr, 410
Jamʿ taqdīm, 410
Janaḥ, 170
Janāʿiz, 444
Janāza, 444
Janna, 189, 291
Jibrīl, 18, 19, 128
Jihād, 545
Jilbāb, 659
Jināza, 444
Jinn, 188, 191
Jizya, 575
Junub, 400
Kaʿba, 514
Kabīr, 164
Kaffāra, 360
Kalāla, 707
Karīm, 18, 164
Kasb, 321
Kashf, 21
Khadʿa, 589
Khāfīḍz, 165
Khair, 17, 349
Khabīr, 164
Khalifa, 98, 395
Khāliq, 162
Khalq, 317
Khamr, 737
Khaṭaʿ, 236
Khaṭaba, 618
Khātam al-nabiyyīn, 257
Khaṭīb, 621
Khazz, 741
Khūmār, 737
Khiṭba, 618
Khulʿ, 671
Khuliqat min dzilʿ-in, 649
Khuṭba, 394, 618, 621
Kirām-an Kātibin, 185
Kitāb, 17, 26, 287, 325, 327
Kufʿ, 635
Kufr, 122
Kullu muskīn-in ḥarām-un, 739
Kutub, 201
Labbaika, 522
Lā ikraha fi-l-din, 594
Lā ilāha ill-Allāh, 121, 124, 131
Lailat al-Qadr, 18, 505
Laṭīf, 163
Lauḥ Maḥfūz, 328
Lazā, 304
Liqaʿ Allāh, 300
Maḍḥhab, 1
Maḥqūd al-khabar, 676
Mahr, 621
Mahr mithl, 623
Majīd, 18, 164
Malak, 169
Malik, 164
Mālik, 160
Mālik al-mulk, 165
Mā malakat aimānu-kum, 587, 666
Mā malakat yamīnu-ka, 666
Manām, 21
Māniʿ, 165
Marfūʿ, 18, 95
Mariʿ, 730
Masākīn, 473
Maʿshar, 192
Mashʿar al-Ḥarām, 540
Mashīʿa, 327

INDEX OF ARABIC WORDS

- Masjid, 380
 Masjid al Aqsā, 511
 Maṭāf, 532
 Malā'ika, 169
 Matin, 164
 Maulā, 363, 7:7
 Mauqūf, 95
 Midrās, 755
 Mikal, 178
 Milla, 1
 Min 'ajal, 649n.
 Min khilāf, 749
 Miqāt, 530
 Mi'raj, 355
 Mithāq, 620
 Mu'adlīdhīn, 394
 Mu'āhad, 747
 Mu'ajjal, 625
 Mu'ajjal, 625
 Mu'akhkhir, 165
 Mu'allafatī qulū-bu-hum, 474
 Mu'allaq, 95
 Mu'āmalāt, 600
 Mu'an'an, 95
 Mubārak, 18
 Mubashsharāt, 21, 215
 Mubashshir, 231
 Mubdi', 164
 Mubīn, 18
 Mudhill, 164
 Muflīhūn, 356
 Mughni, 165
 Muḥaddithīn, 85, 86
 Muhaimin, 17, 164
 Muḥdath, 217
 Muhill, 530
 Muḥrim, 529
 Muḥyi, 164
 Mu'id, 164
 Mu'izz, 164
 Mujaddid, 263
 Mujāhid, 549
 Mujib, 164
 Mujtahid, 237
 Mu'min, 119, 163
 Mumt, 164
 Muḥṣi, 164
 Mukarram, 18
 Munājāt, 359
 Munqaṭa', 95
 Muntaqim, 164
 Muqaddim, 165
 Muqallidīn, 111
 Muqit, 161
 Muqsiṭ, 165
 Muqtadir, 164
 Mursal, 95
 Murtadd, 591
 Muṣṣaf, 201
 Muslim, 2, 4
 Muṣawwir, 162
 Muta', 606
 Muta'al, 163
 Muṭahhara, 18
 Mutakabbir, 163
 Murtakif, 504
 Mutashshibh, 18
 Mutawakkil, 525
 Mutawalli, 894, 699
 Mutawātir, 93
 Mu'tazila, 109
 Mu'ti, 165
 Muttaṣil, 95
 Muttaṣil al-sanad, 94
 Muzdalifa, 540
 Nabīdh, 739
 Nabiyy, 219
 Nafā-hu, 749
 Nafi', 165
 Nafs, 748
 Nafs-in wāḥidat-in, 603
 Nāmūs al-Akbar, 19
 Naskh, 38
 Nidā, 403
 Nikāh, 602, 618
 Ni'ma, 17
 Niyya, 499
 Nūr, 165
 Nuṣhūz, 650
 Nusuk, 507
 Qābidz, 164
 Qabiltu, 621
 Qa'da, 415
 Qadar, 315
 Qadir, 164
 Qadza, 315
 Qadza 'Umri, 87n.
 Qahhār, 163
 Qā'if, 607
 Qārī'a, 272
 Qāss, 80
 Qaṭa' lisāna-hū, 750
 Qawriyy, 163
 Qawwāmūn, 645
 Qayyim, 17, 162
 Qirān, 527
 Qisās, 746
 Qiyām, 692
 Qiyās, 104
 Qabūl, 620, 621
 Quddūs, 162
 Qunūt, 425
 Qur'ān, 17
 Qurū', 629
 Quṣṣās, 79
 Rabb, 135, 153, 157, 373
 Rāfi', 164
 Rafi' al-darajāt, 163
 Raḥim, 159, 163, 374
 Raḥma, 17
 Raḥmān, 151, 159, 163, 374
 Rajm, 195, 756
 Rak'a, 412
 Ramy al-jimār, 542
 Raqib, 164
 Rashid, 165
 Rāshid, 631
 Rasūl, 219
 Ra'ūf, 163
 Razzāq, 163
 Ribā, 718
 Ridā, 759
 Rihān-un maqbūdza, 697
 Rajul, 193
 Rūh, 17
 Rukū', 414
 Ru'yā, 21
 Sā'a, 272
 Ṣābir, 549
 Ṣābiyāt, 615

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Şabūr, 165	Takbir, 413	Wakīl, 164
Şadaqa, 459	Takbirat al-ihrām, 414	Wāsi', 163
Şaduqāt, 621	Takbir tahrima, 414	Wālī, 165
Safāh, 653	Talbiya, 530	Waliyy, 164, 693
Safih, 693	Talāq, 671	Waqāha, 634
Şaḥihain, 75	Talāq aḥsan, 682	Waqf, 699
Sā'ih, 481	Talāq bā'in, 681	Wāqī'a, 272
Sa'ir, 303	Talāq bid'i, 681	Warātha, 690
Şākhkha, 272	Talāq ḥasan, 682	Wārith, 165
Şalā, 410	Talāq raj'i, 681	Waḥyya, 697
Şalāt al-khauf, 432	Tamattu', 527	Wişāl, 496
Saqar, 303	Ta'malūn, 319	Wudzū', 397
Salām, 163	Tamma, 272	Wuqūf, 540
Şamad, 162	Tāmm al-dzabt, 94	Yastanbiṭūn, 98
Samī', 164	Ta'n, 81	Yaum al-azıfā, 272
Şaum, 477	Tanzil, 17	Yaum al-ba'th, 272
Sa'y, 538	Taqdir, 315, 317, 325	Yaum al-din, 272
Sayyī'a, 744	Tarāwih, 454	Yaum al-fasl, 272
Şādhdh, 94	Tashahhud, 423, 627	Yaum al-fath, 272
Şhafā'a, 251	Tashriq, 493, 542	Yaum al-ḥasra, 272
Şahid, 164	Taskhir, 21	Yaum al-ḥisāb, 272
Şahida, 487	Taslim, 416	Yaum al-jam', 272
Şakūr, 163	Tasmiya, 731	Yaum al-jumu'a, 432
Şifā, 17	Taswiya, 317	Yaum al-khulūd, 272
Şighāh, 625	Tattaqūn, 480	Yaum al-khurūj, 272
Şiqāq, 673	Tawāf, 531	Yaum al-naḥr, 532, 541
Şirk, 145, 613	Tawāf al-quḍūm, 532	Yaum al-qiyāma, 271
Şudāq, 632	Tawāf al-wadā', 532	Yaum al-tagḥābun, 272
Şiddiq, 234	Tawāf al-ziyāra, 532	Yaum al-talāq, 272
Siqt, 448	Tawwāb, 163	Yaum al-tanād, 272
Şihāh Sitta, 75	Tayammum, 402	Yaum al-tarwiya, 538
Su'al, 694.	Tayyib, 727	Yunfau min al-ardz, 749
Şudāq, 622	Ta'zir, 743	Zabūr, 202
Sufahā', 692	Thaub, 759	Zāhir, 164
Şuḥuf, 201	Thayyiba, 632	Zakāt, 232, 255, 459,
Sunna, 58	Tuhr, 679	462
Sunnat al awwalīn, 58	'Umra, 526	Zalla, 240
Sūra, 47	'Uqūba, 743	Zauj, 603
Su'ur, 303	Uşul, 119	Zawwajtu, 621
Tābrīn, 81	Wadajān, 730	Zihār, 494, 687
Tadhkira, 17	Wadūd, 163	Zindeeq, 93
Tadhkiya, 731	Wahhāb, 163	Zubur, 202
Tahajjud, 410, 451	Wahid, 162	Zulm, 319, 718
Tā'ir, 287	Waḥy, 202	
Tajaḥḥama, 303	Wajid, 165	

GENERAL INDEX

A

- Abad, 310
 'Abd-Allāh, 102
 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Abbās, 66, 69
 'Abd-Allāh ibn 'Amr, 66, 69
 'Abd-Allāh ibn Mas'ūd, 623
 'Abd Allāh ibn Mubārak, 73
 'Abd-Allāh ibn Salām, 79, 754
 'Abd-Allāh ibn Ubayy, 125
 'Abd Allāh ibn. 'Umar, 38, 61, 66, 69, 270, 347, 644
 'Abd-Allāh ibn Unais, 274
 'Abd-Allāh ibn Wahb, 74
 'Abd-Allāh ibn Zubair, 515, 5'8
 'Abd al-Mālik, see Ibn Juraij,
 'Abd al-Muṭṭalib, 534
 'Abd al-Raḥīm, Sir, 104, 106
 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Auf, 629
 'Abd al-Razzāq, 73
 Ablution, 365
 Abraha, 534
 Abraham, 6, 149, 237, 320, 386, 464, 536-8, 543, 589
 Abraham's guests, 171
 Abrogation, see Qur'ān
 Abū-l-'Āliya, 70
 Abū Ayyūb Anṣārī, 70
 Abū Bakr, 62, 99, 339, 468, 585, 632, 636, 738
 Abū Bakr ibn Ḥazm, 71, 72
 Abū Baṣra Ghifārī, 489
 Abū Dardā', 70, 600;—and Salmān, 493
 Abū Dāwūd, 74, 469
 Abū Dharr, 110, 122, 587
 Abū Dẓamḏẓam, 64
 Abū Huraira, 61, 62, 64, 65, 69, 70, 77, 80, 82, 345—7, 360, 489, 495
 Abū Jahl, 513
 Abu-l-'Āliya, 70
 Abu-l-Bakhtari, 86
 Abu-l-Faraj 'Alī ibn Ḥusain, see Isfahānī
 Abū Ḥanifa, Imām, 100, 101, 113, 414, 612, 616, 633
 Abu-l-Ḥasan Aṣh'arī, 126, 127, 350
 Abu-l-Qāsim, 99
 Abū Mūsā, 33
 Abū Ṭalḥa, 699
 Abū 'Ubaida, 315, 579
 Abū Usaid, 739
 Abū Yūsuf, Imām, 100, 111, 677
 Action, Faith and, 119
 Adam, disobedience of, 240
 — and Moses, 341
 — children of 337-38
 — creation of 177
 — obeisance to, 187
 Adhān, 402—4
 Adhruḥ, 576
 'Adiyy ibn Ḥātim, 147
 Adultery, punishment for 752
 — false accusation of, 758
 Affliction, 327-28, 449
 Affinity, 611, 613
 Age of Majority, 694
 Agnostic, 139
 Aggression, 554, 571
 Ahl al-Kitāb, 615, 732;
 — marriage with, 614
 Ahl al-Ṣuffa, 383
 Ahl Ḥadīth, 454
 Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Imām, 74, 78, 91, 113, 677
 Aḥmad ibn al-Muṣṭafā, 127
 Aḥmadīs, 454
 'Ā'isha, 23, 34, 64, 66, 69, 70, 80, 88, 100, 174, 632
 — marriage of, 619
 Ajr, 621
 Al Ākhira, 261
 'Alī, 62, 453
 'Alī Qārī, Mullah, 87
 Allāh, belief in, 132
 — proper name of Divine Being, 156
 — see God
 Alms, 411

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

- Amrān, 231
 - Anas ibn Mālīk, 62, 69, 445
 - Angels, 130, 169
 - aid the righteous, 180
 - and 'Arsh, 177
 - belief in, 186
 - bring Divine Messages, 178
 - called rasūl, 177
 - cannot be seen, 170
 - connection of, with physical world, 176
 - functions of, 176
 - help in spiritual progress, 183
 - help in battles, 180
 - Iblīs, not one of, 187
 - in Paradise and Hell, 184
 - jinn are not, 188
 - lead faithful from darkness into light, 183
 - meaning of belief in, 133
 - nature of, 173
 - pray and intercede for men, 181, 253
 - prompt to noble deeds, 184
 - punish the wicked, 181
 - record deeds of men, 185
 - various orders of, 203
 - Ancient House, 514
 - Animal sacrifice, 540-41
 - Animal, slaughter of, 730
 - Animals, zakāt on, 470-71
 - Anṣār, 122
 - Anthropomorphism, 154
 - Anti-religious movement, 13, 378
 - Apostasy, not punishable, 593
 - Ḥadīth on, 594-98
 - Fiqh on, 598
 - Apostles, 133, 153
 - Appetites and desires, 483
 - 'Aqaba, 539
 - 'Aqaba ibn 'Āmir, 70
 - Arab custom *re* females, 747
 - 'Arafa day, fasting on, 492
 - 'Arafāt, 513, 523, 526, 657
 - 'Arsh, 155
 - Arya Samaj, 422
 - Asceticism, 493, 506, 521
 - Aṣḥāb al-Farā'idz, 711
 - Aṣḥāb al-Ṣuffa, 64, 383
 - 'Aṣḥūrā', fasting on, 493
 - Asmā', 658
 - Aṣma'ī, 63
 - Astrologers, 196-99
 - 'Aṭā, 489
 - Atheists, 139
 - Atonement, 233, 237
 - Day of, 478
 - Auction, 696
 - Auliya' 203, 635
 - 'Aura, 658, 741
 - Aus ibn Sāmit, 687
 - Autās, 609
 - Āya, (see divisions of Qur'ān), 35, 47, 240
 - Āyat al-saif, 570
 - Ayyām al-bīd, fasting on, 492
 - Ayyām al-taṣhīr, 541
 - Ayla, 576
 - Azhari, 123
 - 'Azl, 654
- B**
- Babel, 172
 - Badr, 275
 - battle of, 503, 512, 536
 - Bahā'i religion, 422
 - Bahrain, 561, 575
 - Baidzāwī, 154
 - Baihaqi, 90
 - Bait Allāh, 507, 534
 - Bait al-'Atiq, 516
 - Bait al-Ma'mūr, 510
 - Bait al-māl, 713
 - Balance, 289-91
 - Banī Bayādz, 636
 - Banī Mustalaq, 586, 667
 - Banī Taghlib, 579
 - Bank deposits, 723
 - Banking system, 722
 - Barter, 720
 - Barzakh, 269, 271, 275
 - Bastardy, 642
 - Bath, taking of, 400
 - Beard, 742
 - Begging, 694
 - Belief, 130, 187
 - Beneficiary, creator of trust may be a 699-700
 - Bequest, 697, 713;
 - limitations on, 714

GENERAL INDEX

- Bethesda, 242
Bhang, 739
 Bible, corruption of text, 213
 — Qur'ān refutes indecent stories contained in, 211
 Bilāl, 686
 Birth control, 653-54
 Bismillāh, 734
 Blood, 729
 Blood-money, 747
 Bloodshed, 8
 Black Stone, the, 515, 532-33
 — kissing of, 536
 Bolshevism, 12, 465-66, 690
 Book of deeds, 287
 Books, belief in, 193
 Borrowing, 718
 Bribery, 691
 Bridge (game of cards) 691
 Brotherhood of man, 382-83
 Buddha, 615
 Buddhists, 614
 Bukhārī, Muḥammad ibn Ismā'īl, 74, 75, 89
 — judges Ḥadīth by Qur'ān, 90
 Bulūgha, 680
 Burial service, 445
Bushrā, 215
 Business morality, 695-96
 Buwaib, battle of, 579
 Byzantine, 565
- C
- Cæsar, 589
 Caliphate, 562, 571
 Capitalism, 12, 465, 476, 723, 726
 Captive, 461
 Caste and colour distinction, 635
 Castration, 603
 Cattle, 696
 Celibacy forbidden, 603
 Cemetery, 449
 Character, 727
Charas, 736
 Chaldæa, 561
 Charity, 741
 — conception of, in Islām, 459
 — examples of, 461
 Charity, injury and, 461
 — kinds of, 460
 — motive of, 461
 — of a debtor, 693
 — on behalf of the deceased, 450
 — prayer and, 458
 — reproach and, 461
 — scope of, 459-60
 Children, fasting by, 491
 — of polytheists, 449
 — death of, 448
 — not to be killed in battles, 569, 572, 584
 Chosroes, 561-62, 589
 Christian, 614
 — critics, 237
 — monkery, 521
 — societies, 724
 — writers, 229n., 233
 Christianity, 44
 Churches, 381
 Circumcision, 297
 Civilization, 604, 641, 722
 — based on religion, 7, 8
 Clandestine relations, 625
 Cleanliness, 396, 727, 740
 Cloisters, 381
 Clothing, 396, 740
 Colonization, 465
 Communism, 12
 Companions of the Holy Prophet, 380, 453
 — all truthful, 83
 — and authenticity of Ḥadīth, 81, 86
 — exercise of judgment by, 98
 — difference of opinion among, 110
 — Ijmā' of, 108-9
 — new decisions given by, 112
 Conceit, 741
 Concubinage, 663-64
 Confucius, 615
 Congregation, prayer in, 428
 — Tahajjud prayer in, 453
 Consanguinity, 611-13
 Conscience, 558
 Contract, marriage, a, 603, 616, 629
 — validity of marriage, 630
 Co-operative banks, 725
 — system, 723
 Copts, 239

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Copts, the king of the, 563
 Corner stone, the, 257
 Creation, Divine purpose in, 136
 — held under control, 137
 — story of, not Islamic, 78
 Criticism, canons of, 85-6
 Crucifixion, 596n., 749
 Cruelty, 674
 Crusades, 363, 562
 Curtain, 660
 Customs and usages, 105
 Cutting of hands, 750
 Czardom, 465

D

Dacoity, 691, 749
 — punishment of, 749
 Danites, 213
Dār al 'ahd, 575
Dār al ḥarb, 575
Dār al Nadiwa, 518
Dār al Salām, 300
Dār al Ṣulḥ, 575
 Dates, 628, 739
 Date stone, 315
 Daughters, burying alive of, 654
 David, 20, 479, 537
 — and Uriah's wife, 79
 Dead animals, 729
 Death, every soul must taste of, 349
 — not the end of life, 264
 — of children, 343
 — spiritual, 275-76
 Debt-document, rules relating to, 716
 Debtor's gifts, 721
 Debtors to be treated leniently, 716
 Debt, payment of, before execution
 of will, 698
 — repayment of, 718
 — to be reduced to writing, 716
 — of the deceased, 713
 Deceit and lying, 589
 Decency, 664
 Deeds, 344, 412
 Defect, disclosure of, 695

Deism, 149
 Democracy, 692, 737
 Despair, 336
 Devil, 130
 — disbelief in, 187
 — significance of, 190
 — tempting Adam, 212
 — has no access to Divine secrets,
 194
Dhakhwān, 425
Dhanb (see Sin), 236
Dhu-l-Qarnain, 221
 Dihya, 489
 Dinār, 580
 Dining, inter-religious, 736
 Diodorus Siculus, 519
 Disease, 674
 Disgrace, 306
 Disputing, 530
 Distribution of wealth, 465
 Diviners, 196—98
 Divining arrows, 738
 Divorce, avoiding, 623, 672
 — charitable view of, 688
 — Christian law of, 671.
 — during menstruation, 678
 — effect of irrevocable, 683
 — given in anger, 686
 — given in jest, 686
 — given by mistake, 686
 — given under compulsion, 686
 — given under intoxication, 686
 — in Hindu law, 671
 — in Christian countries, 672
 — in pre Islamic Arabia, 681
 — irrevocable, 681
 — Jewish law of, 671
 — Mahr as a check on, 624
 — no prescribed form of, 675
 — permitted only in extreme cases,
 683
 — principle of, 673
 — procedure of, 685
 — revocable, 680
 — revocable during waiting period,
 683
 — three forms of, 681
 — wife's right of, 675

GENERAL INDEX

- Divorce, witnesses, 629, 685
 — worst of the permitted things, 671
 Divorced woman, 633
 — to be treated kindly, 689
 Divine attributes, 153, 157
 — as the greatest ideal, 157
 — human attributes and, 321
 — inseparable from Him, 217
 — all encompassing mercy, 165, 336
 Divine Being, conception of, 154
 Divine, in man, 357
 — limits, violation of, 748
 — presence, 481, 482
 Dogma, 5
 Dogs, 82
 Doomsday, 275
 Dowry, 621, 676
 — amount of, 623
 — increase or decrease of, after marriage, 624
 — is property of wife, 625
 — may be any amount, 690
 — not to be taken back, 390
 — is obligatory, 622
 Drunkenness, 759
 Dūma, 576
 Dumb creatures, 460
 Du Ryer, M., 56
- E**
- Eating, moderation in, 728
 — etiquettes, 735
 — no convention in, 735
 — alone or in company, 736
 Eclipse, 455-56
 Elias, Elijah, 261
 Embryo, 340-41
 Engagement, 618
 Europe, 642, 722
 European Civilization, 605
 Evil retards progress, 307
 Evolution, law of, 135
 — theory of, 158
 Extravagance, 692, 741
- F**
- Faith and action, 119
 Faith, articles of, 34
 — in Qadar, 344-45
 — in Divine Messengers, 220
 — principles of, 131-32
 — significance of, 122
 Fall, The, 342
 Farewell Pilgrimage, 609
 Fast, Fasting, 356, 477
 — limits of the, 496
 — no evil during, 503
 — persons who may not, 487
 — compensatory, 495
 — early breaking of, 493-99
 — expiatory 499
 — restrictions on voluntary, 492, 493
 — what breaks the, 500
 — what does not break the, 501
 — a moral discipline, 482
 — a spiritual discipline, 481
 — a universal institution, 478
 — and elderly persons, 488
 — and nursing women, 488
 — and pregnant women, 488
 — brings about forgiveness of sin, 50
 — conditions of, 491
 — develops sense of Divine nearness, 48
 — ethical side of, 501
 — in fulfilment of a vow, 496
 — in harvesting time, 489
 — in illness, 489
 — in war time, 489
 — lunar month for, 486
 — morning meal in, 498
 — niyya for, 499
 — object of, 480-81
 — physical value of, 484
 — pre-Islamic idea of, 479
 — redemption in case of breaking, 48
 — relaxation in, 488
 — social value of, 483
 — teaches self-control, 483
 — when may be postponed-487
 — when journeying, 489, 490
 — when prohibited, 485

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

Fatalism, 322
 Fātiḥa, 265, 374-75
 Fāṭima, 453
 Fighting, Ḥadīth on, 564
 — in Allāh's way, 568
 — permission granted for, 443, 551
 Fish, 731
Fisq, 730
 Flogging, 752-53
 Food, pernicious effect of, 730
 — prohibited by Fiqh, 734
 — prohibited by Ḥadīth, 733
 Food-stuff, withholding of, 696
 Forgiveness, 744
 Fornication, 616, 622, 625, 753
 Fosterage, 611-12
 Foul speech, 481, 530
 Free love, 12, 605, 653
 Friday sermon, 383, 434
 Friday service, 361, 432-33, 435
 — and daily vocation, 436
 — may be held anywhere, 436
 — preparation for, 433
 — Zuhr prayer, after, 436
 Funeral service 444, 443
 — in absentia, 447
 — of a child, 448
 Future life, begins here, 266
 — importance of faith in, 265

G

Gabriel, 18, 21, 26, 174
 — called *Rasūl*, 179
 — angel of revelation, 176
 — different names of, 178
 — seeing of, 175
 Gambling, 691, 738
 Game, 733
 — of chance, see gambling, 691
 Gedaliah, 479
Ghilmān, 299
 Gift, 628
 God (see also Allāh)
 — belief in, 357
 — beyond limitation, 325
 — does not lead astray, 329
 — existence of, 134

God, denial of, 139
 — fatherhood of, 382
 — foreknowledge of, 325
 — is not jealous, 145
 — human nature witness to the existence of, 139
 — meeting with, 300
 — needs no means to do an act, 329
 — revelation, proof of existence of, 140
 — rewards good deeds irrespective of creeds, 153
 — submission to, 226
 — still speaks to the righteous, 217
 — the ultimate cause, 320
 — Unity of, 144
 — Universal law of, 317
 — writing of, 325, 329
 — attributes of, are perfect, 359
 — House of, 381
 —'s sealing of hearts, 333
 Gog and Magog, 155
 Good, and evil, creation of, 317
 — conception of, 318
 — helps progress, 307
 — doers of, 306
 Gospels, alterations in, 213
 Grave, 267, 447, 450
 — and spiritual death, 275-76
 — punishment inflicted in, 269
 Guardian, 619, 694
 — the king, a, 631

H

Ḥadīth and Abbaside rule, 92
 — and reports in biographies, 77
 — and Umayyad rule, 92
 — authority of, 88
 — can be abrogated by Qur'ān, 88
 — classes of, 93
 — collection of, 64-73
 — collectors of, 72
 — dissemination of, 59, 67
 — European critics of, 76, 81, 88, 92
 — Imān in, 121
 — kept distinct from Qur'ān, 62
 — Muslim critics of, 81, 85

GENERAL INDEX

- Ḥadīth, number of, 77
 — on marriage, 632
 — on Mut'a, 608
 — Qur'ān the test of, 87
 — rejectors of, 83
 — rules of criticism of, 86
 — subject to Qur'ān, 552
 — writing of, 60, 61, 71
 Ḥafṣa, 29, 34, 632
 Hagar, 519, 538
 Hair, 742
 Ḥajr al-aswad, see Black Stone
 Ḥajj, and spiritual progress, 513
 — Divine presence in, 523
 — ending of, 541
 — European view of, 507, 523
 — going bare-footed to, 526
 — institution of, 512
 — levelling influence of, 522
 — months of, 512
 — object of, 523
 — obligation of, 525
 — origin of chief features of, 519
 — pre-Islamic, 511
 — proper, 538
 — rules of conduct relating to, 512
 — secular side of, 544
 Ḥajjāj, 515, 518
 Ḥakam, 469
 Ḥalāl, 727
 Ḥalāla condemned, 685
 Ḥammād ibn Salma, 73
 Hamza, daughter of, 612
 Ḥanīf, 509
 Ḥanīfism, 248
 Ḥārūn al-Rashīd, 86
 Ḥasan, 488
 Ḥassān ibn Thābit, 385
 Ḥasan son of 'Alī, 426
 Ḥārūt and Mārūt, 172
 Ḥawārī, 297
 Hawāzin, 587
 Heffeming, 592
 Heir, no bequest for an, 715
 Heirs, 698
 — divisions of, 703
 Hell, 266, 304, 305
 — not eternal, 309, 313
 Hell, different names of, 303
 — the sinners' friend, 307, 309
 — heart-burning of this life is fire of, 285
 — name of a condition, 294
 Hereafter, denial of, 301
 — meaning of belief in, 133
 Hermann of Dalmatia, 56
 Hiba, (see bequest), 690
 Ḥijr, 515
 Hindus, 614
 Ḥirā, cave of, 23
 Holy Spirit, (see also Gabriel), 19-20
 Home, 645
 — training ground for morality, 605
 Honest dealings, 695
 Hospitality, 736
 House, permission to enter, 660
 Husham, 73
 Hūd, 221, 229
 Ḥudaibiya, 526, 573
 — truce of, 238-39, 559
 Ḥudūd-Allāh, 601
 Humanitarianism, 362
 Human nature, 339
 Ḥunain, battle of, 586
 Ḥūr, 295, 298
 Husband, and wife, relations between, 645
 — bound to maintain wife, 646
 — ill-treatment by, 652
 — duties of, 648
 — limitations on —'s right to divorce, 677-78
 Hypocrites, 125, 307, 548, 659

I

- Ibn al-Musayyab, 677
 Ibn 'Abbās, 38, 100, 292, 348, 488, 501
 Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, 87
 Ibn al-Jauzī, 87
 Ibn Athīr, 123, 332
 Ibn Ḥajar, 81, 87, 93, 94
 Ibn Ishāq, 91
 Ibn Jarīr, 42, 79, 84
 Ibn Juraij, 23

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

- Ibn Kathīr, 193
 Ibn Khaldūn, 78, 85
 Ibn Māja, 74
 Ibn Mas'ūd, 194, 313
 Ibn Qutaiba, 85
 Ibn Rawāḥa, 490
 Ibn Sa'id, 84
 Ibn Shāhāb, 72, 433
 Ibn Tamiya, 488
 Ibn 'Umar, 38, 39, 80, 82, 100, 126, 492, 464, 564, 695
 Ibn Umm Maktūm, 498
 Ibn Zūbair, 38
 Ibnat al-Jaun, 675
 Ibrāhīm, 488
 'Id, 437
 — al-Adḡhā, 440, 541
 — al-Fīṭr, 437
 — khutba, 435, 439
 — prayers, 436
 — preparation for, 437
 — time of, 438
 — service, 361, 438
 'Idda, 679
 — marriage during, 616
 Idolatry, 148, 248, 531—536
 Idolaters, 555-56
 — Muslims fighting on behalf of, 571
 — marriage with, 613
 Idols, 305, 730
 Idḡlāl, 332
 Ifṭār, 437, 500
 Iḡrām, 529, 531
 Iḡtihād, 96
 — a blessing, 113
 — allowed by the Prophet, 113
 — and Abū Bakr, 99
 — and 'Umar, 99
 — authority of, inferior to Qur'ān and Hadīth, 113
 — door of, still open, 102
 — encouraged by the Prophet, 110
 — methods of, 104-05
 — future requirements met with by, 103
 — Shī'as and, 103, 107
 — Sunnis and, 107
 Iḡtihād, three degrees of, 110
 Ijmā', 103, 106, 108
 — authority of, 108
 — is iḡtihād on a wider basis, 109
 — open to correction, 109, 113
 — when complete, 108
 'Ikrama, 501
 Ilhām, 205
 Illicit relations, 661
 Īmān. mufaṣṣal, 131
 — mujmal, 131
 Imāms, the four, 113
 — not final authority, 114-15
 — paid, in mosques, 395
 Impotency, 674
 Imprisonment, 674
 Incarnation, 422
 — doctrine of, rejected, 221
 Indebtedness, evils of, 718
 Inheritance, according to Qur'ān, 702-03
 — Arab custom of, 640
 — grandson's, 705
 — Ḥanafī law of, 711, 718
 Innovation, 450
 Intercession, 313
 — and original sin, 253n.
 — doctrine of, in Islām, 251
 — of angels, 253
 — of believers, 255
 — of God, 253
 — of prophets, 254
 — on the day of Judgment, 255
 — who can do, 251
 Intermingling of sexes, 661
 Interest, 725
 Inter-religious marriages, 613
 Intoxicating things, 691, 737-38
 Intestate succession, see Inheritance
 Invitation to dinner, 736
 Iqāma, 429
 Isaac, 537
 Iṣfahānī, 50, 34
 Ishmael, 464, 510, 537-38
 Islām, contains all previous religions, 3
 — different sects of, 127
 — defined, 2

GENERAL INDEX

- distinction between *Islam* and, 128
- dogma foreign to, 130
- essential conditions of acceptance of, 131
- greatest spiritual forces, 20
- greatest unifying force, 5
- international religion, 11
- invitation to, 550
- makes no distinction among various prophets, 3
- mission of, 5
- myth of *Jizya*, sword of, 581
- name given by God, 1
- no Muslim to be expelled from brotherhood of, 125
- no mysteries in, 131
- offers solution of the world's problems, 11
- perfect expression of Divine will, 4
- perfect religion, 260
- prayer in, 14
- principles of, 457
- Prophet's invitation to, 563
- recognizes all revealed religions, 3
- recognizes independence of personal opinion, 114, 115
- sources of, 17
- the only religion acceptable to God, 226
- Western critics of, 233, 329, 531, 560, 577
- Israelites*, 461, 535, 716
- Istidlāl*, 105
- Istiftāh*, 419
- '*Itbān ibn Mālik*, 389
- Ithm* and *khaṭā'*, 236-37
- J**
- Jabir ibn 'Abd-Allāh, 70n.
- Jabriyya, 320, 350
- Jald, 753
- Jam' bain al-*kalatain*, 409
- Jam' *tākhīr*, 410
- Jam' *taqdim*, 410
- Jarbā', 576
- Jerusalem, 386, 479, 535
- Jesus Christ, 19, 280, 464, 479, 537, 729
- died a natural death, 262
- his task to be completed by Muḥammad, 5, 210
- of the Gospels, 244
- sent only for Israelites, 225
- twelve chosen disciples of, 242n.
- used symbolic language freely, 247
- worthy of regard, 229
- Jews, 477, 509, 593, 614
- Christians, Sabians and, 120
- Succession law of the, 701
- stoned adulterers to death, 755
- Jhatkā, 731
- Jihād, 545
- excellence of, 568
- Ḥajj a, 549
- includes service of Islām, 550
- the greatest, 475
- kinds of, 545
- means striving hard, 548-49
- not synonymous with war, 545-46
- only defensive, 572
- patience and, 547
- peace with unbelievers a, 573-74
- real object of, 572-73
- the word misused by jurists, 551
- Jinn, 149, 330-31
- according to Talmud, 194
- and angels, 169
- and devil, 189
- Christian notion of, 194
- created of fire, 189
- extraordinary men called, 191
- foreigners called, 193
- have no access to Divine secrets, 194
- Jews and Christians called, 193
- the word used in two senses, 189
- Jizya, 576
- appropriation of, 580
- exemptions from, 580
- in Najrān, 579
- non-Muslims exempted from military service on paying, 578
- not a religious tax, 577
- optional, 579
- refund of, 579
- zakāt and, 578

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

John the Baptist, 179, 229, 261, 462
Joseph, 758
Judges, 676
Journey, see prayer
Jurjān, 579
Justice, 459
Juwairiya, 667

K

Ka'b Aḥbār, 78
Ka'ba, 386, 657
— antiquity of, 516, 518
— changes introduced in, 520
— cleared of idols, 520
— description of, 512
— history of, 516
— names of the four corners of, 515
— place of security, 386
— rebuilt by Abraham and Ishmael, 386, 516-17
— reconstructed by Quraysh, 517
— sacredness of, 509
— women going in, 532
— and Qibla, 510
Kāfir, 124
— a Muslim cannot be called a, 124
— dividing line between a Muslim and a, 124
— none of the Ahl Qibla can be called, 127
— cannot be killed for unbelief, 572, 574
Kaiser, 561
Kāhms, 195-99
Kalima, belief in, 126
Kalima Shahāda 434
Kashf, 205
Khadija, 24, 25
Khaibar, 609
Khalifa, head of a democracy, 98
Kharāj, 577
Khaulā, 687
Khawārij, 756
— divisions introduced into Islām by, 127
Khidzr, 221
Khul', 676
Khuṭba, language of, 435
— object of, 435

Khuzā'a, 571

Killing for unbelief, Qur'ān opposed to, 572, 574

Kind words, 459
Kingdom of God, the, 537
Kissing corners of Ka'ba, 532
— the Black Stone, 532
Kiswa, 515
Kufr, 122
— kinds of, 123
Kursi, 156

L

Labour, not a punishment, 212
Labour units, 584
Laḥd, 447
Lailat al-Qadr, 18, 505, 506
Land revenue, 471
Land, sale of, 695
Learning, 14
Li'ān and mulā'ana, 687
Life, use of forbidden things to save 740
Lot, 229
— wife of, 318
Lotteries, 691
Love, 604, 675
Luqmān, 221
Lying, 502

M

Ma'bad al-Jāhani, 347
Madrisa, 384
Madman, 760
Madhhab, 1*m*.
Magian religion, 318
Magna Charta of religious freedom, 594
Mahr, 621-22, 666
— a free gift, 622
— amount of, 624
— payment of, 623-24
— payable as early as possible, 625
Maimed, 674
Maimūn ibn Mihrān, 99
Maintenance, 674
Majority, age of, the age of marriage, 618
— marriage and, 619
Majūs, 615

GENERAL INDEX

- Makka, assemblage at, 368
 — sacredness of, 509
 Maktab, 324
 Malik, Imām, 101, 105, 112, 404, 411, 631, 636, 677
 Ma'mar, 73
 Man, a free agent, 222-23, 320
 — created in the best make, 278
 — created to face difficulties, 379
 — creation of, 177, 268, 3-7
 — every, has two associates, 184
 — every thing on earth created for, 601
 — everything subservient to, 138, 152
 — gets what he strives for, 329
 — made in Divine nature, 153
 — made to rule the world, 115
 — object of life of, 300, 308
 — record of deeds of, 282-83
 — responsible for his deeds, 320, 322
 Mandate, 265
 Manifestation, 422
 Manslaughter, 748
 Maqām Ibrāhīm, 516, 518
 Mariolatry, 150
 Marital relations, 673
 Marriage, 602
 — a civil contract, 619, 670
 — a sacred contract, 602
 — age of, 618
 — contract, 630
 — not confirmed by the guardian, 631
 — conditions imposed at time of, 636
 — consent of parties to, 617
 — consummation of, 623
 — during pregnancy, 616
 — guardianship in, 630
 — guards chastity, 602
 — Hindu Law of, 615
 — illegal conditions of, 637
 — Jewish Law of, 615
 — legal conditions of, 637
 — multiplication of race through, 604
 — music at, 626
 — natural object of, 606
 — of divorced woman, 631
 — of minor, 619
 — of prisoners, 668
 — of slaves, 662
 — of slave with master's permission, 665
 — Paul on, 615
 — pre-Islamic, 606
 — poverty no bar to, 602*n*
 — proposal of, 618, 621
 — and acceptance, 620-21
 — preliminaries of, 6-6
 — prohibitions, 611
 — publicity of, 625
 — religion and, 603
 — registration of, 629
 — repudiation of, 634-35
 — sermon, 627-28
 — significance of, 601
 — singing at, 626
 — is Sunna of the Prophet, 602
 — temporary, 607
 — union of two natures, 603
 — witnesses at, 621
 Martyrs, 444
 Marwān, 752
 Mary, 179, 231, 496, 643
 Ma'rūr, 587
 Masjid al-Ḥarām, 385, 510, 514, 518
 Masjid al-Aqṣā, 511
 Massignon, Prof., 12
 Master of a slave, 668
 Material Civilization, 653
 Materialism, 7, 11
 Meal, 440-41
 Menstruation, 391-92, 400, 742
 — no sexual intercourse during, 679
 Mesopotamia, 562
 Messiah, 280*n*.
 — and Elijah, 262
 — appearance of, 260
 — finality of prophethood and the, 260
 —¹²² prophecy of, 261
 Mercy, 308
 — for wrong doers, 313
 — man created for, 308
 Miḍrās, 752
 Milla, see Din.
 Minā, 441, 538-39
 — stay in, 541

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

- Minor, 760
- marriage contract by, 630
 - marriage of, 639
 - not competent to deal with his property, 694
- Miqdād ibn 'Amr al-Kindī, 566
- Miracles, 240
- Christianity based on, 241-42
 - conception of, in Islām, 243
 - disadvantage of, 246
 - in Christianity, 241
 - of Jesus Christ, 247
 - place of prophecy in, 246
 - Qur'ān the greatest, 241, 244-45
- Misappropriation, 691, 752
- Misconduct, 674
- Missing husband, 675
- Mizān, see Balance
- Modesty, 121
- Monasteries, 585
- Monkery, 521
- Monks, 584
- Monogamy, 612
- Moon, rent asunder, 274
- Mortgage, 697
- Mortgagee, may use pledged things, 697
- Mosaic Law, 479, 746
- Moses, 19, 479
- mother of, 204, 613
 - Muslims nearer to, 477
- Mosque (see also masjid) 361
- and women, 390
 - a training ground of equality, 382
 - a national centre, 383, 385
 - as Council Hall, 384
 - building of, 388
 - carrying on trade in, 385
 - centre of Muslim religious life, 382
 - distinctive characteristics of, 381
 - libraries attached to, 384
 - no screens in, 656
 - of Allāh, 463
 - office-bearers of, 394
 - open to all worshippers, 390
 - respect for, 385
 - sectarian, 389
 - should face Ka'ba, 386
 - spitting in, prohibited, 385
 - the first, in the world, 385
 - the Prophet's, 388
 - used by women, 390
- Mount 'Thabir, 539
- Moustaches, 742
- Mu'āhads, 468, 747
- Mu'ādh ibn Jabal, 60, 88, 98
- Mubashsharāt, 263
- Mughira, 67, 617
- Muḥaddithīn, 85, 86, 90, 92
- Muḥammad, the Holy Prophet, a Muslim, 1
- belief in, includes belief in all prophets, 223
 - exemplar for his followers, 60
 - guardian of debtors, 717
 - helped his wives, 648
 - most successful of all prophets, 11
 - never disobeyed revelation, 89
 - never forgot any portion of Qur'ān 37
 - never took any intoxicant, 738
 - sayings of, do not abrogate Qur'ān 88
 - shunned all evil practices, 240
 - universal mission of, 224
- Muḥammad ibn 'Amāra, 65
- ibn Fuḍzail, 73
 - ibn Ismā'il, see Bukhārī
 - ibn Maslama, 67
 - Imām, 616
- Muhammadanism, a misnomer, 1
- Muḥarrām, fasting in, 493
- Mujāhid, 38, 42
- Mullā, 395
- Muqallidīn, 111
- Murāsi', battle of, 586
- Murder, 748
- of a non-Muslim, 747
 - punishment for, 745
- Mūsā ibn Jābir, 191
- Musailma, 425
- Muṣallā, 386
- Musalman Wakf Validating Act, 700
- Music, 365
- Muslim, 124
- brotherhood, 635

GENERAL INDEX

- conquests, early, 363
- every child born a, 339
- every prophet a, 2
- must believe in all prophets, 222
- not to be aggressive in war, 560
- to defend places of worship, 381
- state, rights of a non-Muslim in a, 748
- who is a, 4, 568
- Muslim, Imām, 74
- Mut'a disallowed, 606, 610
- Mutawalli, 394
- Mu'tazila, 109, 321, 347
- Muzdalifa, 513
- N**
- Nails, paring of, 530
- Najrān, 384, 576
- Nakhla, 193
- Nāsibī, 80
- Naskh, 38
- Nature worship, 149
- Negus of Abyssinia, 447
- Nicholson, Dr., 85
- Nineveh, 193
- Nisāb, 496
- Niyoga, 607
- Noah, 21, 412, 229, 237
- Non-Muslim, dowry of a — woman, 622
- marriage with, 636
- propaganda, 724
- rights of — living in a Muslim state, 747, 748
- Nu'aimān, 759
- O**
- Oath, 696
- Occupation, 465
- Offence against society, 744
- Old men, protection of, 569, 572, 584
- Option of puberty, 634
- Orientalists, 509
- Ornaments, 742
- display of, 655
- Orphans, 459, 461, 618, 638, 640, 701
- P**
- Pairs, everything created in, 136
- Paradise, 184, 266, 291, 747
- advancement in, 302
- a parable, 292
- abode of peace, 299
- children in, 299
- free from sensuality, 295, 298-99
- fruits of, 285, 293
- nothing of this world in, except the name, 292
- Paramours, 614, 625
- Parda, 390-92
- Parents, when not to be obeyed, 547
- Parsimoniousness, 692
- Parsis, 614
- Partnership, 725
- Patience, 449
- Peace, 559
- Perfection, everything created to attain, 317
- Perfumes, 742
- Period of waiting, 679
- Persecution, 574
- Persia, conquest of, 562
- Persian Empire, 561
- Peter, 56
- Pharaoh, 231, 477
- people of, 269
- Pharisees, 242
- Pickthal, 197n.
- Pilgrimage (see also Hajj), 355, 442, 656
- Polyandry, 637, 638
- Polygamy, 637, 641
- an exception, 638
- circumstances permitting, 640-41
- conditions precedent to, 638
- moral aspect of, 641
- not a rule, 642
- political aspect of, 641
- Polytheism, 248
- Polytheists, 222, 239, 550
- children of, 339, 343
- Poor rate, see zakāt
- Prayer, 355
- and humility, 367
- and moral greatness, 359
- and music, 371
- and Muslim mentality, 379
- and purification, 295
- and purification of heart, 359

THE RELIGION OF ISLAM

- and unification of human race, 361-62, 411
- an incentive to action, 378
- any one can lead, 395
- Arabic, the language of, 368, 371
- a source of strength, 379
- 'Asr or late afternoon, 418
- attitude of mind in, 369
- bowing down position in, 414
- by imā', 432
- called *dhikr*-Allāh, 418
- checks evil, 360
- cleanliness before, 396
- democracy and, 361
- departure from prescribed positions in, 417
- discipline in, 428
- *Dzuḥā*, 410
- Fajr or morning, 413
- *fardz* and sunna, 413
- form of, 411
- forms of, for the dead, 446
- function of, 379
- governors leading the, 389
- honour of leading the, 391-95
- humility in, 369
- Imām in, 429-30
- importance of, 355
- in battle, 432
- 'Ishā or night, 413
- language of, 367
- late comers in, 431
- Maghrib or sunset, 413
- mode of, 365
- no consecrated place necessary for, 380
- of one on journey, 431
- postures in, 364-65
- procedure in, 429-31
- recitals in, 419-27
- regularization of, 406
- regulations of, 382
- *sajda* position in, 416
- self-development through, 356
- sitting position in, 415
- social aspect of, 361
- spirit of, 412
- standing position in, 413-14
- leads to success, 405
- the Fātiḥa in, 373
- Tahajjud, 407, 413
- The Lord's, belies the Christian creed, 160n
- times of, 364, 406
- what is, 359
- women in, 428
- *Zuhr* or early afternoon, 413
- Prayers, combining of, 409
- five obligatory, 408
- for show, 459
- public, 650
- voluntary, 410
- Predestination, 318, 324—26, 335, 339, 344, 350
- Pregnant woman, not to be executed, 760
- Prey, beasts or birds of, 733
- Prisoners of war, 586, 668
- treatment of, 588
- Privacy, 660
- Prohibited food, 728
- under law of Moses, 729
- Promise, 333, 555
- Propagation of Islām, 549, 551
- Prostitution, 642, 662
- Property, acquisition of, 690
- is acquired in three ways, 690
- owner of, 695
- power to dispose of, 691
- restrictions on disposal of, 693
- Prophecy, conditions of, 247-48
- in *Ḥadīth*, 250
- instances of, in the Qur'ān, 249n.
- of the triumph of Islām, 248
- value of, as a miracle, 250
- Prophet, a, in every age, 258
- a, is a Muslim, 2
- four works of the, 232
- must be a man, 220
- must be an exemplar, 220
- Prophets, all one community, 228
- characteristics of various, 228
- national, 223
- number of, 221
- revelations of, 25
- sinlessness of, 232

GENERAL INDEX

- why raised, 230
 - Prophethood, finality of, 259
 - not attainable by one's own efforts, 221
 - universality of the institution of, 221
 - Punishment, a necessity, 745
 - a remedy, 167
 - execution of, 759
 - general law of, 744
 - object of, 160, 307
 - proportionate to offence, 744-45
 - Purity, 462, 740
- Q**
- Qabīḡa, 67
 - Qadar, Ash'ari's view of, 350
 - difference between qadḡā and, 315
 - faith in, 340
 - meaning of faith in, 351
 - significance of faith in, 348
 - Taqdir or, not an article of faith, 345
 - the first believers in, 347
 - Qadariya, 347n.
 - Qadḡā, 609
 - 'Umri, 87
 - Qasṡalānī, 235
 - Qibla, 387
 - Qiyām, 413
 - Queen of Sheba, 201
 - Qurāishī, 540
 - Qusṡas, 79
 - Qur'an, 6
 - Arabic literature originated with, 50
 - a guidance, 356
 - announces its own name, 18
 - appeals to reason, 96, 97
 - a reminder, 823
 - arrangement of, 26
 - as judge of previous revelations, 210
 - averse to dogma, 52
 - brought religion to perfection, 210
 - called Ḥadīth, 58
 - commentaries of, 47
 - committed to memory, 26
 - decisive and allegorical verses of, 44
 - different readings of, 31
 - Divine message brought by Gabriel, 18
 - divisions of, 35, 47
 - does not borrow from the Bible, 212
 - European critics of, 53
 - guardian of previous revelations, 210
 - highest form of revelation, 20, 22
 - interpretation of, 44
 - Makka and Madina sūras of, 48
 - no discrepancies in, 41
 - parts of, 216
 - place of, in world literature, 50
 - purity of the text of, 33, 89, 329
 - recitation of, 28
 - reciting, in a single night, 454
 - recognizes independence of opinion, 114, 115
 - removes defects of earlier scriptures, 210
 - revealed for human uplift, 322-23
 - revelation of, 18
 - the highest form of revelation, 20
 - the key to success, 143
 - the only Book that claims to bring a perfect religion, 210
 - translations of, 55, 56
 - various names of, 17-18
 - writers of, 27
 - written copies of, 29
- R**
- Rabb, 135, 153, 157, 373
 - Rabḡḡa, 575
 - Rabī' ibn ṡuḡaib, 73
 - Rabī'a, tribe of, 59
 - Raf', 271
 - Rāfi' ibn Khudaij, 752
 - Rain, prayer for, 454
 - revelation likened to, 276
 - Rā'iya, 644
 - Ra'l, 425
 - Ramadḡān, charity in, 503
 - choice of, for fasting, 485
 - duration of the month, 485

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

- Heaven, doors opened in, 503
 - Hell, doors closed in, 503
 - intentionally breaking fast in, 495
 - Qur'ān revealed in, 486
 - Tahajjud in, 452
 - the month of fasting, 485
 - Renaissance, 14
 - Rauḥ ibn 'Ubāda, 73
 - Reformers, appearance of, 262
 - Reconciliation, 672, 674, 680
 - Religion, aims and object of, 464, 493
 - a code of life, 5
 - essentials of, 458
 - force in moral development, 6, 7
 - Religious duties, fundamental, 355
 - Remarriage, 680
 - after irrevocable divorce, 684
 - Requit of deeds, 265
 - independent of creeds, 120, 124
 - Resurrection, 133, 266, 269, 271, 272
 - and Mizān, 289
 - body in, not a material one, 283
 - body in, prepared of deeds, 284
 - changed attributes at the, 282
 - consistent with science, 280-81
 - day, 336
 - deeds like an open book on, 186
 - description of, 272-74
 - material or spiritual, 281
 - not a dogma, 279
 - spiritual, 275
 - spiritualities materialized in, 285
 - various names of, 271, 272
 - Resurrections, the three, 274
 - Retaliation, 595*n*, 746
 - Revelation, Christian conception of, 206*n*.
 - door of, not closed, 203-4, 214
 - forms of, 20, 22
 - granted to every nation, 208
 - kinds of, 204
 - object of, 203, 205
 - older, lost and forgotten, 86, 37
 - progressive, 209
 - to angels, 203
 - to inanimate objects, 203
 - to lower animals, 203
 - to *auliyā*, 203
 - Revealed Books, 201
 - Reward, good and evil must have their, 278
 - Rib, woman compared to a, 649
 - Righteous, the book of the, 288
 - Rights of husband and wife, 648
 - Rivers of Paradise, 291-92
 - Robert of Retina, 56
 - Rodwell, 57
 - Roman Empire, 529, 561-62
 - Rosary, 162
 - Ross, Alexander, 56
 - Rukāna, 685
 - Rukn*, 414
 - Ruler, 644
 - Russia, 465
 - Ru'yā*, 205
 - Ruza'iq, 433
- S**
- Sabbath, 364, 432
 - Sabians, 615
 - Sacrifice, 519
 - among other nations, 443
 - of animal, 440
 - significance of, 444
 - the idea underlying, 442
 - Sacrificing to stones, 738
 - Ṣadaqa Fiṭr, 439
 - Sa'd ibn Abi Waqqāṣ, 383, 714-15
 - Sa'd ibn Mu'adh 385, 513
 - Sa'd ibn 'Ubāda, 451
 - Ṣa'dī, *Sharkh*, 56
 - Ṣafā and Marwa, 512-13, 532, 534, 538, 657
 - Safāḥ*, 608
 - Ṣaḥīyya, 667
 - the Prophet's marriage with, 629
 - Ṣaḥīfa, 748
 - Ṣāliḥ, 221, 229
 - Sa'id ibn Abi 'Arūba, 73
 - Sa'id ibn Musayyab, 70. .
 - St. Paul, 479
 - St. Peter, 729
 - Sajāḥ, 561
 - Sajda sahw*, 430
 - Sale, George, 56
 - Sale of immovable property, 696
 - Salmān and Abū Dardā', 493

GENERAL INDEX

- Salutation, 460
 Salvation, Jewish and Christian claims to, 457
Satta prohibited, 696
 Sa'y, 512, 519
 Sayūti, 41, 99
 Scent, 397, 401, 530
 Science, 14
 Scriptures, alterations in, 212
 Seal, cause of, 334
 Seal-ring, 742
 Self-denying practices, 738
 Self-development, 356
 Serpent did not beguile, 212
 Service of humanity, 604-05
 Sex equality in business, 696
 — equality in law of succession, 201-02
Shā'bān, fasting in, 492
Shā'bi, 68
Shāfi'i, Imām, 101, 113, 414, 612, 631
Shāfi'i, Law, 677
Shāh 'Abd al-'Aziz, 86
Shāh 'Abd al-Qādir, 56
Shahbarāz, 529
Shahrastāni, 119
Shāh Rafi' al-Dīn, 56
Shāh Walī Allāh, 56
Shaitān, 191
 --leaders of mischief, applied to, 195
 Shamhozai, 172
 Shaving, 530
Shawwāl, fasting in, 493
Shi'as 75, 398, 414, 613, 677
 —Akhbārī, 610
 —Law, 616
Shirk, what is, 147
 --forms of, 145
 --gravity of, 145
Shiqāq, 674
 Sikhs, 614
 Silk, 741
 Sin, prayer greatest restraint on, 360
 Sinlessness of prophets, Bible on, 233
 Sirius, 149
 Slaughter, 730, 731
 --object of, 731
 Slave-girls, cohabitation with, 665, Sword, and Islām, 560
 670 — paradise under shadow of, 570
 --dowry of, 622, 663
 --marriage of, 661, 666
 Slavery, 587, 663
 Slaves to be treated as brothers, 587-- 88
 Socialism, 465, 690
 Society, organization of, 406
 Solomon, 172
 --and the jinn, 192
 Son of God, 233
 Sonship, doctrine of, 150, 151
 Sooth-sayers, 195-99
 Sorcerers, 196-97
 Soul, 462
 Soviet, 465
 Sphere of Influence, 465
 Spirit of God, 230
 Spirit, the self-accusing, 377
 Spiritual blindness, 285
 Spiritual resurrection, 276-77
 Standing crops, 696
 State, 694
 --borrowing, 726
 --ownership, 466
 --when blood money should be paid by, 748
 Stipends, paid to non-Muslim, 581
 Stoning to death, Jewish law of, 754
 -- not the law of Islām, 753
 Stones, throwing of, in ḥajj, 543
 Succession, customs of Arabs, 701
 Succession, intestate, 701
 Subject to debt and will, 702-03
 Ṣuffa, 388
 Sufyān ibn Abū Zubair, 82
 Sufyān Thaurī, 73
 Sufyān ibn 'Uyaina, 73
 Sumra ibn Jundub, 469
 Sunan, 74
 Sunna, (see also Ḥadīth), 58
 — explains Qur'ān 59
 — kinds of, 58
 — transmission of, 59
 Sūras, Makka and Madina, 48, 49
 Superstitions, 195-200
 Swine, 729

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

— verse of the 556,
Synagogue, 381
Syria, 513

T

Tābi'in, 80, 112
Ṭabasi, 37
Tabrezi, 191
Tabūk, 258, 560, 609
Tahajjud, 410, 504
— prayer, 452-53
Ṭahāwi, 127
Ṭā'if, 193
Takbir, in 'Id prayers, 438
— when opening prayer, 419
— when slaughtering an animal, 731
Ṭaḥa ibn 'Ubaid-Allāh, 65
Tamattu', 533
Tao 615
Taqdir, 315
Tarāwīḥ, 452
Ṭarfa, 392
Ṭāriq ibn Suwaid, 739
Tashahhud, 423
Tashriq days, 440
— fasting on, 493
Ṭawāf, 531
— al-ifā'dza, 541
— in pre-Islamic times, 532
— manner of performing, 532
— significance of, 535
— what is, 536
Tayammum, 401
Ṭhābit ibn Qais, 675
Thanks-giving, form of, 735
Thaqif, 394
Theft, punishment for, 750-752
Theodore Bibliander, 56
Temporary marriage, evils of, 607
Temptation, 543
Time and space, 271
— in the Hereafter, 293
Toilet, 740
Tooth-brush, 399
Torah, 754, 757
Treasure trove, 471
Treaties with polytheists, 565-66
Tree of knowledge, 212
Trinity, 149, 318

— Quranic conception of, 150
Trust (see also Waqf), 693
— beneficiaries of, 700
— may be created for benefit of relations, 699

U

Ubayy ibn Ka'b, 34, 337
Ukaidar, 576
'Ukāz, 542
'Ukul, 595
Umama, 675
'Umar, 66, 219, 313, 315, 683, 685
'Umar II, 71, 72
Umayya ibn Khalf, 513
Umm Salma, 175, 533
'Umra, 513
Uniformity of law, 137
Unity of God, 152
— common to all revealed religions, 210
— unity of human race underlies, 152
Usāf and Nā'ila, 520, 531
Usāma, 759
'Ushr, 471
Usurious transactions, 720
Usury, 691
— and interest, 721
— evils of, 720
— prohibited, 718

V

Vanity, 358, 741, 742
Veil, 529, 656-658
— mark of distinction, 657
Vessels of silver and gold, 736
Vice, 319
Virgin, adult, 634
— right of, to choose husband, 633
Virtue, 319

W

Wahb ibn Munabbah, 79
Wahy matluww, 22, 205
Wailing, 449
Walima, 629, 630
Waqf, 384
War, 641-42
— a necessity, 569
— against unbelievers, 551
— circumstances permitting, 553

GENERAL INDEX

- morality, 585, 589-83
 - only defensive, allowed, 551
 - prisoners of, 583
 - Qur'ān and, 562
 - remaining united in, 570
 - Wars of Persia and Rome, 590
 - Wāṣil, ibn 'Aṭā, 321
 - Wasiyya, 698
 - Wealth, a national asset, 692
 - Islām's solution of—problem, 466
 - unlawful means of acquiring, 690
 - waste of, 693, 694
 - Western critics, 335
 - Wicked, the book of, 238
 - Widow, 633
 - in pre-Islamic days, 701
 - under Arab customs, 640
 - Wife, 644
 - consent of, necessary in marriage, 620
 - duties of, 648
 - equality between husband and, 673, 674
 - functions of husband and, 646
 - husband forbidden to fast. for the sake of, 494
 - immoral conduct of, 652
 - position of, 644
 - punishment of, 651
 - regard for, 715
 - treatment of, 605, 649
 - Will, 697
 - the Divine, 321
 - Wine, preparing, 739, 740
 - stages in prohibition of, 738
 - trading in, 740
 - Witnesses, 629, 694
 - in case of adultery, 758
 - Witr, 413, 452, 454
 - Woman, 599
 - as Imām to lead prayer, 395
 - can dispose of her property, 644
 - can earn money, 642-643
 - can inherit property, 644
 - can own property, 643
 - compared to rib, 649
 - dress of 658-59
 - immoral conduct of, 650
 - in Paradise, 295 96
 - Muslim, not to marry non-Muslim, 615
 - not to display ornaments, 668-59
 - position of, 643
 - protection of, 569, 572
 - right of, to marry the man she pleases, 632
 - Women, 647
 - and national activities, 647
 - and public offices, 617
 - not to be killed in war, 584
 - fasting by, 491
 - and the veil, 391
 - 'Īd khūba and, 439
 - ihrām, dress of, 549
 - in business, 647
 - in battle-field, 647
 - in Paradise, 296
 - obligation towards, 627
 - prayed in mosques, 390
 - revelation granted to, 643
 - seclusion of, 655
 - to join 'Īd prayers, 391
 - World-religion, 227
 - World-prophet, 224
 - distinguishing features of the, 226
 - Wuḍʿū, details of, 398
 - object of, 399
- Z**
- Zacharias, 179, 496
 - Zaid, 636
 - ibn Thābit, 28
 - Zainab, 647
 - Prophet's marriage with, 630
 - Zain al-Dīn 'Irāqī, Ḥāfiẓ, 78
 - Zakāt, 355, 577, 743
 - al-Fiṭr, 476
 - and defence or propagation of Islām, 475
 - collection of, 475
 - donor's choice *re.* expenditure of, 474
 - expenditure of, 472
 - importance of, 463
 - institution of, 467
 - officials, 467
 - of Ramadẓān, 439

THE RELIGION OF ISLĀM

— paid into common fund, 467	Zechariah, 19
— property subject to, 468	Zihār, 687
— rate of, 470	Zill, 292-93
— under modern conditions, 472	Zindeeq, 33 ⁿ
Zamzam buildings, 518	Zoroastrianism, 478

Printed at the Ripion Printing Press, Bull Road, Lahore by Mirza Mohammad Sadi
and published by Ch. Fazal Haq for the Ahmadiya Anjuman Ishaat Islam, Lahore.